

Room: 1927
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Houston Memorial MY vs. Westlake YW)

6

Siddiqui, Rafay

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Houston Memorial		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Maharana			3	28
#2	Name: Yu			2	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Westlake		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Williams			1	29
#2	Name: Yin			4	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Westlake YW					

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

good job to both teams

Comments for CON debaters:

good job to both teams

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote con due to affordable housing argument. Gentrification was nonunique. Good job overall

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Rafay

Judge

Room: 1927
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Plano West KR vs. Clements CH)

6

Siddiqui, Rafay

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Double
bye
avg. pts.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements CH		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: CHEN		3	27
#2				
#3	Name: HUANG		2	28
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West KR		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Conrad Krueger		4	27
#2				
#3	Name: Noah Romo		1	28
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Plano West KR				
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))				

Comments for PRO debaters:

good job

Comments for CON debaters:

good job

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote con because they won on gentrification.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Rafay

Judge

Room: 1588
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Clements GK vs. Plano West AW)

6

Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Clements GK</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Saahil Kalvakunta</u>			3	20
#2	Name: <u>Nilay Gandhi</u>			4	30
#3	Name: <u>Brandon Wang</u>			1	30
#4	Name: <u>Nihar Annam</u>			2	30

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West AW</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Brandon Wang</u>			1	30
#2	Name: <u>Nihar Annam</u>			2	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO ☒ CON ☐ Team/Code: Plano West AW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

1. Good summary

Comments for CON debaters:

2. Good rebuttal.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

2. Can won the overview. Vote off con args. on LITTC, off doesn't have them at the end of the round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1588
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Seven Lakes SM vs. Westwood BK)

6

Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes SM</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Samarth</u>			4	30
#2	Name: <u>Manjul</u>			3	30
#3					
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood BK</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Barter</u>			1	30
#2	Name: <u>Kota</u>			2	30
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/> Team/Code: <u>Westwood BK</u>					

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

PRO
agreed rebuttal, missed the overview which led to a loss on VW.
K. was good

Comments for CON debaters:

CON
good summary, signpost more clearly.

1. good case, your summary was strong.

2. good rebuttal + framing.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

PRO
PRO doesn't have any access to the ballot. In the hel, post implementation leads to all the harms

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1592
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Bellaire DS vs. LC Anderson EC)

6

Li, William
LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson EC</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Cho</u>		1 30
#2	Name: <u>Erard</u>		3 29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire DS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>De</u>		2 29
#2	Name: <u>Sun</u>		4 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>LC Anderson EC</u>			

Con 1st

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Pro 2nd

Comments for PRO debaters:

De- would've extended holes in mortgage arg. on pro side, don't group all terms, explain offense you're gaining

Cho- would've addressed filtration and vacant homes

Sun- would've addressed pro's attacks in rebuttal about LIHTC, instead of better than

Erard- would've liked to see you address bubble self fix and 2 filter down → 3 filter no offense for Con

Comments for CON debaters:

nothing and generation of 160 million dollars
round was Con drops of attacks on

beginning half b/c of pro drops, but LIHTC went through and con's def. fell at 2nd half of round

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

voted Pro, b/c of real world success of MHH in NY wasn't negated sufficiently enough and Con doesn't address how current vacant units are uninhabitable. Con only accounted for short term but long term what happens? MHH offers more likelihood to access more subsidized homes, so Pro won

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: William Li

Room: 1592
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Seven Lakes NS vs. Strake Jesuit College DD)

6

Li, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes NS</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Srivastava</u>			1	30
#2	Name: <u>Neelala</u>			2	30
#3	Name: <u>Neelala</u>				
#4	Name: <u>Neelala</u>				

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit College DD</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Donowho</u>			3	29
#2	Name: <u>Donowho</u>			4	29
#3	Name: <u>Dowdall</u>				
#4	Name: <u>Dowdall</u>				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Seven Lakes NS

Pro 1st

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Con and

Comments for PRO debaters:

Srivastava - good about foreign inv., would've been more aggressive w/ that here, good analysis of NYU w/ gentrif., good analysis on money in neighbor. b/c amenities exist already in summary

Donowho - good summary w/ Friedman, would've liked to see how much displacement in Pro world and how much landlords exist others to profit

Neelala - good link to 1's impacts in rebuttal, I'm not sure I buy the gentrif. good b/c ppl being pushed,

Dowdall - needed to see how much prices go up for workers in Pro world, and needed con to tell me how % of filtering up

Comments for CON debaters:

would've cross applied NYU evidence in rebuttal, in FF and summary (your partner)

13x more homes w/ demolition would've been

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

not in the coffin

voted Pro, b/c Gentrification: NYU show comparative analysis of 2 neighborhoods → proof of no correlation/causation so Con couldn't ~~access~~ offense there, brought up arg. against NYU brought up too late, Nygara won it for Pro w/ proof MRH will be built and Con didn't show how much filtering up occurs so have to be 1.9% filter down on Pro.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: William Li

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2343
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Flower Mound WS vs. Colleyville Heritage NW)

6

Hardage, Olivia

Dohun Kim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Colleyville NW		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: Anisha Navendra	4	28.2
#2	Name: Joanna Wang	3	28.3
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Flower Mound WS		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: Suket Shah	2	28.4
#2	Name: Kyle Winter	1	29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON		Team/Code: Flower Mound WS	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Chen evidence cites numerous studies that indicate short term impact is mass displacement. More conclusive than Hark evidence since it only cites Bay Area study. There are potentially winning arguments in the PRO Final Focus, but much of the analysis (if at all) is not present or developed in Summary.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Dohun Kim

Room: 2343
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Cinco Ranch TR vs. Plano West SZ)

6

Hardage, Olivia

Dohun Kim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Cinco Ranch RT</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Amrany Rakey</u>		2 29.2
#2	Name: <u>Adrian Tenary</u>		1 29.3
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West SZ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Yizhen Zhen</u>		4 29
#2	Name: <u>Donovan Spall</u>		3 29.1
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>CINCO RANCH RT</u>	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Link to IHTC argument is not great. Mutual exclusivity is not proven.
Mobility outweighs.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: D. L. Z

Room: 1602
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Kempner BS vs. Klein WZ)

6

Hoang, Keith

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Kempner BS</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Bauter</u>			4	29
#2	Name: <u>Solanki</u>			2	30
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Klein WZ</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Zhou</u>			3	29
#2	Name: <u>Wu</u>			1	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Klein WZ</u>					

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Thank you for this round. Both sides did an outstanding job answering, while extending offense. In the end, nothing was done to displacement/gut, solving for poor

Comments for CON debaters:

~~that~~, Thus, the side who is able to better solve for root, or prevent it from solving a reverse, wins.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Badger/Fox provide some support/ideas, but Con's white/odor effectively ~~pro~~ ^{prevent access} ~~specific~~ #1's, odor specifically wins by showing the net result, while pro relies on Hobbes/Seattle study to ~~pro~~ ^{as} sufficient for a federal policy.

