

WSD

World Schools Debate	Walker Perkins	
Round 5	Kerr 200	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion:		
GN Queenwood	TX Greenhill Green	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Darion Mcraus</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>30</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>75</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Emily Grantham</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>28</i>	Style (24-32) <i>26</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>15</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Leila Sacklon</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>26</i>	Style (24-32) <i>29</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>15</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Emily Grantham</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>15</i>	Style (12-16) <i>14</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>6</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>37</i>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <i>255</i>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Jophie Gupta</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>26</i>	Style (24-32) <i>26</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>16*</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Amy S.</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>27</i>	Style (24-32) <i>25</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>66</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Camron Kethles</i>		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 66 <i>69</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Jophie Gupta</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>14</i>	Style (12-16) <i>15</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>7</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>36</i>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <i>239</i>		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Prop does an excellent job of big picture projection and critical analysis of rebuttal.

Opp constructive underdeveloped — mostly straight ret, gives few tools to win the round

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

*Take more points
Xposed areas*

Very strong analysis and expansion of minority warrants

Current Analysis of Opp Building

*took P.O.T earlier
very little unique constructive
Defend Attack Round Map*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Victor Nava	
Round 5	Kerr 540	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion: This house opposes the portrayal of criminal lifestyles as "cool" in popular entertainment.		
TY ACE Debate	OT Central	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

7:53

Speaker 1 Name: Eli Ghandour

Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>69</u>		

7:30

Speaker 2 Name: Anne Ghandour

Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>70</u>		

7:44

Speaker 3 Name: Caleb Powell

Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>70</u>		

4:00

Reply Name: Eli Ghandour

Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>35</u>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 244

Opposition Speakers

7:21

Speaker 1 Name: Amani Hussein

Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>67</u>		

8:00

Speaker 2 Name: Chris Dunn

Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		

6:13

Speaker 3 Name: Brandon Falcon

Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>67</u>		

4:00

Reply Name: Chris Dunn

Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>34</u>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 240

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TY ACE Debate

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

This was actually a very evenly matched round and it was a bit difficult to really decide who stood out. There is so much potential here and I know you guys will be AMAZING with a bit more practice! "Ultimately, I feel that the easy access of "criminal entertainment" on television is problematic and feel that guidelines are needed for expression and don't otherwise."

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

TJWSD

BEST OF LUCK TO BOTH TEAMS.

6:13

so much potential for unique style keep practicing

4:00

Gave to speaker 3 high point

WSD

World Schools Debate	Heather Wallace	
Round 5	Kerr 531	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion: <i>This house opposes the portrayal of criminal lifestyles as "cool" in popular entertainment</i>		
FF Slake N' Bake	KS Churchill ToonSquad	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Ameera Hussain</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>27</i>	Style (24-32) <i>28</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Reagan Larimer</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>26</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Alex Ariz</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>28</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>15</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Reagan Larimer</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>15</i>	Style (12-16) <i>14</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>6</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Yanez-Torres, Francesca</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>29</i>	Style (24-32) <i>28</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>15</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Barbara Harper</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>30</i>	Style (24-32) <i>27</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Lacey Will</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>31</i>	Style (24-32) <i>29</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>15</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>75</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Yanez-Torres, Francesca</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>15</i>	Style (12-16) <i>14</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>7</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>36</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS:

245

OPP TOTAL POINTS:

254

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

KS

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

RFD verbally provided flaw results in over narrowing of motion through 1) minors only 2) 1 person over mass attached to continuity fault in sins don't count but framework attached to morality of criminalization. Be sure to stay on motion. Avoid looking at one another in POI.

Judge's Signature:

[Signature]

School/Affiliation:

Pampa HS

*Great Debate
Good luck to all*

Saad, Muhammad

SAAD, MUHAMMAD

2019 TFA State Tournament

WSD

World Schools Debate	Muhammad Saad	
Round 5	Kerr 430	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion: ^{The house opposes the} The house opposes the portrayal of criminal lifestyles as "cool" in popular entertainment.		
GN Scooby Snacks	HC Northwest Red	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: J. Vasquez		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) ^{MS} 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 2 Name: P. Powers		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: R. Diaz		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) ^{MS} 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: J. Vasquez		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 252

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: James Grice		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Randon Grimm		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Justin Barnes		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) ^{MS} 29	Strategy (12-16) ^{MS} 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 74 74		
Reply Name: Randon Grimm		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 251

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE GN

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Prop wins b/c they prove that ~~criminals are not~~ victims, such as women, are dehumanized by portraying criminal lifestyle as cool.

