

WSD

World Schools Debate	Kevin Khanna	
Round 1	Kerr 631	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion: THR THR P Corporate sponsorship of sports		
FF Southlake Sapphire	OT Central	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Adramy Fall		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70 72		
Speaker 2 Name: Niyati Goswami		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 3 Name: Sanjay Shori		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Niyati Goswami		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 246

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Amani Hussein		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Chris Bunn		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Speaker 3 Name: Brandon Falcon		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Reply Name: Chris Bunn		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 252

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

- magnitude can't win us all the time.
- longer speeches. The 2P should have sit down with a min left
 - ad evidence (targeting) probably your best offence.
 - 13 more work on weighing. outweigh individual 4igs.
 - I think if you went into the crowd more it would help.
 - Judge's Signature: *[Signature]*
 - Don't ask questions in protest time.
 - You take a lot of POI's. But at least it's dangerous to take too many. Pick the right kids to take POI's from.
 - 2P, good write slow down + emotion = 9 speechs
 - 20 don't let POI's interrupt you. I really think the 20 goes hard. I like it. good anti-imperics.
 - 30 I think you don't evaluate the ad targeting evl. people.
 - sit people down quickly, saves you time.
 - more independent points for opp.
 - like the summary, can't evaluate it the low evidence, but still cute.
- note cards would help.
- School/Affiliation: *Hired*

WSD

World Schools Debate	Ruby Stuart	
Round 1	Kerr 333	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion: ^{the} increasing ^{increasing} sports ^{corporate} sponsorship of sports.		
TX Greenhill Green	HY Centaurs	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Caroline Greenstone		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 2 Name: Jothi Gupta		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 75		
Speaker 3 Name: Cameron Kettles		
Content (24-32) 20	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 75		
Reply Name: Caroline Greenstone		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 8
Reply Total Points (30-40): 38		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 260

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Madalynn Feltman		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 2 Name: Nathan Garland		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 67		
Speaker 3 Name: Lennart Schuermann		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Reply Name: Madalynn Feltman		
Content (12-16) 13	Style (12-16) 17	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 37		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 243

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TX Greenhill Green REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Opp needed to make points, esp. in 1st speech for prop

In 2nd prop Prop asks the "why..." question a lot in speech 2.

Prop: excellent "shade" @ Opp 2nd speaker.

RFD: Opp made too many strategic blunders & didn't address proposition points well enough to receive the upvote.

Judge's Signature:

Bauer

School/Affiliation:

Hired

Judge:

Speaker 3 Schuermann, talk to judge not opponent.

Opp: issue w/ finishing thoughts. I understand that it's stressful doing impromptu speaking. Try to avoid busy metaphors if it ruins fluidity.

WSD

World Schools Debate	Sara Ruffin (*GN)	
Round 1	Kerr 200	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion: <i>TH regrets + corp. spons. sports</i>		
OT Bobcats	FF Southlake Saffron	W

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Miles Russworn</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>26</i>	Style (24-32) <i>26</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>12</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>64</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Gabriella Lindsay</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>27</i>	Style (24-32) <i>27</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>12</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>66</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Nina Castro</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>26</i>	Style (24-32) <i>26</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>12</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>64</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Gabriella Lindsay</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>13</i>	Style (12-16) <i>12</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>6</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>31</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *225*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Aamir Mohsin</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>28</i>	Style (24-32) <i>27</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>13</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Saaketh Chennai ahgari</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>29</i>	Style (24-32) <i>28</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>13</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Rishik Bethi</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>30</i>	Style (24-32) <i>28</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>13</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Saaketh Chennai ahgari</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>13</i>	Style (12-16) <i>13</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>6</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>32</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *242*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

FF
 TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

MR. 1st speech should be prepared + written, not improvised. Stay engaged during the round! Examples are too hypothetical + feel like they haven't been thought of before you mention them. Vary your diction.

use hand gestures purposefully

You must adapt to WSD format! No plan / drops / falls / contentions Focus on argumentation + extemporaneous

AM: If you slow your speech, you still need to use vocal variety! SC: adjust volume for small room