Plus, the 67% of houses now available from Fidelity is significant, but pro ~~shows~~ ^{doesn't} show actual benefits or utilization. It was the best debate on this topic I've seen.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1602
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Westwood FW vs. Cinco Ranch DM)

6

Hoang, Keith

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Cinco Ranch DM</u>		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Alex Dial</u>		1	29
#2	Name: <u>Matthew Mohn</u>		4	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood FW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Milica Freund</u>		3	28
#2	Name: <u>Sophia Wang</u>		2	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Cinco DM</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

PRO = labor ~~stagnation~~ regulations - global shortage - they don't stop Trump/Brown
or policies. but costs 43%
FW was shaky, but CON made a solid arg w/ short distance - trigger
However, ~~the~~ Reed goes largely uncontested, and Reed is

Comments for CON debaters:

~~direct~~ almost the exact opposite of what CON wants
to advocate, so allowing PRO to state gentrification

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing)

goal. de links your ~~Final~~ displacement and
prevents access in terms of gentrification.
You're then left on CON trying to
prevent silvency, or ~~immediate~~ w/in a time frame. I agree
PRO's ignores short-term, ~~but~~ (30+ years...) but Reed
allows access to silvency. Ex. If immediate impacts

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

WILL happen, and one of them is gent. gent = good, this is crippling.
RED = small RE along w/ Reed.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2313
 Flight A: Start 7:00
 PF (All Saints Episcopal PT vs. Plano West GM)

6

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West GM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1 #2	Name: <u>Gundam</u>		4 29
#3 #4	Name: <u>Mannen</u>		3 29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>All Saints PT</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1 #2	Name: <u>Evan Pan</u> 1st speaker		2 30
#3 #4	Name: <u>Bradley Tidwell</u> 2nd Speaker		1 30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.</i>			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>All Saints PT</u>	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: 1. Framework - Gentrification is colonialist
 2. Gentrification: Cons evidence is specific to Market Rate Housing
 3. Filtering: Chew says it takes too long in Bay Area

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2313
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Tompkins TS vs. St. John's WM)

6

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: St. John's WM					
#1	Name: Moore			4	29
#2	Name: Waters			3	29
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Tompkins TS					
#1	Name: Sharma			2	30
#2	Name: Tirumalai			1	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: Tompkins TS			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: Pro theory of econ is wrong. Supply does not determine M2H.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2364
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Flower Mound MK vs. Coppell MP)

6

Farris, Ben

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Pro 2nd
• Kotha
• Motupalli

Con 1st
• Panatpur
• Muthukumar

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Flower Mound MK		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Kotha		30 2
#2	Name: Motupalli		30 1
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Coppell MP		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Panatpur		28 4
#2	Name: Muthukumar		29 3
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Coppell MP			
Low Point Win? (Circle Yes No)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

calling for cards so early really slowed down to round, hope it was worth it. Seemed to have limited the use of prep time outside of that first speech.

Gov. Arguments about Federal don't focus on local regulations con was describing. Argument of Seattle being cherry picked is flawed with multiple city reference (Boulder)

Comments for CON debaters:

Con 2nd was a little hard to follow in structure/order. Interesting case, arguments were unique + but also complicated. Needed explaining on multiple occasions...

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- Displacement Aff + Neg claiming. Aff evidence + arguments with for me on displacement. Main address as harm but aff had more references + evidence.
- Time frame - I don't buy the filtering argument as only long term as the units built in the past are in play now.
- Is MRH less expensive - Not fully established - this needed to be won for Aff to win...
- Aff didn't address claim/argument that it's not # of houses but "affordability"
- What is ~~the~~ fueling the 40 million US citizens who can't afford the houses they live in? (Are these just renters?)
Rent control -> status quo doesn't solve...
- I don't have a problem with the meta analysis being status quo. Aff could just have said yes to multiple CX questions about this.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Case prebuilt to address gentrification? unnecessary.

Room: 2364
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Houston Lamar NV vs. Strake Jesuit College SD)

6

Farris, Ben

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

(Con) 2nd

(Pro) 1st

• Shah
• Day

• Van Nostrand
• Nelson - Grocock

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Houston Lamar		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Van Nostrand	A		30	1
#2					
#3	Name: Nelson - Grocock	B		29	3
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit C.		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Shah	B		28	4
#2					
#3	Name: Day	A		29	2
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Houston Lamar			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Pro - 1st speech excellently framed and well practiced, tonality is strong and unhurried.
• Good argument identifying structural character of the cost of medications and its non specific nature to MTH or zoning.

HBS VS. Defazio was a strong Neg Argument and it should have been addressed.

Comments for CON debaters:

I think there are some stronger arguments against de-zoning or "freeing up" construction workers by having them work less on finishing sites. (Safety) longevity of houses + homes. Regulations are there due to abuses in past. Should have in checked about that removing each regulatory or zoning law ↓ cost of housing construction 4.5%
Good argument against MTH providing funding to affordable housing as Gov. slashes funding.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The first 3 speeches set displacement as one of key voting issues.
• Aff should have addressed claim that Glacier evidence says were not expensive an affordable housing crisis. conflicts with 40 mil living in homes they can't afford. Aff's wealthy moving into neighborhood doesn't mean displacement argument and their eg. of ant lanta + Chicago saying people don't want to leave.

I don't think the Reyes A cost by paying construction workers argument was worthwhile as a final focus point. I do agree Pos had to win A MTH benefit and can't win on zoning alone.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Ben Farris

Medical nonadherence was a balanced in status quo harm not specific to Aff case and Aff A jobs + salary benefits played a worse.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1827
 Flight A: Start 7:00
 PF (Flower Mound VV vs. Franklin MD)

6

Romo, Madison

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Franklin MD		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: Emily Do			4	26
#2					
#3	Name: Lana Murphree			2	29
#4					
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Flower Mound VV		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: Shruti Ummadising			1	29
#2					
#3	Name: Saanya Vishnoi			3	27
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: Flower Mound VV			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote neg. I buy that rent control is critical and displacement is increased with MR housing. San Fran 1/4 card is strong from neg.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature]

[Signature]
 Debate

Room: 1827
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Colleyville Heritage LW vs. LC Anderson CE)