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

WSD

World Schools Debate	Brijan Kana	
Round 5	Kerr 450	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion: This House opposes the portrayal of criminal lifestyles as "cool" in popular entertainment.		
HY Titans of Talk	TN Falcons	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Alexis Morris		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 64		
Speaker 2 Name: Seth Smith		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 65		
Speaker 3 Name: Tastein McGahan		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 63		
Reply Name: Seth Smith		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 227.

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Barbella		
Content (24-32) 31	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 2 Name: Halapeti		
Content (24-32) 31	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Speaker 3 Name: Dave		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Halapeti		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 284.

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TN Falcons REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

RFD: I think the prop misconstrues the topic & debates a lot of unnecessary details, thus missing the big picture.

Judge's Signature:

Baa

School/Affiliation:

Hired Judge.

WSD

World Schools Debate	Jacek Stachowiak	
Round 5	Kerr 412	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion: <i>TH opposes the portrayal of criminal lifestyles as "cool" in popular entertainment.</i>		
ON Benton	OT Bobcats	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Daya Butler</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Aamil Farishita</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Arish Bawani</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Aamil Farishita</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>34</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *238***Opposition Speakers**

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Nina Castro</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>67</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Gabriel Lindsay</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Nyles Russworm</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Nina Castro</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>33</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *237*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Definition of word "cool" - opp needs to argue the motion.
Opp- please engage with arguments not examples.
Prop -> drugs, murder, violence - being glorified is bad for adults and teens

Prop wins on definition and influence on actors

Judge's Signature:



School/Affiliation:

GH

WSD

World Schools Debate	Sean Kluver	
Round 5	Kerr 107	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion: This House Opposes the portrayal of criminal lifestyles as "cool" in popular culture		
GO Grand Oaks	CT LV Blue	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Cole Alibrio		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 2 Name: Hadley Adkison		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Speaker 3 Name: Suzanna Ahmed		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 67		
Reply Name: Hadley Adkison		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: 246		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Andylian Burchett		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Finn Burmeister-Morton		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 3 Name: Justin Goosman		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Finn Burmeister-Morton		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: 280		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Speaker 2 prop - Excellent speaker, work on reactions

LGBT + Feminism argument from Opp was very strong

↑

Prop responses to this argument were very weak

Judge's Signature: *Ann [signature]*

School/Affiliation:

WSD

World Schools Debate	Ria Varma	
Round 5	Kerr 411	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion: <u>Oppose the portrayal of criminal lifestyles as "cool" (in entertainment)</u>		
PA Grapevine Red	FF Southlake Sapphire	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Avinash S.</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>29</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>71</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Nathan Gardner</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>28</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>70</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Jane Mural</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>29</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>71</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Nathan Gardner</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>15</u>	Style (12-16) <u>14</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>7</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>36</u>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 248

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Adramy Falla</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>29</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>15</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Amar Bhatia</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>28</u>	Style (24-32) <u>27</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>69</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Sanjay Shori</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>29</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>71</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Amar Bhatia</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>15</u>	Style (12-16) <u>15</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>7</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>37</u>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 249

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

PROP:
(Speaker 1) good, clear speaking style/speed
(Speaker 2) watch your finicky breaks

OPP: good definitions
(Speaker 2) keep gestures tight
- Don't verbally say "no"
- stay professional.
(Speaker 3) stay in one place
good speaking style. (watch finicky breaks)

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation: Hired

WSD

World Schools Debate	Caitlin Miller	
Round 5	Kerr 550	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion: opposes the portrayal of criminal lifestyles as "cool" in popular entertainment		
KA St. John's	FF Southlake Saffron	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Olivia Doan		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 2 Name: Madeline Ou		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 3 Name: Maria Cheng		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Reply Name: Madeline Ou		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 238

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Saaketh Chennaisheeri		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Rishik Betti		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Aakash Kurapati		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Rishik Betti		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 34		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 244

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

- make the organization of your case clear
- arguments are not specific to US unless specifically stated in motion; must be considered in world view
- work on not stumbling over words often
- don't focus so much on definitions; focus on general arguments
- * review norms/framework for event
- ↳ this isn't LD

Judge's Signature: *Caitlin Miller*School/Affiliation: *Contract*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Hjordis Scranton	
Round 5	Kerr 302	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion:		
SP Yellow City Red	CS AbiHaus	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Pablo Rogel		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 65		
Speaker 2 Name: Joshua Howell		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 66		
Speaker 3 Name: Dolores Heredia		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 64		
Reply Name: Joshua Howell		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 231

