

WSD

FLIP: GN Queenwood v. PA Grapevine Red

World Schools Debate	Becky Taylor	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1583	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM
Motion:		
PROP TEAM name/code:		OPP TEAM name/code:

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Arinash Srinivasan		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 2 Name: Nathan Gardner		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Jake Mullan		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80):		
Reply Name: Nathan Gardner 68		
Content (12-16) 13	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 6
Reply Total Points (30-40) (60-80): 32		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 239

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Darian Melrany		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 2 Name: Emily Grantham		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Leila Sakou		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Reply Name: Emily Grantham		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40) (60-80): 36		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 248

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE Queenwood REPRESENTING THE (circle one)PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Judge's Signature: Becky Taylor School/Affiliation: none

WSD

FLIP: GN Queenwood v. PA Grapevine Red

World Schools Debate	Heather Goheen Jayson Anderson	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1583	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM
Motion: Allow workers to sell their labor rights in exchange for higher pay.		
PROP TEAM name/code: Grapevine ^{red} PA	OPP TEAM name/code: Queenwood GN	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Avinash Srinivasan		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 2 Name: Nathan Gardner		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 3 Name: Jake Murdoch		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Nathan Gardner		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40 / 60-80): 34		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: 250		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Darian Mulrany		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Speaker 2 Name: Emily Grantham		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Speaker 3 Name: Leila Saklow		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Reply Name: Emily Grantham		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (30-40 / 60-80): 36		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: 257		

Wait 20 sec between points!

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE Queenwood GN REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Judge's Signature:



School/Affiliation:

LHS

WSD

FLIP: GN Queenwood v. PA Grapevine Red

World Schools Debate	Nicholas Aranda	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1583	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM
Motion:		
PROP TEAM name/code: Grapevine Red PA	OPP TEAM name/code: Queenwood GN	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Avinash Srinivasan		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Nathan Gardner		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 3 Name: Jake Murdoch		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Nathan G.		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (60-80): ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ 34		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 249

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Darian Meery		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 75		
Speaker 2 Name: Emily Gauthier		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 78		
Speaker 3 Name: Leika Saklov		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 76		
Reply Name: Emily G.		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (60-80): ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ 38		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 267

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE Queenwood GN REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

RFD:

opp illustrates that model adoption leads to more long term impacts which further harm the laborers that prop wants to help. Prop does not engage on true or conditional reputation. Vote on 1) Increased worker coercion & 2) prop cuts access to structural change

Gruel RFD given

Judge's Signature: Nicholas Aranda

School/Affiliation: Regis University

WSD

FLIP: TX Greenhill Green v. TY ACE Debate

World Schools Debate	Sara Ruffin	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1581	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM
THW allow workers to sell their labor rights in exchange for Motion: ^{↑ pay}		
PROP TEAM name/code: ^{TY} ACE Debate	OPP TEAM name/code: ^{TX} Greenhill Green	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Eli Ghandour		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 26	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 65		
Speaker 2 Name: Anne Ghandour		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 27	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 66		
Speaker 3 Name: Caleb Powell		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Reply Name: Eli Ghandour		
Content (12-16) 12	Style (12-16) 12	Strategy (6-8) 6
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80): 30		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: 230		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Caroline Greenstone		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 2 Name: Jothi Gupta		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 3 Name: Amy Stachowiak		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Reply Name: Caroline Greenstone		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80): 36		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: 253		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TX

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITIONComments: opp wins substantially on principle.

Prop layers of analysis are superficial or missing. NO sub 3 given weak response to opp case

PROP model doesn't work & is abandoned. Great use of Pol. by opp.

Congrats on Quarters!

Judge's Signature:

Sara Ruffin

School/Affiliation:

Kingwood

WSD

FLIP: TX Greenhill Green v. TY ACE Debate

World Schools Debate	Jane Boyd	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1581	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM
Motion:		
PROP TEAM name/code: <u>ACE Debate</u> OPP TEAM name/code: <u>Greenhill</u>		

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Eli Ghandour</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>69</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Anne Grandour</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>70</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Caleb Powell</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Eli Ghandour</u>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (60-80): <u>32</u>		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: <u>243</u>		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Caroline Greenstone</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Jothe Gupta</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Amiee Stachowiak</u>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>73</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Caroline Greenstone</u>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points (60-80): <u>35</u> 30 <u>37</u>		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: <u>254</u>		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Don't take more than 2 POI each speech.
Define workers rights. - some example not rights

excellent (Opp whip speech)

Prop needs to engage the opp principles

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

Jane G Boyd

~~Greenhill~~ Greenhill

Burden
very
late

china
&
BEST
EXAMPLE

PROP
Reply
Pretty
New

WSD

FLIP: TX Greenhill Green v. TY ACE Debate

World Schools Debate	Ethan Eyre	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1581	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM
Motion: Labor rights For Higher Pay		
PROP TEAM name/code: TYACE Debate		OPP TEAM name/code: TX Greenhill Green

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Eli G.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 2 Name: Anne G.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Caleb P.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Reply Name: Eli G.		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80): 36		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: 253		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Caroline G.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 2 Name: Jothi G.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Speaker 3 Name: Amy S.		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Reply Name: Caroline G.		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80): 36		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: 255		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TX Greenhill Green REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Eli - bit too much time on definitions. Appropriate innovation of model. Calm down in your speeches, you get worked up and it causes you to stutter + be harder to listen to

JG - great intro / overview

Ch - Tyler, TX example was great to use.

