

Room: 1585
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Elkins AP vs. St. John's GY)

5

Li, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins AP</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	
#1	Name: <u>Akbar</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Partap</u>	3	29
#3	Name: <u>Partap</u>	1	30
#4	Name: <u>Partap</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>St. John's GY</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	
#1	Name: <u>Grarza</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Grarza</u>	2	29
#3	Name: <u>Yekell</u>	4	29
#4	Name: <u>Yekell</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Elkins AP</u>	

Con 1st

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Pro 2nd

Comments for PRO debaters:

Grarza - don't like how con in summary didn't address financial times, and pro's topping off luxury home arg. needed to focus on the links to pro offense more

Akbar - good def! in ex on small businesses, would've liked to see more push on how luxury homes not even being built anymore

Yekell - good rebuttal on Polaris, but didn't attack

Partap - dropped attacks on Polaris in rebuttal, leads to

Comments for CON debaters:

badger card about poor and middle in same markets, this links into filtering
- FF small business arg. should've been brought up in reb.

some holes in regards to filtering impact/offense

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

voted Pro because they won small business impact in Pro world w/ links of luxury homes not being built anymore (Fin. times), and scope (rent control only in 4 states)

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

William Li

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1585
Flight B: Start
PF (BASIS San Antonio Sha VP vs. W.B. Ray HH)

5

Li, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: BASIS San Antonio Sha VP		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: Vemulapalli	4	28
#2	Name: Prieto	3	29

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: W.B. Ray HH		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: Harkness	1	30
#2	Name: Hague	2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average		↑
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding		
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.		

Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>	Team/Code: W.B. Ray HH
------------------------------	------------------------

Pro 1st

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Con 2nd

Comments for PRO debaters:

Vemulapalli - be more aggressive in cx, made good point about why would ppl raise rent, repetition is summary occurred, push offense, good pick up on dropped arg.

Harkness - good def. in cx, good FW arg. is summary - for more offense, would've pushed landlords abusive, and -> disc., push demolition more is a summary

Comments for CON debaters:

Prieto - try not to flow through in cx w/ impacts, bring in new evidence, good attacks in rebuttal, address price burdens and refer back to FW you set, in FF you're not telling me how supply of housing will increase (opens up holes in case)

Hague - try not flow through in cx about shoo and takes long time to see benefit, don't concede there may be results in long run, I don't buy the subsidies incentive in con world, would've pushed demolition harms more in FF

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

voted Con, Pro gave FW but failed to uphold it, Pro dropped Austin 18 - demolition -> housing, Con accessed these impacts of displacement and Pro never responded to this, long term benefit in Pro world null w/ this drop. (Pro never tells me ~~why~~ how these houses will come to existence)

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

William Li

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2320
Flight B: Start
PF (Westlake LR vs. Houston Memorial MY)

5

Shivji, Alina

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake LR</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Owen Robertson</u>		2	28
#2	Name: <u>Raun Li</u>		1	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Houston Memorial MY</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Mallesha Maharana</u>		3	28
#2	Name: <u>Jennifer Yu</u>		4	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Westlake LR</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The indicies are not ever responded to. The first response by the neg only takes into account 2 out of 150 inclusionary policy-based areas, which the aff constantly points out. The second response takes data from the 1940 which isn't responsive because as the aff points out most 12 ~~new~~ programs were post-2000.

even if I don't look to that I vote off of
- THE 20% TURN
- density-bonuses

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alina Shivji

Room: 2320
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Strake Jesuit College DC vs. Clements PZ)

5

Shivji, Alina

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Clements Pz</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Aash Patel</u>		1	29
#2	Name: <u>Sheldon Zou</u>		2	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code:		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Neo Curry</u>		4	26
#2	Name: <u>Ethan Dupre</u>		3	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit CD</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle Yes No)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

There's no ~~re~~ responses from the neg regarding the aff's 2nd contention due to a time allocation issue in the neg's summary. So, I have to give the link to jobs to the aff.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Alina Shivji

Room: 1927
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (All Saints Episcopal PT vs. James Bowie WS)

5

Mengisteab, Noah

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: James Bowie WS		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Williams		3 28
#2	Name: Saladin		4 28
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: All Saints PT		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Evan Pan		1 28.5
#2	Name: Bradley Tidwell		2 28.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: All Saints PT	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

you really mishandle the disc in rebuttal
with the dropped price decim. warrants.

CON comments:

- I think I used w/t was is kind
- Price decim. turn was extolled
well in summary.
For not "qualifying" you are
doing some arguing

Comments for CON debaters:

RFD

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

1. Vote NEG

Price decim is the only impact ext in the summary
but that is a) too late b/c 2nd summary abuse and
b) doesn't address the standard analysis.

I don't buy the T skill b/c not fully explained/
in the round.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Duchene
Academy

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1927
Flight B: Start ^{2nd}
PF (St. John's MK vs. LC Anderson CE)

5

Mengisteab, Noah

LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: LC Anderson CE		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Erord		2	28.5
#2				
#3	Name: Conyon		1	28.5
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: St. John's MK		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Moll		3	28.5
#2				
#3	Name: Kondur		4	28.5
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: LC Anderson		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters: RFD:

in line. 2min
becomes a mess/wash b/c of the round.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

2) Bubble → goes AFF & ~~then~~
it becomes worse in the neg world b/c what
actually gets the 7 in people pushed into party
is people defaulting on loans. At that point,
if recession is inevitable, the NEG makes
it worse w/ continuing defaults

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Dichesse Acad.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1592
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Hendrickson HV vs. Westwood CR)

5

Loh, Sam

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Hendrickson</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>V</u>			4	28.5
#2					
#3	Name: <u>H</u>			3	28.5
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>C</u>			2	29
#2					
#3	Name: <u>R</u>			1	29
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.</i>					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Hendrickson HV</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I affirm on the risk that more market rate housing could help the poor move into the city (Blackwell turn)

1) Neg doesn't respond to the warning behind credit score being used to disprop. discriminate against minorities. This is a really blippy turn that sect. 8 is bad but it is extended

2) Aff doesn't respond to homes for tourists so I don't grant entirety of case offense on climate change.