6

Romo, Madison

LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson CE</u>		1st Team 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>William Erard</u>			<u>3</u>	<u>27</u>
#2	Name: <u>Dylan Canyon</u>			<u>3</u>	<u>29</u>
#3					
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville Heritage LW</u>		1st Team 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Colin Wei</u>			<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>
#2	Name: <u>Daniel Li</u>			<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>LC Anderson CE</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: <u>Yes</u> No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: ~~aff~~ ultimately wins the argument about whether deregulation can incentivize developers. Then the 2% ↑ of supply, rent ↓ 3% allows aff to access their impacts. Although I was initially skeptical of the mortgage contention, I end up buying your argument. ~~aff~~ Very close round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Romo

Madison Romo

Room: 2316
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Clements LN vs. Westwood CR)

6

Allen, Ashley

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood CR					
#1	Name: Chen			3	28
#2	Name: Rajesh			4	27
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Clements LN					
#1	Name: Lin			2	29
#2	Name: Nagaraj			1	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO (CON)		Team/Code: Clements LN			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Don't allow the CON to "own you" during each round of crossfire. You have to take back your control to give yourselves more time to talk w/questions & answers in order to further prove your case & disprove CON. PRO dropped more arguments than CON. Speakers spoke well but lacked persuasive urgency. PRO - practice confidence during crossfire.

Comments for CON debaters:

CON took more control of crossfire time to more effectively prove their case in the questioning. CON disproved more arguments of the PRO. CON spoke with persuasive urgency.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

All 4 are solid competitors, but CON had the edge. CON proved their case & disproved the PRO more thoroughly. PRO & CON - great speaking skills. All high speaking points. Confidence would give PRO more speaking points.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Ashley S. Allen - Nimitz HS - Houston

Room: 2316
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Jasper CK vs. Klein GI)

6

Allen, Ashley

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper CK					
#1	Name: Chen			3	28
#2	Name: Kumar			2	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Klein GI					
#1	Name: Gunda			4	28
#2	Name: Irfan			1	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON		Team/Code: Klein GI			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

PRO addressed arguments thoroughly in effort to prove their case, but the problem was they were slightly less thorough in disproving the CON. PRO's final focus did not have as vast of an impact as CON's final focus. PRO spoke with urgency & persuasion, but CON's persuasion was more thorough.

Comments for CON debaters:

CON has slight edge on speaker points, but speaker 3 for CON carried the weight of formulating the actual arguments. speaker 1 had a more passive approach in proving arguments & disproving PRO. CON used a balance of logic & ethos arguments.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This was a pretty evenly matched round. Crossfire rounds were strong from both sides, but CON came out with slight edge during crossfire when they continued to link back to evidence. PRO dropped some links in arguments. This was a hard fought round by all 4 debaters. Ethos arguments from both sides were not evenly matched. Final Focus won round for CON.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Ashley S. Allen - Nimitz HS - Houston

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2301
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Jasper TV vs. Hendrickson GY)

6

Day, Cara

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Hendrickson GY		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1 #2	Name: Galan		1 29
#3 #4	Name: Yedavalli		3 28
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Jasper TV		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1 #2	Name: Vem Sathir Vemulapalli		2 28.5
#3 #4	Name: Mitchell Thorrell		4 27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Hendrickson GY	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))			

Comments for PRO debaters:

I think the pro misunderstands the neg rebuttal. They say You have to defend zoning law removal, and you think they are saying it. BUT you are both clearly making

Comments for CON debaters:

You need to extend link, IL, and impacts in summary and final.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Neg doesn't extend an internal link in summary to the climate change argument, so there's no way I can vote there. I affirm on the cross-application of Smith that says rent control ↓ housing by 13%. I also think aff is ahead on filtration.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Cara C Day / Strake Jesuit

Room: 2301
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Saint Mary's Hall RT vs. Plano West LM)

6

Day, Cara

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LM</u>					
#1 #2	Name: <u>Lakhani</u>			2	29.2
#3 #4	Name: <u>Mullassery</u>			1	29.3
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Saint Mary's Hall RT</u>					
#1 #2	Name: <u>Talukdar</u>			4	27
#3 #4	Name: <u>Reyna</u>			3	28.7
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Plano West LM</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

You have an INSANE amount of potential - Something to work on is making cleaner extensions in later speeches, but overall you're both great.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I ~~wasn't~~ ^{affirm} on filtration b/c I think aff is winning that the rate is on pace w/ the demand.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Cara C. Day stroke Jesuit

Room: 2317
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (St. John's MK vs. Bellaire BR)

6

Wang, Daniel

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Bellaire BR					
#1 #2	Name: Bussidy			4	28.7
#3 #4	Name: Ramabhadran			3	28.8
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Saint Johns KM					
#1 #2	Name: Moll			2	29.0
#3 #4	Name: Gordon			1	29.2
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: St. Johns KM					
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Case: Need terminal impact for homelessness (what does homelessness lead to in terms of tangible harms)

Rebuttal: Minor strategic issues: C1 Got the ball - You spent a lot of time on C2 though most responses were cross-apps // could have spent way less time. Need more substantive + corded responses against C2

Summary: Based on 2nd rebuttal, one could kinda tell that they were going for C2 so I would have spent sig more time extending defense/responding to the overview

Comments for CON debaters:

Case: Wow! Very nice. Like the politician on the big stage.

Summary:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- Vote NEG on Bubble Pop.

- AFF FF concedes turns case analysis

- NEG has some offense coming from the C2. AFF defense is only mitigatory. Even then, most of the analysis comes from 1st FF which is too late. Insofar as NEG has offense from recessions which turns the AFF, NEG wins.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min


Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

 Stroke Issue

Room: 2317
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (La Vernia BW vs. Westwood SX)

6

Wang, Daniel

La /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood SX		1st Team: 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Singh		2 28.4
#2			
#3	Name: Xiao		1 28.5
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: La Vernia BW		1st Team: 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Warren		3 27.4
#2			
#3	Name: Bratcher		4 27.3
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Westwood SX	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

NEG - Need more substantive responses on their case

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Vote AFF on the conceded. Comey(???) evidence. Gentrification decreases displacement by 15%.

Vote AFF also on zoning which ↑ productivity + ↓ poverty. All the impacts are conceded + the AFF gets 510.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Devin / Stroke Jesuit

Room: 1825
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Clements GS vs. Bellaire KD)

6

Agaho-Otoghile

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Clements GS</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Singh</u>		3 28
#2	Name: <u>Madam</u>		4 28
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire KD</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Sarika Kotha</u>		2 28
#2	Name: <u>Lucy Ding</u>		1 28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Bellaire KD</u>	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 1825
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Westwood TV vs. Lovejoy SL)

6

Aghe-Otoghile

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Lovejoy		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Sipes			1	28
#2	Name: Larson			2	28
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Veln			3	28
#2	Name: Tehrani			4	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: LOVEJOY SL			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

-Dressed well! - Sipes = spreading not needed!

