

WSD

World Schools Debate		Audra Langston	
Round 6	Kerr 413	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM	
Motion:			
CT LV Blue		PA Grapevine Red	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Andylan Burchett</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>67</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Finn Burmeister-Morton</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Justin Goodman</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Finn Burmeister-Morton</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>33</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *238*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Amrit Rathie</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>66</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Nathan Gardner</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Jack Murdoch</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>67</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Nathan Gardner</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *240*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *PA Grapevine* REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Red

APA!

Judge's Signature:

Aud Langston

School/Affiliation:

Grand Oaks

WSD

World Schools Debate	Jordyn Kuehn	
Round 6	Kerr 107	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM
Motion:		
TN Falcons	CC Plano East Gold	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Barbella		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 2 Name: Halapeti		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Dave		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Reply Name: Halapeti		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 8
Reply Total Points (30-40): 38		

PROP TOTAL POINTS:

249

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Kanamangala		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Sam Namdhari		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 3 Name: Hiremath		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Kanamangala		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

OPP TOTAL POINTS:

244

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)


PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Opp didn't clearly explain why prop forces schools to take a side until late in the round + used primarily one personal example

Judge's Signature:



School/Affiliation:

Sathbaki

WSD

World Schools Debate	Jane Boyd	
Round 6	Kerr 334	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM
Motion: <i>JHB that schools actively support student climate</i>		
CX Bowie Black	KS Churchill ToonSquad	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Nick van Leut</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Katie Elliott</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Katie Golson</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Nick van Leut</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *209*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Petra Pocock</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Barbara Hark</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Lacy & Lewis</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Petra Pocock</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *245*

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

WSD does not have plans. They have models that are examples - not in detail! off. stay standing while he answers the RZ Nick - good speaking style - some redundancy main points are called substantives.

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

Jane Boyd *Mapleline*

WSD- STRUCTURE
difficult than other
slow down
Potential BUT work of WSD.

It is the norm to only take 2-3 by speaker

WSD

World Schools Debate	Kevin Khanna	
Round 6	Kerr 422 421A	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM
Motion: THB schools should support students who oppose climate strikes		
FF Southlake Saffron	GN Queenwood	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Sanketh Chenniahgani		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Rishik Betti		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Anirudh Kuvapati		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Sanketh Chenniahgani		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 247

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Darian McCrary		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 2 Name: Emily Grantham		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 3 Name: Leila Saklou		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Emily Grantham		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33 ^{ex}		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 243

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

- not allowing anti-CC people in hand
- Gun control just as contra as CC.
- In SA they probably don't care if school lets them off? R.A.B?
- AT March = 2018 midterms.
- P3 good catch on introduction.
- Parent helping is also very USA centric.
- 10 went hard
- schools already are political (evolution)
- China also has protests
- need to def why parents work for schools on then schools teach CC.
- I think a 100K pep rally wins
- The outweighing GS cuz it spreads dissent.

Judge's Signature: 

School/Affiliation: Hired

WSD

World Schools Debate	Victor Nava	
Round 6	Kerr 411	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM
Motion:		
SP Yellow City Red	GO Grand Oaks	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Dolores Heredia		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 66		
Speaker 2 Name: Joshua Howell		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 3 Name: Pablo Rogel		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 67		
Reply Name: Joshua Howell		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 237

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Colton Berckenhoff		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 2 Name: Hadley Adkison		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Suzanna Ahmed		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Reply Name: Hadley Adkison		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 34		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 242

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE GO

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Grand Oaks

Congrats to both teams for participating in the first preliminary rounds of WSD at TFA State! "I vote opposition based on presentation style (opp just used a more dynamic ceremonial approach) and the vast amount of points presented by opp."

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

DVISD

There is so much potential in this room! Keep practicing and you guys will be AWESOME!

Rest of luck to all of you!

VARMA, RIA

2019 TFA State Tournament

Tab confirmed
Swapped ballots but
WSD
points are correct

World Schools Debate	Ria Varma	
Round 6	Kerr 531	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM
Motion:	Believes that schools should actively support students who organize and attend "school strikes for climate"	
CS AbiHaus	CK Coopertown	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

~~Proposition~~ Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Isaac Lock		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 2 Name: Angela Smith		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 3 Name: Jose Vega		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Reply Name: Isaac Lock		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 247

~~Proposition~~ Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Sonia Liu		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 2 Name: Branson Rodriguez		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 67		
Speaker 3 Name: Christian Medina		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Reply Name: Sonia Liu		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 241

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE CK

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

PROP:

STAY PROFESSIONAL

SPEAKER 1) USE MORE VOCAL VARIETY
Don't take a PBI mid thought.
Don't question w/ your legal pos.

SPEAKER 2) getting distracted; fluffing.

SPEAKER 3) stop swaying, reduce gestures.
DON DO NOT have to take every point.
?? "BUTTERFLY this will fix a lot of things"

Judge's Signature:

OPP:

STAY PROFESSIONAL

SPEAKER 2) DON'T take a PBI mid thought.
Breathe. Watch your gestures.

SPEAKER 3) Don't play w/ your butterfly
stand still.

