

Room: 2314  
Flight B: Start

PF (Dripping Springs FA vs. Seven Lakes RG)

3

Bloss, Brian

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes RG</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Gao</u>			2	29
#2	Name: <u>Rao</u>			1	30
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Dripping Springs FA</u>					
#1	Name: <u>French</u>			4	28
#2	Name: <u>AZIZ</u>			3	29
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Seven Lakes RG</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>NO</u> )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote for the pro because I think they do impact out better to displacement. I also think the Breckings and Hills evidence show why people are not going to have an incentive to maintain low income property in order to maintain profits. The pro also wins the Diamond turn that ~~and~~ rent controls increase gentrification which is not really addressed by the con.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Plano ISD

Good job by both teams. Good luck for the rest of the tournament.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2314

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (Lake Travis MW vs. Strake Jesuit College SD)

3

Bloss, Brian

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit SD</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Shah</u>		1 29
#2	Name: <u>Day</u>		2 29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Lake Travis MW</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Monford</u>		3 28
#2	Name: <u>Winne</u>		4 28
<b>Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points:</b> 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average <b>Rank each speaker from 1 to 4</b> 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit SD</u>	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Pro why didn't you forget Zuck more since you made an author indict and they kept going for Zuck as a credible argument

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro wins why the status quo fails to solve the Elkins evidence, Dougherty and valley combine to block the con from getting impacts. The weiner and Doser evidence coupled with Santiago create a very compelling reason to vote pro.

Judge Signature &amp; Affiliation/Occupation:



Plano ISD

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1631 (GOTO1633)

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (Kempner BS vs. Clear Springs BP)

3

Dua, Arpit

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>KEMPER</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Bentz</u>		2 27
#2	Name: <u>Solante</u>		1 28
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>CLEAR SPRINGS</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>BOENNING</u>		3 27
#2	Name: <u>PRITCHARD</u>		4 26
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average			
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Kemper BS</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Speaker 1) Did a great job overall on defending the pro case

Speaker 2) Did a great job defending the con case & providing good structured answers

Comments for CON debaters:

Speaker 1) Utilized ex well & had good evidence backing pro arguments

Speaker 2) Did a great job defending the pro case

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both sides did a great job but ultimately voted pro bc their solving of increasing supply & outweighing on offside of the con.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Dua Arpit

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1585  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Coppell BC vs. Clements MM)

3

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019  
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Coppell BC</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: <u>Shabbir Bohri</u>		4	26
#3 #4	Name: <u>Sahil Chiniwala</u>		1	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements MM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: <u>Maccio</u>		3	27
#3 #4	Name: <u>Marakani</u>		2	27
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Coppell BC</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )				


Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

BFD: MBH will become  
affordable in 8 years, thus  
solves poverty

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:



Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1585  
Flight B: Start

3

Lugo, Jacob

PF (Clements GN vs. Colleyville Heritage CR)

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Radandt</u>		2 30
#2	Name: <u>collins</u>		1 30
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Rena Moyal</u>		4 27
#2	Name: <u>Sonia Nihalani</u>		3 27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Colleyville 2C</u>	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )			


Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

BFD:  
Pro wins that mixed income  
neighborhoods solve  
poverty. Neg won't do any-  
thing better

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:



### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2313  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Bellaire ZS vs. Westlake RW)

3

McClure, Mindy

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019  
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake RW		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: Robinson		2 27.8
#3	Name: Wehring		1 28
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Bellaire ZS		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#2	Name: Sun		4 27.6
#4	Name: Zhao		3 27.7
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: Westlake RW	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )			

Comments for PRO debaters:

programs A/N fix underlying cause stop trend of home prices  
mass transit density based environmental poverty  
trending away from luxury good investment piece  
good organization

Comments for CON debaters:

tax credits 2+ more effective  
1.3 billion more funding

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

good - investment piece in affordable  
housing. also saturated luxury market  
good arguments for density based mass transit.

both teams did very well - tough decision

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Mindy McClure Chaparrine

**Order/Time Limits of Speeches**

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min  
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min  
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min  
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min  
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min  
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min  
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min  
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min  
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min  
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2313  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Westwood LS vs. Clements LN)

