Room: 1512
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF LC Anderson EC (John Erard & Seung Cho) vs. Clements PZ (Aksh Patel & Sheldon Zou)

TRIP

Braley, Kristi

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO						Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements PZ				1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Patel					3	28.5
#3 #4	Name: Ozo					4	28.5

CON						Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson EC				(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Cho					2	29
#3 #4	Name: Erard					1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | **Team/Code:** LC Anderson

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters: Surprised you didn't extend the rent control bad argument.

Why does 7.2m ppl not having affordable housing mean I'm ~~spooky~~ signing their death warrant? Need to extend warrants.

Comments for CON debaters: IC - Good evidence catches

Be sure to extend more - can't do that through grand X.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro is largely non-responsive on solvency - that an increase in supply does not decrease prices (& that it in fact raised them in some places), meaning affordable housing is not accessed through MRH.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Kristi L Braley - all Saints

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1512
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF LC Anderson EC (John Erard & Seung Cho) vs. Clements PZ (Aksh Patel & Sheldon Zou)

TRIP

Graves, Victoria

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements PZ			1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 / #2	Name: Patel			3	28
#3 / #4	Name: Zau			2	29

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson EC			(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 / #2	Name: ~~Seung~~ Cho			1	30
#3 / #4	Name: Erard			4	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (**CON**) | Team/Code: LC Anderson EC

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters: Your 1st contention could be organized ~~better~~. I do like that you are addressing generic arguments to move to real clash in the round - Don't argue during Cross it just wastes time. Patel dodging questions does not help your ethos. Zau work on crossapply your attacks. You never provide me with warrant to prefer an urban study vs National. You just say its preferable why? I hate the Death warrant argument.

Comments for CON debaters: Layout of case makes sense Delivery was a little monotone. - Know your case Cho great catch on the card. Erard well done Athy their case I wish you had time to crossapply several of your points to the last part of their case.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Recession - Con

Jobs - Con

Filfy - Con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ TX City HS

Room: 1512
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Clements PZ (Aksh Patel & Sheldon Zou) vs. LC Anderson EC (John Erard & Seung Cho)

TRIP

Mason, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements PZ				1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name: Patel				4	30
#3 #4	Name: Ozo				3	30

CON					Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson EC				(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Cho				1	30
#3 #4	Name: Erard				2	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: LC Anderson EC

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
PRO Your evidence on M.R. positive impacts is impressive and specific. 1 house→
12 jobs is good. Ozo. Those are some super heavy impacts.
Don't always rely on WIFI. Have a copy of your evidence (PDF) on your
hard drive. It <u>is</u> O.K. to put much of your ~~clash~~ responses in the
Summary.

Comments for CON debaters:
CON - The number of vacant homes in The U.S. (5.8M) is effective
when your card shows ~~cellateredeeney~~ the de-link to price drops.
(The actual # of vacant homes in U.S. is much higher).

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
I have to say that while the PRO had
substantial impacts to MR housing, I believe
that the CON took out most of their links.
I agree that supply ↑ lead to a ↓ in rent. This is
a basic fact of the homeless crisis which the CON
had much evidence on. Ozo, that was an <u>incredible</u>
F.F., but the CON had me ~~less~~ convinced.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: William Ma   KHS/coach

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1561
Flight B: Start
PF Cypress Woods BM (Hannah Bertinot & Tvisha Malik) vs. Clements LN (John Lin & Divya Nagaraj)

TRIP   Amodwala, Juga

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.
  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements LN			1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 (#2)	Name: Lin					
#3 (#4)	Name: Nagaraj					

CON					Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Cypress BM			1st Team 2nd (Team)			
(#1) #2	Name: Bertinot					
(#3) #4	Name: Malik					

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Cypress BM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
2nd speaker is v. v. v. good

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
· I think Litech is probably good, unclear how effective the aff will be, given Carson deregulating so the quantifiable disad seems more straight forward

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1561
Flight B: Start
PF Clements LN (John Lin & Divya Nagaraj) vs. Cypress Woods BM (Hannah Bertinot & Tvisha Malik)

TRIP   Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements LN		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 / #2	Name: Lin				
#3 / #4	Name: Nagaraj				

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Cypress BM		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 / #2	Name: Bertinot				
#3 / #4	Name: Malik				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding

Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO / CON   Team/Code: Cypress BM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: Pro gives no reason to change status quo. Ben Carson and LITC solve

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1561
Flight B: Start
PF Cypress Woods BM (Hannah Bertinot & Tvisha Malik) vs. Clements LN (John Lin & Divya Nagaraj)

TRIP   Ramaj, Vojsava

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
   Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
   *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
   Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

   Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
   New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements LN	1st Team (2nd Team)		Ranks	Points
(#1) #2 Name: Lin				
#3 (#4) Name: Nagaraj				

