Room: 1592
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Clements GN vs. Westlake LR)

4   **McClure, Mindy**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
   Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements GN	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2) Name: Rewa Goyal		27.3	4
#3 (#4) Name: Sonia Ninalani		27.5	3

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Westlake LR	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2 Name: Owen Robertson		27.7	2
(#3) #4 Name: Rachel Li		28	1

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | Team/Code: *Westlake LR*

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

good arguments on both sides, however the con side had a more clear and compelling case for why high end development is continuing. They emphasized their reasoning through out the development of their case in a very effective way.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *Mindy McClure Grapevine*

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2313
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Seven Lakes SM vs. Bellaire ZS)

4   **Panella, Brenda**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes SM		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Samarth			4	29
#3 #4	Name: Amanjul			3	29

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Bellaire ZS		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Sun			2	29
#3 #4	Name: Zhao			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Bellaire ZS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes /No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Pro- both of you were too fast on summary & final focus. If I can't understand it, I'm not going to note it.

Comments for CON debaters:

Both teams did an amazing job in this debate. In regard to presentation, stay away from words/phrases such as "like", "cool", and "yeah sure". Both teams were guilty of reading the names/years from cards too fast. I'm not sure if I got the names right on the cards I'll be voting on today.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This debate was incredibly hard to vote on. Both teams are strong debaters.

I did like the Con evidence from Levin citing "construction is 20% more expensive due to labor cost" and then the different examples of this in NY and San Francisco, but I didn't see how this linked to the Pro's case. It's more of an obstacle than a disadvantage.

Resolution = Resolved: The United States should promote the development of market rate housing in urban neighborhoods.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ B. Panella / N/A _____

Two pieces of evidence persuaded me to choose Con. ① (sigh) lobbyist prevent new construction of housing. => this card would be a slam dunk if it were directly speaking of urban neighborhoods. ② LIHTC (low-income housing tax credit) is two times more effective than market rate Housing (Brookings institute).

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1602
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Cinco Ranch DM vs. Dulles LC) Neg. 2nd

4

Farris, Ben

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

P 1) Dallas   #2 C Chang
F 2) Mohn   #2 L Li

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
 Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Cinco Ranch DM		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Dallas		4	28
#3 #4	Name: Mohn	3	2 2	29

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Dulles LC		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Chang		3	28
#3 #4	Name: Li	8	1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

4

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Cinco Ranch DM

Low Point Win? (Circle: (Yes) No)

Comments for PRO debaters: Good grasp of structure of debate as well as the context in which arguments are made. Made strong call backs + refferrals to what arguments or evidence you were refuting. In the 2nd pro speech it was mentioned, that Rent control share of market is low... Is this a financial percent or a quanity one. From Neg case it sounds like a financial worth of market and not quantitative as low income housing with high occupancy seem to lose many units in exchange for fewer single family home units.

Comments for CON debaters: good use of following up opponents questions with clarifying questions I would have liked to see more direct reference to arguments applied to by by speakers? Speed was impressive, coupled with emotional timbre of voice it was sometimes difficult to follow.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): I don't buy that policy makers wouldn't be able to make a Δ for dezoning or loosening regulations, even if its a sensible plan because they "don't think like we do" If policy rejects dezoning it may be more in line with policy of protectionism and unwillingness to change status quo, or lobby interests. Both sides "cherry pick" example at cities that showcase the Δ's your side would like to promote be it Portland (where my family is from) or Houston where I live. Regulations do raise costs, but there are a point to them. I would have like Neg to challenge not the probability but the merit of removing regulation for solely financial reason. I buy the filtering arguments of both sides. That it is conditional and beneficial but filtering is a 2 way street nd the benefit of trickle down is going to outweigh the filtering up in a supplied MRH ↑600M. I don't think Aff is only replacing units destroyed and their Reed card was very strong support of their case. It mitigates much of the short term harm impact

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *Ben Farris*, Clements

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

neg said something about 5 years to build houses. → Not sure as to why (neg to short term suffering?) but houses can be built far faster than that. Bean evidence + the 5% lowers only getting 0.5% price reduction hurt Neg case credibility.

Room: 1827
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Jasper IS vs. Westlake RW)

4   **Canezo, Jon Carl**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Jasper IS		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	Showkath			3	27
#3 #4	Name:	Iyer			4	26

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Westlake RW		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	Robinson			1	28
#3 #4	Name:	Wehring			2	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: ~~PRO~~ (CON)   Team/Code: Westlake RW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:
Be mindful of your time, Iyer. You tend to not keep track and you were over by 15 seconds in final focus. Overall, I had some issues with your rebuttles being very repetitive and similar, don't need to go line by line if you're going to say the same thing (i.e. you say market rate housing being luxury is a good thing, instead take that time to explain it more in depth).

Comments for CON debaters:
• I would have liked to see more of the impact ~~~~ calculus (magnitude) that you briefly touch up on.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
RFD: ~~~~ Ultimately, the conversion and land scarcity argument kills most of MRH arguments about supply because they're largely unanswered, and while filtering does happen, conversion also means that there is not net increase so it won't happen on the scale as the Con says

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ St. John's

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1827
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Central ML vs. Hendrickson GY)

4   **Canezo, Jon Carl**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO			Ranks	Point
PRO Team Name/Code: Central ML		1st Team 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Leverett		3	27
#3 #4	Name: Molina		4	26

CON			Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Hendrickson GY		1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name: Galan		1	28
#3 #4	Name: Yedavalli		2	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Hendrickson GY

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Interesting take, you ~~o~~ emphasize development of any housing, which is unique! But do be mindful of why MRH is unique instead of rent controlled, supply in general is good but why does it need to be MRH?

Comments for CON debaters:

Do a bit more work on weighing arguments instead of only giving competing claims

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: The CON is ultimately right in the sense that, yes while greater supply is good, MRH specific housing is not unique. PRO doesn't really provide or elaborate on the benefits of MRH specifically.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ St. John's

Room: 1825
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Westwood LS vs. Saint Mary's Hall PH)

4

Crist, Jerry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Westwood		1st Team	(2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name:	Jai			3	29
#3 #4	Name:	Lhamanna			1	30

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Saint Mary		(1st Team)	2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	Plante			4	28.5
#3 #4	Name:	Hernandez			2	29.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

4

Winning Team: **PRO** CON | Team/Code: Westwood LS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

(Overview) The monopoly argument is very poorly explained. Your "rent control leads to more housing" argument is counterintuitive. Doesn't make sense.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
I much pro case dealing with zoning! Houston affordability is a good argument.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jerry Crist Teacher

Room: 1825
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Dripping Springs FA vs. W.B. Ray HH)

4

Crist, Jerry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner __persuasive__ to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support __prior__ arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Dripping Sp				(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	French			2	28.5
#3 #4	Name:	Cris			1	29

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Ray				1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name:	Harkness			3	28
#3 #4	Name:	Hague			4	27.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Dripping Springs FA

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Pro is advocating the free market. Con doesn't seem to understand basic law of supply and demand. I vote pro because they have a better grasp on the topic along with better evidence

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jerry Crist Teacher

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2503
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Westlake ZY vs. Tompkins SR)

4   **Graves, Victoria**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake ZY		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 (#2)	Name: Zhou			2	29
#3 (#4)	Name: Y			4	27

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Tompkins SR		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
(#1) #2	Name: S			1	30
(#3) #4	Name: R			3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: Tompkins SR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Case was good - some Details seemed time filly but it was concise. Zhou good questions in cross. Thank you for not wasting your time be arguing.