3

McClure, Mindy

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements LN					
#1	Name: Lin			4	27.5
#3	Name: Nagaraj			3	27.6
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood LS					
#2	Name: Lai			2	28
#4	Name: Shamanna			1	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: Westwood LS			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

### Comments for PRO debaters:

Lin - privatization movements, profit driven = loss public housing due to conversion, concentrates poverty strong conclusion good structure (sorry - put on wrong space)

N - zoning reg - density restrictions & segregation. 1.3% displacement gentrifying neighborhoods  
L - Forbes - housing shortage  
N - good eye contact

### Comments for CON debaters:

S - most happens on existing low inc. housing, strong #s being displaced, out for most profits  
never been done before good control of cross - re-emphasis of your points.  
L - already oversupply market rate, poor can't afford market rate.

### Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

S - good pt about historical facts on vouchers & rents unable to use - inaccessibility - good use stats.

- poor can't afford market rate  
- historical evidence  
- good job w/ statistics

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Mindy McClure Shaper

Room: 2315  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Jasper LY vs. Seven Lakes CZ)

3

Graves, Victoria

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Cham</u>		2	29
#2	Name: <u>Z</u>		3	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper LY</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Ye</u>		1	30
#2	Name: <u>L</u>		4	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

No Good question in Perry possibility;

Can: Clear Ready;

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Li Tech: Permitted by Pro - compromise

Gratification/Lux: Pro

MRH: Permitted Pro

MRH: Permitted Pro

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: TX City HS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	



Room: 2315  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (St. John's MK vs. Jasper TV)

3

Graves, Victoria

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper TV</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Vanille</u>		1	30
#2	Name: <u>T</u>		2	29

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>St. John's MK</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>M</u>		4	27
#2	Name: <u>K</u>		3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average  
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding  
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO ☒ CON ☐ Team/Code: ST John's MK

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No 

Comments for PRO debaters:

How do you not fall into your own FW?  
What keeps the con from Penning?  
Balance of prep time should be improved  
① Your Summary was all over the place - thanks for sign posty

Comments for CON debaters:

Con - good balance of turning your answers into a question; well done getting into their FW. You all cannot whisper, like you might as well be talking normally. When you do this during your opponents speech it's a lot.


Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Frame work: Gun Violence / Poverty Flows Con  
Bubble Flows Con

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min  
  
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min  
  
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min  
  
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min  
  
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min  
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min  
  
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min  
  
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min  
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min  
  
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

 TR Cay

Room: 2303

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (Clements PZ vs. Duchesne Academy of t BD)

3

Stubbs, Andy

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>DUCHESNE BD</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name: <u>Blanton</u>			3	28
#2	Name: <u>Turkey</u>			1	28.5
#3					
#4					
CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements PZ</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name: <u>Aksh Patel</u>			4	28
#2	Name: <u>Sheldon Zou</u>			2	28.5
#3					
#4					
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average <b>Rank each speaker</b> from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON				Team/Code: <u>Duchesne BD</u>	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Good prioritization in the summary, but you need to warrant out the linkage for & property tax arguments

Comments for CON debaters:


The con summary lacks a clear vision, prioritization, and warranting use the summary to control the direction of the round's end

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The con collapses on a link chain that only one part of is extended in summary. The con also extends vox through link consistently. The pro is ahead on the source of the crisis & links into displacement via price decreases & linkage for

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature &amp; Affiliation/Occupation:

 N SJS

Room: 2303  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Central ML vs. Coppell MP)

3

Stubbs, Andy

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Central ML</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Leventhal</u>			3	28
#2					
#3	Name: <u>Molina</u>			4	28
#4					
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Coppell MP</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Panathur</u>			2	28
#2					
#3	Name: <u>Muthukumar</u>			1	29
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Coppell MP</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes NO)

Comments for PRO debaters:

I think the pro would benefit from focusing the case on one or two main sources of offense & developing out those arguments; spend more time in the rebuttal attacking your opponent's arguments

Comments for CON debaters:

Don't just go straight to the impacts when you're extending a contention; make sure you fully warrant out the link level, too