All I'm left with is pretty much the turn on Blackwell on affiants laying up affordable homes

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] HEBLOW

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1592
Flight B: Start
PF (Seven Lakes RG vs. Saint Mary's Hall RT)

5

Loh, Sam

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes RG</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>G</u>			29.5	1
#2	Name: <u>R</u>			29.5	2
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>SMH RT</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>T</u>			27.5	4
#2	Name: <u>R</u>			28	3
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u>		Team/Code: <u>Seven Lakes RG</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- Both please don't spend that much time on Diamond, it really doesn't matter that much
- Aff 2nd - you double turned yourself: Contr. leads to 6 supply, 1 election and RC 6 supply 15% and then read election goal because employment

Comments for CON debaters:

- Respond to the warnings behind turns

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I affirm

- 1) Construction turn on the RC is conceded, I say that rent controls are bad because it decreases construction and lowers affordable home construction

2nd

- Filtering arg is a weak because competing ex claims and neither side does the necessary warning in later speeches
- Neg doesn't extend impact on maintenance, it's not really offense

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1631 (GOTO1633)

Flight B: Start

PF (Colleyville Heritage NW vs. Duchesne Academy of t DL)

5

Feng, Matthew

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville NW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Naveendra</u>		4	28
#2	Name: <u>Wang</u>		1	29.5
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Duchesne DL</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Linbeck</u>		2	29
#2	Name: <u>Duarte</u>		3	28.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Colleyville NW</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I think the con was to respond to evidence to our offense in being housing, but also argued arguments on deregulation and competition gave me reason to believe rent will decrease.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1631 (GOTO1633)
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Clements QW vs. Westlake ZY)

5

Feng, Matthew

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake ZY</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Zhou</u>		4	22.5
#3	Name: <u>Yang</u>		3	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements QW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Qu</u>		2	28.5
#3	Name: <u>Wang</u>		1	28.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Clements QW</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:


ok

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

These are competing arguments for why most countries
or countries; I vote on some evidence of an argument
about pollution poverty.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

 Plen Wang

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1614
Flight B: Start
PF (Westwood MP vs. Eastwood RB)

5

Hooks, Alyssa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

New arguments in Final Focus is not okay.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Eastwood RB		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: B		4 26
#2	Name: R		3 26
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood MP		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: M		2 28.5
#2	Name: P		1 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average			
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Westwood MP	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes, No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

You need to be more directly responsive in cross you elance around the issue with at hurtin you more than it helps you. There are alot of args in the constructive that we never hear again, so you waisted time in constructive. I dont thenew cards you read in summary do anything to help you. Every extension you made was an extension through in k. You have to go line - by - line in PF for all the coverage to be there

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

1- displacement is bad & min handled by the pro
2- subsidies lead to overproduction that triggers a recession, this is the only weighing that happens in the round
3- Poverty is flowing con b/c it's the only risk of sovereignty

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature] DASH

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1614
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Tompkins TS vs. Bellaire DS)

5

Hooks, Alyssa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire DS</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>D</u>		3	28
#2	Name: <u>S</u>		1	295
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Tompkins TS</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>S</u>		2	28
#2	Name: <u>T</u>		4	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Bellaire DS</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (NO))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

know how state vs federal legislature vs state is; also fiat extensions cannot just be in the constructive & FF

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

1- I give the Pro Fiat prior on zoning, it's how they achieve the method for MKH
2- Squo is harmful & this is conceded through the whole round
3- quality of life flows pro, bc there is some risk of solvers
4- Filtering down flows pro really easily bc of data

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alyssa Hooks

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1591
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Lake Travis CP vs. Cinco Ranch TR)

5

Bloss, Brian

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Cinco Ranch TR</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Routray</u>		2	27
#2	Name: <u>Tewary</u>		1	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Lake Travis CP</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Clemmons</u>		3	27
#2	Name: <u>Piwonka</u>		4	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Lake Travis CP</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote on the Ninj evidence and the displacement is worse citing San Francisco and New York and coupled ~~and~~ with the Ahmed evidence. The pro keeps relying on the USA Today and Star Tribune evidence that focuses on Houston but the con ~~has~~ has a lot more evidence in particular on Reddy that answers this back.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Bloss Plano ISD

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1591
Flight B: Start
PF (Westwood FG vs. Tompkins SR)

5

Bloss, Brian

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

The rent housing board does not say explicitly landlords
hose the poor. It says what could happen but not what is.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood FG		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Gunwani		4	28
#2	Name: Freeman		1	30
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Tompkins SR		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Sambaraj		2	29
#2	Name: Rapolu		3	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Westwood FG		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Both sides need to understand HOA's and how they function. There are lots of interesting ways this could work but neither side knows what HOAs are. This means

Comments for CON debaters:

for me to focus on that as a basis of voting would be interventionist and that is something I am not comfortable to do.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote Pro on the dropped Vox add on that gentrification is good for creating policy awareness. The pro wins zoning is a priority and the badger evidence which is the internal link to jump starting massive economic growth which solves globally.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

R. Bloss Plano ISD

Room: 2312
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Houston Lamar NV vs. Seven Lakes CZ)

5

Agho-Otoghile

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Channing
Romo
Winn

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Houston Lamar NV</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
Ranks	Points		
#1	Name: <u>Van Abstrand</u>	3	29
#2	Name: <u>Nelson</u>	1	30

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
Ranks	Points		
#1	Name: <u>Chan</u>	4	29
#2	Name: <u>Zhong</u>	2	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average		↑
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding		
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.		

Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON	Team/Code: <u>Houston Lamar NV</u>
------------------------------	------------------------------------

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- #1 - strong case, ~~wanted~~ more on impacts - good questions!
- #3 - good rebuttals - ~~good~~ good impacts; good job referring to CX questions!
- don't argue during CX
- #1 - good summary would have like a tad more on pro; good explanation on the evidence debate.
- #3 - good final focus - need more on the evidence debate.

Comments for CON debaters:

- #2 - good case - wanted more on links - do not argue during CX!
- #4 - good rebuttal - good analysis - wanted more on impacts. (evidence contradicts a little)
- #2 - good summary - made some good points but ignore the evidence debate. - need to clearly voice arguments
- #4 - good final focus - wanted more on con.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both teams drop thing ~~about~~ during the round.

The ballot goes to the Pro, the Pro wins on Links as well as Impacts. On the Pro flow both teams drop arguments but the Pro did a better job clearly their case and defending it. On the Con flow the con drop some key arguments that the Pro made and never truly address the evidence issue.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Amelia W.