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Cypress BM	1st Team (2nd Team)		Ranks	Points
(#1) #2 Name: Bertinot				
(#3) #4 Name: Malik				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: Clements LM
~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted Pro on ~~LIEA~~ LITC and in the Pro world more houses will get build through section 8 and I 2.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Vojsava Ramaj_ / Hired

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TRIP   Daugherty, Tim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

			Ranks	Points
PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Hendrickson HV		(1st Team) 2nd Team		
(#1) #2	Name: Valdespino		4	29
(#3) #4	Name: Hann		2	30
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Elkin AP		1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 (2)	Name: Akbar		1	30
#3 (#4)	Name: Pratap		3	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Elkin AP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Climate Change needed more warrants to support this.
Both of you are amazing debaters and I think that you can
leverage this more.

Comments for CON debaters:
You did an excellent job in this round, but you need to do more to
leverage access to housing for the low income and poverty level individuals & families.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing).
I voted for Con on their Berkley evidence and the N.Y.T. evidence along
with their arguments that the PRO doesn't solve for rent prices
and the 7.4 ppl that don't have homes. This was an excellent
debate and it's clear why you're at State and have made
it this far.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Tim D___   Lovejoy H.S. Teacher / Coach

Room: 1563
Flight B: Start
PF Elkins AP (Nibhan Akbar & Abhishek Pratap) vs. Hendrickson HV (Bryce Hann & Sofia Valdespino)

TRIP   **Dua, Arpit**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO			Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: HENDRICKSON HV		1st Team 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: VALDESPINO		3	27
#3 #4	Name: HANN		2	27.5

CON			Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Elkins AP		1st Team 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Akbar		4	26
#3 #4	Name: Pratap		1	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO / CON Team/Code: Elkins AP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
- Had an excellent case, really liked the climate change impacts
- Would've liked to see a better response to Con argument for had that speed off
- Overall did a great job

Comments for CON debaters:
Did a great job definitely the off the case w/ the supply argument

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Voted con b/c of the legislation argument (there is a plan for housing reform), the con ability to prove the housing supply won't decrease red the ability any the offense from the pro world

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Arpit

Room: 1563
Flight B: Start
PF Hendrickson HV (Bryce Hann & Sofia Valdespino) vs. Elkins AP (Nibhan Akbar & Abhishek Pratap)

TRIP

Bloss, Brian

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO						
PRO Team Name/Code: Hendrickson HV				(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Valdespino					
#3 #4	Name: Hann					

CON						
CON Team Name/Code: Elkins AP				1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Akbar					
#3 #4	Name: Pratap					

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Hendrickson HV

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters: Pro you need to answer the malla card on climate change because this terminal defense shuts down your ability to get to your climate impact.

Comments for CON debaters: You would have done well on climate change to say increased concentration of people in areas create concurate islands that spike global temperatures even more.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): I vote pro on the double bind which says zoning laws aren't removed and that means the con doesn't end this. I end up voting on the Blackwell evidence saying blocking under RC will be based on credit scores which are not discriminatory and I vote on the Next City and Newark evidence that is made in the rebuttals and never answered by the con until final focus that gentrification ultimately is good. To increase education & quality of life, while decreasing displacement.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _[signature]_ (Plano ISD)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1504
Flight B: Start
PF Plano West OR (Noah Ogata & Viswa Rajagopalan) vs. Vista Ridge KD (Siddharth Kelvekar & Amod Daherkar)

TRIP   **Canezo, Jon Car**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West OR		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Ogata				
#3 #4	Name: Rajagopalan				

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Vista Ridge KD		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Daherkar				
#3 #4	Name: Kelvekar				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Plano West OR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

PRO overview is damning, poverty being highest impact means that supply is reason for lack of housing. Lifting zoning restrictions does solve and MRH isn't only luxury if it is lifted.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ St. John's

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West OR				1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name: Ogata					
#3 #4	Name: Rajagoplan					

CON					Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Vista Ridge KD				(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Daherkar					
#3 #4	Name: Kelrekar					

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Plano West OR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I grant pro the fiat. After this, they effectively convince why they can solve. While neg's attacks about on property tax reliance were compelling, AFF convinced me this was non unique and wins on risk of solvency.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jefferson Romo

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1504
Flight B: Start
PF Vista Ridge KD (Siddharth Kelvekar & Amod Daherkar) vs. Plano West OR (Noah Ogata & Viswa Rajagopalan)

TRIP   Li, WIlliam

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West OR			1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Ogata			2	29
#3 #4	Name: Rajagapalan			1	30
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Vista Ridge KD			(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Daherkar			3	29
#3 #4	Name: Kelvekar			4	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: **Plano West OR**

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters: Con 1st
Daherkar - I didn't like the shortness on link of luxury homes, that was offense for y'all in summary, needed more gentrif. → small businesses kicked out in summary