Judge's Signature:



School/Affiliation:


Contract / UH Debate

FU: GBSA


incentives

↳ sick-day
exchange

DoL



anonymous
in rights that
sell




Specimen shot.

model

yearly

→ Personal
leave for
singer & man

→ can't change
conditions




①

- unsaturated

LR = HR PoE

Workers
rely on
ability to
be protected



Disse. info.

object. b.

h2 productivity
+ re-employment

2) version

→ not knowing, altering

for getting out
for LR to be bought

→ can't tell someone
they have to have that
right


unions goal

exp. for union

→ exploitation already happens

exploitation

desperation



"moral high ground"

Can they still sell their
rights to an auth. reg.
that doesn't respect the
"rule"
↳ only way to land

Conversion in rights
guaranteeing countries

can create HR with soc.

↳ but if exploitation
the case, then the g.
isn't protecting

WSD

World Schools Debate	Victor Nava	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1588	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM
Motion: <i>This House would allow workers to sell their labor rights in exchange for higher pay.</i>		
GN Scooby Snacks	FF Southlake Saffron	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Jacob Vasquez</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Pipa Powers</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>71</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Raul Diaz</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>70</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Jacob Vasquez</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80): <i>35</i>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: *248*

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <i>Saaketh Cnennaihgar</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <i>73</i>		
Speaker 2 Name: <i>Rishik Bethi</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <i>72</i>		
Speaker 3 Name: <i>Aakash Kurapati</i>		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <i>69</i>		
Reply Name: <i>Saaketh Shennaihgar</i>		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80): <i>35</i>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: *249*

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE *FF Southlake Saffron* REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION ☐ OPPOSITION ☒

Comments:

This was an excellent round! Congratulations on being two of the first teams ever to compete in QTRs of WSD at TFA State!! "I ultimately feel that allowing a worker the choice to "sell" their rights just opens a dangerous door where businesses can now "buy" an important democratic principle.

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

DNISD

PROP: I really feel you have mastered the concept of the Prop, very effective and dramatic!

YOU WERE AN EXCELLENT! BEST OF LUCK TO YOU ALL!

WSD

World Schools Debate	Amberley Tanner	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1588	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM
Motion: Labor Rights in Exchange for higher pay		
GN Scooby Snacks	FF Southlake Saffron	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Jacob Vasquez		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 2 Name: Pipa Powers		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Raul Diaz		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 31	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Reply Name: Jacob Vasquez		
Content (12-16) 14	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 6
Reply Total Points (30-40) (60-80): 35		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 247

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Saaketh chennai ngari		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 31	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 75		
Speaker 2 Name: Rishik Betti		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 15
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 75		
Speaker 3 Name: Aakash Kurapati		
Content (24-32) 31	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 73		
Reply Name: Saaketh C		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 14	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points (30-40) (60-80): 36		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 259

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE FF Southlake Saffron REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

Nice Debate! Good clash @ time could have had more.

Prop - Need more from you to engage in analysis about HR Abuses. You lost for me when (see back)

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

Opp - good job w/ driving home the loss of need to increase w/ their admission of lines waiting @ the door to take jobs →

Prop-

Tell us we get higher wages - but @ same time tell us emp. are disposable w/ 100's waiting to take jobs. -
you didn't link in to protection or
in Q of L

OPP-

Engage w/ more analysis about how strikes & labor unions are powerful "collective" choice

OPP

RFD-

Protection of labor unions &
HR violations if
sold out

WSD

World Schools Debate	Ria Varma	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1588	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM
Motion: allow workers to sell their labor rights in exchange for higher pay		
GN Scooby Snacks	FF Southlake Saffron	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Jacob Varquez		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Pipa Powers		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Rayi Diaz		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 28	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Reply Name: Jacob Varquez		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80): 37		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 248

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Saaketh Chunnalohgari		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 71		
Speaker 2 Name: Rishik Betti		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Speaker 3 Name: Anuash Kurapati		
Content (24-32) 29	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 72		
Reply Name: Saaketh Chunnalohgari		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 15	Strategy (6-8) 7
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80): 37		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 252

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:
(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

- Great round

- Everyone had a very clear, conversational speaking style. The round was handled very professionally