Judge's Signature: *Sara Ruffin*

School/Affiliation: *Kingswood*

Prop: stay topical. you do too much to → opp points this out in a pol to Nina

WSD

World Schools Debate	Jayson Anderson (*TY)	
Round 1	Kerr 412	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion: <i>increase corporate sponsorship sports. (Regret)</i>		
CK Coopertown	PA Grapevine Red	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Lock</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Smith</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Vega</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Lock</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *245*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Rathie</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Gardner</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Murdock</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Gardner</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>36</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *251*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *PA, Grapevine Red* REPRESENTING THE (circle one)PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

The standard of the opp was the biggest weighing factor of the round. Prop didn't really have a standard & tried to take corporate sponsorships down as a whole & create too big of a burden for themselves.

Judge's Signature: *Jay ad*School/Affiliation: *Lubbock HS*

Make sure you wait 20 sec. between pos. I did appreciate the 2 @ones!

Good pos at sue time.

Both speaker 3's went down a lot.

WSD

World Schools Debate	Ria Varma	
Round 1	Kerr 450	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion: <i>THE HOUSE REGAINS THE INCREASING CORPORATE SPONSORSHIP OF SPORTS.</i>		
GN Scooby Snacks	ON Benton	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>P. Powers</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>29</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>J. Vasquez</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>30</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>74</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>R. Diaz</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>30</i>	Style (24-32) <i>29</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>13</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Reply Name: <i>J. Vasquez</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>15</i>	Style (12-16) <i>15</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>7</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>37</i>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <i>256</i>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Daya Butler</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>26</i>	Style (24-32) <i>25</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>65</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Aamil Farishta</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>27</i>	Style (24-32) <i>26</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>13</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>66</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Arish Bawani</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>25</i>	Style (24-32) <i>25</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>64</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Aamil Farishta</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>13</i>	Style (12-16) <i>15</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>7</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <i>230</i>		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE GN

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

PROP:

1) Great, clear speaking style
- well prepared.

2) Good attacks

3) Go a little faster. Don't hang
down every PDI

[be more aware
when a PDI
comes up.]

OPP:

1) Lots of fluency breaks. Typically don't
take a PDI mid sentence.

2) Don't say things like "really cool"
say point, don't just quietly get up.

3) Be louder. I couldn't even hear you.
"Thingy?" (x2)

PDI's are meant to
be a distraction, and
one of you lost your
place when presented
with one.

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation: *HIREJ*

WSD

World Schools Debate		Hjordis Scranton
Round 1	Kerr 550	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion: <i>This house regrets the increasing sponsorship in sports</i>		
CT LV Blue	KA St. John's	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Andylan Burchett</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>27</i>	Style (24-32) <i>26</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>67</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Finn Burmeister-Morton</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>25</i>	Style (24-32) <i>25</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>13</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>63</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Justin Boosman</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>26</i>	Style (24-32) <i>26</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>13</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>65</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Finn Burmeister-Morton</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>14</i>	Style (12-16) <i>14</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>7</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *231*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Mia Baumann</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>28</i>	Style (24-32) <i>27</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Maria Cheng</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>30</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>15</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>75</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Dian Yu</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>31</i>	Style (24-32) <i>31</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>15</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>77</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Maria Cheng</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>15</i>	Style (12-16) <i>15</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>7</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>37</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *254*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Content was good, would like to have seen a little more power behind the delivery. Stand your ground.

powerful speeches. a little more eye contact. I like how knowledgeable you were about the topic

Good work

Judge's Signature:

Hjordis Scranton

School/Affiliation:

** This was so good. Enjoyed all of it*

WSD

World Schools Debate		Luis Baez Aranda, R
Round 1	Kerr 430	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion: <i>THAT the increasing corporate sponsorship of sports</i>		
TN Falcons		CS AbiHaus

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Borbeto</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80):		<i>72</i>
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Sonika Chalapati</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80):		<i>75</i>
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Dav</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80):		<i>73</i>
Reply Name: <i>Sonika</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40):		<i>38</i>

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *258*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Sonya Liu</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80):		<i>72</i>
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Brandon Rodriguez</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80):		<i>71</i>
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Christman Medina</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80):		<i>70</i>
Reply Name: <i>Sonya</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40):		<i>30</i>

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *243*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *TN Falcons* REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Oral RFD given

RFD: The proposition wins today's debate; the principled debate flows to the prop bench -- mitigating harms to human dignity & upholding human well being fulfills the debate burden. Additionally, the progressive debate demonstrates a prop ballot; opp leaves the debate with little substantive impact & does not address the comparison.