Ogata - good catch in cx about brightline of forbes card, good offense w/ cosgrove in summary about over saturation in luxury mkt

Kelvekar - good rebuttal w/ de-links, good offense w/ demolition, not good that Pro's overview was
Comments for CON debaters:
ignored in rebuttal, I have to buy that lack of supply is main cause of high prices, doesn't address zoning law policies are in the system to lower

Rajagapalan - it's hard to buy more opportunities for poor w/ gentrif in rebuttal if you don't address how high rent → more income spent on rent, I can't give you that offense, would've liked for analysis on how small businesses come into gentrif. neighborhoods

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): in FF

Voted Pro, overview addressed too late by
Con, which → lack of S. = Prices high, and cosgrove
Proves luxury homes oversaturated sos MRH
will be built, I buy Colombia analysis of gentrif. doesn't
push out the poor but rather → more opp. for the poor.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _William_

Room: 1560
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF LC Anderson BF (Cody Builta & Mark Foster) vs. Westwood IP (Nathan Iyer & Chinmay Pingale)

TRIP

Daugherty, Jonat

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019LC /
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: LC anderson			1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Foster				
#3 #4	Name: Builta				

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood IP			1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Iyer				
#3 #4	Name: Pingale				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** LC Anderson

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
— Print ... *(illegible)* extension was big for me, it left ... a clear impact story into affordable housing.

Comments for CON debaters:
— I didn't think that disability protection going away APA ... wasn't warranted enough for me to vote on it.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - Pro - I vote on share of ... into impacts ... I
Thought ... plus ... *(struck through)* ... a clear linked into the affordable housing impact. The con offense offense on segregation was good but not enough to outweigh. I thought that the con couldn't articulate ... *(illegible)* which gives protection for disability go away, just "a lot" which ... enough for ... me to grant the link.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Naughty Vista Ridge

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TRIP **Panella, Brenda**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
 Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
 *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
 Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

 Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
 New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: LC Anderson BF	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 / #2 Name: foster		3	30
#3 / #4 Name: Builta		4	30

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood I P	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 / #2 Name: Iyer		2	30
#3 / #4 Name: Pingale		1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Westwood IP

Low Point Win? (Circle. Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Builta, please don't wear hoodies in a round. It didn't in any way affect my decision, but appearance = presentation. This is TFA State. A dress shirt is better.

Phenomenal debate from all four of you! Perfect pace, delivery & poise! Wow! This should have been a finals debate. The question & answers in crossfire were on point!

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Disabilities = great stats from Con. I agree with Pro that it is nontopical, thus no link as they argued.

Racial Segregation = I agree with Con that Aff didn't address this, but Con your stance was said so fast that I didn't understand the link. Pro pointed out in Final Focus about no link.

Pro you said in summary & repeated in Final Focus "we are here to learn about the real world". That doesn't sway me.

Ultimately, is Litech topical? Pro says MRH decreases prices by 20% and the govt will give tax incentives to those that build affordable housing. I agree with Con "Litech is not based on MRH. It's based on tax credit.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: B. Panella / NA

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1560
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Westwood IP (Nathan Iyer & Chinmay Pingale) vs. LC Anderson BF (Cody Builta & Mark Foster)

TRIP

Stubbs, Andy

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: LC anderson BF		1st Team **2nd Team**	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Foster			
#3 #4	Name: Builta			

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood IP		**1st Team** 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Iyer			
#3 #4	Name: Pingale			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (**PRO**) CON | **Team/Code:** Anderson BF

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes   No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The summary on the con side really underdevelops the link level of the debate. That makes the debate more difficult to resolve. Ultimately, I think the pro has a better link (still tenuous, though). The cleanest way out for me is McDuffie, who indicates a price decrease. That isn't impacted out especially well, but it's the only offense I have left.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ SJS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1556
Flight B: Start
PF Westlake HL (Nicolas Ho & Jason Luo) vs. Elkins RN (Misha Rafiq & Sameen Nandolia)

TRIP   **Shivji, Alina**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO						Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake HL				(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Ho						
#3 #4	Name: Luo						

CON						Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Elkins RN				1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Nandolia						
#3 #4	Name: Rafiq						

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Westlake HL
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
The main point of clash in this debate is gentrification and density bonus. The neg doesn't frontline Hertz and Freeman which not only proves the impact is minimal but that market rate housing flows aff.