Do Not argue during CX!
Don't make arguments during CX!
- This is for both teams.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2503
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Clements MM vs. Elkins CZ)

5

Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins CZ</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Chang</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		30	1
#3	Name: <u>Zaneer</u>	30	2
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements MM</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Maccio</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		25	3
#3	Name: <u>Marakani</u>	25	4
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Elkins CZ</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No) CON

Comments for PRO debaters:

PRO
1. Really good summary. you made the right extensions and set up an easy FF.

CON
1. your summary didn't cover enough ground. there was a few points you were doing well on that you didn't extend.

Comments for CON debaters:

2. Great rebuttal.

2. Don't be 10 minutes late to round.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

PRO: pro doesn't need to prove specific implementation. I buy the pro evidence saying there are more benefits in their world. Neg doesn't prove sqw good. I buy the idea of building up by the pro. The con tries to extend defense but pro impacts/offense outweighs, I buy PRO offense on tax revenue + statistical impacts. PRO won the def. of globalization

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1602
Flight B: Start
PF (Westwood BK vs. Carroll BB)

5

Dua, Arpit

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Carroll BB</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Eesha Bethi</u>		3	26
#2	Name: <u>Neha Boyapati</u>		4	25
#3				
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood BK</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Elisa Baxter</u>		2	26.5
#2	Name: <u>Mahir Kota</u>		1	27
#3				
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Westwood BK</u>				

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Sp 2) Overall did a great job defending the off

Sp 2) Put great attacks on the con case & had good logical arguments

Comments for CON debaters:

Sp 1) Did a great job during summary

Sp 2) did a great job throughout the whole round & clearly the argument for the pro

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted con off the framework & then
outweighing the pro impact

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Arpit

Room: 1602
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Jasper KM vs. Ysleta CM)

5

Dua, Arpit

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Ysleta CM</u>		1st Team <u>(circled)</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Mariah Mendoza</u>		4	24
#3	Name: <u>Rebecca Candelaria</u>		3	24
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper KM</u>		1st Team <u>(circled)</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Shruti Kotha</u>		2	25
#3	Name: <u>Michelle MA</u>		1	26
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>(circled)</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Jasper KM</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Sp 1) did a good job overall, utilize ex for more reasoning questions

Sp 2) overall did a good job, the rebuttal should've defended the aff & more analysis should've been added to the arguments

Comments for CON debaters:

Sp 1) did a great job defending the neg case

Sp 2) did a good job attacking the pro & putting the burden on them

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted con b/c they defended the pro's biggest offense - increasing supply, leaving the pro w/ no impacts.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Arpit Dua

Room: 1593
Flight B: Start
PF (Seven Lakes NS vs. Bellaire KD)

5

McClure, Mindy

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes NS</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Srivastava</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Neelala</u>	2	30
#3	Name: <u>Neelala</u>	1	30
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire KD</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Sarika Kotha</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Lucy Ding</u>	4	29.8
#3		3	30
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Seven Lakes NS</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

S - great intro speech - strong reasoning
N - great arguments - filtering, displacement + gentrification defense, great passion & urgency
S - nice job reaffirming strength of sources
N - excellent emphasis on solvency & bolstering evidence

Comments for CON debaters:

K - nice redirect
D - clear argument
D - deterioration - good organization + rebuttal D - very poised

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

extremely close round - some of the best I've seen. Ultimately I decided in favor of the Pro. ~~Their~~ Their arguments and evidence about tying new developments to increase assistance for low income were compelling, as well as evidence/argument on gentrification.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Mindy McClure Chaperone

Room: 1593
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Flower Mound MK vs. Jasper YN)

5

McClure, Mindy

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper NY</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Nie</u>		4	17.5
#3	Name: <u>Ye</u>		2	18.1
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Flower Mound MK</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Kotha</u>		3	28
#4	Name: <u>Motupalli</u>		1	28.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Flower Mound MK</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes NO)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con team had much stronger understanding of real estate investments.
Both teams had strong, clear arguments.
Great clash on cross.
Con. stronger examples to prove points.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Mindy McClure Shaperine

Room: 1825
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Grapevine MG vs. Cypress Creek BO)

5

Graves, Victoria

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Cypress Creek BO</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>3</u>	1	30
#2	Name: <u>0</u>	4	27
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Grapevine MG</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>6</u>	2	29
#2	Name: <u>M</u>	3	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/> Team/Code: <u>Grapevine MG</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters: for the pro case with your observation go back and address how you meet your standard/method. Don't argue digress.

Comments for CON debaters: Well done Hey Contentration back to the framework of structural violence cross apply your contention #1 to your 3rd argument against their case. #4(M) good control digress. I keep waiting for you reiterate that the housing market is focused on capitalist mindset of profit.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Framework flows Con

Gentrification Con

MRH as Mixed Income Rent Restrictions Renewed

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

TX City HS

Room: 1825
Flight B: Start
PF (Clear Springs BP vs. Plano West LM)

5

Graves, Victoria

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LM</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Lakhani</u>			1	30
#2	Name: <u>Mullassery</u>			4	27

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clear Springs BP</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Boehning</u>			2	29
#2	Name: <u>Pritchard</u>			3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO ☒ CON ☐ Team/Code: Clear Springs BP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☐ No ☒)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Case layout makes sense supports a position on most arguments I've heard on this topic.

Comments for CON debaters:

Both teams avoid using spring co. My paradigms specifically state I don't flow co or weigh it in the round.

Pritchard - try to stay calm.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Education flows Con

Displacement flows Con

Removal of Restrictions vs Removal of Restrictions flows Con

Timeframe:

Advocates for SQ-Con

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] TX City

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min	/
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min	/
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min	/
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min	/
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min	/
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min	/
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min	/
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min	/
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min	/
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min	/
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min	/
2 minutes of prep time per side		

Room: 2311
Flight B: Start
PF (LC Anderson KK vs. Saint Mary's Hall PH)

5

Hunt, Terry
LC /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Saint Mary's Hall PH</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Trey Plante</u>			<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
#3	Name: <u>Sam Hernandez</u>			<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson KK</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Arman Kawoussi</u>			<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
#3	Name: <u>Ari Karchner</u>			<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>St. Mary's Hall PH</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

The focus of the debate is the Pro case -
Pro provides defense of LITC argument.

