

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Kinkaid bb	POINTS	RANKS
2	nikhil bontha	28	2
1	robert baldwin	28.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee SD	POINTS	RANKS
2	Shreya Seth	28 27.5	3
1	Maggie Davis	27.5 28	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Kinkaid
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: JM Blumenthal

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

REP:

no concern
elected to
will back up on the
EP

use the
test sheet

~~A/D~~
4:50 7:50
4:50 3:50
3:00

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Caddo Magnet ST	POINTS	RANKS
1	ZhenZhen Shi	27.5	3
2	Taylor Templeton	27.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Little Rock Central GP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Lindsey Garland	28	1
2	Sarah Parker	27.5	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from CADDO MAGNET
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: *SSmart*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG HAS NO OFFENSE, AFF WINS A RISK OF ~~A20~~^{CASE} IMPACT,
(AFF SHOULD EXPLAIN THIS BETTER). NO ⊕ STANDARDS/VOTERS
IN THE BLOCK, EXTENSIONS ARE NEW IN THE 2 NR.

EMAIL ME FOR QUESTIONS! SMARTS7@WFO.EDU

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	New Trier Township KL	POINTS	RANKS
1	Teddy Kontopoulos	28.5	1
2	Heather Lawton	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's LT	POINTS	RANKS
1	Joe Loftus	27.5	4
2	Connor Theilmann	27.5	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from NEW TRIER
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

(COMMENTS GIVEN IN ROUND)

- Didn't answer? about popularity.

5:10 6:46

5:10

5:10 6:46

5:10 6:46

5:10 6:46

THE ZNR DECISION TO GO FOR ALMOST EVERY FLOW STARTS THE NEGATIVE OFF FROM BEHIND. TOPICALITY IS UNDERDEVELOPED - THE AFF'S COUNTER TO ZNR PROVIDES A FAIRER DECISION OF GROUNDS AND REASONABILITY IS CONCEDED.

LEFT W/ DA CASE, ITS AN UPHILL BATTLE BECAUSE THE AFF HAS MULTIPLE ADVANTAGES (WHICH NEG HAS DEFENSE ON SAME, BUT ULTIMATELY NOT MUCH)

THAT THEY WEN A QUICK TF ON, INCLUDING CONCESSD TERROR ADD-ON (Extinction)

THOSE OUTWEIGHT WILL ERASION EASILY - AND NEG CONCESSS OBAMA WILL LOSE EVIDENCE, AND RISK OF A LI TURN, AND ALTSWERT NOW ON ELECTIONS.

amhantel@gmail.com - Flow is saved so I'm happy to comment.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's WB	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jacob Wikman	27.5	3
2	Rishee Batra	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Westminster Schools TA	POINTS	RANKS
1	Daniel Taylor	28	2
2	Ellis Allen	28	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Westminster
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Grant Peretz

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG
AFF concedes point & conventional war & mil speaking which outweigh a tiny risk of warming.

St. Mark's - You have to answer an of that ev in the 2AC - dropping offense puts you behind from the beginning.

Westminster - They dropped two key 4/1's in the 1AC, why didn't you go for it?

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's GH	POINTS	RANKS
1	Max Grunewald	28	2
2	Kevin Hale	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore hh	POINTS	RANKS
1	james hanley	29	1
1	will hardwicke	28	4

The better debating was done by the _____ from _____
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Email: Jonathan R Karlin @ gmail.com for

RFD.

ZAR PICK and choose a position → going for Condi to
1:20 isn't going to make you win... go all in on a few
issues...

ZAR - would have given you a 29.5 if you did not
drop Nazi DA. Very Good ZAR - should spend less
time repeating your 1. Calc.

ARZ - Line by line!! Why are you going for conditionality
when they drop "perm do both" and are only going
for the K? Spend 45 seconds on the CP and

Read more cv on the K... Strategic thinking..... you spent
2 mins on a non starter reading pre scriptal blocks.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Bronx Science LL	POINTS	RANKS
2	Max Lesser	28	2
1	Thomas Lloyd	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Pine Crest Preparator CM	POINTS	RANKS
2	Tabitha Cohen	28	1
1	Matthew Marcus	27	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from Pine Crest
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Glenda Ferguson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Tabitha - sometimes in an effort to help Matthew, you get in the way. Watch it a little. Matthew - in 1NR - designate your arguments. They tend to all run together. Max - your interruption of Thomas in 1AR caused him to underover claim. Matthew ditch for you in 2NR - don't talk so much.