The "solution" by the neg doesn't do anything since they concede it's non unique

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1556
Flight B: Start
PF Elkins RN (Misha Rafiq & Sameen Nandolia) vs. Westlake HL (Nicolas Ho & Jason Luo)

TRIP

Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake HL			(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Ho				
#3 #4	Name: Luo				

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Elkins RN			1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name: Nandolia				
#3 #4	Name: Rafiq				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Westlake HL

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters: PRO
1 ~~excellent~~ strong

CON
1 excellent ~~summary~~

Comments for CON debaters:
2 excellent rebuttal

2 excellent rebuttal

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
1 buy the pro link to supply by increasing density bonus. Pro gets their mass transit impact, extended and warranted thruput the round. Con evidence on SF and NY doesn't have the scope for US-wide implementation.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

TRIP   McClure, Mindy

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
   Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
   *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
   Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

   Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
   New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake HL		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#2	Name: Ho			2	28.5
#4	Name: Luo			1	28.7

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Elkins RN		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1	Name: Nandolia			4	28
#3	Name: Rafiq			3	28.2

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: Westlake HL

Low Point Win? (Circle. Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
H - incentive - IZ c aff rent ↑ inc, must trans econ, env. solve pov. - good points on cross
L - misconception mrh, reas, supply = trends, nice rebuttal - good organization, good cross
H - supply key, good comp. transp. effective ? on sample size in cross

Comments for CON debaters:
N. house crisis - weakness mkt ↑ cost ↑ crisis, racial disp. gentrification, displace, solvency - vacant houses - not sure 10M tax income
2 - lead to less afford., IZ - small imp, ↑ gent

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro - compelling argument for removal of density restrictions
also strong - transit.

both had strong arguments + good presentations,
   however Pro made the stronger case

both teams - slow down

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Mindy McC___ Grapevine

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1554
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Seven Lakes NS (Megha Neelala & Shivank Srivastava) vs. Westlake LR (Rachel Li & Owen Robertson)

TRIP   Winn, Angela

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes NS		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
(#1) #2	Name: Shivank Srivastava			2	29
(#3) #4	Name: Megha Neelala			3	28

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Westlake LR		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 (#2)	Name: Owen Robertson			4	28
#3 (#4)	Name: Rachel Li			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: Seven Lakes.

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
#1 - good case - would like more on links.
  - good questions.
#3 - Had to se le if; good rebuttal ~ clear and percise.
  - do not argue during CX
#1 - good summary - good impacts - gave clear points
#3 - good final focus but wanted you to elabate more on your Lux argument

→ Do Not Argue during CX
only ask question and answer the (Both Teams)

Comments for CON debaters:
#2 - good case - would have like more on Impacts.
#4 - good rebuttal - would like you to expand on some of your arguents like Filters (do not argue during CX)
  - good summary - jump around a little - good links. - clear and percise arguements.
#2 #4 - good final focus - clear and percise - wanted more on conversion.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
→ Be careful when giving evi - dont agree with each other
The round came down to 2 main arguements in the round - conversion and Lux housed the ballet goes to the Pro ~ I felt they better explain why even though they are building Lux homes that they will filter down and argue conversion a little better

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Angela W._

Room: 1554
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Westlake LR (Rachel Li & Owen Robertson) vs. Seven Lakes NS (Megha Neelala & Shivank Srivastava)

TRIP   **Moreno, Clarissa**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes MS				(1st Team) 2nd Team		
(#1) #2	Name:	Srivastava			3	30
(#3) #4	Name:	Neelala			4	30

CON					Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Westlake LR				1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 (#2)	Name:	Robertson			2	30
#3 (#4)	Name:	Li			1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team:	PRO / CON	Team/Code: Seven Lakes MS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted pro b/c of LA - sure it's only one example but it's actually doing something and accomplishing att being awesome - also ; the impacts on economic growth w/ GDP and ↑ income

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____   Jasper HS

Room: 1557
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Plano West HJ (Edison Huang & Anthony Jiang) vs. Westwood FG (Jeff Freeman & Arnav Gunwani)

TRIP

Wang, Daniel

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code:	Westwood FG		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:	G		4	20
#3 #4	Name:	F		3	20

CON					
CON Team Name/Code:	Plano West HJ		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:	H		1	20
#3 #4	Name:	J		2	20

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: Plano West HJ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
You do weird debater moth. Please don't do that.

Comments for CON debaters:
Speaker 2: If you're going to "spread" distinguish better when you switch orgs + tag your stuff. Not doing that makes it impossible to flow

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
* Vote NEG on Risk of offense on gentrification ?
  - 1. AFF does not do IL extensions in summ / FF but that's a smaller part of the issue since the impacts one more
  - 2. NEG wins the link. The AFF evidence says that demand is still ↑ 5.1 % so I grant them some part of the link.
  - 3. AFF has conceded defense here. Don't know why it isn't extended.
* Could also prob vote NEG on speculation turn but it wasn't that fleshed out.
  - NEG almost doesn't extend on impact but its barely there
* AFF has Ø terminal impact.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ / Strake Jesuit

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1557
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Westwood FG (Jeff Freeman & Arnav Gunwani) vs. Plano West HJ (Edison Huang & Anthony Jiang)

TRIP　　　　**Mengisteab, Noa**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
　Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
　*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
　Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

　Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
　New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood FG		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: G		2	
#3 #4	Name: F		1	

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West HJ		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:		3	
#3 #4	Name:		4	

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points:　20-23 Below Average　27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4　　　　　　24-26 Average　　　29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO)　CON　**Team/Code:** Westwood FG

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes　No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

I would have extended the 1st turn on demolition and apply that throughout the 2nd half.

