

WSD

World Schools Debate	Muhammad Saad	
Round 2	Kerr 531	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM
Motion: Congressional Term Limits		
KA St. John's (Opposition)		GN Queenwood (Opposition)

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Olivia Doan		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 66		
Speaker 2 Name: Madelin Ou		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Maria Chang		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Reply Name: Madelin Ou		
Content (12-16) 13	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 238

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Darian McCray		
Content (24-32) 31	Style (24-32) 31	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 77		
Speaker 2 Name: Emily Grantham		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 31	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 76		
Speaker 3 Name: Leila Saklaw		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 75		
Reply Name: Emily Grantham		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 8
Reply Total Points (30-40): 38		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 266

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE GN (Queenwood) REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Opposition ~~was very strong~~ (too many POIs)
- really great job at answering questions and doing analysis

Proposition
- need to warrant your claims and ask more questions

Opposition wins b/c they prove that being able to vote for a candidate who represents your ideals well is a crucial part of democracy. Also show that there is an incentive in lobbying w/ term limits.

Judge's Signature: 

School/Affiliation: Contract

WSD

World Schools Debate	Nicholas Aranda	
Round 2	Kerr 550	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM
Motion: <i>THS Congressional term back</i>		
FF Southlake Saffron	GN Scooby Snacks	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Aarav Mohsin</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Saaketh Chennaiya</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>75</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Rishik Bhat</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>74</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Saaketh</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>40</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *262*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Duncan</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Vasquez</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>74</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Diaz</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Vasquez</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *249*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *FF Southlake Saffron* REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

I vote for the proposition: 1) Representation, 2) decrease corruption & 3) better rules

OPal RFP given

Judge's Signature: *Nicholas Aranda*

School/Affiliation: *Regis University Atlanta*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Victor Nava	
Round 2	Kerr 302	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM
Motion: <i>This House supports congressional term limits.</i>		
CS AbiHaus	OT Bobcats	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Brandon Rodriguez</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>66</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Sonia Liu</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Christian Medina</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>66</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Sonia Liu</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>68 33</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS:

226 233

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Nina Castro</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>66</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Grabbie Lindsay</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Myles Russoum</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Nina Castro</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>68 33</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS:

221 236

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *OT Bobcats* REPRESENTING THE (circle one)PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Great effort on both sides of the motion! This debate had much potential and I know you'll all get there with time and practice! Biggest advice for PROP is watch the Pol's and work on presentation, biggest advice for OPP is that the PROP does NOT need to provide a "plan" (so think of a different strategy).

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

DVUSD

BEST OF LUCK TO YOU!

WSD

World Schools Debate	Kevin Khanna	
Round 2	Kerr 430	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM
Motion: <i>THS Congressional term limits</i>		
SP Yellow City Red	TX Greenhill Gold	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Pablo Rogel</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i> <i>KK</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Joshua Howell</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i> <i>KK</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Andrew Lane</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>67</i> <i>203</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Joshua Howell</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <i>238</i>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Ashley Shan</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>74</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Nicole Stachowiak</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>76</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Christine Wu</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>76</i> <i>228</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Nicole Stachowiak</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i> <i>KK</i>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <i>261</i> <i>KK</i>		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments: *-P1 lot of repetition.*
-P1. 6:10 speech.
-The council does not run Mexico
-Ask more POI's.
-P3 only used 5 min. Plus out args if you run out of things to do.
-weak

-very good POI's vs P1.
-good speaking for O1.
-good POI responses O2
*-Congress is not in charge of FP. *Redesigned AP in 1900 not now**
-weak defences President/congressional dir.
-O3 fast for US. (just a little)
-less jargon O3.