I vote Negative because their is virtually doped in 1AR and some frame is a problem along with distinction cards don't really say what have would like and need more impact anyway.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South AN	POINTS	RANKS
1	Nabeela Ahmed	2	28
2	Kathy Nalepka	1	28.5

NEGATIVE

POS	Coppell KK	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sonali Kalvala	3	28
2	Priyanka Krishnamurthy	4	27.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from GBS.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Anshu Deshpande

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A: ~~8:27~~ 1:16
N: ~~4:58~~
4:34

Aff b/c the case on the PA since it has a low risk

The issues:

: Going for in this debate wasn't a great choice because you don't really have a well developed arg in the block. You need to answer their specification bad, reasonability, etc claims and do a much better job of explaining what ground you lose. 2NR says "we don't get politics s" and that's it which isn't really enough, especially when you're going for politics.

: Again, 2NC needs to go line by line here. You drop a lot of their "McCain hates the plan" args. Side note on the perm = good choice by 2AR to go for it - make some args about why it's OK to advocate as a policy option. Neg: ALWAYS say perm is a test when you kick a CP.

Nat. Gas : Even if you win this 100% you basically concede a bio-D and the competitiveness Adv. 2N: You need to do a better job explaining your args in the 2NR rather than just extending "everything." Who gets the terrorism faster/better? Both teams need to discuss this.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South CH	POINTS	RANKS
2	Jonathan Choi	28.5	2
1	Phillip Han	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS	College Prep PY	POINTS	RANKS
2	Carlos Penikis	28.5	1
1	Tatsuro Yamamura	28	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from CPs PY
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended [No]

~~7:58~~
9:50 ~~44s~~

Signed: W. Berthiaume

~~7:58~~
9:50 ~~44s~~

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1Ac showed start out slower - top of 1Ac unclear you slow down over the course of the speech

1Nc - write the CP text before the middle of 1NC

2Ac more time on case!

2AR arguments are generally good but way too new - too little 2Ac and 1AR time on China turn. Mead not answer that china is preparing for war despite US economic strength. 2AR also needs more organization - many 2NR args dropped or line by line

2NR should be more aggressive on "no new".

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Carrollton School of SP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Nas Schmidt	29.5	3
1	Alyssa Padilla	28.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Marquette University HM	POINTS	RANKS
2	Michael Hoffmann	30	1
1	Jack Mackay	30	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Marquette HM
AFF or NEG TEAM OF CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~_____~~

Hey BAD scenarios

Room: LS 156
Start: 8:00 a.m.
Pod D A

1

song, d. N
7:28
6:40

3:17

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE


POS	Chattahoochee IV	POINTS	RANKS
2	Mustafa Inamullah	28.5	1
1	Sam Varney	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Kinkaid sw	POINTS	RANKS
2	schuiler scher	27.5	3
1	merrick wilson	27	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from HOOCH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

SCHUILER - Use ALL OF CK OF IAC, NOT JUST TO SET UP STRATEGY + CHALLENGE IAC CLAIMS, BUT ALSO SO MERRICK DOESN'T HAVE TO USE PREP BEFORE INC.
ZAC - Good Job, BUT YOU'RE MAYBE A LITTLE QUICK TO KICK ADVANTAGES BASED ON SO-SO INC DEFENSE. SAVE A LITTLE MORE TIME FOR OIL DA.
ZNC - ANSWER INTERNAL LINK/IMPACT DEFENSE ON PHAR - ~~INTERNAL LINK~~
INR - Don't Drop SO STATE FIAT. YOU TAKE TOO MUCH.
ZNR - You HAVE TO GO FOR (T) BECAUSE BLOCK DROPPED SO STATE FIAT THERE.

RF: DROPPED CP THEORY

Room: LS 101
Start: 8:00 a.m.
Pod A

1

Jennings, Andrew

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's MD	POINTS	RANKS
1	Alex Miles	28.5	1
2	Rishi Das	27.5	4


NEGATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore my	POINTS	RANKS
1	maranna	28	2
2	yoder	28	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from St. Marks
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
 October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Greenhill PP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Kush Patel	28	2
2	Chris Patterson	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Glenbrook North HP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Mitchel Hochberg	28	3
1	Zack Parker	28	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Greenhill PP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: D Randall

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2NR

AE now and gets 4 oil

A	N
5:58	8:21 15
5:05	
2:10	

AA

mpx of monoculture 100% biodiversity mpx ext
 mpx of food 100% Squa @ (S) 1/2 plant still agri loss
 ↑ atqac own food says
 "plant derived" → crises means squa @ (S)

2 ext scenarios 100% prob vs neg OA

Some probs here

plan @ 1/2 w/ oil ~~mostly~~ +/0 w/ biofuels (N/L)
 plus farm bill empdenize link

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South AN	POINTS	RANKS
1	Nabeela Ahmed	27	1
2	Kathy Nalepka	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Coppell KK	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sonali Kalvala	27	4
2	Priyanka Krishnamurthy	27	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from GBS AN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AC IS STRUCTURED KIND OF AWKWARDLY. I THINK THE ORDERING SHOULD BE ALTERED, IT IS NOT A BIG DEAL THOUGH. 2AC, WATCH OUT FOR TIME ALLOCATION ISSUES.