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The con does a good job of explaining why promoting MRH actually makes the housing crisis net worse (Redmond, etc.)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

*Andy Stubbs*

SJS

Room: 1592  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Flower Mound VV vs. Eastwood RB)

3

Mason, William

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Eastwood RB</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Sarunas Budreckis</u>			1	30
#2	Name: <u>Larry Rodriguez</u>			3	29
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Flower Mound VV</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Shruti Vummadiasing</u>			4	29
#2	Name: <u>Saumya Vishnoi</u>			2	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Eastwood RB</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

PRO - why is S.F. Cali our only example of M.R.? Just wondering. I'm glad the PRO set their observations upfront about what might work. Everything you said makes complete sense. Your evidence on minorities in gent. areas was very effective.

Con - Vishnoi's rebuttal was thorough and was sufficient to turn the round to the con. The land issue seemed to be unanswered by the PRO. I do agree that low income subsidies are beneficial, however...

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both teams were very effective. I vote for the big picture/long-term evidence that proves that M.R. will be the best benefit in the long run. Also the PRO had a clear, confident knowledge of the housing market and related economic impacts. What a sales pitch by the PRO.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

William Mason KHS/Coach

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min  
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min  
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1592  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Jasper KM vs. Westlake LR)

3

Mason, William

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake LR</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Owen Robertson</u>			<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
#2	Name: <u>Rachel Li</u>			<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
#3					
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper KM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Shruti Kottu</u>			<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
#2	Name: <u>Michelle Ma</u>			<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Westlake LR</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

PRO That was clever adding mass transit to your case as long as the link to M.R. is there.  
Li - Thank you for saying that M.R. is not primarily luxury.

Comments for CON debaters:

CON - Ma, that was a powerful and fluently stated rebuttal. However, the conclusions you draw from your evidence are overstated and sweeping. The PRO pointed out your selective use of evidence. That's an extremely broad definition of "luxury".  
"75% of current construction is luxury"??? No at all.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - Ultimately I find the PRO's case (transportation) to be bold & creative, but ultimately, I believe the PRO evidence that better solves for displacement. Also, the PRO's explanation of what an I.Z. actually does and what Gentrification is credible.

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

William Ma KHS/Coach

Room: 2312  
Flight B: Start  
PF (La Vernia BW vs. Westlake YW)

3

Siddiqui, Fawaz

La /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019  
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>La Vernia BW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>W</u>		<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>
#2	Name: <u>B</u>		<u>2</u>	<u>28</u>
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake YW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Williams</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
#2	Name: <u>Y</u>		<u>1</u>	<u>28</u>
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Westlake YW</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>NO</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

in round.

Comments for CON debaters:

in round.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD:

1.) Urban sprawl was a wash for me

2.) I voted off gentrif.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Hired.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2312  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Flower Mound MK vs. Lovejoy SL)

3

Siddiqui, Fawaz

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Lovejoy SL		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: Sipes		4 28.5
#2			
#3	Name: Larson		2 29
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Flower Mound MK		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: Kotha		3 28.5
#2			
#3	Name: M		1 29
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: PRO (CON)		Team/Code: Flower Mound MK	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))			

Comments for PRO debaters:

given in round.

Comments for CON debaters:

given in round.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD:

I voted con off of rent control.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Hired.

## Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min  
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min  
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2316  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Tascosa BB vs. Strake Jesuit College NH)

3

Allen, Ashley

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Tascosa BB		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: Douglas Bowmer		3	29
#2	Name: Elisa Burnett		4	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit NH		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: Timothy Nguyen		2	29
#2	Name: Justin Hoang		1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average  
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding  
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Strake Jesuit NH

Pro 1st

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No) Con 2nd

Comments for PRO debaters:

Bowmer  
- I would've pushed the work labor in ex, "wouldn't houses → more employment?", don't let opp. take control of ex, you state s.t. → P1 but no evidence, have evidence to solidify offense

Nguyen - good aggression in ex, good offense in summary and tying into current programs, I'd better arg. w/ naming some, would've mentioned link more for coherency of offense, Pro didn't really address it