[Comments for CON debaters:]
Good Clear Ready of Case - Set up make sense - eviction argument was new - Thanks

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Poverty solvency - Con
Inclusionary Zoning - Con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ TK City

Room: 2503
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Plano West HJ vs. Duchesne Academy of t DL)

4 **Graves, Victoria**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner *persuasive* to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support *prior* arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Duchesne Acady DL		1st Team / 2nd Team			
#1 / #2	Name:	L			1	30
#3 / #4	Name:	D			3	28

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Plano West HJ		1st Team / (2nd Team)			
#1 / #2	Name:	H			2	29
#3 / #4	Name:	S			4	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Plano West HJ

Low Point Win? (Circle: (Yes) No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
L - Good Clear Ready - work on crossapply your arguments as you ath theirs D - don't argue during cross telly them "No." doesn't help you.

Perhaps I missed the 3rd sub pt or you have 2 sub pt Bs. Be careful with repeady yourself in the 1st Rebuttal. I know you are covg of a lot but you told me the same argument more than once cuts into your time also Don't get quieter

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Low Houss Flows - Con
Gentrification Flow - Con
Housing Crisis Flows — Split
Time Frame Flows - Con
Demolition Flows - Con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ TX City HS

Room: 2312
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Clements JR vs. Strake Jesuit College AJ)

4   **Lakhani, Lizna**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order .

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Clements JR		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	Rao			4	30
#3 #4	Name:	John			3	20

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Strake AJ		1st Team	(2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name:	Jalal			2	30
#3 #4	Name:	Ashraf			1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Strake AJ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters: PRO
1 - Good cx. Nice smary.

Comments for CON debaters: CON
1 - good job. Nice smary + drawing

2 - Really good rebuttal, try to find more insight args and set up the round for vote level on.

2 - I liked the turns but the last 3 were a little corny. A lot of it was the same same so if they thought to attack the evidence itself it would sell

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
RFD - pro doesn't do a good job upholding their offense the squo in time and the neg adequately defend that.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2312
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Clements LN vs. Flower Mound MK)

4

Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Clements LN		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name:	Lin			2	29
#3 #4	Name:	Nagaraj			1	30

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Flower Mound MK		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	Kotha			3	29
#3 #4	Name:	Motupalli			4	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: Clements LN

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters: PRO

1. summary was a little shaky but overall good job.

2. Excellent rebuttal and FF.

Comments for CON debaters:

I really loved summary.

2. lots of good points in the rebuttal but try to focus in only points rather than putting a lot of ink down.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I buy the PRO advocacy. All they have to do is uphold the res, not prove implementation of.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 1927
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (St. John's MK vs. Westwood IP)

4

Shivji, Alina

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: *Westwood IP*		1st Team / 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: *Pingale*			3	28
#3 #4	Name: *Iyer*			4	28

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: *St. Johns MK*		1st Team / 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: *Moll*			2	29
#3 #4	Name: *Konduri*			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO / CON Team/Code: *St. Johns MK*

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): *All of the evidence is short-circuited when the aff doesn't respond to Forbes and Konduri concedes there isn't a bubble*

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1927
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (St. John's LT vs. LC Anderson KK)

4

Shivji, Alina
LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: *Anderson KK*		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: *Arman Kuroussi*			1	28
#3 #4	Name: *Ari Karchmer*			2	28

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: *St. John's LT*		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: *Lu*			4	27
#3 #4	Name: *Tong*			3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** *Anderson KK*
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): All the args in the round come down to whether market-rate housing directs luxury housing or affordable housing. The off gives me at least less regulations which isn't sufficiently responded to by the neg. As a result, it's easy for me to vote off the risk of solvency on the off.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *Alina Shivji*

Room: 2343
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Strake Jesuit College NH vs. Grapevine MG)

4

Ali, Raheena

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Grapevine GM		1st Team / 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Sam Goodman		4	28
#3 #4	Name: Jay Mcclure		3	28

CON			Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit N H		1st Team / 2nd Team		
(#1) #2	Name: Timothy Nguyen		2	29
(#3) #4	Name: Justin Hoang		1	29.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: Strake Jesuit NH

Low Point Win?   (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Great speeches! Need more quantifiable impacts. Specify why companies will build houses not highways.
Try not to yell in round its very unprofessional and unnecessary!

Comments for CON debaters:
Go for Gentrification and have a weighing mechanism of lives!!!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Not good weighing done. Had to Wk off 4 million lives being saved.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Raheena Ali / hired

Room: 2311
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Jasper TV vs. Westwood CR)

4

~~Sinha, Vivek~~

Fawaz S.

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood CR		1st Team **(2nd Team)**	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: C		3	29
#3 #4	Name: R		1	29.5

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Jasper TV		**(1st Team)** 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: V		4	29
#3 #4	Name: T		2	29.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: **(PRO)** CON | **Team/Code:** Westwood CR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **(No)**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Oral

Comments for CON debaters:

Oral.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFO:

· The Pro team gained access to
both frameworks and the impacts
that stemmed off of it.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Hired.

Room: 2311
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Bellaire LF vs. Saint Mary's Hall MW)

4

Sinha, Vivek
Fawaz S.

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Bellaire LF				(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Fan				4	28.5
#3 #4	Name: Lai				1	29

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Saint Mary's Hall MW				1st Team 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: W				2	28.5
#3 #4	Name: M				3	28.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

4

Winning Team: PRO **CON** | **Team/Code:** Bellaire LF

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Oral.

Comments for CON debaters:

Oral.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD:

1.) Filtering down went to the pro.

2.) On an impact calc, Pro outweighed.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Hired.

Room: 2316
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Colleyville Heritage FB vs. Plano West LM)

4

Phelps, Russel

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West LM	(1st Team) 2nd Team		Ranks	Poin
#1 / #2 Name: saher lakhani			3	29
#3 / #4 Name: neha mullassery			1	29.5

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Colleyville Heritage FB	1st Team (2nd Team)		Ranks	Poin
#1 / #2 Name: Samarth Bhat			4	28.5
#3 / #4 Name: Noah Francis			2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Plano West LM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Good Job Remain GX. Extend more impacts good Job Generally Extending Arguments

Comments for CON debaters: Don't let them run ox, Answer warrant earlier. You cherry picked what to argue make sure to cover major issues

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
There is no response to zoning. ~~the~~ Nothing will FF The other answer is at best ~~assuredly~~ NEC only help 25%, and APF helps 75%. Focus in md income is no directly discussed. Lots of lux homes but warrants for ↑ mid levels/rate is Aff

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2316
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Jasper KS vs. All Saints Episcopal SH)

4

Phelps, Russel

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order .