2NC DO NOT DROP CONDO BAD AND SO-STATE FEAT (NEVERMEND) ON THE CP. ALSO, YOU SHOULD HAVE OVERVIEWS ON THE CP AND POLITICS. AS FAR AS THEORY, YOU SHOULD ANSWER IT BEFORE MOVING ON TO OBAMA GOOD. TRY TO GO LINE BY LINE THE WHOLE TIME. FINALLY, A PERM DO BOTH IS NOT SEVERANCE.

1NR, SPEND MORE TIME ON IMPACTS AND CASE NOT THE LINK LEVEL.

2NR, I THINK YOU SHOULD PICK THE ARGUMENTS YOU GO FOR AND NOT GO FOR EVERYTHING.

RFD:

(T) IS NOT GOING NEG AT ALL IN THIS ROUND

EVEN IF I GIVE THE NEG 100% OF NATURAL GAS, THE AFF HAS 3 OTHER SCENARIOS ABSENT OF THE 2NR

(S) TAKEOUTS THAT LEAD TO EXTINCTION. THUS I VOTE AFF ON CASE O/W NATURAL GAS. I (THE) THE NEG A VERY LITTLE RISK OF OBAMA GOOD.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee IV	POINTS	RANKS
2	Mustafa Inamullah	78	1
1	Sam Varney	78	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Kinkaid sw	POINTS	RANKS
2	schuiler scher	275	3
1	merrick wilson	27	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Chattahoochee
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 30 25/11

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg
Aff
 Don't drop theory Good on kick Terror
 Read Net Benefits to CP ~~better strategy~~
Less time on CP
 Voted on 50 state FIAT more on DA's
b/c its the
only 0 in round.
 Split block better
 Don't go for too much

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's GH	POINTS	RANKS
1	Max Grunewald	28	3
2	Kevin Hale	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore hh	POINTS	RANKS
2	james hanley	29	1
1	will hardwicke	28	2

~~1:17~~ ~~1:16~~ 1:16

5:52

The better debating was done by the Neg from Oak Park
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Christine Halbert

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

WORK on the line by line. WORK on comparative impact analysis.

RFD: Neg wins conditionality good for various reasons. ~~the~~ Neg theory answers back the question of predictability. CP becomes a moot point, which forces me to eval the @. No permutation leads to evaluation of impacts. Zimmerman solves all case impacts, as well as the ontological impacts of the @.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE



POS	Caddo Magnet ST	POINTS	RANKS
1	ZhenZhen Shi	28.5	2
2	Taylor Templeton	28.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Little Rock Central GP	POINTS	RANKS
1	Lindsey Garland	28	3
2	Sarah Parker	28	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Caddo Magnet
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed:  

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg & extend Impact to DA and ① - 2NR Case Defense on polit-
New Arg, & in the Block- But from NR. Aff wins risk
of case which would o/w and ⑤ any I to politics-
Aff also wins some risk of Defense

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's WB	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jacob Wikman	27.5	3
2	Rishee Batra	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Westminster Schools TA	POINTS	RANKS
1	Daniel Taylor	28.5	2
2	Ellis Allen	28.5	1

The better debating was done by the neg from WESTMINSTER
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2A - you need to answer all of their offense on neg + profit - your 2 cards against there to isn't going to cut it - you spent over 2 minutes on topicality

1A2 - you also spend too much time on topicality and under-construct case - when the neg isn't flying, it's obvious that they're not going for it

2A2 - "Run" on T for 3 seconds aren't do anything and wait - especially since there wasn't end

you can't go for neg @ the impact when you kick hegemony -

NEG - overall it was good, you just had a few organizational problems and there was lots of jumping around.

RFD: There's profit good which has a moral obligation impact and outweighs.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	St. Mark's MD	POINTS	RANKS
1A	Alex Miles	29	1
2A	Rishi Das	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS	oak park - river fore my	POINTS	RANKS
1N	maranna	28	3
2N	yoder yoder	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from St. Mark's DM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg takes out competitiveness (L) to heg but doesn't answer soft power (L) from CP.

Aff
~~7:50~~
6:00
Neg
~~3:05~~
1:35

On the DA the aff wins there's no link since plan would most likely be vetoed by Bush and overridden by Congress.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	New Trier Township KL	POINTS	RANKS
1	Teddy Kontopoulos	27	3
2	Heather Lawton	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Mark's LT	POINTS	RANKS
1	Joe Loftus	27	4
2	Connor Theilmann	28.5	1

Randy Rossman

The better debating was done by the Neg from St Mark's LT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: mhtwbl

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The neg did a better job of framing impact analysis presenting a clear path to our (inevitable) doom. Postdate was key here.