Opposition Speakers

Opposition Speakers		
Speaker 1 Name: Sonia Liu		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 63		
Speaker 2 Name: Brundeth Rodriguez		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 63		
Speaker 3 Name: Christian Medina		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 63		
Reply Name: Sonia Liu		
Content (12-16) 13	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: ~~223~~ 222 Tab

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION ☒ OPPOSITION

Comments:

Content was good, but would have like a bit more. Watch your transitions, very engaging and well thought out. Dolores don't let your nerves show. Good job guys.

don't get distracted. Keep your "eye on the prize". try not to spin to far off the main target. Content could have been a little more simplified, but was full. don't get nervous, you got this.

Judge's Signature:

Hjordis Scranton

School/Affiliation:

Good job!

WSD

World Schools Debate	Ethan Eyre	
Round 5	Kerr 333	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion: Criminals Being Cool		
CC Plano East Gold	TX Greenhill Gold	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Neel K.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 2 Name: Hari N.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 3 Name: Sanjana H.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Reply Name: Neel K.		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 250

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Ashay S.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 2 Name: Nicole S.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Speaker 3 Name: Christine U.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Nicole S.		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 256

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TX (Greenhill Gold) REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

NK - get rid of the speaking pattern for words, speak more quickly, and be a bit louder. It's an oration.
 AS - some shatters, but good style.
 HN - you break role/character when you acknowledge the judge and reference the mechanics of the round
 NS - you also reference mechanics like "this is *this* ass". Don't do that
 SH - great job maintaining composure
 CW - slow down... give a bit, also, you're treating this like a 2AR instead of an oration.

Judge's Signature:


School/Affiliation:

[Signature]

Contract / UH Debate

R

- 1) Video Games
- a) no cop no stop - CRAV
- b) demonization of violence



'Shooting b/c they don't have food'

c) Spitzer
Sicko
made

→ assumes people are just addicts

Violence
media

but this doesn't
help in movies
and such - you
can't prove it

O

- a) weak link
- b) Prison
- c) censorship
- d) 8a

tiny dots
↳ "cavalier
fact"

→ media
doesn't make
the distinction


yes, it's implicit
crim. depictions still
exist

a) criminalization
↳ r/c

b) What is criminal? → "distracting
crime"

Legality is
arbitrary

↳ "nuance"
overlooked



P trying to take O ground away
by saying "no implementation",
but who these depictions, these
things still exist meaning they're
not uniquely bad
"China movie ... wow that's cool"

ambiguity of morality overcomes
ambiguity of correlation
The depictions are different, but that
doesn't mean much difference

unreasonable vs.
laughable

WSD

World Schools Debate	Heather Goheen	
Round 5	Kerr 334	Fri 03/15/19 03:00PM
Motion: <u>Criminal / POP Culture</u>		
CK Coopertown	HY Centaurs	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Lock</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>30</u>	Style (24-32) <u>30</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>12</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Smith</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>30</u>	Style (24-32) <u>26</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>12</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>68</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Vega</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>24</u>	Style (24-32) <u>30</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>13</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>67</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Lock</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>13</u>	Style (12-16) <u>16</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>7</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>36</u>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 243

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Garland</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>30</u>	Style (24-32) <u>26</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>12</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>68</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Feltman</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>30</u>	Style (24-32) <u>30</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>74</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Shuerman</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>28</u>	Style (24-32) <u>29</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>13</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>70</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Feltman</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>16</u>	Style (12-16) <u>15</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>7</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>38</u>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 250

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE: HY

Comments:

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

Blame media for bad

PROP

2nd SPK doesn't free
ake POI's
3rd SPK said duty to
world to
change
we already oppose this,
so no change
Parkland / self blame
game
Parents don't fix
ISSUES
Pre-existing cond

OPP

Doesn't blame
media
blame's life
1st 65 SPK
Doesn't finish statement
before taking POI's
• Germans, Fkt, 1984, Juv
• Parents/Situation fixes
We already oppose this in
status quo, so no change,
doesn't need solvency
• free speech

Tough Round! This
round came to wording
in POI's & a bit of
confusion. The
PROP became
confused in
the 3rd speech
by saying →

Put self into
a corner.

There is already opposition. So we don't want to change.
However, they then say we are urging a change. It
was very flip floppy. The ~~group~~ ~~or~~ stayed true
to their ~~stance~~ stance. The opp also looked at
global examples, and had more to provide.
This was a tough round!