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	*(illegible)*	KAS All Saints HS	1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name:	*(illegible)* Harrison			3	23
#3 #4	Name:	*(illegible)* Stein			2	28.5

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Jasper KS		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	Shfer			4	25
#3 #4	Name:	Kamala Kartha			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

4

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   **Team/Code:** JASPER KS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / No)

Comments for PRO debaters: *CLOSER MORE OF THE NEG, THEY ARE ALLOWED TO DO TOO MUCH WITH ARGUMENTS MAKE SURE TO QUESTION THE EVIDENCE EARLY*

Comments for CON debaters: *DON'T BE SO EVIDENCE DEPENDENT USE ANALYSIS TO ANSWER OR EXPLAIN WHY YOUR ARGUMENT IS BETTER*

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): *RENT CONTROL, SHORT TERM IS GOOD AND KEEPS PEOPLE WHO ARE POOREST OFF DISINCENTIVISES THIS THROUGH MARKET PRICE. NEG STATS ARE BETTER. SOLVENCY LONG TERM IS QUESTIONABLE SO LETS KEEP THEM IN HOMES*

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *(signature)*

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1614
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Hebron WK vs. Jasper KM)

4   **Daugherty, Jonat**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper KM		(2nd Team circled)		
#1 #2	Name: Shruti Kothu		3	2?
#3 #4	Name: Michelle Ma		2	28

CON		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Hebron KW				
#1 #2	Name: Williams		4	27
#3 #4	Name: Kirk		1	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | **Team/Code:** Hebron KW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- It looks like you are funding some of your blocks onto they case, I would like to see more contextualization.
- *You ignored the cons responses to construction costs, they went great but were god enough to avoid a response. Same goes for the vendor cosys. You lost sight of your imputs toward the end of the round.
- Too much ignoring their responses and repeating your own cogs. I wanted to see a little perfume to displacement, or a stronger link onto your impacts...

Comments for CON debaters:

- The summary could have been smoother. You won the round on displacement.
- in the later speeches. They had cogs on both oilsources with no rurulue that just went dropped.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - I vote Con. The Con convinced me they better accused the impact of displacement. The Pro didn't give a credible reason low income people will be displaced, the more land any bought fully reassign it. I thought that the very poor are here saved through rent controls...

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Justin Doyt_ Vista Ridge

Room: 1614
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Strake Jesuit College SD vs. James Bowie WS)

4   **Daugherty, Jonat**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner underlined persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: James Bowie		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Williams		28	3
#3 #4	Name: Saladiner		28	4

CON

CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Shah		29	2
#3 #4	Name: Day		30	1

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO / CON | **Team/Code:** Strake Jesuit SH

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes   No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
— I need a stronger warrant for why upzoning is essential to achieving AMH. It seems counter-intuitive to me, so I would like you to really sell the warrant in crossfire and in the later speeches.

Comments for CON debaters:
— Rebuttal was very good. Did what it needed to do. I'm both the overview that they want a different set of regs, that are better than current, but pointing AMH there. I thought you accessed the impacts in your case.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
RFD — I vote Con. I bought the con links into the poverty impact. The link into ↓ healthcare was strong b/c I thought the arg that rents would ↑ on poor was convincing. I didn't the MRH accessed the Pro's impacts. I didn't buy the upzoning is k/t MRH arg.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Jonat Dougherty_   Victa Kilga

Room: 1583
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Coppell MP vs. St. John's WM)

4

Feng, Matthew

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Coppell MP		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2	Name: Pandeypur		4	27
(#3) #4	Name: Muthukumar		3	27

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: St. John's WM		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2)	Name: Moore-y		2	27.5
#3 (#4)	Name: Waters		1	27.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO / (CON) Team/Code: St. John's WM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

I think that the case suffers from 1) too many warrants (lack of warrants + pauses, which makes it hard to flow (it all sounds like a stream of consciousness) and 2) a lack of warranting in key areas (e.g. why is it either substantial or market-rate?)

Comments for CON debaters:

The C1 and C2 seem to be in tension a bit (wouldn't development in the states decrease the effects of the C2?). Also, I would think you could read fewer impacts and instead clash each out more.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The Con gave me more complete extensions, but I think both teams need to collapse at the end.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Plano W-T

Room: 1583
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Clements PZ vs. Cypress Creek BO)

4

Feng, Matthew

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Clements PZ		1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 (#2)	Name:	Prize		4	28
#3 (#4)	Name:	Zou		1	29

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Cypress Creek BO		(1st Team) 2nd Team		
(#1) #2	Name:	Bazan		3	28.5
(#3) #4	Name:	Demoth		2	28.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

4

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Clements PZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Oral

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____   Plan West

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1602
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (BASIS San Antonio Sha VP vs. Claudia Taylor "Ladyb MP)

Won 2nd 4

Hooks, Alyssa

Chon Aff

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: BASIS VP	1st Team / 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1 / #2 Name vemvlapalli		4		27.5
#3 / #4 Name Prieto		2		28.5

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Claudia Taylor MP	1st Team / 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1 / #2 Name Patel		3		28.5
#3 / #4 Name Makani		1		29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Claudia Taylor MP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
some debaters will just accept the Observations & let you define anything the way that you want, but you need to be ready for the definitions challenge in round. There is no response to the definition argument.

Comments for ~~CON~~ PRO debaters:
You miss the fundamental args of the con, you don't respond to the key args, just cherrypick what you're answering which gives the con more args in the round

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
MRH is not affordable. an Observation does not combat definitions & literal application of the houses

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ DASH

Room: 2313
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Jasper LY vs. Hendrickson HV)

4   **Hooks, Alyssa**

i App

Won 2nd

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

chu Chapel
displacement cant be proven

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order .

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner _persuasive_ to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously .
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support _prior_ arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: HENDRICKSON HV		1st Team / 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: VALDESPINO			2	28
#3 #4	Name: HANN			1	28.5

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Jasper LY		1st Team / (2nd Team)			
#1 (#2)	Name: Ye			3	27.5
#3 (#4)	Name: Li			4	26

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

4

Winning Team: (PRO) CON   **Team/Code:** Hendrickson HV

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
The concession of FWK helps y'all, but I wanted you to weigh w/ it more other than just lives saved. That is a big enough issue for me To give you access to FWK, just make it a little bigger

Comments for CON debaters:
2. I need you to deliever more clearly; you also spend all of cross being incredibly unresponsive,

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
1- AT(Chu) is never answered, this destroys the link story of the Con
2- Displacement only risks an ↑ or .1%, this makes the ! of the Con, no risk of impact; they also never address the "this can't be quantified" evi
3- Murban density is the only one w/ the risk of solvency

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Alyssa H_ DASH

4- FWK flows negative, they basically frame it as util and the Neg never answers it

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2305
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (James Bowie BM vs. Westwood FG)

4

Holland, Robey

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood FG FG			1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	Gunwani		4	26
#3 #4	Name:	Freeman		2	28

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: James Bowie BM			1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	Bellman		3	28
#3 #4	Name:	Maedgen		1	29.2

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) **Team/Code:** Bowie BM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
- you don't expel trans/terminal defense in summary. Buying con offense is a lot easier after this point.

Comments for CON debaters:
Weigh earlier! A lot of the weighing analysis is new to 2nd final focus. That's why late breaking.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I negate.