Comments for CON debaters:

-Tehrani = what are we doing? This is PF, if want to spread & have good, lengthy debate, then do CX! Do much too much for 4mins.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

all did much too much for a PF round! Be good, before GREAT! ballot for the won contentin-level debate. needed to debate at infinitum level!

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2303
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Grapevine MG vs. Westwood KS)

6

Fung, Anson

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood KS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Pranav Loukarni</u>		3	27
#2				
#3	Name: <u>Raymond Sun</u>		4	27
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Grapevine GM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Sam Goodman</u>		1	28.5
#2				
#3	Name: <u>Jay McClure</u>		2	28.5
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Westwood KS</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

NEG should implicate struct. vol twin ~~NEG~~ negative case.
Don't think AFF rebuttal stmt was necessary. The OTTs are add'l offense
but it doesn't function @ higher level in rd.

Comments for CON debaters:

its bad form to kick 2 OTTs. And try to go for 1 in FF
then drop an extension.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both teams were like big politicians debating on a
big stage. Good job! What a cluster of a round.
Could have been much cleaner. I vote off
W3 for zoning w/ AFF Fiat & the WB pov reduction
for the AFF.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: STRANGE RESULT

Room: 2303
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Saint Mary's Hall MW vs. Plano West HJ)

6

Fung, Anson

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West HJ</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Edison Huang</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Anthony Jiang</u>	1	30
#3	Name: <u>Anthony Jiang</u>	3	30
#4	Name: <u>Anthony Jiang</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Saint Mary's Hall MW</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Walls</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Walls</u>	2	30
#3	Name: <u>Menendez</u>	4	30
#4	Name: <u>Menendez</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average			
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON Team/Code: <u>Plano West HJ</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Good round. On the link to gentrification good I think there are two different ideas happening one is poor → rich and rich → poor. The directionality of the sign matters and is important in eval the impacts. This best link to the Aff gent impact & flow on scope.

Comments for CON debaters:

sign matters and is important in eval the impacts. This best link to the Aff gent impact & flow on scope.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both teams were like big politicians debating on a big stage. Good, sb! I understand why it seems RC 2.5.9.5 and 2.4 are conflict but they don't appear to be. If the courtwright evidence is true then Aff increases value for lower income persons.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1583
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Colleyville Heritage FB vs. Jasper IS)

6

Braley, Kristi

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville Heritage FB</u>		1st Team <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Bhat</u>		1	29
#2	Name: <u>Francis</u>		4	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper IS</u>		1st Team <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Showkat</u>		3	29
#2	Name: <u>Iyer</u>		2	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <input checked="" type="radio"/> PRO <input type="radio"/> CON		Team/Code: <u>Colleyville Heritage FB</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

1P Nice case delivery.

2P - Need to keep research in context of article - the card
I called for said the idea was ill-conceived. ~~off a~~
- the notion of vacancies was mostly confusing.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both sides need to weigh - I'm left in a quandary as to any idea on who is going to provide/allow for more housing for low/middle class.

On evidence vs. conjecture ("We don't know why there are vacancies") I buy that the market is moving away from building luxury housing + that MPT can be effective. The loss of rent control is lamentable, especially w/ regard to racism, but ultimately, Pro shows that this would at least begin to solve for the housing crisis.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Kristi L Braley - All Saints

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1583
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Coppell BC vs. Plano West LW)

6

Braley, Kristi

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Coppell BC</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Borhi</u>		3	29
#2	Name: <u>Chiniwala</u>		4	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Lin</u>		2	29.5
#2	Name: <u>Wei</u>		1	29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Plano West LW</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both sides have clashing evidence as to whether there is land available in urban areas; if not, demolition occurs. Looking at ex., it appears that some cities can sprawl while others can't. This means that in very urban areas (NY, CA) MRH would cause demo + homelessness, which outweighs - they can't wait 8 yrs. This triggers more nasty impacts.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Kristi J. Braley - All Saints
c
20R

Room: 1593
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Bellaire LF vs. LC Anderson BJ)

6

Shivji, Alina
LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		CON	
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire LF</u>		CON Team Name/Code: <u>Anderson BJ</u>	
1st Team 2nd Team		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Fern</u>	#1	Name: <u>Jayasundera</u>
#2		#2	
#3	Name: <u>Lai</u>	#3	Name: <u>Brinkman</u>
#4		#4	
Ranks	Points	Ranks	Points
1	29	4	27
2	29	3	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/> Team/Code: <u>Anderson BJ</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: <u>Yes</u> No)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Most arguments left on the off flow are very mitigatory, so it is easy for me to pull the trigger on the neg's solvency (LHTEC)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alina Shivji

Room: 1593
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Seven Lakes CZ vs. Hendrickson HV)

6

Shivji, Alina

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Hendrickson HV</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>JAMES PIND</u>		4 28
#2	Name: <u>HANN</u>		3 29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Chan</u>		2 29
#2	Name: <u>Zhong</u>		1 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing).

The neg wins the framework so I vote off of how
neg rises on the root of the problem and ~~that~~
off doesn't offer solvency for it.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alina Shivji

Room: 1594
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Westlake DS vs. LC Anderson BF)

6

Phelps, Russell
LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson BF</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Foster</u>			4	29
#2	Name: <u>Buila</u>			2	29.5
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake DS</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Sloni</u>			3	29.5
#2	Name: <u>Dantzer</u>			1	29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/>		Team/Code: <u>Westlake DS</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No ☐)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Both just make sure we get answers to questions. It is especially important in this round when evidence can't be read.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This looks like a round that happened before. I summary didn't get back to the CON case, I don't feel like intervening NEG extends government links to conversions extends the impact and that's the ball game use final focus better to cover this argument round

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Kevin Phelps Debate Judge

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1594
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Cypress Creek BO vs. Jasper KM)

6

Phelps, Russell

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Cypress Creek BO</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	
#1	Name: <u>Bazan</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		<u>4</u>	<u>27</u>
#3	Name: <u>Ochoa</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>28</u>
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper KM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	
#1	Name: <u>Kotha</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
#3	Name: <u>Ma</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>28</u>
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>JASPER KM</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Score down in CX. NO BEATING your opponents.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

In the short term pov + generalization
so not long term impact
economic advantages from trade are
just doing a better job separating
the 2 things

Russell Phelps

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1614
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Colleyville Heritage SL vs. Westlake DR)