Bad math

Con comments
- ~~[struck]~~ I could barely understand the rebuttal ext.
I don't get the full warrant for speculation and recession until 2nd summary.

Comments for CON debaters: RFD = I vote Aff.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

1) Affordable units → so long as costs cost less than cheaper to build. Demand is at least slowing down + conflict. At that point, the econ/geo pw benefits are go Aff.

2) Rust belt / Recession
✓
I live in the poorly warranted rebuttal.　innovation + GY, ↑GDP set of link

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ / Duchesne Academy

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO						
PRO Team Name/Code:	Westwood FG			(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:	G				
#3 #4	Name:	F				

CON						
CON Team Name/Code:	Plano West HJ			1st Team 2nd (Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:	H				
#3 #4	Name:	J				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code:

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:
Rebuttal / FF should be clearer. It was hard to flow

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I affirm

1) On Danzinger, the con's pricing argument seems insufficient because the evidence still clearly indicates that demand is weakening now

2) Cortright seems functionally conceded and indicates that market-rate housing policies also promote affordable housing, which seems to answer the con hedge on gentrification

3) Speculation / boom/bust cycle analysis is too new in final focus for me to evaluate it

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ KHS Debate

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1510
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF LC Anderson BJ (Robin Brinkmann & Ananthi Jayasundera) vs. Clements GK (Nilay Gandhi & Saahil Kalvakuntla)

TRIP

G. Ferguson
~~Siddiqui, Omer~~

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Clements K G		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Saahil Kalvakuntla			
#3 #4	Name: Nilay Gandhi			

CON

CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson BJ		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Ananthi Jayasundera			
#3 #4	Name: Robin Brinkmann			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO / CON / Team/Code: Clements KG

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
I think both teams need to have fewer arg in rebuttals + more explanation. Lots of drops so I have to spend time. Start narrowing it down. Dereg the wrt cause needs to link to wt. more.

Comments for CON debaters:
Develop arg more with more impact +

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
comparison. Con needs to address solvency more with more impact.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Glenda Ferguson

TRIP   **Nava, Victor**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
   Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
   *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
   Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

   Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
   New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO						Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:	Clements	KG		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	Saahil Kalvakuntla					
#3 #4	Name:	Nilay Gandhi					

CON						Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:	LC Anderson BJ			1st Team	2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	Ananthi Jayasundera					
#3 #4	Name:	Robin Brinkmann					

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
   Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Clements GK

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

P1: good presentation & opening speech; I like the simplistic presentation of argument; very easy to follow logic; good style for PFD

P2: good clarity in argumentation; simple presentation does a good job of prioritizing arguments and giving clarity; good style for PFD

Comments for CON debaters:

C1: excellent speaker; I was a bit lost by the opening speech b/c of the technicalities of economy (could try to break down more); summary should be more vote driven

C2: rate way too fast for public forum; I know you have a lot to cover between tub but make it more strategic; turn a bit too casual in crossfire; final focus did too much

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Overall terrible due to time ^

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ (VISD)

Room: 1510
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF LC Anderson BJ (Robin Brinkmann & Ananthi Jayasundera) vs. Clements GK (Nilay Gandhi & Saahil Kalvakuntla)

TRIP   Ali, Raheena

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:	Clements KL			1st Team **2nd Team**		
#1 #2	Name:	Saahil Kalvakuntla			3	28
#3 #4	Name:	Nilay Gandhi			9/4	27

CON					Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:	LC Anderson BJ			**1st Team** 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	Ananthi Jayasundera			2	30
#3 #4	Name:	Robin Brinkmann			1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | Team/Code: Anderson BJ
~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes~~ (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
You should probably ask judges if you need to respond to rebuttal in first rebuttal, because I would say to respond to them or I wont vote on your summary responses.

Comments for CON debaters: You go for too much however there are enough offensive arguments dropped for me to vote for you.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Voted on displacement and inherent logical fallacies from pro

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Rahina Ali / hired

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1502
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Cinco Ranch TR (Aatreya Tewary & Anuraag Routray) vs. Vista Ridge FA (Maxwell Fuller & Mark Awadallah)

TRIP   Loh, Sam

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code:	Cinco RT		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:				
#3 #4	Name:				

CON

CON Team Name/Code:	Vista Ridge FA		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:				
#3 #4	Name:				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | **Team/Code:** Cinco Ranch TR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I affirm on the gentrification gved tern

1) 39% inc vs. USA today on decreasing regulation leads to 40% inc affordability is never compared. I don't really feel comfortable giving this to either team when there cleaner offense elsewhere.