Ultimately the round comes down to strength of link. The con clearly wins the link into segregation + its subsequent offense, the mishandled LA Times Turn means I don't buy a/s link to poverty

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Robey Holland Txns West

Room: 2305
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Seven Lakes NS vs. Carroll BB)

4

Holland, Robey

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes SN		1st Team 2nd Team		Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Srivastava			1	28.8
#3 #4	Name: Neelala			2	28.5

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Carroll BB		1st Team 2nd Team		Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Eesha Bethi			4	28.2
#3 #4	Name: Nena Boyapati			3	28.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Seven Lakes SN

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I affirm

Aff wins risk of solvency.
1) LATinos 6/1 Analysis
2) Filtering Flows Aff
Gentrification is muddy throughout the debate
kick of clear

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Robey Holland   Plano

> terminalization of the gentrification args in the debate
means that Aff has a more compelling well story even
if Con wins the Gentrification link.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min

Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min

Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus   2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus   2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2318
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Houston Lamar NV vs. LC Anderson EC)

4

Siddiqui, Omer
LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: *Houston Lamar NV*				
#1 #2	Name: *Van Nostrand*		4	28
#3 #4	Name: *Nelson*		2	29

CON		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: *LC Anderson EC*				
#1 #2	Name: *Seung Joh Cho*		1	30
#3 #4	Name: *John David Erwel*		3	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: **PRO** CON | **Team/Code:** *NV houston lamar*

Low Point Win? (Circle: **Yes** No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:
• don't try to win off some snotty link into recessions.
• extend and talk more about the benifits of affordable housing and like
as your impact

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - voted off market rate increasing ~~decrease~~ ~~~~~~ affordable housing
and multiplex housing / regulation. Even though
that is an analogy Defazto still says regulations
↑ price of house

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2318
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Strake Jesuit College DD vs. Plano West GM)

4

Siddiqui, Omer

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West GM		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Gundam			4	28
#3 #4	Name: Mammen			1 2	29

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Joseph Donowho			3	29
#3 #4	Name: Joseph Dowdall			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | **Team/Code:** Strake Jesuit DD

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (NO))

Comments for PRO debaters:

maybe weigh the impacts of the income increasing. Also please go in order for summary. Also instead of just ~~settle~~ straight up admitting the link into gen, you could instead run it as an argument that has a risk of offense.

Comments for CON debaters:

Don't try to get away ~~bla~~ with some abusive definition. If you want me to focus on the impacts of gentrification ie-health then say it during summary. You barely mentioned it

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - Both teams concede link into gen, con health care impacts outweigh income ↑ esspecially with freeman - not taking into account displaced individuals

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2303
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Westlake YW vs. Saint Mary's Hall ST)

4   Siddiqui, Rafay
           Revote

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order .

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake YW			1st Team 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Williams			3	29
#3 #4	Name: Yu			1	29

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: SMH ST			1st Team 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Rylan Schendel			4	28
#3 #4	Name: Nicholas Trujillo			2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO CON | Team/Code: Westlake YW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
① Schendel - look @ judge during speech.
② Trujillo - Take CX more professionally
③ SMH - strong analysis, but weak CX

Comments for CON debaters:
① Williams excellent analysis of chronologically cost w/ analysis of impact value

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Round came down to Ind/zoning & Clean, while the con had more empirics & a story of the NIC and, Pro wins on Ind/zoning & LIHTC rebuttal. Mitigates Con impact, while increasing scope and off. of the pro argument. Logic of pro ev. also counters C2 con & Clean about most - zoning barriers & ex, funding incr supply & thus, pro lower prices. Pro win

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2303
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Flower Mound GM vs. Duchesne Academy of t BD)

4

~~Siddiqui, Rafay~~
Revote

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO			1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:	DUCHESNE BD		(1st Team)		
#1/#2	Name:	BLANTON		1	29
#3/#4	Name:	DUBEY		2	

CON			1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:	Flower Mound GM		(2nd Team)		
#1/#2	Name:	Colton Moraine		3	29
#3/#4	Name:	Nikhil Guddati		4	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO **(CON)** | **Team/Code:** Duchesne BD

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Moraine @ excellent speaker,
Guddati @ Need more clarity if you want to persuade

@ Both teams: They start to rant so not strong round other speaker.

Comments for CON debaters:

Blanton @ excellent speech. Guddati @ strong CX, but lot opp. final statement.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both sides concede 3 things:
· Prop antiterrorism
· side cost also on short & long term
· Cost-time analysis

While the con side had stronger emphasis the round boiled down to linkage fear - w/ the delay of the program, the argument mitigator whether from the Con. Logically, new policy weaken/worsen or old policy action from the fed.

Pro win

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1591
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Elkins CZ vs. Plano West OR)

4

Hardage, Olivia
Ramaj

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: **Elkins CZ**	(1st Team) 2nd Team		Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: **Chang**			4	27
#3 #4 Name: **Zahber**			3	28

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: **Plano West OR**	1st Team (2nd Team)		Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: **Ogada**			2	29
#3 #4 Name: **Rajagopalan**			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: **Plano West OR**

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Rebuttal needs to be more organized and cleaner. Summary was all over the place. If you say I'm going down the flow, do that. Tell me when you go to diff points or back to your case.

Comments for CON debaters:

Very nice rebuttal!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro: On the supply point, you don't respond to high labor + land costs. The Ulik evidence don't say that more supply = low prices. - On the LIHTC attack, you don't give a warrant that the gov is running out of money. Con quantifies the # of people it helped and how long. The attacks on this ~~xxxxx~~ ~~xxxx~~ contradict with your 3rd point (which you drop) that would make LIHTC go away. Con didn't drop gentrification !! and its impacts

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Vejon Ramaj / Hired_

- Filtering goes to Con. People will be displaced and the Chau evidence is good for arguing that it might never be affordable. The tax revenue was a wash. Pro contradicts by saying affordable houses will be built and _that goes filtering_.

Room: 1591
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Clements CH vs. Plano West AW)

4

Hardage, Olivia

Rama

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may <u>**not**</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: **Plano West AW**		1st Team **(2nd Team)**	Ranks	Poin
#1 **(#2)** Name: **Wang**			2.	28
#3 **(#4)** Name: **Annan**			1.	29

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: **Clements CH**		**(1st Team)** 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2 Name: **Chen**			4.	28
(#3) #4 Name: **Hung**			3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

4

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: **Plano West AW**

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted Pro due to the school funding impact and risk of solvency. Had warrants to prove that demand is switching and would help the poor.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *Vejna Ruy / Hird*

Room: 1593
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (McNeil YS vs. Westlake DR)

4

Winn, Angela

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: McNeil		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2	Name: Amanda Yates		4	27
(#3) #4	Name: Neha Satish		3	28

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Westlake DR		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2)	Name: Anisha Reddy		2	28
#3 (#4)	Name: Yasmine Daghestani		1	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Westlake DR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
#1 - good case - with strong impacts.
#3 - good rebuttal - need to explan zoning law arguement a little more! good overview!
#1 - a lot of ums... in this speech, hard to follow where you were; need to be more clear and percise; would have like more on impacts.
#3 - good final focus - good links - Need to get to the point faster.
 - Needed to get to Pro case more.

Comments for CON debaters:
#2 - good impacts - strong case but would like a little more explanation on C2.
#4 - good rebuttal - good analysis and overviewing. like a little more impacts.