Judge's Signature *[Signature]*School/Affiliation: *Hired.*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Caitlin Miller	
Round 2	Kerr 107	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM
Motion: <i>Congressional term limits</i>		
FF Slake N' Bake	HY Titans of Talk	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Are2 Aziz</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Nathaniel Stelling</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Abbi Vesire</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Nathaniel Stelling</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>33</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *245*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Alexis Morris</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Seth Smith</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Jastein McGaken</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Reply Name: <i>Seth Smith</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>32</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *244*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

*The opp didn't spend enough time building their arguments
work on not using classic debate terms
watch speaking speed*

Judge's Signature: *Caitlin Miller*

School/Affiliation: *Contract*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Ethan Eyre	
Round 2	Kerr 333	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM
Motion: This House Supports Congressional Term Limits		
ON Benton	CT LV Blue	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Daya Butler		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 2 Name: Aamil Farishta		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 67		
Speaker 3 Name: Arish Bavani		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Reply Name: Aamil Farishta		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 239

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Andylian Burchett		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Finn Burmister		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Justin Grossman		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Finn Burmister		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 249

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE CT LV Blue

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

- DB - you only used 5 min. + refine speech and add pathos + emphasis, you asked a POI in protected time
- AB - you treat your speech too much like a SVC in a debater round. Spillover not well articulated in POI
- AF - Have more energy in your speech, it makes it much more persuasive. Answer to POI is long winded. Use off some POIs. You don't have to do line-by-line. Eye movement. Don't break role.
- FB - you really need to slow down in WSD. A lot. Don't treat it like a CX rebuttal. Once, don't spread them out. You spend too much time on POIs
- AB - Would've liked a more persuasive ~~style~~ style.
- JA - you sound like you want to spread. Good analysis of the eq, but do it in a way proper for the debate format. The speech became redundant

Judge's Signature: 

School/Affiliation: Contract / UH Debate

WSD

World Schools Debate	Heather Goheen (*SP)	
Round 2	Kerr 450	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM
Motion: Congress / Term Limits		
HC Northwest Red	TY ACE Debate	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Grice		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 31	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Grimm		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 32	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 3 Name: Barnes		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Reply Name: Grimm		
Content (12-16) 13	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33		

PROP TOTAL POINTS:

247

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Powell		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 32	Strategy (12-16) 12
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: B. Ghandour		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 24	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 67		
Speaker 3 Name: A. Ghandour		
Content (24-32) 31	Style (24-32) 32	Strategy (12-16) 12
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 75		
Reply Name: Powell		
Content (12-16) 16	Style (12-16) 10	Strategy (6-8) 6
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		

OPP TOTAL POINTS:

249

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

The PRO vs. OPP

- Only USA base
- More educated on Govt.
- Theory - Cortez / Warren
- Scattered
- World view
- Parliament
- Examples

Judge's Signature:

Heather Goheen

• organized

FFD => The OPP
 focused on the world
 as well as provided
 adequate examples.
 Such a good
 close round!

Franc
 Tru
 Phil

School/Affiliation:

Tuscola HS

WSD

World Schools Debate		Sean Kluver	
Round 2	Kerr 200	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM	
Motion: The House Supports Congressional term limits			
HY Centaurs		KS Churchill ToonSquad	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Yanez-Torres, Francesca		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 2 Name: Petra Pocock		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 66		
Speaker 3 Name: Lacey Lewis		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Reply Name: Yanez-Torres, Francesca		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 34		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 240

PR Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Nathan Garland		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 66		
Speaker 2 Name: Maddalynn Fettman		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 31	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 3 Name: Lennart Schueman		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Reply Name: Maddalynn Fettman		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 36		

PR OPP TOTAL POINTS: 243

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments: gave comments orally

Judge's Signature: *Rene*

School/Affiliation: Churchill

WSD

World Schools Debate	Audra Langston (*GO,GN)	
Round 2	Kerr 540	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM
Motion: <u>Congressional Term Limits</u>		
OT Central	TN Falcons	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Amani Hussein</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32) <u>great style</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>62</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>62</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Chris Dunn</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>65</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Brandon Falcon</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>64</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Chris Dunn</u>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>32</u>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <u>223</u>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Barbella</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>70</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Dev Halapeti</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Dave</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>71</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Halapeti</u>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>34</u>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <u>247</u>		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TN Falcons REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Congrats on State! The opposition dominated this round in all areas most importantly the heart of the motion
 prop-needs more engagement as a team
 & development of your content
 and work on style (don't give verbal "sitdowns")
 *lots of oral comments given

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

M. Lozano

Grand Oaks

Use your time - this speech should not be 4 min

Beautiful job!