2) Gentrification turn on the NC about poor communities 1 mile away leads to 23% ↓ poverty is conceded and becomes the easiest place to vote. I don't give my counter offense on displacement because not enough work is done on the aff arg about poor people moving to comparatively same inc. neighborhoods

3) On the AC, I think the responses to worker shortage and 5.8m vacancies comes too late. Here are some made in CX's but not in speech until 2FF. I don't give offense off the AC

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ NBOGON

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TRIP   Holland, Robey

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
 Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.
 *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Cinco RT				
#1 #2	Name:			
#3 #4	Name:			

CON		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Vista Ridge FA				
#1 #2	Name:			
#3 #4	Name:			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Cinco RT

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I affirm

1) The aff has a clear risk of solvency at the end of the round. USA today is a better comparative analysis the Robes. I hedge that having uic look likely so does

2) ACF was gentrification is

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Robey Holland

at worse with (displacement mitigation) @ best a fix a the con. (1) Chicago Tun

Room: 1502
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Cinco Ranch TR (Aatreya Tewary & Anuraag Routray) vs. Vista Ridge FA (Maxwell Fuller & Mark Awadallah)

TRIP   Allen, Ashley

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
 Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
 *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
 Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

 Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
 New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Cinco Ranch RT		1st Team / **2nd Team**			
#1 #2	Name: Anuraag Routray				
#3 #4	Name: Aatreya Tewary				

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Vista Ridge FA		**1st Team** 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Awadallah				
#3 #4	Name: Fuller				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Vista Ridge FA

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
PRO's arguments are contingent upon supply & demand & PRO conceded that if CON could win supply & demand, then CON would win the round. There were gaps in the solvency of filtering.

PRO - great tactics during crossfire.

Comments for CON debaters:
CON proved the lack of solvency with filtering & won the supply & demand argument. CON - had the edge of crossfire

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

CON had more solvency & attacked PRO with more thorough arguments.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Ashley Allen - Nimitz HS - Houston

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:	Bellaire		1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 / (#2)	Name:	Busaidy		3	28.5
#3 / (#4)	Name:	Ramabhadran		2	28.5

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:	Flower Mound		(1st Team) 2nd Team		
(#1) / #2	Name:	Suket Shah		4	28
(#3) / #4	Name:	Kyle Winter		1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Flower Mound SW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I think the L.T. rent issue/clear debate is impossible to resolve, but it seems on the whole that these people get displaced.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Flower Mound

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus   2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus   2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1558
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Flower Mound WS (Kyle Winter & Suket Shah) vs. Bellaire BR (Omar Busaidy & Vedanth Ramabhadran)

TRIP   McCord, Lizzie

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: **Bellaire**		1st Team ②nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 ⑫	Name: **Busaidy**			
#3 ④	Name: **Ramabhadran**			

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: **Flower Mound**		①st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
① #2	Name: **Suket Shah**			
③ #4	Name: **Kyle Winter**			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Bellaire BR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

through decreased rent prices

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
This round is about access to housing. Given that, there's two layers of the debate: The first is about how supply impacts prices, the second is about how regulations impact prices. There is also a smaller question of what Chu indicates about displacement. On the smaller question, I think the warrant for Chu is never really extended, so it's hard to vote off a blippy piece of evidence. I don't want to decide the supply debate on my own if I don't have to and I think zoning is pretty clearly extended (albeit the warrant isn't that well explained), so I vote off zoning → repeals prices down.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____, St. Mary's Hall

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

TRIP   **Revote, Emil**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
 Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.
 *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
 Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

 Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
 New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:	Bellaire		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 (#2)	Name:	Busaidy				
#3 (#4)	Name:	Ramabhadran				

CON					Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:	Flower Mound		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
(#1) #2	Name:	Shah				
(#3) #4	Name:	Winter				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Bellaire

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
Con

Econ flow : inelastic vs relastic
need to read up

Comments for CON debaters:
pro

excellent logic in CX

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Solided Voters
Con
Cheri Time

Zoning solves for Redmond:
Dec in supply solved by Proj
Pro not adverse in adv
Con does not argue penalties
Arg population of housing
policy reform > shortage
floods being solved by MOU + policy reform

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1533
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Clements CH (Wallace Chen & Michael Huang) vs. Clear Springs BP (Alexandra Boehning & Erin Pritchard)

TRIP

Phelps, Russel

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: CLEAR SPRING			1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:	Boehng			
#3 #4	Name:	Prud			

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Clemens			1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:	C			
#3 #4	Name:	H			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO   CON   Team/Code: CLEMENS CH