#2 - good summary speech n gave would have some good points n would like moreon impacts.
#4 - great final focus and good links - clear and percise

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Good clash by both teams.
Both teams - lack the impact debate!
CX is not for making speeches!!

The Con wins the round on the contintion Level of the round as well as gave more clear and percise
Also Pro ignore the warrent debate!

Do Not Argue during CX!!
Just ask and answer questions!
Both teams

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1593
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Clements MM vs. Westwood SX)

4

Winn, Angela

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Clements MM		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2)	Name: Maceo		4	28
#3 (#4)	Name: Marakani		3	28

CON

CON Team Name/Code: Westwood SX		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2	Name: Singh		2	28
(#3) #4	Name: Xiao		1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | Team/Code: Westwood SX

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
#2 - good case but would like a little more analysis - good impacts.
#4 - good rebuttal - but would like more on inclusion zoning - bit confusing
   - don't take over CX and don't argue during CX (spent 2 min of CX)
#2 - A little all over the place - hard to follow where you were on the flow (anting)
   - needed more on AFF; you made some great points
#4 - good final focus, and point out what they forgot, need more on con
   - confusing at times

Comments for CON debaters:
#1 - strong case - good analysis (great questions)   #1 really strong rebuttal and reexplaining
                                                      what your case is saying.
#3 - strong rebuttal - had clear and precise arguments   #3 - good final focus and pointing
   - good links!   out what

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
   Both teams miss some key points in the round — any
        ∨   ∨n
   The Con wins the round ^links and gave more
        clear and precise arguments.

✗ Do Not argue
  during CX!
- CX is only for
  asking and
  answering
  questions!!
✗   ✗

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Angela W___

Room: 1921
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Eastwood RB vs. LC Anderson BJ)

4

Loh, Sam
LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Eastwood RB		1st Team /2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1 #2	Name: B		4	24
#3 #4	Name: R		3	24.1

CON

CON Team Name/Code: Anderson BJ		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: S		2	28.5
#3 #4	Name: B		1	29.3

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: LC Anderson BJ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:
- You have to win the link args on economic growth to get offense off w/ ↑ gdp growth and wage growth
- Offense has to be consistent in summary/ff — lot of stuff in final isn't in summary

Comments for CON debaters:
- Summary doesn't have to go for C1, should have weighing

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
I negate.
- Neg wins 2B terms on the AC. 1) Biden turn that more MRH led to a huge rent spike, 2) Home demolition
- Neg wins C3 on LIHTC, dropped by aff, clean extension on neg. I buy LIHTC saves 27k people from natural disaster

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1585
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Klein WZ vs. Plano West LR)

4

Dua, Arpit

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Klein WZ		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Zhou		2	28.5
#3 #4	Name: Wu		1	29

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West LR		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Rao		4	27
#3 #4	Name: Liang		3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

4

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Klein WZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Speaker 3) very good pace & flow. Did a good job doing summary

Speaker 4) Did a good job emphasizing impacts & debating con case

Comments for CON debaters:

Speaker 1) did a good job doing CX 2 summary
Speaker 2) Had very organized thoughts & displayed argument logically

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both sides did a great job but ultimately voted

pro b/c of the impacts being how relationship 2 ruling

as well as winning the LGHTC argument (bi partisan support w.

corporate tax cuts) leaving the con w/ no offense 2 outweigh

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Arpit D._

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1585
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (All Saints Episcopal PT vs. Coppell BC)

4

Dua, Arpit

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Coppell BC			1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Shabbir Bohri			1	29.5
#3 #4	Name: Sahil Chiniwala			2	29

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: All Saints PT			(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Evan Pan			4	28
#3 #4	Name: Bradley Tidwell			3	28.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (**PRO**) **CON** | **Team/Code:** Coppell BC

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Speaker 1) did an excellent job throughout the round

Speaker 2) did a great job defending the off ? pretty attacks on the con

Comments for CON debaters:

Speaker 1) did a great job during summary & building in framework

Speaker 2) did a great rebuttal & did a great job using the framework to attack the PRO

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both sides did a great job but the pro side wins b/c
of their impact on affordability outweighing the con, thru mainly
the framework & reducing structural violence.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Arpit_

Room: 2331
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Klein GI vs. Westwood BK)

4

Bloss, Brian

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

:54

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Westwood BK		1st Team / 2nd Team		
#1 / #2	Name:	Baxter		3	28
#3 / #4	Name:	Kota		4	28

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Klein GI		1st Team / 2nd Team		
#1 / #2	Name:	Gunda		2	29
#3 / #4	Name:	Irfan		1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Klein GI

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con wins displacement is the root cause for framework. The Con also wins look to low income first. The con wins displacement is worse. The Callerly evidence is really good on this point. The con also wins that property taxes force displacement which leads to worse impacts.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Plano ISD

Room: 2331
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Strake Jesuit College WC vs. Westwood MP)

4

Bloss, Brian

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood MP		1st Team **2nd Team**	Ranks	Poin
#1	Name: Mohankumar		3	29
#3 #4	Name: Pandit		4	28

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit WC		**1st Team** 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Weiland		2	29
#3 #4	Name: Carlise		1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Strake Jesuit WC

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters: Pro your delink on the disad ignores the Fitz evidence and the warrants that speak about a trade off in urban areas not just rural areas.

Comments for CON debaters: Nice disad and very good pre-empts built into the rebuttal shell. You need a more terminal impact for future rounds. I would say it magnifies all of the pro's poverty based arguments for impact

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
I vote on the disadvantage because it is completely mishandled by the pro. The evidence is specific to market rate housing. Additionally, I don't think the pro really answers the Hobbes evidence that provides a warranted explanation of how the buyouts would actually occur. The Doughrty evidence I also think is conceded which

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) ~~3 min~~
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) ~~4 min~~
Crossfire (#1 & #2) ~~3 min~~
Speaker #3 (1st Team) ~~4 min~~
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) ~~3 min~~
Speaker #1 Summary ~~2 min~~
Speaker #2 Summary ~~2 min~~
Grand Crossfire (All) ~~3 min~~
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _[signature]_ Plano ISD

→ leads to the deaths of 291,000 people.

Room: 1581
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Lake Travis MW vs. Colleyville Heritage CR)

4

Duran, Michael

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
 Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code:	Colleyville Heritage	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Radandt		1	30
#3 #4	Name: Collins		2	29

CON

CON Team Name/Code:	Lake Travis	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Morford		3	28
#3 #4	Name: Wynne		4	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Colleyville Heritage

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Needs clearer definition of their view on "what is market rate housing". Clearly spoken, good pace.

Comments for CON debaters:
Good initial overview of the two POVs. Some argument confusion, not clear how gentrification reduces jobs.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Pro was more convincing w/ respect to how controlled housing development isolates the poor and minorities, more so than market rate.

Both very good arguments, but I vote for the Pro argument in this round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Kempner HS_
FBISD

Room: 1581
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Westlake HL vs. St. John's GY)

4   **Duran, Michael**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake HL				
#1 #2	Name: Ho		3	08
#3 #4	Name: Luo		4	28

CON		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: St John's GY				
#1 #2	Name: Garza		1	29
#3 #4	Name: Yekell		2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: St John's GY

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Very well articulated arguments, emphasizing affordable housing, encouraging mass transit improvements.