6

Galang, Nate

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>colleyville SL</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>LEE</u>		4	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Shadman</u>		3	28.5
#3				
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake DR</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Reddy</u>		1	29.6
#2	Name: <u>Dashestani</u>		2	29.1
#3				
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Westlake DR</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I negate on the probability arguments. The negative is winning enough of the inherency to outweigh the overpopulation claims. Also, the arguments about the middle class shrinking take out the aff's args about how the demand for affordable housing is rising.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] Klein HS

Room: 1614
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Westwood IP vs. Plano West LR)

6

Galang, Nate

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LR</u>		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Rao</u>		2 29.6
#2	Name: <u>Liang</u>		1 29.8
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood IP</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Iyer</u>		3 29.2
#2	Name: <u>Pingale</u>		4 28.8
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Plano West LR</u>	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I affirm

- 1) Collins goes conceded, what less the aff does construction/displacement arguments
- 2) Edwards turns the NC

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Klen HS

Room: 1581
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Tompkins WT vs. Westlake LR)

6

Feng, Matthew

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake LR</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Edelson</u>		2	29
#2	Name: <u>Li</u>		1	29.5
29.5				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Tompkins WT</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Tirumalai</u>		4	28
#2	Name: <u>Wang</u>		3	28.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u>		Team/Code: <u>Westlake LR</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

In summary, need to collapse, so for fewer arguments - you should yourself for this.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Good round - 1 vote on the time for rebut control and the price bubble-down (Huh).

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Matthew Feng

Room: 1581
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (James Bowie WS vs. Clements JR)

6

Feng, Matthew

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Clements JR</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Pao</u>		4 22.5
#2	Name: <u>John</u>		3 28
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>James Bowie WS</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>William</u>		2 28
#2	Name: <u>Saladineer</u>		1 28.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> Team/Code: <u>James Bowie WS</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

A lot of your arguments are just references to evidence without arguments,
I think in the back half of the round, you need to go for fewer arguments.

Comments for CON debaters:

I think supporting and directly responding their arguments are important rather
than generally talking about history

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con was demolition, unclear why was
never affordable to some people.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature]

Plus what

Room: 2318
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Clements QW vs. Bellaire TC)

6

Ramaj, Vojsava

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Chen</u>			27	3
#2	Name: <u>Tu</u>			28	1
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Claire Qu</u>			27	4
#2	Name: <u>Samuel Wang</u>			28	2
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Clements QW</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con responds to the Pro with warrants that aren't really refuted such as gentrification ~~will~~ will happen and how slow & and ineffective filtering is. Con ~~has~~ also has a good point in the trade off with 2500 homes being demolished. In the end, Pro isn't sufficient to prove that affordable homes will be a build.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Vijay Ramaj / Hired

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2318
 Flight A: Start 7:00
 PF (Westlake XX vs. LC Anderson KK)

6

Ramaj, Vojsava
 LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks Points
#1 #2	Name: <u>Kavoussi</u>		3 29
#3 #4	Name: <u>Kertchner</u>		1 30
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake XX</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks Points
#1 #2	Name: <u>Dogen</u>		4 29
#3 #4	Name: <u>Siegel</u>		2 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>(PRO) CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>LC Anderson</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

* Didn't think C3 was explained well enough.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Good round, but alot was dropped and muddled in the round. Voted pro on their market correction warrants and responses to why luxury housing demands. I buy the analysis that some cities can expand to some certain extent, with that no need to converse.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Wojan Ruzi / Hired

Room: 2331
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Flower Mound GM vs. Duchesne Academy of t DL)

6

~~Kana Brijan~~
Rahena Ali

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Flower Mound MG</u>		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Colton Moraine</u>		3	30
#2	Name: <u>Nikhil Gaddati</u>		4	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Duchesne DL</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Linbeck</u>		1	30
#2	Name: <u>Dunne</u>		2	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Duchesne DL</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

You cant just look to long term for poor people and act like the problems of displacement go away.

Comments for CON debaters:

Great weighing in final. Wish I saw some of it in summary too

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Vote off how the poor are prioritized and pro makes their lives worse.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Rahena Ali / hired

Room: 2331
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Plano West OR vs. St. John's GY)

6

Kana Brijan
R.A.H.

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: St. John's GY		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Garza		1 28
#2	Name: Yekell		3 29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West OR		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Noah Ogum		1 20
#2	Name: Vowajith Rajayopalan		2 30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON		Team/Code: Plano West OR	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Not enough offense coming at final

Comments for CON debaters:

Try to extend impacts less blippily.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Displacement & luxury housing hurts the poor!

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature]
hired

Room: 2320
 Flight B: Start 7:45
 PF (Plano West FZ vs. Tompkins WW)

APP

6

Hooks, Alyssa

Non
2nd

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Tompkins WW					
#1	Name: Chumeng Wang			2	29
#2	Name: Elysia Wu			1	29.5
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West FZ					
#1	Name: Michelle Zhang			3	28.5
#2	Name: Ivy Fan			4	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Tompkins WW			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes NO)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Great signposting, but I think you go for too much in FF

Comments for CON debaters:

The summary had some new crystallization, but you drop well to the interruption

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- ① Hyper vacancy means there is no demo,
- ② Pro impacts a larger scope of ppl, thus they eventually have more than the con word
- ③ We have to resort to the world not relying on the HUD
- ④ PRO access b/c places w/ rent control are the worst
- ⑤ Filtering flows

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alyssa Hooks DASH

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2320
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Westlake ZY vs. St. John's LT)

6

Hooks, Alyssa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

@ PRO: behaviour in cross fire was too much
More importantly
That was the most pretentious roadmap for a final focus I've heard ever.
Not Okay

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake ZY		1st Team	2nd Team
		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Kevin Zhou	4	25
#2	Name: Daniel Yang	3	25

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: St. John's LT		1st Team	2nd Team
		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Sam Lu	1	28.5
#2	Name: Andrew Tong	2	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: ST John's LT

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

1) You're really bad at whispering...
2) The summary is full of new args... why is the coverage you chose today is what lost you the round! I told you I prefer line by line, don't ask if you're going to ignore me

Comments for CON debaters:

That summary was bomb!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- 1) Inclusive Zones don't reach the poor b/c of the pricing of the houses produced
- 2) IZ's force move to low income areas to build & that triggers gentrification
- 3) You kicked mass transit, meaning you have little access to density bonuses, but the con wins the harms to density bonuses
- 4) Luminant domain

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alyssa Hooks DASH

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1641
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Claudia Taylor "Ladyb MP vs. Eastwood RB)

6

Crist, Jerry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Eastwood</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Budreckis</u>			2	28
#2	Name: <u>Rodriguez</u>			4	25
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Claudia Taylor</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Patel</u>			1	29
#2	Name: <u>Makani</u>			3	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Claudia Taylor MP</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

*I like the economic stability argument
15K affordable housing units - good stat*