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes   No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Don't know how it shakes out but There are some pretty good speakers

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The Problem I have is even though the Pro doesn't "advocate" 6r more the idea of inclusionary zoning to me small cities adjusting to T that even in FF is They have to build a certain % of affordable. So the neg would of yeah but then applies. On this point their evidence is better. The buildings are property driven whether luxury means a "bridge" on balance

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

THERE IS SIGNIFICANT DISPLACEMENT AND POVERTY VS.
BETTER CREDIT SCORES BECAUSE SHORT TERM JOBS & LONG TERM

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1533
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Clear Springs BP (Alexandra Boehning & Erin Pritchard) vs. Clements CH (Wallace Chen & Michael Huang)

TRIP   **Day, Cara**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Clear Springs BP			1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 / #2	Name: Boehning			3	27.5
#3 / #4	Name: Pritchard			4	26.5

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Clements CH			(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 / #2	Name: Chen			2	29.1
#3 / #4	Name: Huang			1	29.2

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO **(CON)** **Team/Code:** Clements CH

Low Point Win? (Circle. Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

You all literally don't terminalize your impacts @ all in late round speeches which is a problem.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This was one of the easiest rounds I've ever had to vote in. If you ~~whhm~~ roll back zoning restrictions and pass IZ, aff has to concede that there is more housing or they have no offense. This means, though, that neg's demolition link becomes 100% true, and I vote here on probability.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Cara C. Day Strake

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1533
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Clear Springs BP (Alexandra Boehning & Erin Pritchard) vs. Clements CH (Wallace Chen & Michael Huang)

TRIP   **Hunt, Terry**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Clear Springs BP	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Boehning			
#3 #4 Name: Pritchard			

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Clements Clt	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Chen			
#3 #4 Name: Huang			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: Clear Springs BP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Thanks for a refreshing take on the Pro side of resolution.

Comments for CON debaters:

Congratulations on breaking — both are strong debaters

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro wins FW — the response to framework is landprice? Pro provide only way to solve —

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Terry Hunt Leadership

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1559
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Cinco Ranch DM (Alex Dial & Matthew Mohn) vs. Kempner BS (Bryan Benitez & Raj Solanki)

TRIP **Kim, Dohun**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: *CINCO RANCH DM*			(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:	D			
#3 #4	Name:	M			

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: *Kempner BS*			1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: B				
#3 #4	Name: S				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO **(CON)** | **Team/Code:** *KEMPNER*

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Would like to see impact framing. racism & mobility seem like they are intertwined. Would help frame the decision better.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Chapple evidence makes a comparison between mkt rate and subsidized housing, Davidson ev doesn't. Supply side arg about demolitions is important. LAO args don't actually address this. Immediate displacement o/ws.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: ___Dohun___

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1559
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Kempner BS (Bryan Benitez & Raj Solanki) vs. Cinco Ranch DM (Alex Dial & Matthew Mohn)

TRIP   **Fung, Anson**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Cinco Ranch			(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Dial				
#3 #4	Name: Mohn				

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Kempner BS			1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name: Bryan Benitez				
#3 #4	Name: Raj Solanki				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Cinco Ranch DM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for ~~PRO~~ debaters:

Read spec!!!   Sep of power   Tenvous to say campaign promise
                                    → identical policy
CPP w/o zoning   Theres so much conceded defense
What kind of zoning?   floaty around @ end of summary

Comments for ~~CON~~ debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both teams were like big politician debating on big stage. Good job! The WP 30% defense in 1st summary the Oregon analysis + my reading of the NAA evidence are stuff PB cast doubt on the lil displacement claim. Davidson + Leo w/ costw + prob impact

_____ / STRAKE JESUIT

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____
(...) my weighing means I affirm.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TRIP

Agho-Otoghile

D. Erard

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Cinco Ranch		(1st Team) 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Dial				
#3 #4	Name: Mohn				

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Kempner		1st Team (2nd Team)		Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: B				
#3 #4	Name: S				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: *Kemper*

Low Point Win? (Circle. Yes   No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

I was pleased to have regulations go beyond "zoning", but I didn't have evidence specific in nature that was sufficient to weigh on impact and have direct causation. Being "intuitive" was referenced, but I need more than logic and intuition.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I was compelled by (Chappell sp.?) evidence and I felt the "possibility" of US legislation offered a direct solvency to the status quo issues with affordable housing. The rebuttal of this being "speculative" in congress or Republicans is no more so than speculation that zoning/regulations are possible on municipal level. (school Judge)

Con provided sufficient evidence of luxury housing "threat" to have me accept their evidence of Neg offering better solvency

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TRIP **Farris, Ben**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

All saints (Con)
1) Pan

2)

Jasper (Pro)
1) Schofer

2)

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper KS			1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Shufer			A	3	28
#3 #4	Name: Kamalakantha			B	4	27
CON					Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: All Saints Episcopal			(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Pan			B	2	28
#3 #4	Name: Tidwell			A	1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: All Saints Episcopal

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Cards being asked for was extensive, need was
Con case was strong for systematic structural violence (dropping framework made case harder to defend. Had to with Short term as fragility of low income w/ less than 10$ of savings means any change can be disasterous.
Use of CX1 was weak - not much gained or elaborated on. 2nd Cross 3 much stronger.