Burnett

- good pickup on more houses/businesses = more jobs in rebuttal, extend this offense to case, I think con's ~~also~~ right when they ask for specifics on how much poor ppl willing to pay and how lower priced nicer houses will exist, I would've asked how are jobs unfilled  
Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):  
I would've brought up mkt. comp. earlier in round, kinda got forgotten, would've been good offense (and in PF)

Hoang  
- good catch on gentrification arg. by Pro, business ↑ b/c poor pushed out, I would've pushed how sq. prices will self correct, could've linked to other offense, good push on how ~~the~~ MRRH → no gov't programs (flush out that arg. though)

## Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Voted Con b/c of scope and FW ~~and~~ of solvency

- Con wins on scope as a million outweigh hertz card  
- Pro never refutes how low-inc. ppl have no place to go, so homeless

- ~~the~~ Pro didn't effectively refute how sacro doesn't work and not enough concrete evidence

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: William S



Room: 2316  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Tompkins WW vs. Saint Mary's Hall RT)

3

Allen, Ashley

William Li

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.  
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Tompkins WW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Wang</u>			2	29
#2	Name: <u>Wu</u>			1	30
#3					
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Saint Mary's Hall RT</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Talukdar</u>			3	29
#2	Name: <u>Reyna</u>			4	29
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Tompkins WW</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Talukdar - organize summary better, need to bring own offense in and org. round, don't focus so much on def., bog down rnd.

Wang - like the overview in summary, expand on it when addressing own case a little more

Comments for CON debaters:

Reyna - I think it was wrong to say short term, long term ultimately always outweigh, need to bring empirical evidence in ex, don't let opponent take control  
- in FF, need to explain why rent control solves and is disc., not just stating it

Wu - good attacks in rebuttal  
- nice def. of case w/ extension of Bob and price  
- good backing of arg. w/ evidence

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted PRO because con failed to give concrete impacts w/ imp. evidence, doesn't tell me how rent cap  $\Rightarrow$   $\downarrow$  segregation and disc.  
- PRO rebutted well about this and won off of filtration and overview (never fully addressed)  
- prices  $\uparrow$  2x w/ rent control

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: William Li

Room: 1614  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (James Bowie WS vs. Plano West LM)

3

Ramaj, Vojsava

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>James Bowie WS</u>		1st Team <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Williams</u>		3	28
#2	Name: <u>Saladiner</u>		2	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LM</u>		1st Team <input type="radio"/> 2nd Team <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Lakhani</u>		1	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Mullassery</u>		4	27
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average <b>Rank each speaker</b> from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>				
Winning Team: <input checked="" type="radio"/> PRO <input type="radio"/> CON		Team/Code: <u>James Bowie WS</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I buy the upzoning argument with the Heritage Corridor.  
It also answers Con's points on risk of abolishing affordable housing. The PW on Pro wasn't really attacked and had 2 warrants to back it up. Con needs to extend all the way to final focus.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Vojsava Ramaj /Hired

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1614  
Flight B: Start  
PF (St. John's WM vs. Plano West SZ)

3

Ramaj, Vojsava

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St. John's WM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: <u>Moore</u>		4th	26
#3 #4	Name: <u>Waterson</u>		3rd	27
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West SZ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: <u>Zhen</u>		2nd	28
#3 #4	Name: <u>Spall</u>		1st	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Plano West SZ</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

1st speaker needs to let opponent finish his answers in CX. 2nd speaker needs to slow down and sign post in rebuttal

Comments for CON debaters:

Very nice rebuttal

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted Con due to displacement and better impacts. Wins on scope + time frame. Pro seemed confused on "affordable housing" and inclusionary zoning.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Vegor Brown / Hired

Room: 1593  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Jasper KS vs. Westwood SX)

3

Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019  
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper KS		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Shufar		20	1
#2	Name: Kamalakantha		30	2
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood SX		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Singh		3	27
#2	Name: Xiao		4	26

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average  
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding  
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Jasper KS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No )

Comments for PRO debaters:

1. good ~~rebuttal~~ summary

Comments for CON debaters:

2. good rebuttal  
if crystallization was good.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

PRO: I bought water from the PRO and the AD  
exclusionary ruling. PRO won the linking  
homes arg.