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con case is better written and better researched, clin a big picture judge and didn't pay attention to alleged drops

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jerry Crist

Room: 1641
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Westwood MR vs. Carroll BB)

6

Crist, Jerry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood RM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Mirza</u>		2 29.5
#2	Name: <u>Ramaswamy</u>		1 30
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Carroll BB</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Eesha Betti</u>		4 28.5
#2	Name: <u>Neha Boyapati</u>		3 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Westwood RM</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

I like the Hurricane Harvey argument

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I like the section 8 argument and free market solves housing shortage. Overall, the Pro side was more persuasive and better informed on the topic.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1585
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Colleyville Heritage CR vs. Vista Ridge KD)

6

Panella, Brenda

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Colleyville Heritage CR					
#1	Name: Radant			2	27
#2	Name: Collins			1	28
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Vista Ridge KD					
#1	Name: Daherkar			3	27
#2	Name: Kelvekar			4	26
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Colleyville Heritage CR			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Good questions in cross. Radant, don't play with your hair as you speak. Also, remove "like" from your speech delivery, including answering in CX. Collins, you also say "like" a lot. Find transitional words to fill instead of "like". I didn't understand how the Middle Class would be persuaded to move in to urban neighborhoods, but that was for con to argue, not me. Be prepared to answer that if it comes up in future rounds.

Comments for CON debaters:

Kelvekar, you were too fast in your 4 min speech. It sounds loud, and choppy and I can barely make out what you're saying. I'm going to have to wait until the summary & final focus to figure out your stance. Too, too, too fast ... there shouldn't be spreading in PF. But even so, it doesn't help your argumentation.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Daherkar, your summary was too fast. I really wish you would have focused on being clear instead of rushing. Final Focus is also fast.

Reasons for my decision:

Honestly, I didn't understand the argument on small businesses. It was confusing. Con, that is why it is so IMPORTANT not to speed through your speeches! Con, I did like your (Yale) 1 million households affected with MRA, but I agree with Pro that it is hypothetical. Pro said in Final Focus we have cities currently with MRA and Con didn't give a number as to how many of them have been pushed out, displaced.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Brenda Panella / NA

My vote is PRO based on Market Rate Housing working in cities.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1585
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Lake Travis CP vs. Elkins AP)

6

Panella, Brenda

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins AP</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Akbar</u>			4	24
#2	Name: <u>Pratap</u>			3	26
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Lake Travis CP</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Clemmons</u>			1	30
#2	Name: <u>Piwonka</u>			2	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Lake Travis CP</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Akbar, right from the start, you started off the crossfire very tense. Don't ~~be~~ come across as upset. You said, "Do you have a question?" after you were asked a question. It'd be better to say "I'm sorry, can you rephrase?" or "I'm not clear on your question?" You were well poised in your speech, don't lose that poise in CX. Also, don't say "cool". When asked for a card, you shouldn't need Wi-Fi to pull it up. You need to be prepared and have it ready to go. Pratap handled questions much better. Example, he didn't understand questions and would say, "Sorry?" Good!

Comments for CON debaters:

Clemmons, good job keeping your cool. If your opponent raises his voice, don't do the same. Piwonka, don't say "like" or "cool". When you stop prep to look at cards, don't talk to each other when prep is stopped.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I'm a stickler for prep. Had you read my paradigms, you'd know that. Pro you first had ... per my timer... :45 seconds left. Then you announced 1:10 used. Fine. I gave you :50. You used some and then announced :45 left, ~~then~~ but I had :35. Fine. I gave it to you. Then you went 25 seconds OVER your two minutes, and you stopped because I asked you, "How much time do you have left?" I do not like abuse of prep time.

Reason for decision: Pro, was your plan on MKH or small businesses? That was unclear. I didn't understand the stance on small businesses.

(Kendall) 2100 homes lost to MKH
(Adler) 246,000 homes lost to MKH

Good sources from Con!

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

B. Panella / NA

* (Simone/Adler) An expansion of MKH leads to homelessness.
(Nirej) MKH approved but not built due to high construction costs

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2311
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Saint Mary's Hall ST vs. Westwood MP)

6

Daugherty, Jonat

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood / MP		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Sinti Mohankumar		28
#2	Name: Sidh Pandit		29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: St. Mary's Hall / ST		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Rylan Schendel		29
#2	Name: Nicholas Trujillo		30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON		Team/Code: St Mary's Hall ST	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

The ↓ reg leads to ↑ supply wss we good, would have more interaction with the con blocks.

Comments for CON debaters:

Great rebuttal and summary

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - I vote Con, I was convinced that there would be more affordable housing in the con world which best addressed the impacts in the round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jonathan Daugherty Vista Ridge

Room: 2311
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Ysleta CM vs. Dripping Springs FA)

6

Daugherty, Jonat

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Ysleta CM		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Marian Mendoza		23 29
#2	Name: Rebella candelaria		4 29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Dripping Springs FA		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Ethan French		22 30
#2	Name: Jasim Aziz		1 30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Dripping Springs	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- Great speaking, very clear and easy to follow. I would like to see inputs which could be weighed. The timeframe would need a persuasive response.

Comments for CON debaters:

- I'm not buying the facts and the sound args, but there is enough evidence there and you got ~~these~~ ~~information~~ good defense on this case.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

REF - I vote Con. I bought the argument that urban sprawl is bad and needs to be stopped to protect the environment.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jonat Daugherty Vista Ridge

Room: 2315
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Clements GN vs. Strake Jesuit College NH)

6

Duran, Michael

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code:		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name:	Goyal	28	4	28
#2	Name:	Nguyen	29	3	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code:		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name:	Nguyen	1	30	30
#2	Name:	Hong	2	30	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/> Team/Code: Strake Jesuit NH					

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☐ No ☒)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Try to be a little clearer and more succinct with opening arguments. Not clear under which contention each argument falls. Be careful about terminology "low income" vs. "lower class".

Comments for CON debaters:

Very well articulated, focused points, easy for "lay" judge to follow and flow arguments. Confusion re: "safety issue"?


Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both provided strong arguments, but CON was clearer in structure and slightly more convincing overall. Both great! Tough choice.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

- Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
- Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
- Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
- Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
- Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
- Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
- Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
- Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
- Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
- Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
- Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
- 2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

 Michael Duran HS
FBISD

Room: 2315
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Jasper LY vs. Cypress Woods BM)

6

Duran, Michael

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper LY		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: We	2	28
#2	Name: Li	4	28
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Cypress Woods BM		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: Bertina	1	30
#2	Name: Malik	3	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON Team/Code: Cypress Woods BM			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Well spoken, clear points, but like your opponents I'm confused as to how market pricing would have mandates about percentage of low-income units.

