

WSD

World Schools Debate	Dorota Stachowiak	
Round 3	Kerr 531	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM
Motion: <i>This House Would ban private prisons.</i>		
OT Central	KA St. John's	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Amani Hussein</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Chris Dunn</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Brandon Falcon</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>67</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Chris Dunn</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS:

244

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Olivia Doan</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Dian Yu</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Madelaine On</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Dian Yu</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>36</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS:

247

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *KA St. John's* REPRESENTING THE (circle one)PROPOSITION ☒ OPPOSITION

Comments:

I voted for the opposition bc Proposition side did not offer a viable solution for the world after ban. Both teams agreed that overcrowding, corruption, and abuse exist in both worlds and agreed on upholding quality of life as deciding FW factor. Without a model in the Prop side it was hard to identify societal benefits of the ban (given that the horrors were extended in both worlds)

Judge's Signature:

D. Stachowiak

School/Affiliation:

Greenhill

WSD

World Schools Debate	Victor Nava	
Round 3	Kerr 450	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM
Motion: <i>This House would ban private prisons.</i>		
CT LV Blue	FF Southlake Sapphire	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Andyian Burchett</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Finn Burmeister</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Justin Goosman</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Finn Burmeister</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *243*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Adramy Fall</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Arnav Bhatia</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Sanjay Shori</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Arnav Bhatia</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>36</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *244*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *FF* *Southlake Sapphire*
 Comments:

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION ☒ OPPOSITION

Both teams are great and on their way to being AMAZING! I just couldn't see the separation in inhumane living conditions that the proposition was trying to portray. I also feel that we saw some great answers by the proposition but that they weren't introduced until the reply (too late).

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation: *DVUSD*

7:48
7:42
7:48
4:00
There is so much potential! Best of luck to both teams!

7:56
7:58
7:59
4:00

WSD

World Schools Debate	Brijan Kana	
Round 3	Kerr 302	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM
Motion: <i>This House Would Ban Private Prisons</i>		
GO Grand Oaks	ON Benton	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Cole Albrio</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>31</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>75</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Hadley Adkison</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>29</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Noah Plasek</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>29</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>15</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>74</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Hadley Adkison</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>15</i>	Style (12-16) <i>15</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>7</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>37</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *259*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Daya Butler</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>29</i>	Style (24-32) <i>28</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Aamil Farishita</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>30</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Anish Bawani</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>25</i>	Style (24-32) <i>26</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>65</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Aamil Farishita</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>13</i>	Style (12-16) <i>14</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>6</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>33</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *241*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *GO* Grand Oaks REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

I think The prop should have used a model (wss version of a plan).

RFD: although both teams made some strategic blunders,
 team opp largely misconstructs thier own arguments,
 which means I can't extend the impacts.

Judge's Signature: *[Signature]*

School/Affiliation: *Hired Judge.*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Ethan Eyre	
Round 3	Kerr 540	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM
Motion: <i>This house would ban private prisons</i>		
HY Titans of Talk	CK Coopertown	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Alexis Morris</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Seth Smith</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i> <i>EE</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Justin McInnis</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Seth Smith</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>36</i>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <i>243</i>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Isaac Locke</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Katelyn Smith</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>66</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Jose Mecca</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>67</i> <i>EE</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Isaac Locke</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>34</i>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <i>239</i>		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE HY Titans of Talk REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

- AM - concentration camps were a stretch. Refine your presentation. Your sentences trail off at times, i.e. "and... yeah". The delivery was awkward at times, like you weren't comfortable. Don't answer a POE with a question. You don't have to answer all.
- IL - clean road map. You need some inflection and volume. The speech was mostly clean, but needed energy. Good answer.
- Don't treat the p.p.p. as a "case".
- SS - don't directly the judge. Your words merge together sometimes - practice enunciation. You can wave off some POEs. Calm down, don't be so easily flustered.
- KS - practice thinking on your feet and calm your breathing. Don't be flustered in questioning. You took way too long answering that POE.
- Don't read from a paper.
- JM - Don't try to do math like that. Not a good look. Don't talk back and forth. Don't take so many POEs.
- JM - You need more energy.
- Judge's Signature: *HY* Your speech is confusing