Comments for CON debaters:

The way to solve for 7.4 million
homes needed is to lift

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

restrictions big ↑ buildings
Pro also wins that shift is moving
to affordable housing

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Tyler Lander /

Room: 2311
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Clements GS vs. St. John's LT)

5

Hunt, Terry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St. John's LT</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Lu</u>			4	27
#2	Name: <u>Tong</u>			3	27.5
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements GS</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Singh</u>			2	28
#2	Name: <u>Nodden</u>			1	28.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>(CON)</u> Team/Code: <u>Clements GS</u>					
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>NO</u>)					

Comments for PRO debaters.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The con controls the debate -
The urban project argument needs a
comparison for me to evaluate.
Poor unable to gain benefits of pro's
world because of arrest records.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Terry Hunt Teacher

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1588
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Coppell BC vs. Colleyville Heritage CR)

5

Kim, Dohun

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Colleyville Heritage CR		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Padandt			2	29
#2	Name: Collins			1	29.1
#3					
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: COPPELL BC		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Shabbir Bohni			4	28.5
#2	Name: Sahil Chiniwala			3	28.6
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.</i>					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: COLLEYVILLE CR			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Middle class serves as a buffer.

Risk of improving education solving poverty means PRO is preferable.

Price Ripping needed more time in the Final Focus to be a sufficient reason to vote CON.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Dohun Kim

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1588
Flight B: Start
PF (Bellaire ZS vs. Plano West LW)

5

Kim, Dohun

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West LW		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Lin		2	29.3
#2	Name: Wei		1	29.5
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Bellaire ZS		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Michelle Sun		4	28.7
#2	Name: Arianna Zhao		3	28.9
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: PLANO WEST LW		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Disconnect between summary and final Focus warrants in CON's speeches. No responses to LIHTC fails arguments made consistently throughout the debate. Getting rid of zoning regulations (S).

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Dohun Kim

Room: 1921
Flight B: Start
PF (Tompkins WW vs. Strake Jesuit College DD)

5

Holland, Robey

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit DD</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Joseph Donowho</u>		3	29.6
#2	Name: <u>Joseph Dowdall</u>		2	29.7
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>TOMPKINS WW</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>CHUMENG WANG</u>		4	29.4
#2	Name: <u>ELYSIA WU</u>		1	29.7
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/>		Team/Code: <u>Tompkins WW</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I negate. Incredibly close debate.

1) American U Ev - concession that 99.6% of low income Americans can't afford Market Rate housing is damning for the AFF. Even if I grant the zoning deregulation link, I don't see clear solvency.

2) Displacement - Con wins the link to displacement.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Robey Holland - Plano West

the most believable impact @ the end of the round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1921
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Bellaire LF vs. Vista Ridge KD)

5

Holland, Robey

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire LF</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Fan</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		2	29
#3	Name: <u>Lin</u>	3	29
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Vista Ridge KD</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Daherkar</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		1	29
#3	Name: <u>Kelweker</u>	4	28
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Vista Ridge KD</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

PRO: I negate

Zoning Low Probability - The round comes down to whether the ALF has access to zoning law repeal. This is critical because it would give access to obfuscate on both sides of the flow. Unfortunately, 0/3 cards read in round indicate any probability for this policy being passed, thus

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Eden Holland Plan West

the clearest place to vote is on the small business impact won by the con.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1827
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Hebron WK vs. Coppell MP)

5

Revote, Emil

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Coppell MP</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Paratpur</u>		1 30
#2	Name: <u>Muthukumar</u>		3 29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Hebron WK</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Williams</u>		2 30
#2	Name: <u>Kirk</u>		4 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Coppell MP</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

@ Kirk - don't "shh" your opponent.

@

Other wise, excellent round all around

Comments for CON debaters:

@ Muthukumar: 1st opponent given name.

@

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Extremely close round. However, upon reading the cards presented, the Pro wins on Badger, who re gentification argument on the Con (dropped in summary), the Con was reliant on having designated in Hypo VI, however, Hypo VI was true in 1997, at least 20 years diff. Badger card allows for promotion w/o the risks posted by the Con.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

If the con had better attacked the performance, not dropped gentification, it would have been an easy win for the con.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1827
Flight B: Start
PF (Bellaire PW vs. Clements GN)

5

Revote, Emil

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire PW</u>					
#1	Name: <u>LUCY WU</u>			3	30
#2	Name: <u>Alex Pantazopol</u>			2	39
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements GN</u>					
#1	Name: <u>DEWA GROYAL</u>			4	30
#2	Name: <u>SANJA NIKALANI</u>			1	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Clements GN</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No) No

Comments for PRO debaters:

Wu @ Look at Judge

@ Pro

Cards took ridiculously long to load.

If this is a recurring problem w/ evidence, please have cards printed or pre-loaded.

Card check

50% decrease / Ramsey

Card?

Comments for CON debaters:

Good @ Look at Judge

Con ~~Pro~~ @ repetitive CX

Other than that, excellent debate round.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Since a framework (short vs long term) was not decided upon in the round, I had to give the round to the CON. while the pro had better evidence for long term side, the ~~pro~~ con ~~side~~ provided short & long term losses. The con could have won through long term focus & zoning laws instead of time spent on filtering, which was a losing argument.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2303
Flight B: Start
PF (LC Anderson BJ vs. Clements JR)

5

Daugherty, Jonat

LC /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Anderson BJ</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Ananthi Jayaraman</u>		1	29
#2	Name: <u>Robin Brinkmann</u>		3	28
#3				
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements JR</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Abhay Rao</u>		4	28
#2	Name: <u>John</u>		2	28
#3				
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Anderson BJ</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- I probably would have found your arguments more persuasive if there were fewer.

Comments for CON debaters:

- The summary extensions were rough info, I would like less words in final speeches but more contextualization and selling the thesis into priority. It seemed like you played the pro game of as many args as possible and I thought they won that game.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - I vote Pro. The weight of evidence convinced me that MRH ^{controls} better ~~the~~ the internal link into poverty. The filtering argument never got a direct response that I understood, and the pro flowed through that the free market ~~best~~ solves the housing shortage. The con extended rent increases ~~not~~ ^{lux housing/ SV} but I didn't think that properly interacted with what the pro was saying. The pro had some analysis on lux houses that made sense to me but the con just extended thru it.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: John Daugherty Vista Ridge

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2303
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Westlake DR vs. Westwood SX)

5

Daugherty, Jonat

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake DR		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Anisha Reddy			3	28
#2	Name: Yasmine Daaghestani			1	30
#3					
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood SX		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Singh			2	29
#2	Name: Xiao			4	28
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Westlake DR			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

- Good job focusing on the right things in rebuttal, I thought the time allocation was spot on.