Comments for CON debaters:
Also really well articulated arguments, possible displacement, pushing families away from urban core.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
I voted con primarily on the arguments regarding displacement. The con argument was well countered in terms of evidence and articulation, with more convincing sources.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Keuping HS
FBISD

Room: 2301
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Bellaire DS vs. Elkins AP)

4

Hunt, Terry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Bellaire DS		1st Team / 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	Pramit De			4	27
#3 #4	Name:	Andrew Sun			3	28

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Elkins AP		1st Team / 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	Nibhan Akbar			2	29.5
#3 #4	Name:	Abhishek Pratap			1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Elkins AP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con won the ripple effects argument
and so on only hope to make homes
affordable.
Gentrification impacts.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Terry Hunt Leander HS_

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2301
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Cinco Ranch TR vs. Plano West KR)

4

Hunt, Terry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West KR	1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Conrad Krueger		3	29.5
#3 #4 Name: Noah Romo		1	30

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Cinco Ranch RT	1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Anuraag Routray		4	28.5
#3 #4 Name: Aatreya Tewary		2	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

4

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Plano West KR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

This was a very good debate - Nice clash.
The Pro wins the debate because

a) it proves that supply limitations are controlling affordability issues.

Comments for CON debaters:

The Con says it will take 30 yrs. but this analysis comes from filtering arguments.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

b) Pro wins arguments of improved economic condition.

c) Pro wins shift of mindset which postdates Houston example.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Terry Hunt Leander HS

d) Change of mindset + poverty (Freeman card)
Benefits of gentrification

Room: 1594
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Tompkins WT vs. Colleyville Heritage LW)

4

~~Hoang, Keith~~
Moreno, Clarissa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Colleyville Heritage LW	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2) Name: Wei		1	30
#3 (#4) Name: Li		2	30

CON

CON Team Name/Code: Tompkins WT	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2 Name: Tirumalai		3	30
(#3) #4 Name: Wang		4	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

4

Winning Team: (PRO) CON	Team/Code: Colleyville

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This was def. a tough round! In the end it came down to enriching cultures... improve in the pro voter and the Austin ex. I get it. It's one city but I bought the idea that in the... moreover, it would be able to work in other areas. con... I really liked your arguments about subsidies going to the rich (who know how to file ppr wrk) but I think the effect of that took too late into the debate to get much weight. I'd def. hit that earlier and then link back to your framework.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Jasper Hl

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1594
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Flower Mound VV vs. Clements GS)

4

Moreno, Clarissa
~~Hoang, Keith~~
Clarissa M.

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>**not**</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: **Clements GS**	1st Team **(2nd Team)**		Ranks	Poin
#1 / #2 Name: **Singh**			4	~~28~~ 29
#3 / #4 Name: **Gaddam**			1	30

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: **Flower Mound VV**	**(1st Team)** 2nd Team		Ranks	Poin
#1 / #2 Name: **Vummadising**			2	30
#3 / #4 Name: **Vishnoi**			3	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: [~~PRO~~] [CON]   **Team/Code:** Clements GS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **(No)**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:
Flower Mound - make sure your cards say what you say them in mind - I can see why you may have made the mistake. Also I think if you had continued/redone your framework you might have done better ←

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
I voted pro because rent control leads to overall harms despite positives for poor. Also, w/ deregulation we do solve for the con "land shortage" b/c we can buildup. While rent burdened / possible displacement are real things, w/ only 1% actually affected + the ↑ in jobs & growth in the pro world. I had to vote pro.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Jasper HS

Room: 2317
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Clements GK vs. Plano West FZ)

4

Li, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Plano West FZ			(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	Zhang			2	29
#3 #4	Name:	Fan			1	30

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Clements GK			1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name:	Kalbakuntla			3	29
#3 #4	Name:	Gandhi			4	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Plano West FZ

Pro   1st

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))   Con 2nd

Comments for PRO debaters:
Zhang - good catch in cx w/ contradiction of Georgetown card, I'm not sure if I buy that ppl are better in rich neighborhoods and that helps the poor since poor would be pushed out

Fan - I like the analysis of demolition and the link to zoning to access pro's offense
- would've pushed for FW w/ offense more instead of just abou a few of the arg.

Comments for CON debaters:
Kalbakuntla - end of summary was muddy, I think the arg. you are trying to say is that space already exists so don't need to remove zoning

Gandhi - try not to flirt around Q in cx about lobbying
I would've liked to see more arg. about vacant homes → delink and no more offense for the pro

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted Pro b/c   I bought the USA luxury home card, rich don't compete in the same housing market anymore, not fully addressed by con well enough, bulldozing by con wasn't impacted well and didn't show sufficient clash w/ pro offense. ~~another~~

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _William_

Room: 2317
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Flower Mound WS vs. Bellaire KD)

4

Li, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Bellaire KD	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2) Name: Sanika Kotha		4	29
#3 (#4) Name: Lucy Ding		3	29

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Flowermound WS	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2 Name: Suket Shah		2	2a
(#3) #4 Name: Kyle Winter		1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Flowermound WS

Con 1st   Low Point Win? (Circle: (Yes) No)   Pro 2nd

Comments for PRO debaters:
Shah - good displacement analysis in summary
- I wouldn't selectively choose what you won't respond to, they pushed filtering in Pro rebuttal, give more time for all that, recognize what's offense for opponent

Kotha
- try to not let opponent ramble too much in cx for time sucks
- in summary, con dropped rent control, I wouldn't have wasted time on it
- should've called out the abusiveness of dropping rent control

Winter - really liked offense on filtering, wouldn't group b/c 1st was s;o but 3rd was less displacement

Comments for CON debaters:
Con wasn't arguing about incentives, wasted time in cx, abusive to say in CX you're pushing 2 things then drop 1 in the next cx b/c you're losing, Pro didn't catch too much of that though so good job

Ding - rebuttal should've focused more on offense, not defense, dropped a lot of con case
- In FF, would've brought up burden Con put on themselves to prove squo is better, they dropped all offense in con FF

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
voted Con, Pro failed to prove supply of housing actually increases w/ MRH, con Con was able to prove MRh worse than squo, Pro didn't effectively prove that supply increase would actually decrease prices and lead to better situations for low-inc. ppl. Asked for Pro's evidence of MRH existing already but they didn't have it. Have it ready next time

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _William_

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2314
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Westwood MR vs. Seven Lakes RG)

4   **Braley, Kristi**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>**not**</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood MR	(1st Team) 2nd Team		Ranks	Poin
#1 / #2 Name: Mirza			3	28.5
#3 / #4 Name: Ramaswamy			4	28

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes RG	1st Team (2nd Team)		Ranks	Poin
#1 / #2 Name: Bao			1	29
#3 / #4 Name: Rao			2	28.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Seven Lakes RG

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
~~Con~~
There is some confusion over the role of bipartisan support & MRH — getting very chicken-or-the-egg + a bit inconsistent between you as to your attacks. Bao's made the most sense, but even then, I think you should re-evaluate your plan of attack there on non-uniqueness.