I believe the T debate was muddled somewhat, ok a lot, but was adequately answered by aff so as not to be a factor in this decision, "neg didn't prove ruin of debate." I agree.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
 October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Glenbrook South CH	POINTS	RANKS
2	Jonathan Choi	28	1
1	Phillip Han	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	College Prep PY	POINTS	RANKS
2	Carlos Penikis	28	3
1	Tatsuro Yamamura	28	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from College Prep P
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Julia M Coursey

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CBP 10
 CP 20

Not

1AC - starts slower, cleaner
 2AC - more/better
 FW args would be helpful - good ex of ZNC

Block strat - kicking ZNC - be sure you know what you're alt is and how out of the CP + cross applying aff to explain it consistently with your partner
 ① args makes it really obvious INR - prolif args are smart but getting muddled
 that you only will go for the ② w/ china ①s be cleaner means they can just prep out against that about how china can go to war but

CP 5 min left

4:40

4:28

CBP 4:45

1AR - spend more time on case

2NR - you should prolly have need more turns or a da in the (disparant)

1NC

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas

October 18-19, 2008

A N
5.20 4.47
2.00

AFFIRMATIVE


POS	Carrollton School of SP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Nas Schmidt	28	3
1	Alyssa Padilla	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Marquette University HM	POINTS	RANKS
2	Michael Hoffmann	29.5	1
1	Jack Mackay	29.5	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Marquette
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTSTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Prof whole me
- hoe down

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
 October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Kinkaid bb	POINTS	RANKS
2	nikhil bontha	28	3
1	robert baldwin	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Chattahoochee SD	POINTS	RANKS
2	Shreya Seth	28.5	1
1	Maggie Davis	27.5	4

The better debating was done by the neg from head
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Adam Pearce

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- VAC - if you stand still and don't lose, you will be able to go faster
- UNC - good, began words taking APD before it
- LAC - Need more impact work on elections, get her answer on T
- LAC - don't have to defend plan being a win for bush - if they say he will veto it, you say melam will vote for it, which makes him a madman
- LAR - Don't just extend words on case. Respond to ~~the~~ args
- Reading part 91, then your overview on why util is sweet's still explain how the util words function in Round 1st
- LAR - just Reading note at them
- LAR - Good more time on PIR, it's on case
- LVR - Probably need more on util debate - impact on DA should be about 'our nake was bigger than yours'
- Racism, not 'our nake was bigger than yours'
- LAR - she doesn't spend enough time on util - you could have won on it

RFD
 neg wins with
 PIR outweighs
 case

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

338
24

9/10
2/41

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Bronx Science LL	POINTS	RANKS
2	Max Lesser	28	2
1	Thomas Lloyd	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Pine Crest Preparator CM	POINTS	RANKS
2	Tabitha Cohen	28.5	1
1	Matthew Marcus	28	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Pine Crest CM
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Mark W. Carswell

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg - Put competitiveness flow in front of warnings in 2NR. 1AR conceded it, the call that is needed is simple analysis and it flows Neg. Also try to utilize your defense on warnings more to tell a story of what it means post plan - need to know how the warnings advantage is in context of outweighing the DA.

2JG - Need to make more work on the case outweighs. You are behind on time some debate. Also need to extend case mpx earlier.

RFD. China DA = practically conceded. 100% mpx. Also Thede 2 Adv. Outweigh Code 07 Tim Fram.

ST. MARK'S SOPHOMORE HOE DOWN

Dallas, Texas
October 18-19, 2008

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Greenhill PP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Kush Patel	27.5	3
1	Chris Patterson	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Glenbrook North HP	POINTS	RANKS
2	Mitchel Hochberg	28	1
1	Zack Parker	27.5	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Ch. 11 PP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~1st round, 1st round~~

~~AFF~~
AFF WINS SEVERE LINK MITIGATION ON THE 1st SINCE PLAN ONLY TRADES OFF WITH ETHANOL PRODUCTION NOT OIL PRODUCTION. NEG'S "PERCEPTION" LINK CARDS DON'T COME CLOSE TO MAKING ARGS NEG NEEDS THEM TO, SO EVEN IF THERE IS A SMALL RISK OF A LINK, THE AFF HAS MORE CULTURE AND FOOD PRICE ADVANTAGES WITH NO DEFENSE FROM THE NEG.

However, I thought the ~~AFF~~^{NEG} was ahead most of the debate and was pretty sure I was gonna vote neg after the 1AR, but the 2NR did ~~not~~ make strategic decisions.

[Signature]