2. their ~~conventions~~ were not the  
same. Trying to ~~argue~~ them  
didn't help you

1. ~~summary~~ summary wasn't  
convincing. you should be setting  
up the points you're winning.

**Order/Time Limits of Speeches**

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min  
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min  
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min  
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min  
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min  
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min  
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min  
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min  
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min  
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 1593  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Plano West GM vs. Westwood MR)

3

Lakhani, Lizna

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West GM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Gundam</u>		2	28
#2	Name: <u>Mannen</u>		1	27
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood MR</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Mirza</u>		3	28
#2	Name: <u>Ramaswamy</u>		4	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Plano West GM</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

1. Try to be more concise in cl. Don't just try to fill space.

Comments for CON debaters:

2. be more prepared and try to

1. Good summary. You picked some key points but the work was done a little too late in the round.

2. Be more convincing, your rebuttal was good but you missed a lot of their evidence.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RJD: I buy the pro argument to do both. There's too much evidence conceded by the con side. By the end of the round con doesn't have any voters. I buy the timeline arg by the pro.

## Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min  
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min  
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 1641  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Plano West LR vs. Hendrickson HV)

3

Siddiqui, Omer

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019  
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Hendrickson HV</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Valdespino</u>			4	29
#2					
#3	Name: <u>Hann</u>			1	30
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LR</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Rao</u>			2	30
#2					
#3	Name: <u>Liang</u>			3	29
#4					
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average <b>Rank each speaker</b> from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>					
<b>Winning Team:</b> PRO <u>CON</u>		<b>Team/Code:</b> <u>Plano West LR</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con showed through wellborn, erickson that affordable housing will be replaced by lux housing

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: an Contract

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1641  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (W.B. Ray HH vs. All Saints Episcopal SH)

3

Siddiqui, Omer

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Ray HH		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Harkness		4	28
#2	Name: Itaghi		3	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: All Saints SH		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Harrison		2	29
#2	Name: Stein		1	29
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: All Saints SH		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Please ~~not~~ actually respond to arguments in 2nd rebuttal especially if you chose second. Also don't just not only drop arguments in your rebuttal but also make last ditch efforts ~~to~~ in final focus

Comments for CON debaters:

focus more on offense from case

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - voted off of affordable & housing solvency

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

*Om* Contract

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1588  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Strake Jesuit College DD vs. Bellaire BR)

3

Daugherty, Jonat

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Bellaire		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Omar Busaidy		3	28
#2	Name: Vedanth Ramabhadran		1	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Joseph Donowho		4	27
#2	Name: Joseph Dowdell		2	28
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Bellaire BR</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

- The argument about Houston and gentrification was weak.  
- The args the CON put on zoning laws were strong, they weren't handled properly.

Comments for CON debaters:

- I needed more analysis on the impact scenarios, very little time was spent setting criteria to rate arg. The arg on zoning laws were strong, the args on subsidized housing were weaker.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote Pro - The Pro team won the link into solving for homelessness, I thought the weight of evidence would allow supply to go up which controlled the intrad link into the impacts.

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min  
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min  
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

*Jonathan Daugherty* Vista Ridge



Room: 1588  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Strake Jesuit College WC vs. Lake Travis CP)

3

Daugherty, Jonat

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit College WC</u>		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Santiago Weiland</u>		28	4
#2	Name: <u>Cooper Carlisle</u>		28	3
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Lake Travis CP</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Jacob Clemmons</u>		29	2
#2	Name: <u>Sydney Piwonka</u>		30	1
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Lake Travis CP</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes NO)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- I didn't like connecting the market rate housing benefits the rich, and that leads to filtering + excluding 2nd tier households. You need to win both of those (or at least one convincingly) and too much debate was ~~spent~~ <sup>spent</sup> on those issues.

Comments for CON debaters:

- I bought the analysis that the new jobs created were high income, it's just true and the Pro didn't say anything to convince me otherwise.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote Con. I am convinced that the housing stock will decrease in the Pro world, the empirical example from California was strong. I also bought the timeframe decrease in filtering. Given the housing crisis now, I bought the getting rid of gov. market interventions leads to homelessness now.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jonathan Daugherty Vista Ridge

Room: 1827  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Clements QW vs. Hendrickson GY)

3

Holland, Robey

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Hendrickson GY</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Galan</u>			1	29
#2	Name: <u>Yedavalli</u>			4	27.5
#3					
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements QW</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Claire Qu</u>			3	28
#2	Name: <u>Sam Wang</u>			2	28.8
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/>		Team/Code: <u>Clements QW</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I regret.