Don't say "and thank you I am done"

School/Affiliation: Hired

WSD

World Schools Debate		Nicholas Aranda
Round 6	Kerr 501A	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM
Motion: <i>Students Climate Strike</i>		
HC Northwest Red		ON Benton

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>James Grice</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>74</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Randen Grimm</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>74</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Justin Barnes</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>75</i>		
Reply Name: <i>James Grice</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <i>258</i>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Daya Butler</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Aamil Farishita</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Arish Bawani</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Aamil Farishita</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>31</i>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <i>244</i>		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *HC Northwest Red* REPRESENTING THE (circle one)PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

ORAL RFD GIVEN

→ Prop demonstrates advantages
 of school support w/ principled
 reasons for support. Turns opp case

Judge's Signature: *Nicholas Aranda*School/Affiliation: *Regis University*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Jayson Anderson	
Round 6	Kerr 333	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM
Motion:		
HY Centaurs	FF Slake N' Bake	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Petit</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Feltman</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Schuman</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Feltman</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>34</i>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <i>240</i>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Medire</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Stelling</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Aziz</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Medire</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>32</i>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <i>245</i>		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *HY*

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Due to the main Idea of the opp of promoting Edu the most -- It was the Props Burden to prove they were educational -- & they were.

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

LHS

WSD

World Schools Debate	Brijan Kana	
Round 6	Kerr 302	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM
Motion: THBT schools should actively support students who organize to attend school strikes for climate.		
TX Greenhill Gold	FF Southlake Sapphire	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Ashley Shan		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 2 Name: Nicole Stachowiak		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 3 Name: Christine Wu		
Content (24-32) 20	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Reply Name: Nicole Stachowiak		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 253

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Admny Fall		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Arnav Bhutia		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 3 Name: Sanjay Shori		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Arnav Bhutia		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 34		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 245

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TX Greenhill REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION
 Gold.

Comments:

RFD: I vote for the prop on the grounds that the opp's advocacy presents more problems than benefits. However, neither side really fully won any arguments. Both teams would benefit from taking more points and asking deeper questions.

I didn't buy either advocacy.

Judge's Signature:

Bae

School/Affiliation:

Hired Judge

WSD

World Schools Debate	Sara Ruffin	
Round 6	Kerr 535B	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM
Motion: TH believes that schools should actively support ^{org} who attend		
TY ACE Debate	KA St. John's	"School strikes for Climate."

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Eli Ghandour		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 2 Name: Anne Ghandour		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Caleb Powell		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Eli Ghandour		
Content (12-16) 13	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 8
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 245

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Maria Cheng		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 2 Name: Diana Yu		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Madeline Ou		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Dian Yu		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 6
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 244

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TY

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments: Every speaker used all allotted time! great debate.

Overall, Prop was able to Perm the counter model + increase student engagement/pol. activism. OPP's best point about the impacts on students in authoritarian regimes was not extended/developed. the "demand" vs. "take" definition + the Friday vs. Fridays debate were inconsequential to the impacts in the round. VERY CLOSE DECISION. Prop was more consistent in garnering + impacts while diminishing stated harms of OPP's case

Judge's Signature:

Sara Ruffin

School/Affiliation:

Kingwood

WSD

World Schools Debate	Amberley Tanner	
Round 6	Kerr 412	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM
Motion: This House Believes that schools should actively support students who attend school strikes for climate		
OT Central	HY Titans of Talk	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Amani Hussein		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 2 Name: Chris Dunn		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Brandon Falcon		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Chris Dunn		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 252

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Regina Davis		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 2 Name: Seth Smith		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 3 Name: Jastin McGahan		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Reply Name: Seth Smith		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 244

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Opp made a few arguments that countered their own
case and didn't give any reasons to prefer squad to prop world

Judge's Signature:

[Signature]

School/Affiliation:

WSD

World Schools Debate	Ethan Eyre	
Round 6	Kerr 200	Fri 03/15/19 08:00PM
Motion: <u>School Stakes For Climate Change</u>		
TX Greenhill Green	GN Scooby Snacks	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Caroline G.</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Amy S.</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>73</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Cameron C.</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>74</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Caroline G.</u>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>37</u>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 256

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Jacob V.</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>73</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Pippa P.</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>73</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Raul D.</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Jacob V.</u>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>36</u>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 254

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TX Greenhill Green REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

- CG - some clutter. There isn't a large amount of content in the speech. ~~PP~~ Your team deploys a very clean strategy in using points and clapping
- AS - you sound condescending at times, but your speech is otherwise very effective
- PP - good job ~~with~~ keeping composure during them pressuring their points, but I would've liked you to answer more
- CC - your speech is a nice change of pace and works well for your persuasive strategy
- PP -
- JV - very good reply, close to the best it could've been given the previous speeches
- CG - a well-structured speech, good persuasiveness

Judge's Signature:



School/Affiliation:

Contract / Ut Debate

P
Lecture gen.

School role

allows them
to take control

better policy makers

challenge

1) ask for

2) more involved

Parents
not happy
long

Q

Challenges

withing out
is attractive
aspect

it won't
stop after
one day

may become
better activists

have to appeal
to policymakers

protests
effective

can support
to taking
active role

discussion? strike

idea passion

idea → progress

strikes → edu.
not too much sacrifice

they need edu.
Exceed the pty

→ they can do
now w/o full
education

no reason they're
not edu.
edu means
better efforts
to create
change

and clear
if they don't
happen (parents)

THE limit on advocacy

"should do now" → but it doesn't
change + no

Opp. not UA

not to target
but that can
help
→ effective
movement

one day doesn't
matter

School is a site of
actionism

definition debate not relevant
→ this function the same way

"lag. change"

it happens... we supplement it

attitude might