

WSD

World Schools Debate	Brijan Kana	
Round 4	Kerr 540	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion: This house supports a state income tax in Texas		
FF Slake N' Bake	CC Plano East Gold	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Ameera Hussain		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 2 Name: Abhi Vedire		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Speaker 3 Name: Arez Aziz		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Reply Name: Abhi Vedire		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 6
Reply Total Points (30-40): 34		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 250

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: RAHUL BENVENUTTA		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 2 Name: Hari Namdhari		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 75		
Speaker 3 Name: Sanjans Hirvath		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Reply Name: Hari Namdhari		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 256

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE CC P. East Gold

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Hussain → try other words besides poverty.

Rahul → debating ^{was} great, but you present like a ~~deb~~ policy / LD kid.

Abhi → good essay

Hari → you repeat "realize" a lot, which was kinda effective, but focus on finding alternative words to use.

Arez → manage your time to respond to your own 3Q's.

Judge's Signature:

Baa

School/Affiliation:

Hired Judge.

RFD: The proposition attempt to deconstruct the opp's offense, but in doing so sacrifice construction & strategy. ~~If opp had the~~ The opp's offense still stands at the end of round, so i vote opp.

NAVA, VICTOR

Tab verified w/ judge Greenhill Green

2019 TFA State Tournament

WSD

was winner in points

World Schools Debate	Victor Nava were filled out correctly.	
Round 4	Kerr 450	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion: This House supports a state income tax on Texas.		
FF Southlake Saffron (PROP)	TX Greenhill Green (OPP)	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

w Opposition
Proposition Speakersw Opposition
Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Cameron Kettles		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 2 Name: Caroline Greenstone		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 3 Name: Aimee Stachowiak		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Reply Name: Cameron Kettles		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

Speaker 1 Name: Aakash Kurapati		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Saaketh Chenniahgani		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 3 Name: Arishik Bethi		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Reply Name: Saaketh Chenniahgani		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

OPP PROP TOTAL POINTS: 252

PROP OPP TOTAL POINTS: 250

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TX

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Greenhill Green

This round was exceptional. All of you did an amazing job and made it very difficult to judge this round. Thanks for the entrance clash throughout the round. Even I believe that the current system is "stacked against the poor" (as the govt team says), I just couldn't see how a new state tax would suddenly inspire "equality". I do feel that the rich would have a way around and increase the inequality gap...

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

DVISD

WSD

World Schools Debate	Muhammad Saad	
Round 4	Kerr 412	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion: Texas State Income Tax		
FF Southlake Sapphire (Prop)		HY Titans of Talk (Opp)

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Adramy Fall		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70 ^{MS} 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Arnav Bhatia		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 3 Name: Sarjany Sarjany Shori		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Reply Name: Arnav Bhatia		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 15 ^{MS} 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36 ^{MS} 36		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 243

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Alexis Morris		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 65 ^{MS} 65		
Speaker 2 Name: Seth Smith		
Content (24-32) 20 20	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 3 Name: Justin Mcgahan		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Reply Name: Seth Smith		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 242

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE FF (Southlake Sapphire) REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

- heard was much closer than it needed to be bc there wasn't enough clash & logical warranting on some of the most important arguments
- quality of life was considered to be the utmost priority (framework), but cost of living was ^{an} ~~also~~ important factor
- Prop. won bc best chance to change the current 4.2 million impoverished & to help bring the inequality of taxes to a smaller gap

Judge's Signature: 

School/Affiliation: Contract

WSD

World Schools Debate	Nicholas Aranda	
Round 4	Kerr 413	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion: <i>Income Tax Texas</i>		
TX Greenhill Gold	<u>GN Queenwood</u>	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Ashley Shan</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Nicole Stachowiak</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Christine Wu</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Nicole Stachowiak</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>30</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *245*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Darian McCrory</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>60</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Emily Grantham</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>76</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Leila Saklou</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>75</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Emily Grantham</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>38</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *249*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE GN Queenwood REPRESENTING THE (circle one)PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Oral RFD given

*opp prays more long term harm to previous
 communities w/ flock to conservative politicians
 & increasing conservative power*

Judge's Signature: *Nicholas Aranda*School/Affiliation: *Regis University*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Dorota Stachowiak	
Round 4	Kerr 531	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion: <i>This House supports a state income tax in Texas</i>		
ON Benton	CX Bowie Black	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Daya Butler</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Aamil Farishta</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Arish Bawani</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Aamil Farishta</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *248*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Nick Van Lente</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Katie Golson</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>JD Burns</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Nick Van Lente</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>34</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *244*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *ON Benton* REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION ☐ OPPOSITION ☐