- 1) Displacement - Con demonstrates that displacement happens in the AS world. Pro frontlines by going all in on filtering. Con proves lack of effectiveness of filtering.
- 2) Clarity of impact - Con has clear link to 4m lives. Impacts coming out of the AS are relevant.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Philo West

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1827  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Klein WZ vs. Westlake HL)

3

Holland, Robey

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake HL</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Ho</u>		2	29.0
#2	Name: <u>Luo</u>		4	29.3
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Klein WZ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Andy Zhou</u>		3	29.5
#2	Name: <u>Brandon Wu</u>		1	29.7
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Klein WZ</u>				
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I negate

1) Displacement - Neg has a clear link to displacement by the end of the debate, which provides the most tangible link to the Framework in the debate.

2) Mass Transit - Not contesting Schmitt

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Robey Holland - Plano

The gentrification analysis sufficiently makes me uncomfortable pulling the trigger for the pro in this debate.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2305  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Jasper CK vs. Westwood FG)

3

Burke, Kellyn

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood - FG</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Gunnwani</u>		2	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Freeman</u>		4	20.5
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper - CK</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Chen</u>		3	27.5
#2	Name: <u>Eumar</u>		1	29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>(PRO) CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Westwood - FG</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Quantify how many? Poverty Framework. Sole contention gov/zoning reform. Highway trust fund.  
Median? - Zoning chokes development  
Why doesn't your world have affordable housing? - Productivity restrictions. ex) SE. Housing rest. height & building rest. prevent enough housing.  
1/17 = .43% - Landlords are equally profitable in low & high income areas. Argue for jobs.  
Comments for CON debaters: - Design restrictions drive up costs. - 500\$ increase in rent = 10% increase in food scarcity in homes. low paying res.\*  
UTTC? - 17m. ppl? - Zoning policies pass b/c of mkt rate? Says con doesn't quantify  
UTTC? 12.5% increase in next 5 years. Limited land = higher costs. goldman evid\*  
Aff. housing can't compete w/ mkt rate b/c they can't get funds as quickly for limited land.  
Displacement impact. gentrification = increased rate of mortality  
Zoning can happen in tandem w/ mkt. 20% of ag. in urban areas. ?\*  
Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): too much w/ x -> bubble burst (2008)

## Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

Room: 2305  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (BASIS San Antonio Sha VP vs. Carroll BB)

3

Burke, Kellyn

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Carroll - BB</u>		1st Team <u>End Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Berni</u>		1	29
#2	Name: <u>Boyapati</u>		3	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Basis - PV</u>		1st Team <u>End Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Vemulapalli</u>		4	27
#2	Name: <u>Prieto</u>		2	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Carroll - BB</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Just b/c it's illegal doesn't mean it doesn't happen - just hard to prove  
White ppl aren't the only ones who generate more supply to meet demand. ex) Houston. Poor neighborhoods increase so much more than rich policies for development.  
ones who care - single family homes are the prob. ex) Houston.  
about prop. value. \*cities w/ mkt rate housing have lower overall housing costs than those that don't.  
Renting? \*need card. gov intervention bad? \*when did they say?  
US vs USgov. mkt rate promoted by private sector.  
both sides argue for houses & loans - what about Renting? - harping on these % but whites are majority in country.  
Comments for CON debaters: gov involvement damages free market. - decreases availability - decreases more without housing.  
Dental of loans is not sig. win. white flight 25% down in 2000-10. 35% renters 11% of allocated budget.  
= widened education gap. - move b/c of decreased value.  
2. Decrease in housing prices = neg effect on economy b/c ppl save - neg equity.  
Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): "Born advocating for removal of gov."?  
Something necessary - city planning.  
Con lost me w/ hypo ex of SF. House decreasing from 1m to \$600,000. Arguing that low income still can't afford, but in the majority of markets, a 40% decrease helps low income.  
Pro does solve for employment (transportation, etc).  
Overall PRO stuck to their case & backed it effectively where CON was not able to elaborate. Attacks specifically white flight & trans/emp issue.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