Comments for CON debaters:

- The pro just seemed ready to defend that inclusionary zoning was their ground, I would have liked you to engage with that early and often, I think you had the right args its their allocation.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD- I vote Pro, the pro convinced me the inclusionary zoning ~~was~~ together with market rate housing was fair pro ground. In my view this allowed the pro to control the internal link into poverty and homelessness.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jonathan Daugherty Vista Ridge

Room: 2305
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (LC Anderson EC vs. Kempner BS)

5

Ali, Raheena
LC /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Anderson EC		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Cho		4 30
#2	Name: Erard		3 30
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Kempner BS		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Benitez		1 30
#2	Name: Solonik		2 30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: Kempner BS	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Not enough weighing done. so many logical fallacies w/ filtering... Why are we in a "housing crisis" if filtering is as effective as you say it is. Mortgages IMO don't grant you enough offense.

Comments for CON debaters:

Great speeches! Try to do more analysis overall.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

3 million homes bill & exclusionary zoning both granted enough offense for me to feel comfortable voting neg.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Raheena Ali / *Ali / hired*

Room: 2305
Flight B: Start
PF (Westlake RW vs. Clements CH)

5

Ali, Raheena

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake RW		1st Team <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2nd Team <input type="radio"/>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Robinson		2	30
#2				
#3	Name: Wehring		4	28
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Clements CH		1st Team <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2nd Team <input type="radio"/>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: CHEN		1	30
#2				
#3	Name: HUANG		3	29.5
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/>		Team/Code: CLEMENTS CH		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No ☐)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Need stronger responses and better warranting on arguments.

Comments for CON debaters:

Need more analysis and weighing overall.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted on displacement impact through bulldozing.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Raheena Ali / Hind

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:		Duchesne BD		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name:	Blanton		4	285
#2	Name:	Dube		2	29
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:		Westwood IP		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name:	Iyer		3	29
#2	Name:	Pinjak		1	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/> Team/Code: Westwood IP					

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No ☐)

Comments for PRO debaters: IP - Nice, clean case organization.

2P - Awesome display of topic knowledge, very ready w/ evidence/case.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

FW: Pro consistently argues that they solve both long & short term but Con consistently rejects the short-term claim. So lets look long-term. There are some areas w/ unresolved tension.

1) promoting MRH means going will be taken away, & 2) the match program would be impacted - both are questionable

no remaining offense is

Pro - potentially creating more affordable housing. However, they completely concede that it failed miserably in CA & they worked somewhere & failed off to focus on Boston. So, it worked somewhere & failed

Con - showed this could cause oversupply which was the problem somewhere. housing bubble, which bursts, & major tax shifts leaving low-income w/o housing.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Kristi Z Braley

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1513
Flight B: Start
PF (Colleyville Heritage LW vs. Plano West KR)

5

Braley, Kristi

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West KR</u>		1st Team <u>()</u> 2nd Team <u>()</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Kruger</u>		<u>28</u> 29
#2	Name: <u>Romo</u>		<u>28</u> 28
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville Heritage LW</u>		1st Team <u>()</u> 2nd Team <u>()</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Wei</u>		<u>3</u> 28.5
#2	Name: <u>Li</u>		<u>1</u> 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>()</u> CON <u>()</u>		Team/Code: <u>Colleyville Heritage LW</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

1P - Thanks for gleaming a standard in CX of who ever reduces poverty most.

2P - Avoid talking over opponent in CX - keeps me from understanding you. Work on reading the judge. Final focus was a much better speech because you offered analysis. I had trouble following the 1st speech.

Comments for CON debaters:

1C - I do feel like clash could've been much better; time used more effectively for ~~the~~ more important issues in CX.

2C - Very controlled speaker. Thank you for clear judge adaptation. Easy to follow.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

FW: both agreed to consider who reduces poverty more. ~~Pro~~

It is clear that there is a need for more affordable. What is not clear is if MRH would provide this. Pro is not responsive to Con's argument that they have circular reasoning: they must promote MRH to get rid of regs, then need to get rid of regs to use MRH. Either way, Pro is not able to gain any clear offense, since they don't specifically prove that affirming would completely deregulate the market, since it would not overcome all regs - Houston still having city ordinances over zoning/housing dev. is a case in point. Further, Con gets offense on showing off. would accelerate the lack of housing (San Francisco).

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Kristi L. Braley - All Saints

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2316
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (La Vernia BW vs. Saint Mary's Hall ST)

5

Siddiqui, Omer

La /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St. Marys Hall ST</u>		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Rylan Schendel</u>		1	29
#2	Name: <u>Nicholas Trujillo</u>		2	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>La Vernia BW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Warren</u>		3	28
#2	Name: <u>Bratcher</u>		4	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.</i>				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>St Marys Hall ST</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Said in round

Comments for CON debaters:

Said in round

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - Pro win off inclusionary zoning.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2316
Flight B: Start
PF (Tompkins WT vs. Elkins RN)

5

Siddiqui, Omer

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Tompkins WT</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Tirumalai</u>			4	28
#2	Name: <u>Wang</u>			1	30
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins RN</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Nandolia</u>			3	29
#2	Name: <u>Rafiq</u>			2	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Elkins RN</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: PRO CON) NO

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD voted off impacts of displacement from gentrification

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2315
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Jasper IS vs. Cypress Woods BM)

5

Siddiqui, Fawaz

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Cypress Woods BM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Ben</u>		4	285
#2	Name: <u>M</u>		1	29
#3				
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper IS</u>		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Sh</u>		3	285
#2	Name: <u>I</u>		2	29
#3				
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Cypress Woods BM</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

oral.

Comments for CON debaters:

oral.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD:

1.) Pro controls internal links in the round of increasing supply, allowing them to garner the impacts.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Hired.

Room: 2315
Flight B: Start
PF (Strake Jesuit College WC vs. Plano West SZ)

5

Siddiqui, Fawaz

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West SZ</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>2</u>		<u>7</u>	<u>28</u>
#2	Name: <u>5</u>		<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>
#3				
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit WC</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>W</u>		<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
#2	Name: <u>C</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
#3				
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Plano West SZ</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

oral.