Comments: *Both teams agreed that helping the poor is their goal -> team opposition came up with creative alternate sources of state income (taxing on-line purchases) but then claimed that lawmakers don't focus on assisting the underprivileged. Prop side made strong arguments and examples for states with IT and better economies than the states without IT.*

Judge's Signature:

D. Stachowiak

School/Affiliation:

Greenhill

WSD

World Schools Debate	Amberley Tanner	
Round 4	Kerr 430	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion: TX Income Taxes		
CS AbiHaus	GN Scooby Snacks	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Brandon Rodriguez		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 2 Name: Sonya Liu		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 3 Name: Christian Medina		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Sonya Liu		
Content (12-16) 13	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 240

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Jacob Vasquez		
Content (24-32) 31	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Speaker 2 Name: Pipa Powers		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 31	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 75		
Speaker 3 Name: Raul Diaz		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Reply Name: Jacob Vasquez		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 259

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE GN Scooby Snacks

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Team Prop - you are telling us there are so many benefits, however you aren't truly giving me enough analysis or examples that these things would happen. Also, you use California a lot - did sales ^{prop} go down? where? how can you win on CBA? also don't let the opp control & break your flow w/ so many POI's. Team opp they don't have to show constitutionality far too large of a burden - but I am satisfied the opp gives me more analysis & depth to weigh out on CBA or just ice or fairness for opp to win, or just better for people.

Judge's Signature:

A. Jammer

School/Affiliation

Northwest

WSD

World Schools Debate	Kevin Khanna	
Round 4	Kerr 411	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion: <i>THS State income tax in Texas</i>		
KA St. John's	TN Falcons	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Maria Cheng</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Dian Yu</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i> 70		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Madeline Ou</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Dian Yu</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>34</i>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <i>243</i>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Barbella</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Halapeti</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>74</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Dave</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Halapeti</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>33</i>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <i>242</i>		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

☒ PROPOSITION ☐ OPPOSITION

Comments:

- Property taxes & inequality, especially in education hit harder on that.
- point out logic flaws.
- Turn ok/sig tax
- I mean... generalizing low income households is the point of this debate.
- "can't" look at major cities but you adv. util!
- you have to solve for every city? Really?
- impact on public transport onto poverty.
- Q2 - I needed that edu question 20 minutes ago.

Judge's Signature: *[Signature]*

- poverty/tax is correlation. This isn't out of your claim.
- diversity/women to Texas is correlation
- state taxes can go to medicine.
- property taxes may not take from poor but doesn't give them services.
- property taxes also makes rent P.
- poverty doesn't pay taxes.
- ~~the poor~~ The poor can't put the money toward their themselves. collective PPP better.
- Need Squo edu that your plan works.

School/Affiliation: *Hired*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Hjordis Scranton	
Round 4	Kerr 107	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion:		
CK Coopertown	OT Central	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Isaac Lock		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 67		
Speaker 2 Name: Katelynn Smith		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 63		
Speaker 3 Name: Jose Vega		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 63		
Reply Name: Isaac Lock		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 228

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Ammi Hufn		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 63		
Speaker 2 Name: Chris Bunn		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 67		
Speaker 3 Name: Brandon Fallon		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Reply Name: Chris Bunn		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 235

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

good opening
 full of content.

be careful not to get too rattled
 by the opposition. Make your points
 and move on, don't ramble
 on and on.

Judge's Signature:

Hjordis Scranton

take the power and run with it.
 good content, nice strategy and
 good style. A couple of times you
 opened the door and almost lost
 your steam. Engaging. Key concepts
 as it relates to reality. Good job!

School/Affiliation:

WSD

World Schools Debate	Ria Varma	
Round 4	Kerr 200	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion: Supports a (tax income tax in texas		
HC Northwest Red	SP Yellow City Red	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: James Grice		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 2 Name: Randon Grimm		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 3 Name: Justin Barnes		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Randon Grimm		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 248

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Andrew Lane		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 64		
Speaker 2 Name: Joshua Howell		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 66		
Speaker 3 Name: Pablo Rogel		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Reply Name: Joshua Howell		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 237

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE HC

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION ☒ OPPOSITION

Comments:

PROP:

All great speakers, great teamwork.
 SPEAKER 2: I can tell you're nervous,
 breath, stop swaying, & slow down.