Comments for CON debaters: "Like" I need a warrant for why zoning dereg. would mean affordable housing when luxury houses are more likely to offer a/ter profit? A bit argumentative to ask me if I bought your ev. on this when it is part of my RFD that you didn't give me this (or at least extend any warrant from it) as a basis for me to vote on it — I hoped you would! Also, doesn't this really conflict w/ your gentrification is good argt? (Not a voter, just an observation).

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Con wins on high likelihood of displacement — which is paid for low-income earners through gentrification — Pro is non-responsive to Con that vouchers generally will not cover enough to allow low-income residents to remain.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Kristi L Braley - All Saints_

Room: 2314
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Bellaire PW vs. Saint Mary's Hall RT)

4   **Braley, Kristi**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner _persuasive_ to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support _prior_ arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Bellaire PW		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Lucy Wu			4	28.5
#3 #4 Name: Alex Pantozopol			2	28.5

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: St Mary's Hall RT		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Talukder			3	28.5
#3 #4 Name: Reyna			1	28.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: St. Mary's Hall RT

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters: 1P- Nice case delivery. Be sure to include more warrants, links. Avoid misconstruing qu- you said to prefer yours because theirs is from 1990, when it was a 30-yr study, published 2016.
2P- I'd avoid arguing gentrification is good. With Portland good example, but need to show actual causation/warrants for why that worked there.

Comments for CON debaters: Should clarify early why rent control can't happen w/ Pro world does - wasn't extended in 1Con Summary, though discussed a bit in questioning. It's a pretty big deal + one of those "my-judge-needs-an-explanation-of-the-topic" moments

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
1. FW: Con argues that MRH @ means an end to rent-controlled housing, though Pro argues they can co-exist. I'm going Con on this issue, since the deft are competing ideas. This gives Con some offense.
2. gentrification - there is perfectly conflicting evidence here on whether low income earners are displaced vs. helped. Tension remained on this issue - it's a wash.
3. filtering down of luxury homes - still tension left here, but both sides agree that if this does happen, it will take quite some time, at least a generation, and then it MIGHT be affordable. Meanwhile, impacts of homelessness + poverty are real + outweigh, so... to keep current rent controls in place (+ hopefully grow them) voting Con.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Kristi L Braley - All Saints

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2315
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Cypress Woods BM vs. Kempner BS)

4   **Stubbs, Andy**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Cy Woods MB		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	Bertinot			4	29
#3 #4	Name:	Malik			1	30

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Kempner BS		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	Bawloz			3	29
#3 #4	Name:	Solaukeyi			2	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   **Team/Code:** _Kempner BS_

Low Point Win? (Circle Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The con wins the link into IZ w/ the impacts. The pro wins the top level of deres but I don't get a warranted incentive structure for the actual post-pro building. There's not much weighing, so the quantified IZ impacts win the ballot.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ STS

Room: 2315
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Bellaire BR vs. Seven Lakes CZ)

4   **Stubbs, Andy**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes CZ		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Chan			2	29.5
#3 #4	Name: Zhong			3	29

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Bellaire		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Busaidy			4	28.5
#3 #4	Name: Ramabhadran			1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Seven Lakes CZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote pro. There are some arguments, that the con just doesn't respond to that end up being very damaging. The Scott card takes out the overview. And the lack of meaningful response to the Forbes & Urban Institute evidence means that building new housing alleviates pressure & makes housing more affordable. Nelson isn't really extended in the summary, so I don't weigh it.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ SJS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1513
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Colleyville Heritage SL vs. Elkins RN)

4

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: colleyville SL		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Lee		4	29
#3 #4	Name: Shadman		3	29

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Elkins ~~ER~~ RN		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Nandora		2	30
#3 #4	Name: Rafiq		1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: Elkins RN

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: 1. Luxury: no reason why landlords won't raise rents, even if it not in mansions

2. Subsidies: Although Pro has a piece of evidence in their favor, the Con gave an an actual statistic(s)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2364
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Jasper YN vs. Westlake XX)

4

Erard, David

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper NY		1st Team **(2nd Team)**	Ranks	Point
#1 #2	Name: Nie		4	28
#3 #4	Name: Ye		2	28.7

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Westlake XX		**(1st Team)** 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Dodgin		1	29
#3 #4	Name: Sigel		3	28.6

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: _Westlake_

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **(No)**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

(Oral RFD in detail

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Unique silvency not evidenced sufficiently to rule
Pro regarding zoning changes impact and modular
housing of ~~the~~ contention

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _[signature]_

4

~~Allen, Ashley~~

Noah Mengisteab

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

(NM) 28

28

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Tompkins TS		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1 (#2) Name: Sharma		28	4	
#3 (#4) Name: Tirumalai		28	3	

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Lake Travis CP		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2 Name: Clemmons		28.5	2	
(#3) #4 Name: Piwonka		28.6	1	

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | **Team/Code:** Lake Travis CP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Supply undercovered in summary

(NM)

Comments for CON debaters:

28.6

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote NEG.
The feminist defense from the Nassi evidence shows that there are ~~wider~~ considerable costs which is why Aff cant access the price ~~rate~~ ↓ in SF.
Risk of solving here goes neg w/ Andreas and fax bill (but I dont think HUD is actively getting funding...)

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ / Duchesne Academy

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1588
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Franklin MD vs. Lovejoy SL)

4

~~Allen, Ashley~~
Noah Mengistea b

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Also, re time / with the AC b/c the 20... was run out of time at the end of case.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Lovejoy SL			1st Team / 2nd Team		
#2 / #2	Name:	Sipes			2	28.5
#3 / #4	Name:	Larson			1	28.7

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Franklin MD			1st Team / 2nd Team		
#1 / #2	Name:	Do			4	25
#3 / #4	Name:	Murphree			3	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON **Team/Code:** Lovejoy SL

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Larson - you are confusing w/ the diff types of regulations. What the landlord can control is rent prices but not automatically the zoning issues... those still exist in squo MPH.

~~Th~~ Sipes - summary could just do analysis from both sides on "techines / filtery" and gentrification.

Comments for CON debaters:

Do - you need to brush up on your topic knowledge so you can ~~be~~ ~~better~~ have more effective crossfires.

~~Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):~~

Murphree - you needed to frontline more on the 2nd rebuttal. Y'all are behind on the gentrification debate.

RFD: I vote Aff.

The NEG doesn't respond to ~~the~~ the 1 z OV and regulations UOV, so affordability and supply ~~has to~~ has a greater chance of solving on my flow. Filtering is clearly extended so offense for lux homes goes AFF. Then displ. I 20% for AFF.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ / Duchesne Academy

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1592
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Westwood TV vs. Plano West LW)

4

Romo, Madison

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West LW			1st Team / 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Lin			2	29
#3 #4	Name: Wei			1	30

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood TV			1st Team / 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Velani			4	26
#3 #4	Name: Tehrani			3	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON | Team/Code: Plano West LW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I buy aff's arg based on the stim 78% that the neg's homelessness impact can be triggered in the status quo. This gives off risk of solvency. While the Freeman card doesn't quantify the income inc exactly, I buy that the potential decreases in pov could help. Neg's zoning arguments got muddled, which made them difficult to weigh.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 1641
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Vista Ridge FA vs. Plano West SZ)

4

Romo, Madison

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West SZ				
#1 #2	Name: Yizhen Zhen		26	4
#3 #4	Name: Donevan Spall		28	1

CON		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Vista Ridge FA				
① #2	Name: Awadallah		27	3
#3 #4	Name: Fuller		28	2

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Vista Ridge FA

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I don't consider the legislation read by aff a true plan, so I weighed it. Still, I don't think it was particularly compelling.
I buy Neg's analysis about price burdens and displacement. So, they also get the impacts of structural violence. I vote Neg.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Duchesne DeNote

Room: 1631 (GOTO1633)
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Jasper CK vs. Houston Memorial MY)

4

Siddiqui, Fawaz
Dohun Kim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Houston Memorial MY	1st Team / ~~2nd Team~~	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Mallesh Maharana		1	28
#3 #4	Name: Jennifer Yu		2	27.9

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Jasper CK	1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Michael Chen		4	27.7
#3 #4	Name: Aditya Kumar		3	27.8

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: HOUSTON MEMORIAL MY .