Comments for CON debaters:

Also well spoken, and clear contention that pro cannot pick and choose which says to keep or eliminate fails flat. See @

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

the con argument that the pro cannot pick and choose and keep requirements for low-income units. What's the difference between pro/con? con makes the case here @ upon further thought, this contention of pro is never satisfactorily explained.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature] Kempner HS
FBI/DO

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2305
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Tompkins SR vs. Bellaire ZS)

6

Dua, Arpit

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Bellaire ZS		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Michelle Sun		1 26
#2	Name: Arianna Zhao		2 25.5
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Tompkins SR		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Abhishek Sambaraj		3 25
#2	Name: Aryan Rapolu		4 25
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Bellaire ZS	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Sp 1) Utilized ex well & did an excellent job during summary to defend the off
Sp 2) Good rebuttal, would recommend using ex more effectively

Comments for CON debaters:

Sp 1) did a great job breaking down the case
Sp 2) Utilized cross better, but overall did a great job putting attack on the pro

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted pro & based off of the increase in supply argument (con didn't buy the whole speculative argument. Also the pro was able to outweigh the con on zoning laws.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Arpit B

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2305
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Saint Mary's Hall PH vs. Lake Travis MW)

6

Dua, Arpit

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Saint Mary's Hall PH		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Trey Plante			2	29.8
#2	Name: Sam Hernandez			1	30
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Lake Travis MW		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Hagen Mortford			4	29
#2	Name: Richard Wynne			3	29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Saint Mary's Hall PH			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Did an excellent job defending their own case & providing great logical arguments against the con case. Used the time very efficiently & all speeches were effective.

Comments for CON debaters:

Did a great job defending the case against the aff. & ~~was~~ having a few impacts on the con side from the pro.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both sides had great arguments. Con was able to ~~prove~~ show why literacy works in their world; however, pro wins on the demand of providing more housing for everyone ~~and~~ through construction & proving how construction has greater impacts in the aff. world than neg.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Arpit B

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1513
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Clements PZ vs. All Saints Episcopal SH)

6

Winn, Angela

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Clements PZ</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Aksh Patel</u>			3	28
#2	Name: <u>Sheldon Zou</u>			2	29

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>All Saints HS</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Harrison</u>			4	28
#2	Name: <u>Stein</u>			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON Team/Code: Clements PZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- #2 - good case - good impacts - good questions.
- #4 - good rebuttal - good links - want more on impacts - a little bit confused on what you're trying to do.
- #2 - good summary but need more on pro and the arguments the con made. - ex - (glad) - got confused on your argument.
- #4 - great final focus ~

#Pro - be careful during CX - got very confusing!

Comments for CON debaters:

- #1 - good case - wanted a little more on links!
- #3 - good rebuttal - good impacts -
- #1 - good summary ~ good impacts ~ would like more on displacement.
- #3 - good final focus ~ good job explaining the displacement argument. Need more on...

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Great clash in the round.

This was a great round ~ the ballot goes to the pro ~ the pro wins on the ^{edu} ~~economy~~ argument as well as impacts but it was class. Neg just needed to elaborate more on edu argument and re-go over impacts.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Angela Winn

Great Round

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1513
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Duchesne Academy of t BD vs. Jasper KS)

6

Winn, Angela

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Duchesne BD</u>		1st Team <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2nd Team <input type="radio"/>	
#1	Name: <u>Brooke Blanton</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Avnika Dubey</u>	4	28
#3	Name: <u>Avnika Dubey</u>	2	29
#4	Name: <u>Avnika Dubey</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper KS</u>		1st Team <input type="radio"/> 2nd Team <input checked="" type="radio"/>	
#1	Name: <u>Shute Kavalanth</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Kavalanth</u>	3	28
#3	Name: <u>Kavalanth</u>	1	29
#4	Name: <u>Kavalanth</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <input type="radio"/> CON <input checked="" type="radio"/>		Team/Code: <u>Jasper KS</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- #1 - good case - had 20 sec left - would like links.
- #3 - good rebuttal - clear and precise - turns were a bit confusing.
- #1 - good summary - but wanted more on impacts.
- #3 - good final focus - good impacts - want more on links

Don't Argue
Juling CX
JUST ask
questions.

Comments for CON debaters:

- #2 - strong case - good impacts - had 30 sec left
- #4 - good rebuttal - good links, wanted more on impacts.
- #2 - good summary - good impacts - wanted more on turns made on your case
- #4 - good final - good links and impacts

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

#Both people during summary were a little disorganized and hard to follow.

#Both teams Drop Key arguments in the round.

The con gets the bullet on the base of impacts and links - but it was a close round

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Angela W

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

#Great Round!

Room: 1591
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Strake Jesuit College DC vs. Westlake RW)

6

Moreno, Clarissa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>Kobinson</u>	3	29
#3	Name: <u>Wheirum</u>	2	29

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>Curry</u>	1	30
#3	Name: <u>Dupre</u>	4	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO ☒ CON ☐ Team/Code: Strake Jesuit

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No) ca

Comments for PRO debaters:

I didn't vote off of the end of your case - I think bringing something up in FF that was basically never been talked about is not good offense
- Also, I totally understand supply and demand but I don't think it works in the real world as simply as you try to make it.

Comments for CON debaters:

→ 2nd spkr - sometimes you come off a little aggressive and/or like you're being defensive when it's not warranted - I think you're a strong debater but this attitude is a little off putting

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Overall I felt that this debate was a little bippy and missed some opportunities for better clash. It was a lot of back and forth w/ no real impact which kinda just felt snippy.

→ I voted con due to the time line provided by me and because of the idea that removing zoning actually ↑ gent. and links into the harms from the cons 1st cont.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Crh Jasper HS

Room: 1591
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Seven Lakes RG vs. Westwood FG)

6

Moreno, Clarissa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood FG</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>Gunwani</u>	2	30
#2	Name: <u>Freeman</u>	3	30

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>Gao</u>	1	30
#2	Name: <u>Pao</u>	4	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO Team/Code: Westwood FG

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

the Frank gent. has been happening since 1980 kinda contradicts the WX'14?
if exposure were to "fix" problems, then why hasn't it? It's 2019

Comments for CON debaters:

It felt like yall were playing defense most of the time and you left a lot of their case w/o ink - which can be dangerous - I do like the Portland card but it felt like an after thought

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

There is quite a link chain to get to the 16.2 mil Americans/520 mil global poor AND I think the con got there... but just too late - if the con had knocked out/poisoned this w/ the impacts to the poor in these cities, maybe the pro couldn't have accessed this. As is, it stood.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jasper HS

Room: 1631 (GOTO1633)

Flight B: Start 7:45

PF (Strake Jesuit College AJ vs. Elkins RN)

6

Canezo, Jon Carl

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Strake AJ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Jalal</u>		1	28
#2	Name: <u>Ashraf</u>	<u>2nd</u>	2	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins RN</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Nandolia</u>	<u>2nd</u>	3	28
#2	Name: <u>Rafiq</u>		4	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Strake AJ</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Great job to both teams!