School/Affiliation:

Contract / UH Debate

WSD

World Schools Debate		Nicholas Aranda	
Round 3	Kerr 200	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM	
Motion:			
GN Queenwood		TY ACE Debate	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>McCrory</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>29</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>13</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>70</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Grantham</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>30</u>	Style (24-32) <u>29</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>73</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Sakles</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>30</u>	Style (24-32) <u>32</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>13</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>75</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Grantham</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>13</u>	Style (12-16) <u>15</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>7</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>35</u>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 253

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Ghandour, A</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>24</u>	Style (24-32) <u>27</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>13</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>66</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Powell</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>27</u>	Style (24-32) <u>27</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>68</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Ghandour, E</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>27</u>	Style (24-32) <u>24</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>13</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>66</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Pull</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>12</u>	Style (12-16) <u>14</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>6</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>32</u>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 232

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments: Opp asked 8 Pol's during 2nd prop speech

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

Southlake

WSD

World Schools Debate	Muhammad Saad	
Round 3	Kerr 430	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM
Motion: <i>This house would ban private prisons.</i>		
TX Greenhill Green (Proposition)		FF Slake N' Bake (Opposition)

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Caroline Greenstone</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>29</i>	Style (24-32) <i>29</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Josthi Gupta</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>30</i>	Style (24-32) <i>29</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>13</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Amica Stachowiak</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>31</i>	Style (24-32) <i>31</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>15</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>77</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Caroline Greenstone</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>15</i>	Style (12-16) <i>15</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>8</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>38</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *259*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Ameera Hussain</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>28</i>	Style (24-32) <i>28</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Reugen Larimer</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>29</i>	Style (24-32) <i>28</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>13</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Abhi Vedire</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>30</i>	Style (24-32) <i>31</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>75</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Reugen Larimer</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>15</i>	Style (12-16) <i>14</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>7</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>36</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *251*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *TX (Greenhill team)* REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Proposition won debate by proving that the standards of private prisons were (given by opposition) was idealized. Furthermore, show that the fact that for-profit entities were responsible for these prisons was itself inherently bad.

Judge's Signature: *[Signature]*

School/Affiliation: *Contract*

WSD

World Schools Debate		Hjordis Scranton	
Round 3		Kerr 334	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM
Motion:			
CX Bowie Black		HC Northwest Red	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Elliott Frank		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 63		
Speaker 2 Name: JD Burns		
Content (24-32) 25	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 64		
Speaker 3 Name: Nick Van Lente		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Reply Name: JD Burns		
Content (12-16) 13	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 229

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: James Grice		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 2 Name: Randon Grimm		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Justin Barnes		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 65		
Reply Name: Randon Grimm		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 238

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Informative
 well spoken
 good facts
 nice style

Full of content
 good strategy
 would like to see a little
 more passion

Judge's Signature: Hjordis Scranton School/Affiliation:

WSD

World Schools Debate		Sean Kluver	
Round 3	Kerr 411	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM	
Motion: <i>This House Would Ban Private Prisons</i>			
TN Falcons		FF Southlake Saffron	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Barbella</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Halapeti</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Dave</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Halapeti</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>32</i>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <i>251</i>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Chenniahgani</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>74</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Bethi</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Kurapatti</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Bethi</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>38</i>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <i>256</i>		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Close debate

prop needs to respond to rebuttals better

Very good job prop 2nd speaker and opp 1st

Judge's Signature:

Am [Signature]

School/Affiliation:

WSD

World Schools Debate	Kevin Khanna	
Round 3	Kerr 333	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM
Motion: <i>This house would ban private prisons.</i>		
GN Scooby Snacks	OT Bobcats	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>J. Vasquez</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>P. POWERS</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>KK 77</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>R. DIAZ</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Reply Name: <i>J. Vasquez</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *257*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Brianna Aguilar</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>66</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Gracie Lindsay</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Myles Russworm</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Gracie Lindsay</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>34</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *242*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

- Good POI's.
- P2 - great speech.
- Good team points.
- You call criminals "rapists/murders" but seem to advocate a pro-human rights for all including prisoners. So it seems it would be a social duty in your world too. You back rehab too.
- P3: way to in-depth on some issues.