Comments for CON debaters:

oral.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RED:

1) I vote off the overview of inclusivity pruning

2) I buy ELPFA

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Fawaz Siddiqui Alief Taylor High School

Room: 2314
Flight B: Start
PF (Jasper TV vs. Saint Mary's Hall MW)

5

Wang, Daniel

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper TV</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Suchir Venkappalli</u>		4	27.7
#2	Name: <u>Mitchell Tharsell</u>		3	28.4
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Saint Mary's Hall MW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Walls</u>		2	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Menendez</u>		1	28.8
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>St. Mary's Hall MW</u>				

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Case: This gun violence link is weird. It needs to be way more clear in case. Also, the Schuman evidence does not come close to what you claim that it says. That's some hard-power-tagging.

Summary: You CANNOT go for everything in summary as you're spread out way too thin. On prop. taxes, there wasn't an impact extended. For gun violence, no warrant for how NAH ↓ gun violence. There's very little offense coming out of summary

Comments for CON debaters:

RC turns were a viable option // Stanford stuff

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- AFF does not extend solvency for gun violence in summary / property tax impacts in either PF/summary so of offense there

- NEG has some risk of offense. Even if they only solve ~38/100 affordable housing, concession of Evans means that at least some people are being helped.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Ali Key / Stroke Surgeon

Room: 2314
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Flower Mound VV vs. Central ML)

5

Wang, Daniel

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Central ML</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Uverett</u>		3	27.8
#2	Name: <u>Molina</u>		4	27.5
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Flower Mound VV</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Vumma di Sing</u>		1	28.6
#2	Name: <u>Vishnoi</u>		2	28.6
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Flower Mound VV</u>				

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Impact: Case: Unsure if Rent Control is Topical under the AFF. AFF definitely needs concrete solvency mechanisms.

Rebuttal: Need evidence here. When you go down their case, make substantive responses that are coded to respond. Moreover, on your case, frontline their responses instead of just restating them.

Overall: I think y'all let the NEG get away w/ too much. You let them ^{contextualize} ~~define~~ that HRR would be lux. housing which is a clean link into gentrification. Conceding that also means lots of AFF solvency.

Comments for CON debaters:

Rebuttal: Overall, good coverage here // turns were fine + pointing out RC NA + then turning it was strategic.

Summary: Good job overall. Should have extended NC turns case analysis on social mobility; i.e. the org that is we win gentrification, that turns the AFF's social mobility org.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- AFF did not have any offense at the end of the round
 - Summary # FF did not extend offense
 - RC is NA at the end of the round
- NEG has the clean 400% turn about prices spiking
- NEG also wins link into gentrification + 2 conceded impacts

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Dan Wang / Stroke Surgeon

Room: 2343
Flight B: Start
PF (Plano West AW vs. Strake Jesuit College SD)

5

Stubbs, Andy

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.


PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit SD		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Shah		3 29
#2	Name: Day		2 29.5
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West AW		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Brandon Wang		1 29.5
#2	Name: Nihar Annam		4 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Strake JS	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The top level of norm-setting resolves off because the warranting is clearer as to new small school benefits post norm setting

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:  STS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2343
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Flower Mound GM vs. Dulles LC)

5

Stubbs, Andy

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Dulles CL</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Chang</u>			3	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Li</u>			1	29.5
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Flower Mound GM</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Colton Moraine</u>			4	28
#2	Name: <u>Nikhil Guddati</u>			2	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Dulles CL</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The round collapses down into the link level of the supply argument. I think the Redmond analysis is true & not contested. But I think that the UCLA evidence is better than Boles & Stein. This, plus the lack of weighing on the risk level makes it hard to resolve the debate. UCLA's comparative vs Redmond is not, so I think the link level goes pro

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] SJS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1581
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (McNeil YS vs. All Saints Episcopal SH)

5

Day, Cara

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>McNeil YS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Yates</u>		4	27
#2	Name: <u>Satish</u>		3	28.2
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>All Saints Episcopal HS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Harrison</u>		2	28.7
#2	Name: <u>Stein</u>		1	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.</i>				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>All Saints Episcopal HS</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

AF summary literally goes for no offense - you spend way too much time on frontlines and not enough on case/turns and implicating offense.

Comments for CON debaters:

Summary needs to extend case offense better, not just do it in the last 10 secs of summary.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con functionally has no offense left except a turn that's not properly extended and was frontlined.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Cara C. [Signature] straler

Room: 1581
Flight B: Start
PF (Plano West HJ vs. Westlake DS)

5

Day, Cara

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake DS</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Slimi</u>		3	29
#2	Name: <u>Dintzner</u>		1	30
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West HJ</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Huang</u>		2	29.2
#2	Name: <u>Tianer</u>		4	28.7
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>(PRO) CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Westlake DS</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Miles, your coverage in rebuttal is insanely good. Best rebuttal I've heard in a while. Ali, your summary does what it needs to, but I think both of you need to work on crystallization in late-round speeches and also weighing.

Comments for CON debaters:

You guys are both good, but I think argument interaction was something that was lacking in the round i.e. how your OV functions to ^{answer/amplify} gentrification.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote on the filtration argument and the Burdellow analysis that says ^{move unit prevalence} offsets land costs even if they go up. I also think the Hertz analysis isn't frontlined that says net displacement decreased by 20%.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Cara C Day / Strake Jesuit

Room: 1594
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Klein GI vs. Clements LN)

5

Phelps, Russel

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements LN		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Lin			3	27.5
#2	Name: Nagaraj			1	28
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Klein GI		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Gunda			4	27
#2	Name: Irfan			2	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>CL Gmax LN</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

USE TIME BETTER ESPECIALLY IN REBUTALS - EXPLAIN DIFFERENCES
B/W PRO/CON BETTER BE MORE OF DRUG

Comments for CON debaters:

MORE FROM ONE THING TO ANOTHER USE CX TO BUILD UP CASE
NOT RECALL. CHOOSE BETTER OPPOSE. SHORTEN UP ON GRAND RR

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

THERE IS MORE OPPOSE BY SPEAKER AT THE END OF
THE ROUND. THERE IS AT LEAST SOME EVIDENCE
OR PEOPLE BEING ASKED TO MOVE INTO LUXURY HOUSE

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Russel Phelps

Room: 1594
Flight B: Start
PF (Plano West LR vs. Cinco Ranch DM)

5

Phelps, Russel

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code:		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Dial			4	28
#2	Name: Mohn			3	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code:		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Rao			2	29
#2	Name: Liong			1	29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO		CON		Team/Code: PLR	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