Judge's Signature: *Nicholas Aranda*School/Affiliation: *Regis University Debate*

WSD

World Schools Debate		Audra Langston (*GO)	
Round 1		Kerr 334	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion: <u>Corporate Sponsorships</u>			
TX Greenhill Gold		HC Northwest Red	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Ashley Shan</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>67</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Nicole Stachowiak</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>68</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Christine Wu</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>71</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Nicole Stachowiak</u>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>39</u>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <u>245</u>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>James Grice</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>65</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Randon Grimm</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>68</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Justin Barnes</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>70</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Randon Grimm</u>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>37</u>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <u>240</u>		

not enough
time for
2nd speech

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
 (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TX Greenhill Gold REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Congrats! So excited for all of you!
 Oral specifics about round given & decision
 the Prop does a better job of staying true to motion &
 impacting round/clash

Judge's Signature: Audra Langston

School/Affiliation: Grand Oaks

WSD

World Schools Debate	Walker Perkins (*ON)	
Round 1	Kerr 302	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion: <i>Corporate Sponsorship of Sports</i>		
TY ACE Debate	SP Yellow City Red	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Eli Grandor</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>28</i>	Style (24-32) <i>26</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>68</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Ann Grandor</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>28</i>	Style (24-32) <i>28</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Caleb Powell</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>30</i>	Style (24-32) <i>30</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>15</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>75</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Eli Grandor</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>14</i>	Style (12-16) <i>15</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>7</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>36</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS:

249

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Dolores Herdin</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>24</i>	Style (24-32) <i>25</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>13</i>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>62</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Joshua Howell</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>28</i>	Style (24-32) <i>28</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>14</i>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Andrew Lay</i>		
Content (24-32) <i>25</i>	Style (24-32) <i>25</i>	Strategy (12-16) <i>12</i>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>62</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Joshua Howell</i>		
Content (12-16) <i>14</i>	Style (12-16) <i>14</i>	Strategy (6-8) <i>7</i>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <i>35</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS:

229

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

WSD

World Schools Debate	Jacek Stachowiak (*TX)	
Round 1	Kerr 411	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion: THR Corporate Sponsorship of Sports		
KS Churchill ToonSquad	CC Plano East Gold	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Yanez-Torres, Francesca			
Content (24-32)	27	Style (24-32)	27
		Strategy (12-16)	14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 68			
Speaker 2 Name: Petra Pocock			
Content (24-32)	27	Style (24-32)	28
		Strategy (12-16)	14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 69			
Speaker 3 Name: IACH UNIS			
Content (24-32)	28	Style (24-32)	27
		Strategy (12-16)	13
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 68			
Reply Name: Yanez-Torres, Francesca			
Content (12-16)	14	Style (12-16)	14
		Strategy (6-8)	7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35			

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 240

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: RAHUL KUMAR			
Content (24-32)	28	Style (24-32)	28
		Strategy (12-16)	14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70			
Speaker 2 Name: Hari Namdhari			
Content (24-32)	28	Style (24-32)	28
		Strategy (12-16)	14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70			
Speaker 3 Name: Sanjana Hiremath			
Content (24-32)	28	Style (24-32)	28
		Strategy (12-16)	14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70			
Reply Name: Hari Namdhari			
Content (12-16)	14	Style (12-16)	14
		Strategy (6-8)	7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35			

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 245

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments: Prop 1: Please organize your speech into substantives and layers
 Prop & Opp - use more POI's
 Prop 3 - Speaking too fast
 Prop - please try to engage with opp arguments more
 Prop & Opp - do not ask about examples - the other team does not need to have them

Judge's Signature:

J. Stachowiak

School/Affiliation:

Green Hill

Map 1

Small companies dissolve

Small teams dissolve

Corruption of industry

Bribing scandal

Companies are backing out

Refunding schools

Team exploitation

Bud light sponsorship - bad

Better funding from other sources

Prop 2

Minimally increases revenue

85% does not make buying decision

From exploitation - power from contracts

Withdrawing funding, Tour de France

Adidas scandal

Sports is far from games

Bud light sponsorship

Bringing illegal donations

Prop 3

Sponsorships of tobacco & alcohol

Fraudulent scholarships

Hunts the brand & sport image

Nike example

Tiger Woods - Nike got hurt

They sound good about it

Other ways to use funding - go fund me

Monopolizing

Prop R

Corruption and fraud

Toxic sponsorship

Opp 1

Small leagues

1. Cost - needed for budget

- non profits and small companies system

- accessibility kids with disabilities

2 Awareness - boost businesses

Charities

Sport innovation

Outlook can be changed - there are regulations

Small companies

Fun away from sports - need to sponsor

Opp 2

Bud light example - player specific

No evidence that kids will stop drinking

Control - of branding

Small organization relies on sponsorship

Powerful kids access

Adidas - good example - we would never

know about this illegal activity

Access to small comp & small teams

Innovation

Opp 3

Regulations - no pictures with tobacco

Small businesses good sponsorship

Exposing problems with sponsors

Help small businesses

Go fund me

Money game

Sports awareness

Opp R

WSD

World Schools Debate	Becky Taylor	
Round 1	Kerr 540	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion:		
HY Titans of Talk	CX Bowie Black	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: ALEXS MORRIS		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: SETH SMITH		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: JASTEN MCGAHEN		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: SETH SMITH		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 35		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 247

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Elliot CRUNK		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 69 70		
Speaker 2 Name: JO BURNS		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 25	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 67 67		
Speaker 3 Name: NICK VAN LENTE		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Reply Name: Elliot Crunk JO BURNS		
Content (12-16) 14 14	Style (12-16) 12	Strategy (6-8) 7 7
Reply Total Points (30-40): 33 33		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 241

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments: Overall both teams displayed excellent teamwork. Decision for Prop was primarily due to a slightly stronger persuasive argument that was consistent throughout the round. Prop received higher points for speaking style that was conversational and personable.

Judge's Signature: Becky Taylor School/Affiliation: none

Prop

Alexis - great personable, conversational style

↳ you got more comfortable as you went along
maybe a little more eye contact

Seth - great quick thinking on your feet; held my attention

Jastein - persuasive style that grabbed my attention

Opp

Elliott - logical and persuasive arguments

JD - Excellent content; good research; maybe slow down a little and relax... feel free to move a little + gesture

Nick - great job slowing down to emphasize most important points.

General note:

- try not to ask any POIs during the 1st or last minute of proposing speech. 1st minute + last minute should be sacred time for intro and conclusion.

Great speakers on both sides!

This was fun to watch!

WSD

World Schools Debate	Amberley Tanner (*HC)	
Round 1	Kerr 107	Thu 03/14/19 10:00AM
Motion: <u>Corporate Sponsorship</u>		
GO Grand Oaks	FF Slake N' Bake	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Colton Berkenhoff</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>28</u>	Style (24-32) <u>29</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>71</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Hadley Adkinson</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>30</u>	Style (24-32) <u>30</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>15</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>75</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Suzanna Ahmed</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>26</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>13</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>67</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Hadley Adkinson</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>13</u>	Style (12-16) <u>13</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>7</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>33</u>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 246

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Ameera Hussain</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>29</u>	Style (24-32) <u>29</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Reagen Larimer</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>29</u>	Style (24-32) <u>31</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>15</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>75</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Nathaniel Stelling</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>30</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>15</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Reagan Larimer</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>14</u>	Style (12-16) <u>15</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>7</u>
Reply Total Points (30-40): <u>36</u>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 255

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Great job for first state round
Basically it comes down to Prop
must attack substantially opp case.
- Concussions & domestic abuse and the validity of minor
league sponsorship & opportunities.
- Prop - persuasively you must justify how you get
exclusivity to these →

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

A. Amner

Northwest

- great speaking by both teams

Claims — obesity — Smoking — Reading

Where do we get from Reading
or College to → corporate sponsorship
and ~~at the end of the day~~ ^{and at the end} of day not enough. Too
much left unanswered by Prop.
Drastic jump to starvation — need
more depth to ground position.