WSD

World Schools Debate	Heather Wallace (*HY)	
Round 2	Kerr 411	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM
Motion: <u>Congressional Term Limits</u>		
PA Grapevine Red	GO Grand Oaks	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Avinash Srinivasan</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
<u>29</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>14</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>70</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Nathan Gardner</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
<u>30</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>14</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>74</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Jake Murdock</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
<u>28</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>15</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>70</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Nathan Gardner</u>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
<u>14</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>7</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>37</u>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 251

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>ALIBRIO</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>15</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>ADKISON</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
<u>29</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>14</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>71</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>PLASEK</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
<u>27</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>14</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>66</u>		
Reply Name: <u>ADKISON</u>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>7</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>36</u>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 245

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE PA REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION ~~OPPOSITION~~

Comments:

Grapevine
 Excellent use of persuasive technique + rhetorical device, engagement on the app - The prop needs to work on engagement; however, the RFD comes down to the final verification of time used spent on policy making + representation over resolution + seniority. Diversity stems from voice.

Judge's Signature:

[Signature]

School/Affiliation:

Pampa ISD

WSD

World Schools Debate	Jordyn Kuehn (*FF)	
Round 2	Kerr 334	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM
Motion:		
CX Bowie Black <i>pro</i>	CK Coopertown <i>con</i>	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Katie Golsen</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>27</i>	Style (24-32) <i>29</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>12</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>JD Burns</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>26</i>	Style (24-32) <i>27</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>12</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>65</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Nick Valente</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>23</i>	Style (24-32) <i>27</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>12</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>66</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Golsen</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>14</i>	Style (12-16) <i>14</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>6</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *234*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Isaac Look</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>26</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>12</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Angela Smith</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>27</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>12</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Jose Veez</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>26</i>	Style (24-32) <i>27</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>12</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>65</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Look</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>14</i>	Style (12-16) <i>13</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>7</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *237*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments: *JD Burns speech was way under time*

prop please be respectful of protected time

opp don't walk in during a speech

prop no new info in reply

opp - be respectful when opponents are speaking

Both teams failed to really get into the issues; some levels arguments at best when attacking opponents cases.

but opp did try to take a good perspective + props attacks came a bit late

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

Southlake

WSD

World Schools Debate	Jane Boyd (*PA)	
Round 2	Kerr 412	Thu 03/14/19 12:00PM
Motion: TH Congressional terms limits		
CC Plano East Gold	TX Greenhill Green	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>SPENCER Long-car</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Hari Namdhari</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Sanjana Hirenath</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Hari Namdhari</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>33</i>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <i>243</i>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Aimee Stachowiak</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Jothi Gupta</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Cameron Kettles</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Aimee Stachowiak</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <i>247</i>		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

TX GH Gold

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

SPENCER - LOUDER - NO NEED to define words in Resolution. I say "just a minute" Careful & to feed the other side

Aimee - Great Voice - watch some cake phrase "like overall"

Hari - STOP saying "brief on time Roadmap"

Hari - good voice - But "what is Jerry's name"

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

TH Cong term Limits

(over)

is this - much better

Very good details

The app. did a great job in
"stealing" the Prof Examples. The

3 Carolina example in offering
T/ of group Case.

- Plano East

Surfs - clouds

So - you are very good

- GH

outstanding. Waka (AT) catch
phrase "overall" at the
end of sentences. Seems
unnecessary