BETTER TIME ALLOCATION IS ESSENTIAL DO NOT GET BOGGED DOWN AT WORST GROUP NEG + GIVE MULTIPLE ARGS. I think your 20 on Chicago is probably better. Focus in the you would cause a 1 in prior b/c teams b/c so away

Comments for CON debaters:

SPEND MORE TIME ON YOUR CONCEDED CASE TO MAKE IT CLEARER- USE DROPS TO CROSS APPLY TO APP

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

CASE OF CON IS CONCEDED. REASONS FOR LINKS TO APP ARE SERIOUSLY HURTING THE ABILITY TO CLAIM IMPACTS B/C HAVE TO REPLACE EXISTING + SEPARATE REASONS TO NEED TO BUILD LUXURY ARE CONCEDED

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature]

Room: 2331
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Bellaire TC vs. Tascosa BB)

5

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Chen</u>		2	20
#3	Name: <u>Tu</u>		1	28

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Tascosa BB SP</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Douglas Bowmer</u>		4	27
#3	Name: <u>Elisa Burnett</u>		3	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Bellaire TC

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

BFD: Zoning and
filtering solve poverty;

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2331
Flight B: Start
PF (Dripping Springs FA vs. Hendrickson GY)

5

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Dripping Springs FA</u>		1st Team <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>French</u>		<u>4</u>	<u>29</u>
#2	Name: <u>Aziz</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>29</u>
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Hendrickson GY</u>		1st Team <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Galan</u>		<u>2</u>	<u>30</u>
#2	Name: <u>Yedavalli</u>		<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input checked="" type="radio"/>		Team/Code: <u>Hendrickson GY</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: No aff solvency
extended. Ben4 Control
Solves and MIZIT
makes everything
worse

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2317
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Bellaire BR vs. Flower Mound WS)

5

Crist, Jerry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Busaidy</u>		<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
#3	Name: <u>Rannabhadran</u>		<u>1</u>	<u>29.5</u>
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Flower Mound</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Shah</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>28.5</u>
#3	Name: <u>Winter</u>		<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Bellaire BK</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Better explained and researched case
- Filtering
- zoning

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2317
Flight B: Start
PF (Westlake YW vs. Plano West OR)

5

Crist, Jerry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West OR</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Noah Ogata</u>		3	29.5
#2	Name: <u>Viswajith Rajagopalan</u>		1	30
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Preston Williams</u>		4	29.4
#2	Name: <u>Xuechen Yin</u>		2	29.6
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Plano West OR</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Makes sense that developers will maximize use of property by building high densities. I like the 80% evidence.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Tough round to judge - Both teams are excellent. Both cases are well written and researched. I ultimately vote for Plano West because their evidence is more recent (luxury housing); lack of supply; tax incentives.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2364
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Lovejoy SL vs. Westwood FW)

5

Allen, Ashley

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Lovejoy SL		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Sipes		4	30
#2	Name: Larson		3	30
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood FW		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Freund		1	30
#2	Name: Wang		2	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: Westwood FW		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- Andrews wasn't a strong enough warrant. Recession is a huge impact, needed the warrants.
- Courtright should of been more expanded on, it was your only offense on warrants.
- Final focus.
- Double bird him on filtering, either ~~aff~~ there is more affordable houses going to happen or it doesn't work?

Comments for CON debaters:

- *When asking for cards, don't talk about them or comment to the opponents. ~~Just read~~ Just read them.
- *The Overview for capitalism wasn't necessary.
- *Always do impact analysis in FF.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

It was a very ~~hard~~ hard decision but it came down to a risk of solvency for Con. Con. has a really good and unique case by solving for some of the harms that the Pro argues, by doing nothing. Con wins on gentrification. Pro needed to do more work on deregulation. Pro seemed to do more defense, than offense. Con wins across ~~egs~~ to impacts that Pro advocates for.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Veepin Mung: /Hired

Room: 2364
Flight B: Start
PF (Strake Jesuit College NH vs. Lake Travis MW)

5

Allen, Ashley

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Lake Travis MW</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Morford</u>		3	30
#2	Name: <u>Wynne</u>		1	30
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit College NH</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Nguyen</u>		4	30
#2	Name: <u>Hong</u>		2	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Lake Travis MW</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Awsome constructive ! Very organized and easy to flow. Rebuttal touched everything, well.

Comments for CON debaters:

Both really great speakers.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Good round. Voted for pro on gentrification arguments and FW overall. De-regulation would help with lowering labor costs and more houses. Con drops many arguments, but the Thorsby was good till they provide a better solution. Both teams need to work on impact analysis.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Wesley Murray / Hired

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2301
Flight B: Start
PF (Claudia Taylor "Ladyb MP vs. Jasper CK)

5

Siddiqui Hamza

Rafay

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper CK</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Chen</u>		3	28
#2	Name: <u>Kumar</u>		1	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Claudia Taylor Ladyb</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Patel</u>		4	28
#2	Name: <u>Makani</u>		2	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Jasper CK</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

good job guys

Comments for CON debaters:

good job guys.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote pro due to their risk of offense on building affordable homes and how they benefit in the con's world as well. Con's main argument was directed at low income people's & pro showed risk of offense on the con.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Rafay

Judge

Room: 2301
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Vista Ridge FA vs. LC Anderson BF)

5

Siddiqui Hamza

Refay LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Vista Ridge</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Abdullah</u>			3	28
#2	Name: <u>Fuller</u>			2	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Foster</u>			4	28
#2	Name: <u>Built</u>			1	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>(CON)</u> Team/Code: <u>LC Anderson BF</u>					

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- excellent signposting before the speech and throughout it as well
- overall good job

Comments for CON debaters:

- excellent signposting before & throughout speech
- overall good job

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote con due to like evidence & the # of lives betrayed otherwise pro's. Also, I thought nimble argument was nonumnae so I didn't weigh it throughout the round. Overall good job.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Refay Judge

Room: 2313
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Jasper KS vs. Westlake XX)

5

Fung, Anson

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper KS					
#1	Name: Shuter			1	28.9
#2					
#3	Name: Kamalakantha			2	28.9
#4					
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Westlake XX					
#1	Name: Dodgin			3	28.8
#2					
#3	Name: Sigel			4	28.8
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: JASPER KS			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for ~~PRO~~ debaters.