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes   No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Middle income individuals can move to new homes which frees up affordable housing. Gentrification debate is not that relevant b/c it's not developed enough in final speeches.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

4

Siddiqui, Fawaz
D. Kim
LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake DS	1st Team / (2nd Team)		Ranks	Poin
#1 / (#2) Name: Ali Slimi			3	28.9
#3 / (#4) Name: Miles Dintzner			4	28.8

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson CE	(1st Team) / 2nd Team		Ranks	Poin
(#1) / #2 Name: Will Erard			1	29.1
(#3) / #4 Name: Dylan Canyon			2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: **LC ANDERSON CE**

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes   No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

It might be better to go for arguments about the economics of rent control & how that creates deadweight loss / √ Total surplus in the market.

I think the characterization of bubble not leading to recession is not the same as how you saw the debate. The final speeches should focus on explaining evidence for why its different. The blip in the Final focus is not developed enough.

Comments for CON debaters:

When reading this case, it's important to find a reason why there would be a tradeoff, the logical argument is kind of shaky.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Nelson and Thaler evidence make the construction costs vs. incentives debate a wash.

Risk of speculation → recession means CON is preferable.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus   2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus   2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2343
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (La Vernia BW vs. Ysleta CM)

4

Ali, Raheena
La /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Ysleta HS CM	1st Team (2nd Team)		Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Mariah Mendoza			2	28
#3 #4 Name: Rebecca Candelaria			3	28

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: La Vernia BW CT	(1st Team) 2nd Team		Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Luke Warren			4	26
#3 #4 Name: Woodrow Bratcher			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: La Vernia CT

Low Point Win? (Circle: (Yes) *NO*)

Comments for PRO debaters:
Market rate housing is not just for the middle class...
Strong final focus! Try to find more/better gentrification responses and respond to their responses ~~they~~ very good speaking!!

Comments for CON debaters:
Instead of saying your partner responded, you should explain what he said (Summary).

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Voted off gentrification on con. Pro's responses were quickly disputed. Neither team did any weighing. Only side with impacts (homeless, displacement) was con.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Raheena Ali /hired_

Room: 2320
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (Strake Jesuit College DC vs. Vista Ridge KD)

4   **Daugherty, Tim**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit College DC			1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2)	Name: Curry			3	29
#3 (#4)	Name: Dupre			1	29.5

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Vista Ridge KD			1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2	Name: Daherkar			4	28.5
(#3) #4	Name: Kelvekar			2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Vista Ridge KD

Low Point Win? (Circle: (Yes) No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted for the CON based on the displacement issue. I did not receive enough evidence from the PRO to show that the status quo those and their proposal affect displacement enough to justify M.R.H. Inclusionary zoning still has the great potential to displace low income families & individuals and creates more harm.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Lovejoy H.S. Teacher / Coach

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2320
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Tompkins WW vs. Westwood FW)

4   **Daugherty, Tim**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner _persuasive_ to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support _prior_ arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood FW		1st Team / 2nd Team			
#1 / #2	Name: Freund			1	30
#3 / #4	Name: Wang			3	29

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Tompkins WW		1st Team / 2nd Team			
#1 / #2	Name: Wang			2	29.5
#3 / #4	Name: Wu			4	28.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO / CON   **Team/Code:** Tompkin WW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
While you mentioned that bipartisan support will lead to passing the Inc. Zoning bill, I needed more evidence to prove that this is true. I also needed more evidence in how S & D will and has lowered the costs of rent or buying homes to low income individuals or families.
Excellent job to the both of you! You are two of the most talented debaters that I've heard and it was a pleasure and (difficulty) to judge this round! Because you're so good! Keep it up!

Comments for CON debaters:
Great job extending your arguments and focusing on the crucial areas in your rebuttals. It was great to judge this round!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
I voted for the Con based on how they showed me that MRH won't be affordable to low income individuals and families. They (CON) extended their arguments and provided enough evidence to persuade me that I should vote CON in this round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jim D___   Lovejoy H.S. Teacher / Coach

Room: 1513
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Clear Springs BP vs. Clements QW)

4

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
    Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code:	CLEAR SPRINGS		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(1) #2	Name:	BOEHNING		1	29
(3) #4	Name:	PRITCHARD		2	29

CON					
CON Team Name/Code:	Clements		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 (2)	Name:	Claire Qu		3	28
#3 (4)	Name:	Sam Wang		4	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO / CON | **Team/Code:** Clearsprings BP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: No reason why MRH :
Rent Control/Afford Housing both
Can't exist. Pro is improvement
on MRH.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2364
Flight B: Start 10:45 AM
PF (Colleyville Heritage NW vs. Bellaire TC)

4

Erard, David

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Bellaire TC			1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Chen			2	27.5
#3 #4	Name: Tu			3	29

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Colleyville NW			1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Navendra			4	28.7
#3 #4	Name: Wang			1	29.7

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON (CON circled) Team/Code: Colleyville

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

FYI, there is no indication that "housing" refers only to rents.

Comments for CON debaters:

Some Oral RFD before being interrupted by next round.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I actually was leaning Pro prior to call for evidence ~~in~~ following round. I was looking for solvency through zoning which was most compelling and the item focused on and extended throughout by Af. For this I needed a direct "causation" and unique solvency from this contention. I called for multiple pieces of evidence attempting to evidence the warrant

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ (school Judge)

in ~~un~~ clear language and I did not. There were examples of intentions, but I wanted a causation from "zoning" alone, not "regulations" that occured in the 4 cities cited

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus   2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus   2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1921
Flight A: Start 10AM
PF (LC Anderson BF vs. Westwood KS)

4

Loh, Sam

LC /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>**not**</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO						Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood KS				1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: S					3	29 +.5
#3 #4	Name: L					4	29 +.5

CON						Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Anderson BF				(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: F					2	30 +1
#3 #4	Name: B					1	30 +1

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO   CON   Team/Code: LC Anderson BF

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I negate on disclosure theory

1) Aff response on calling for ev isn't responsive to 2 min prep and the resp abt judge calling out chipping ev is new in 2nd final

2) Mistakes response doesn't respond to prudence that dropping aff is the best way to make sure they disclose properly in the future

3) On neg violates. I think there's sufficient claims made that aff got time stamp of undisclosed aff → neg doesn't violate

HB13Kan

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____