

FLIP WSD

World Schools Debate	Nicholas Aranda	
Semi-Finals	Room 1584 1585	Sat 03/16/19 03:30PM
Motion: <i>This house rejects attempts to suppress offensive speech on University Campus</i>		
TX Greenhill Green <i>Opp</i>	FF Southlake Saffron <i>Prop</i>	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Sanketh Chennarayana</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>76</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Rishu Beni</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>75</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Aamir Hossain</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Sanketh Chennarayana</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>36</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *260*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Caroline Greenstone</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Aimee Stachowiak</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>74</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Cameron Keithes</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Aimee Stachowiak</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>33</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *251*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *FF Southlake Saffron*

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

*ORAZ RFD Given**→ Proposition proves avenue for reform & gets across its harms & repx that are largely unrefuted*Judge's Signature: *Nicholas Aranda*School/Affiliation: *Regis University*

FLIP WSD

World Schools Debate	Kevin Khanna	
Semi-Finals	Room 1581	Sat 03/16/19 03:30PM
Motion:		
TX Greenhill Green <i>OPP</i>	FF Southlake Saffron <i>PROP</i>	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Sacheth Chennatahgar</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Rishik Betti</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Aamir Mohd</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Sacheth Chennatahgar</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>34</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *244*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Caroline Greenstone</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Aimee Stachowiak</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Cameron Kettles</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i> <i>KK</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Aimee Stachowiak</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>33</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *243*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

- good focus on dissent.
- P2 was very good on MS issue.
- Good show of outrage with points.

- I don't think the alt-right is majority but still on campuses.
- O2 I like the attitude. Style points
- The prop doesn't ban speech tho
- You telling minorities how they pick colleges isn't best strat.
- GS: india not gov oppression. Need to consider to limit T.
- If mino can't stand up in N. Then your gov are fails.

Judge's Signature: *[Signature]*School/Affiliation: *Hired*

FLIP WSD

World Schools Debate	Becky Taylor	
Semi-Finals	Room 1581 1585	Sat 03/16/19 03:30PM
Motion: suppress offensive speech		
TX Greenhill Green	FF Southlake Saffron	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Chennarahguri		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 16
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 2 Name: Bethni		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Speaker 3 Name: Amar		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32) 2	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80):		
Reply Name: Chennarahguri		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40):		

PROP TOTAL POINTS:

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Caroline G.		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 37	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80):		
Speaker 2 Name: Aimee S.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80):		
Speaker 3 Name: Cameron K.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80):		
Reply Name: Aimee S.		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40):		

OPP TOTAL POINTS:

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Overall - Prop illustrated what global impacts would occur if this motion is in place w/specific examples.

All speakers were persuasive and did a great job.

Judge's Signature: Becky Taylor

School/Affiliation: none

WSD

FLIP: GN Queenwood v. TN Falcons

World Schools Debate	Ria Varma	
Semi-Finals	Room 1591	Sat 03/16/19 03:30PM
Motion: Regrets Attempts to suppress offensive speech on Univ. campus		
PROP TEAM name/code: Falcons	OPP TEAM name/code: Queenwood	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Barbellia		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 2 Name: Halapeti		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Dave		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Reply Name: Halapeti		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: 245		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: McCrary		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 2 Name: Grantham		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Saxon		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Grantham		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: 253		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)


PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

OPPOSITION: Speaker 1 & 2: watch crossing your arms

Speaker 2: reduce your gestures

The Propositions 1st speech was not linked to the motion until about halfway into their second speech.

Judge's Signature: 

School/Affiliation: Hired

WSD

FLIP: GN Queenwood v. TN Falcons

World Schools Debate		Jayson Anderson	
Semi-Finals		Room 1591	Sat 03/16/19 03:30PM
Motion: <i>Regrets attempts to suppress offensive speech on University campuses</i>			
PROP TEAM name/code: <i>Falcons TN</i>		OPP TEAM name/code: <i>Queenwood GN</i>	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Barbella</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Halapeti</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Dave</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Halapeti</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *251*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>McLary</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Grantham</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>74</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Saklon</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Grantham</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>36</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *256*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *Queenwood GN*

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Judge's Signature:

Jayson Anderson

School/Affiliation:

LHS

WSD

FLIP: GN Queenwood v. TN Falcons

World Schools Debate	Ethan Eyre	
Semi-Finals	Room 1591	Sat 03/16/19 03:30PM
Motion: Offensive speech on University Campuses		
PROP TEAM name/code: TN Falcons	OPP TEAM name/code: GN Queenwood	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Barbella		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 2 Name: Halapeti		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 3 Name: Dave		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Halapeti		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: 250		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Mccrory		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 75		
Speaker 2 Name: Granttham		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Speaker 3 Name: Saklo		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Reply Name: Granttham		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: 260		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE GN Queenwood

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Barbella - shuffles, but very good
 Mccrory - excellent logical progressions and fluency
 GN Queenwood has much better collaboration during prep.
 Dave - you had lost a minute here
 Everything else was good

Judge's Signature:



School/Affiliation:

Concord / UH Debate

P

offensive is sub.

Situations of action

discourse = compromise

→ not all discourse is good

→ conversational conv. 1) norms

→ logically warranted

→ it speaks now

→ not dehumanizing Taliban

Political step → cultural equivalency

2) culture

Situations are not, make it stronger

Like Evidence

see worlds vs US

good point, but example

no endorsement

~~good point~~

excellent logical arg.

ID being

→ Oppos. thing vs. offensive

in VEA

→ offensive is subjective

good Madras PoF

"group share"

Q

Pop. religious

minorities = ID - injury of cases

→ discourse brings more attention to it.

→ You don't need them showing up to schools to know those things exist

Conversational

3) Student or should be protected

- they have rights

- not impacted by campus culture

Isolation of ID, like feel out about stealing

PoF term = leg.

3) Supp = tolerance

Madras approval reling

not relevant

PoF

T: engaging w/ sexism internalizes it

→

Enforcing involvement

→ no logical backing, no merit, becoming

colleges invite warranted views

they want them here

→ ID attack o/w

ble students should be protected

→ student associations