+0.1 both good job

Great I know this round will end up w/weighing again @ 1st rebuttal.

How does the saturation arg not conflict w/ the turn?

Why you no weigh? Also the defense on NEG CID in summary no good b/c you

Comments for ~~CON~~ debaters:

forget turn! Where is weighing on strength of link, etc???

-The OV is misguided b/c its not about how to ~~put~~ eval pov but the init link

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both teams were like big politicians debating on big stage.

Good job! Weighing from CON not sufficient b/c whomever

controls link to pov wins. I get conceded defense on

NEG and good energy ext from PRO. I vote PRO

on 150% supply and the pov impact.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Anson Fung / STRAKE JESUIT

Room: 2313
Flight B: Start
PF (Plano West GM vs. Colleyville Heritage SL)

5

Fung, Anson

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West GM</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Gundam</u>		1	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Maween</u>		2	28.5
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville SL</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Lee</u>		3	28.3
#2	Name: <u>Shadman</u>		4	28.2
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Plano West GM</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Summary strat on C1 of CON could be smarter.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both teams were like big politicians on big stage debating!
Good job! Pretty easy AFF ballot. C1 on NEG to link and then conceded/nominate on (2). AFF only got for (2) in FF which is the least testy loss. and then no real conceder defense. I vote PRO.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Ansony / STRAKE JESUIT

Room: 2312
Flight B: Start
PF (Jasper LY vs. Westwood KS)

5

Agho-Otoghile

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood SK		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: Sun	4	29
#2	Name: Kulkarni	2	29.2
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Jasper LY		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: Ye	3	29.1
#2	Name: Li	1	29.3
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Jasper LY			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I negate --

- 1) ~~Free-market~~ Free-market - supply is (hardly) changed, so no price ~~and~~ changes
- 2) Misra - there's necessarily a tradeoff between market-rate housing and other forms
- 3) Utah + Permian - job shoring eastern development

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Klein High School

Room: 1641
Flight B: Start
PF (Colleyville Heritage FB vs. Westwood MR)

5

ERARD
~~Siddiqui, Rafay~~

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood RM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Mirza</u>		4 28.5
#2			
#3	Name: <u>Ramaswamy</u>		2 29.4
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville Heritage FB</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Samath Bhat</u>		1 29.5
#2			
#3	Name: <u>Noah Francis</u>		3 28.8
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Colleyville FB</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Oral RPD after round.

Essentially Pro solvency through sole contention of zoning, as "necessary" for market rate (or rather "impossible" without) was warranted and evidenced in specific scenario of one city and "loosely" referenced in general

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: ERARD

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1641
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Plano West FZ vs. Klein WZ)

5

ERARD
Siddiqui, Rafay

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Klein WZ		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Andy Zhou		3129.2
#2	Name: Brandon Wu		4329.5
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: PLANO WEST FZ		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: MICHAEL ZHANG		229.7
#3	Name: JON FAN		1 30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Plano West FZ	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

regions. Filtering was dropped by Pro for preferred Contentions and in final analysis I felt their wasn't a solvency by Pro that wasn't

Comments for CON debaters:

burdened by Con's evidence.

Excellent Round!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Outstanding round. Head and shoulders above any I have heard on this topic. Very challenging for me to sort through everything discussed. Ultimately I felt the evidence of "Bay Area" new construction evidence was too territory specific for US wide application, that Trump tax cuts "exasperating" the situation was speculative as to final impact and more importantly that Con effectively

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

rebuttled Contentions of Aff by giving evidence that tax credits are at risk and that some development displaces and gentrifies certain

Room: 1583
Flight B: Start
PF (James Bowie BM vs. Clements GK)

5

Daugherty, Tim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: James Bowie BM		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Bellman		2	19
#2	Name: Maedgen		4	28.5
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Clements GK		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Kalvakuntla		1	30
#2	Name: Gandhi		3	29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Clements GK				

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted for the CON based on how they showed that poverty-level or low income people, specifically minorities, would be negatively affected by MRH and how up-zoning was not going to benefit those at most risk of displacement

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Lovejoy H.S.
Teacher / Coach

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1583
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Westwood TV vs. Seven Lakes SM)

5

Daugherty, Tim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes SM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Samarth</u>		1	30
#2	Name: <u>Manjal</u>		3	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood TV</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Velani</u>		4	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Tehrani</u>		2	29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Seven Lakes SM</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted for the PRO based off of their long term impacts, providing more hearing for displaced & homeless and I wasn't convinced by the definition argument.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Tim Daugherty
Lovejoy H.S.
Teacher / Coach

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Strake AJ</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Ali Fatah</u>		3	27
#3	Name: <u>Zain Ashraf</u>		1	29
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood LS</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Frank Lai</u>		4	27
#2	Name: <u>Krishna Shamanna</u>		2	28
#3				
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Strake AJ</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

What a mess... This is what I mean when I say I hate it when y'all leave a lot to me.

1) My response to Alif's offense it was by vaguely grouping two together, then ~~focus~~ over subdivided which is decent. most of

Comments for CON debaters:

2NC = spent over LHTC.

a). Con, I can't believe y'all left 2AR of a corruption arg.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

B/c of that, I know how to ask whether corruption is good in this case if it does prove. On bones, however, this leaves me @, ~~that~~ can frozen morality... In the end, even if corruption is good, it isn't that effective as a position.
- b/c this is not component of debate/plan off, can take logic

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

more: str of links/PW = PRO, data from con = "steal" and to be pos about LHTC.

Room: 2318
Flight B: Start
PF (Westlake HL vs. St. John's WM)

5

Hoang, Keith

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St. John's WM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	
#1	Name: <u>Moore</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
#3	Name: <u>Waters</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake HL</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	
#1	Name: <u>Ho</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
#3	Name: <u>LUO</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>west lake HL</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- Pro's main issue is that they don't explicitly say suburban = bad, they only say "it's not as bad" and "we'll stay blt suburb" (essentially to stay topical). Further, ^{suburb} was asked throughout CX, but no clash until the last second card... which if read completely...

Comments for CON debaters:

- Regardless of speed, this was one of the more organized debates and I appreciate that... even w/ 2 overviews.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- Hard to buy long term FW when con presents generational pov, and suburb as an issue now, further, I think con wins long term FW this way.
- ~~Courtwright~~ ~~can~~ ~~budget~~ ~~can~~ was conversions of bad, Courtwright is Harvard going on top of everything.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____