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

WSD

FLIP: TX Greenhill Gold v. TN Falcons

World Schools Debate	Jordyn Kuehn	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1591	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM
Motion:		
PROP TEAM name/code: Greenhill Gold	OPP TEAM name/code: TN Falcons	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Ashley Shan		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Speaker 2 Name: Nicole Stachonink		
Content (24-32) 26	Style (24-32) 24	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 65		
Speaker 3 Name: Christine Wu		
Content (24-32) 30	Style (24-32) 30	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 74		
Reply Name: Nicole		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 9
Reply Total Points (60-80): 34		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 247

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Barbella		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Halapeti		
Content (24-32) 27	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 14
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Dave		
Content (24-32) 28	Style (24-32) 29	Strategy (12-16) 13
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Halapeti		
Content (12-16) 15	Style (12-16) 13	Strategy (6-8) 6
Reply Total Points (60-80): 34		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 244

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

The motion wasn't skewed, saying it is doesn't help you negotiate final and speaker 2 also overline in PPs

-The speaking time is too much, can we reduce speaking time speaker 3

-ask questions earlier

Marginalized + minority are different

-Pay close attention to your opponents, you asked a PP that they clearly answered

Judge's Signature:

School/Affiliation:

Southlake

WSD

FLIP: TX Greenhill Gold v. TN Falcons

World Schools Debate		Brijan Kana	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1591	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM	
Motion: <u>THW Workers to sell lab. rights in exchange for pay.</u>			
PROP TEAM name/code: <u>Greenhill Gold</u>		OPP TEAM name/code: <u>Falcons</u>	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.
 REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Ashley Shan</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>30</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>14</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>72</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Nicole Stuchowink</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>29</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>13</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>71</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Christine Wu</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>27</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>13</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>68</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Nicole</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>14</u>	Style (12-16) <u>14</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>7</u>
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80): <u>35</u>		

PROP TOTAL POINTS: 246

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: <u>Barbella</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>29</u>	Style (24-32) <u>29</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>15</u>
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): <u>73</u>		
Speaker 2 Name: <u>Halapeti</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>31</u>	Style (24-32) <u>28</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>16</u>
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): <u>75</u>		
Speaker 3 Name: <u>Dave</u>		
Content (24-32) <u>28</u>	Style (24-32) <u>30</u>	Strategy (12-16) <u>15</u>
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): <u>73</u>		
Reply Name: <u>Halapeti</u>		
Content (12-16) <u>14</u>	Style (12-16) <u>14</u>	Strategy (6-8) <u>7</u>
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80): <u>35</u>		

OPP TOTAL POINTS: 256

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

TN (There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE Falcons

REPRESENTING THE (circle one)

PROPOSITION

OPPOSITION

Comments:

Prop: your model is too extreme, and it hurts you. In the future, when you have to debate as the "bad guy", try a less severe or less impactful model to ~~mitigate~~ rob the opp of the option to frame you as people who don't care about solving problems. Also, you'll bring up good args in reply.
Opp: dig deeper. You asked the good question but ask more.

Judge's Signature: BKana

School/Affiliation: Hillcrest
Judge

WSD

FLIP: TX Greenhill Gold v. TN Falcons

World Schools Debate	Caitlin Miller	
Quarter-Finals	Room 1591	Sat 03/16/19 09:30AM
Motion: allow workers to sell their labor rights in exchange for higher pay		
PROP TEAM name/code: Greenhill Gold	OPP TEAM name/code: Falcons	

List the names of the speakers of each team below, along with their score.

REMINDER: Scores should be between 60-80 for the first three speeches, 30-40 for the reply speech.

Proposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Ashley Shum		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Speaker 2 Name: Nicole Stuchowink		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 69		
Speaker 3 Name: Christine Wu		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 68		
Reply Name: Nicole		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80) : 34		
PROP TOTAL POINTS: 239		

Opposition Speakers

Speaker 1 Name: Barbella		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 1 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 2 Name: Halapeti		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 2 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Speaker 3 Name: Dave		
Content (24-32)	Style (24-32)	Strategy (12-16)
Speaker 3 Total Points (60-80): 70		
Reply Name: Halapeti		
Content (12-16)	Style (12-16)	Strategy (6-8)
Reply Total Points ³⁰⁻⁴⁰ (60-80) : 34		
OPP TOTAL POINTS: 244		

THE TEAM WITH THE MOST POINTS, WINNING THE DEBATE IS:

(There are no 'low point wins' - the team with the higher score wins)

TEAM CODE TN Falcons REPRESENTING THE (circle one) PROPOSITION OPPOSITION

Comments:

- watch speaking speed
- it is world school so scope of countries can't be limited ~~unl~~ unless specifically stated in resolution so it has to be thought of as application globally

Judge's Signature: *Caitlin Miller*School/Affiliation: *Contract*