Comments for CON debaters:

Good Luck!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: ultimately, AFF arguments about gentrification wins them no harm, non-unique on Vacant tax takes out only CON offense.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jon Canezo St. John's

Room: 1631 (GOTO1633)
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Westwood LS vs. Westlake HL)

6

Canezo, Jon Carl

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake HL</u>		<input checked="" type="radio"/> 1st Team <input type="radio"/> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Ho</u>		1	29
#2	Name: <u>Leo</u>		2	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood LS</u>		<input type="radio"/> 1st Team <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Lia</u>		3	28
#2	Name: <u>Suhmann</u>		4	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <input checked="" type="radio"/> PRO <input type="radio"/> CON		Team/Code: <u>Westlake HL</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Both teams should try to chill a bit. It was an awkward and intense situation overall and as people who know each other especially.

Comments for CON debaters:

Read above

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Moreover, MRH is, logistically, not only luxury housing even if it does include MRH, maybe at an even higher rate. But, there was not much read from the CON that says MRH is only luxury, investors doesn't prove explicitly that only luxury would be built and the regulations argument was address as being repealable.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

St. John's by PRO

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1921
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Vista Ridge FA vs. James Bowie BM)

6

Bloss, Brian

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Vista Ridge FA		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Awadallah		1 28
#2	Name: Fuller		2 28
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: James Bowie BM		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Bellman		3 28
#2	Name: Maedgen		4 27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Vista Ridge FA	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Mr. Fuller you left saying vote con in your rebuttal when I think you meant vote pro.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The pro wins displacement happens worse and that in fact the turn that is dropped that displacement is twice as high in public housing. Pro also wins that they are winning quality of life being better in a world of market rate housing.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

B. Bloss - Plano ISD

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1921
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Tascosa BB vs. Bellaire PW)

6

Bloss, Brian

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

725

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO	
PRO Team Name/Code:	Tascosa BB
<input checked="" type="radio"/> 1st Team	<input type="radio"/> 2nd Team
#1 Name:	Bowmer
#2 Name:	Burnett
Ranks	Points
9	28
3	28

CON	
CON Team Name/Code:	Bellaire PW
<input type="radio"/> 1st Team	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 2nd Team
#1 Name:	Wu
#2 Name:	Pantazopol
Ranks	Points
2	29
1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points:	20-23 Below Average	27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4	24-26 Average	29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.		

Winning Team:	PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON	Team/Code:	Bellaire PW
---------------	--	------------	-------------

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Pro you are very good speakers I think you need to do a better job of working on line by line on your opponent's case starting

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The con drops that rent control is key to stop displacement. The Allen evidence de-links and the pro fails to show how supply actually goes up because it will only go into luxury units which ultimately pushes more people out, in particular the low income tenants.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Bloss - Plano ISD

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2503
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (Clements MM vs. W.B. Ray HH)

6

Daugherty, Tim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements MM		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Macero		
#2			
#3	Name: Marakani		
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: W.B. Ray HH		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Harkness		
#2			
#3	Name: Hague		
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: W.B. Ray HH Clements MM	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con forfeit

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Lovejoy H.S.
Teacher / Coach

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2503
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Strake Jesuit College WC vs. Elkins CZ)

6

Daugherty, Tim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Elkins CZ		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks Points
#1 #2	Name: Chang		2 29
#3 #4	Name: Zaheer		4 28.5
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit College WC		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks Points
#1 #2	Name: Weiland		3 28.5
#3 #4	Name: Carlile		1 29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO (CON)		Team/Code: Strake Jesuit College WC	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted for the CON based off of their cost burdens, evictions, and construction worker shortage evidence. This was a great round and quite difficult to judge. You all were fantastic and respectful, and I think you're excellent debaters.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Tim Daugherty

Lovejoy H.S.
Teacher/Coach

Room: 2314
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Clear Springs BP vs. Dulles LC)

6

Revote, Emil

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team		2nd Team		Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: CLEAR SPRINGS							
#1	Name: BOEHNING					4	30
#2	Name: PRITCHARD					1	30
CON		1st Team		2nd Team		Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: DULLES LC							
#1	Name: Chang					3	30
#2	Name: Li					2	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.							
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Clear Springs BP					

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

@Boehning: excellent definitional debate

Fantastic round. Both excellent teams, making a decision

Comments for CON debaters:

on purely definition debate is difficult

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Excessively close round; however, the definitional debate ends up being the deciding factor.

Market definition does include dev - reform, w/ debaters the con & gives the win.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 2312
Flight B: Start 7:45
PF (BASIS San Antonio Sha VP vs. Central ML)

6

Graves, Victoria

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO	
PRO Team Name/Code:	1st Team 2nd Team
#1 Name: <u>V. Venulapalli</u>	Ranks: <u>1</u> Points: <u>30</u>
#2 Name: <u>Prito</u>	Ranks: <u>22</u> Points: <u>29</u>
CON	
CON Team Name/Code:	1st Team 2nd Team
#1 Name: <u>Lewrick</u>	Ranks: <u>4</u> Points: <u>27</u>
#2 Name: <u>M</u>	Ranks: <u>3</u> Points: <u>28</u>
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.	
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u>	Team/Code: <u>BASIS San Antonio Sha</u>

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Smooth Clear Reading Don't argue during Cross
Why did you not mention that you don't advocate for removal
of Kent control?

Comments for CON debaters:

Ready of case was choppy at 1st - know your case
M - Your response repetitive also your flow needs clean up
Why did you

Where are your cards on 6th critique?

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

MRH/AH - Pro
Dischmitt - Pro
Kent Control - Pro
Decrease Homlessness - Pro

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] TH CM

Room: 2312
Flight A: Start 7:00
PF (Hebron WK vs. Jasper YN)

6

Graves, Victoria

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Hebron WK					
#1	Name: Williams			3	28
#2	Name: Kirk			2	29
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Jasper YN					
#1	Name: Nie			1	30
#2	Name: Y			4	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO (CON)		Team/Code: Jasper YN			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Kirk good Balance of offense + Defense

Comments for CON debaters:

Good catch of pro case contradicting warrants + claims
Don't argue during cross - Telly them No + then trying to explain something
Doesn't help you.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Gentrification - Con

Demolitions - Con

Homelessness - Con

Aid Low Income - Pro ^{Short term} Long term Con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature] TX City HS