Comments for CON debaters:
CON 2nd speech - good job of covering framework + (+) case. Good argument against the mixed claims by Aff of over supply of luxury + Δ ing amt. of types of housing being built.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Con warrant on Gentrification, con argues impact of gentrification occur before benefit of AFF case.
rent control is always bad (aff) Neg + choosing
Framework - Social structure. accepted.
AFF benefits delinked by multiple standing Neg arguments.
• case evidence by CON - preemptive leaning does directly state that lower each year of luxury housing + don't buy over saturation

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Ben Farris , Clements

Room: 1508
Flight A: Start 8:30

TRIP

Crist, Jerry

PF All Saints Episcopal PT (Evan Pan & Bradley Tidwell) vs. Jasper KS (Rohan Kamalakantha & Andy Shufer)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper KS		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Shufer			3	
#3 #4	Name: Kamalakantha			4	

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: all Saints PT		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Pan			2	
#3 #4	Name: Tidwell			1	

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: all Saints PT

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): Gentrification is never properly responded to throughout the round Because structural violence was agreed, I need look to the side that best protects the urban poor. Gentrification flows to the Con side. I vote off of gentrification

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1508
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF All Saints Episcopal PT (Evan Pan & Bradley Tidwell) vs. Jasper KS (Rohan Kamalakantha & Andy Shufer)

TRIP   **Hooks, Alyssa**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
 Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.
 *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
 Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

 Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
 New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO						
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper KS			1st Team (2nd Team)		Ranks	Points
#1 (#2)	Name: S				3	
#3 (#4)	Name: K				4	

CON						
CON Team Name/Code: All Saints PT			(1st Team) 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
(#1) #2	Name: P				1	
(#3) #4	Name: T				2	

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: All Saints PT

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

PRO
The amount of evidence you called for was ridiclious, you dont even go 90% endict the cards you call for, until final focus

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

(1) structural violence flows con, ~~.....~~

(2) [Stanford] is conceded & proves a steep reduction of Affordable housing

(3) Price discrimination Turn is never answered, best strength of link to Fwk

(4) Dougan, chew Fed ~~response~~ turns go conceded & produc Key place to vote con DASH

(5) gentrification

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1554
Flight A: Start 8:30
PF Seven Lakes NS (Megha Neelala & Shivank Srivastava) vs. Westlake LR (Rachel Li & Owen Robertson)

TRIP

~~Siddiqui, Fawaz~~
~~David Efard~~
K Burke

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
***New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:	Seven Lakes - NS		(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	S. Srivastava		1	30
#3 #4	Name:	M. Neelala		4	28

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:	Westlake-LR		1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name:	O. Robertson		2	29.5
#3 #4	Name:	R. Li		3	28.8

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: Westlake- LR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters: affb Housing to low & midd ppl. -recon in city.
Redman card. - mkt leads to more affb b/c of incl. zoning. (25.1 @ J mkt) overall red. housing costs
- Supply/demand. Rent control ruins land price. (Filtering) 19% per year.
- Ends displacement ↑econ. ↓ Homelessness. ? - couldn't give a rate in X.
- ½ of affb is taken by ↑income now. Solved but (Each unit destroyed = 13 new? *
How do you solve land issue? Development ≠ new construct. → Hard to prove. filter from
What constitutes affb housing? ISD in SF not strongest ex b/c its not much! mkt rate. → Already has surplus of $$ to $?

Comments for CON debaters: Conversions - mkt replaces rather than building. Converts affb to mkt. increasing rent
in clus. Zoning ↑mkt. 20-10% -82% new builds are lux. = profit $ tax incentives. ½ land in metro?*
-7% ↓ in cons. - sub. poverty. working class forced to move 3x more.
- middle class not low. - Every $100 high end reg. 43 low end.
↑land costs = lux demand. -150,000 lost every year. Foreign investors create lux. (affb) is not sub.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
40% mkt 60% rent control but still not affb?!
(SF) is a bad ex of Housing Crisis. affb?!
- focus on metrop. in gen.

RFD - Con won on conversions $ in cross questioning. They had whole listic examples instead of Pro who chose to focus on SF & LA, which are extreme exs.

good ask for def in X.
Def is they aren't spending "A lot" of their income on housing. But they're alreadyb high income so of course they aren't spending on it.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: K Burke