OPP:

SPEAKER 1: Watch the finch break.
 - DO NOT take a PDI mid-sentence!
 - losing your place
 ↳ SPEAKER 2 did this and
 - good reply
 SPEAKER 3: swaying back and forth.
 - good, clear speaking style.
 - "I could be wrong" Personal reference ✓

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation: Hired

WSD

World Schools Debate	Caitlin Miller	
Round 4	Kerr 333	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion: Supports state income tax in Texas		
HY Centaurs	GO Grand Oaks	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Jet Petit		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 2 Name: Madalynn Feltman		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 3 Name: Lennart Schuermann		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Reply Name: Madalynn Feltman		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 239

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Colton Berckenhoff		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Hailey Adkison		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Noah Plasek		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Hailey Adkison		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 34		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 244

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE GO Grand Oaks REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Watch speaking speed
 Observations are not necessary in this still of debate
 Try to avoid stumbling over words frequently
 Don't ignore an argument your opponents make that negates half your case; at least mention some argument against it

Judge's Signature: Caitlin Miller

School/Affiliation: Contract

WSD

World Schools Debate	Becky Taylor	
Round 4	Kerr 302	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion: Supports TX state income tax		
OT Bobcats	CT LV Blue	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Gabba Undsay		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 2 Name: Nina Castro		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 67		
Speaker 3 Name: Mylos		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 31	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Gabba Undsay		
Content (12-16) 13	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 6
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 241

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Andylan Burchett		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Finn Burmeister		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Justin Goosman		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Finn Burmeister		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 6
Reply Total Points (30-40): 34		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 248

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION ☒ OPPOSITION

Comments:

Impressed by how well-spoken both teams are!

- Overall decision reasons: Opp was slightly more detailed in their content and elaborated with specifics in speech 2, 3 & 4, while Prop's arguments & rebuttals were a little more general in nature
 - Opp did better job of illustrating what TX would like if

Judge's Signature:

Becky Taylor

School/Affiliation:

None motion was in place.

Prop

Gabbie - thanks for taking time to read motion
- you clearly laid out your case; easy to follow

Nina - clear, dynamic voice
- nice extension of arguments

Myles - refreshing, conversational style, that allowed me to follow and absorb your points

Opp

Andy Ian - super personable delivery style; great idea to move to the center of the room for opening speech.

Finn - superb direct rebuttal given with confidence.

Justin - great summary that covered major points.

This was fun to watch!

Great job to all!

WSD

World Schools Debate	Ethan Eyre	
Round 4	Kerr 334	Fri 03/15/19 10:00AM
Motion: <i>State income tax Texas</i>		
TY ACE Debate	PA Grapevine Red	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>E. Ghandor</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>A. Ghandor</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>EE 69</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Carb P.</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Reply Name: <i>EE E. Ghandor</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *248*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Avinash S.</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Nathan G.</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Jake M.</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Nathan G.</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>37</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *253*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *PA* *Grapevine Red* REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

AG - project your voice more, you need more volume. The content of the speech seemed redundant toward the middle.

NG - the style was very persuasive, just work on the occasional stumbles. However, you should slow down slightly. Great answers to points. Pausing for emphasis and asking would improve your style appeal. Nice structure in the reply.

CP - great recall of Art 8. Don't use the phrase "links back in", it sounds like a P.F. round then.

JR - don't do back-to-back points immediately, leave some time between. Great pausing as far as speed goes, but it seemed a bit redundant toward the end.

EG - slow down - this isn't LD.

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

Contract / UT Debate

①

inc. TL, subd T

a) LTX - 8.25%
LTX is higher
on 2000

→ turn. they
increase
taxes more

b) prop.
too much
mortality,
breakdown

mitigation
then put against
Phar

→ no comm.

County burdens

unfunded mandates

EL/Phar

Prop. doesn't fix
it

→ income tax only goes
to edu., Art 8
→ 1. no prop. on

Property T?

relative to poor
people - it's fair

→ forced out of
house b/c realates

→ 2. relative
→ 3. treatment,
→ no income tax

Education

(Op)

Prop. Tax

Art. 8

- income tax
to edu.

→ Prop. tax

→ only secured ed,
nothing else in comm.

Econ.

Bus Attraction

State has smallest
negative impact

in towns

Big rainy day fund

→ State w/ IT?
RdF

lower living cost

→ poor ppl be

ST not on comm.