Judge's Signature: *[Signature]*

- good already gives tax \$ to PP.

- clear POI's.

- Don't really need funding mechanisms.

- Alcatraz was a federal prison.

- Make the overflow of prisons a HRV, turn it into a go far.

- They can't give you stats as you have no cards cuz it's impractical.

- Asking more points

- S.M doesn't tell me anything.

School/Affiliation: *Hilled*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Sara Ruffin	
Round 3	Kerr 107	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM
Motion: <u>It would ban private prisons</u>		
CC Plano East Gold	HY Centaurs	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Sanjana Hiramath</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>28</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>70</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Hari Nandhari</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>27</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>69</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Neel Karamangala</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>28</u>	Style (24-32) <u>29</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>71</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Hari Nandhari</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>14</u>	Style (12-16) <u>15</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>7</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>36</u>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 246

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Nathan Garland</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>24</u>	Style (24-32) <u>24</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>13</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>61</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Madalynn Feltman</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>26</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>68</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Lennart Schuermann</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>27</u>	Style (24-32) <u>25</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>66</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Madalynn Feltman</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>13</u>	Style (12-16) <u>14</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>7</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>34</u>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 229

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE CC

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

observing members:
 avoid cheeky facial
 expressions.

top solved for ban
 of private prisons. opp
 disregards the harm
 in the SQ.

In round, both sides need
 to improve their framing.
 Too much back + forth
 on regulating v. abolishing.
 Both sides are trying
 to claim redirecting of
 funds!

avoid gratuitous knocking! you owe due it, opp.

Don't talk @ your opponents.
 Address the judge.

Stay engaged throughout the
 round!

Don't walk around.

Judge's Signature:

SRR

off: Do not advocate
 for HR abuses
 because they are
 criminal all -

School/Affiliation:

Kingwood

WSD

World Schools Debate	Caitlin Miller	
Round 3	Kerr 412	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM
Motion: <i>ban private prisons</i>		
KS Churchill ToonSquad	CS AbiHaus	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Yanez-Torres, Francesca</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Petra Pocack</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Lacey Lewis</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Yanez-Torres, Francesca</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>33</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *243*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Sonia Liu</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Bruden Rodriguez</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Christian Medina</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Sonia Liu</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>34.5</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *248*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *CS AbiHaus* REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

*Try to avoid reading directly from paper
Work on not stumbling over words & having thoughts more clearly organized
Observations aren't applicable to this style of debate
Roadmaps are not necessary*

Judge's Signature: *Caitlin Miller*

School/Affiliation: *Contract*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Becky Taylor	
Round 3	Kerr 550	Thu 03/14/19 05:30PM
Motion: Ban private prisons		
PA Grapevine Red	TX Greenhill Gold	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Avinash S		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 66		
Speaker 2 Name: Nathan Garner		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Jane Murdan		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Nathan Garner		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 34		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 242

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Ashley Shan		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 67		
Speaker 2 Name: Nicole Stachowiak		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Christine Wu		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Reply Name: Nicole Stachowiak		
Content (12-16) 13	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 243

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments: Both teams had great teamwork.

Extremely close round... (truly) but the deciding factor for me was that opposition did slightly better job of illustrating what the world would look like if this motion was in place. Although felt that proposition's reply was slightly stronger.

Judge's Signature: Becky Taylor School/Affiliation: none

Prop

~~Atal~~ Avinash - conversational
style that was easy to follow
- clearly outlined case

Nathan - excellent extemp
style that seemed effortless
- great job in selecting
most important arguments to
respond to.
- solid recap!

Jake - outstanding responses
to POIs... very smooth and
demonstrated quick thinking
on your feet.

Fantastic job,
Everyone.

This was fun to
watch! 😊

Opp

Ashley
- clearly outlined
burden of the prop

Nicole
- great direct challenge
to prop side
- persuasive language
- good extemp style
- brought debate back to
the heart of the motion.

Christine
- appreciate your
passion
- engaging speaking
style
- nice strategy of
asking questions to
emphasize most
important points

- just a note: try to
find ways to address
POIs during the speech
instead of waiting to
the end to see if there
are POIs.