Room: 1921  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Colleyville Heritage NW vs. Westwood KS)

3

Revote, Emil

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood KS</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Raymond Sun</u>			3	28
#2	Name: <u>Pranav Kulkarni</u>			1	30
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville Heritage NW</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Anisha Navendra</u>			2	29
#2	Name: <u>Joanna Wang</u>			4	28
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <small>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</small>					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Westwood KS</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Q Wang: maintain composure - opp didn't for opp.

Comments for CON debaters:

Q Sun: same

Q Kulkarni: Good speaker, ~~good~~ good delivery.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro wins on overall solvency & scope of benefits  
While the feasibility debate was fun  
to follow it was mostly unnecessary  
to the round - frankly, otherwise  
no offense for pro.  
Pro also wins on clarity/solvability of  
solvency

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: \_\_\_\_\_

Room: 1921  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Tompkins TS vs. Westlake ZY)

3

Revote, Emil

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake ZY</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Kevin Zhou</u>			<u>3</u>	<u>30</u>
#2	Name: <u>Daniel Yany</u>			<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Tompkins TS</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Sharma</u>			<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
#2	Name: <u>Tirumalai</u>			<u>2</u>	<u>30</u>
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <small>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</small>					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Tompkins TS</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

@Pro: Con paradigms better slower speed bc ration  
Decent CX first, gained in quality.

Comments for CON debaters:

@Con: Both excellent CXs. Polite & still aggressive.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con has excellent control of the round,  
to wins on both worlds.  
Last round: But Con is able to  
prove that chronology imp factor,  
while also maintaining a stronger  
impact on pro values in the  
long term. Con also better

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Richard

on the flow & evidence consistency.

## Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	1 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	1 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	1 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	1 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	1 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	1 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1594  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Flower Mound GM vs. Seven Lakes SM)

3

Fung, Anson

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes MS</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Samarth</u>			1	29.5
#2	Name: <u>Manjul</u>			2	29.5
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Flower Mound GM</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Colton Moraine</u>			3	29
#2	Name: <u>Nikhil Guddati</u>			4	29
Rate each speaker from 1 to 4: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4: 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u>		Team/Code: <u>Flower Mound GM</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes/No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

PRO #1 speaks

What is this evidence comparison? There's good helping from both sides but needs to be interrelated

Comments for CON debaters:

in summary. I am writing this before FF and I know weighing will decide this.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both debaters debated like big politician on big stage. Good job! I don't get the redmond/indict. 2 and 3 are alright. I read the displacement arg. 1st b/c I see the ff weighing. Prob is conceded defense. That makes me question prob neg who link. That being said I still think the NEG has a increase in the link.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

STRAKE JESUIT  
Displacement. I voted NEG

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	



Room: 1594  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Colleyville Heritage LW vs. Jasper IS)

3

Fung, Anson

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Colleyville Heritage LW		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Colin Wei		1 28.8
#2	Name: Daniel Li		2 28.8
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Jasper IS		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Shanrukh Showkath		3 28.7
#2	Name: Samir Iyer		4 28.7
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average			
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Colleyville Heritage LW	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

What ethical fw is given in round for me to eval morality?  
The PRO summary was narrow and good at start but then lost focus @ the end. The DV/ is poorly warranted.

Comments for CON debaters:

Question is if AFF gets link to CON impacts.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both debaters were like politicians debating on a big stage. Good job! Cosworth is good. Also the narrative of the AFF responds back to the warranty w/in Chew. I think the AFF gets offest from the NCR impacts on income neg for the poorest.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

STRAKE JESUIT

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min  
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min  
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2343  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Claudia Taylor "Ladyb MP vs. LC Anderson KK)

3

Panella, Brenda

LC

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson KK</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Kavoussi</u>			3	27
#2	Name: <u>Karchmer</u>			2	27
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Claudia Taylor Ladyb MP</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Patel</u>			4	26
#2	Name: <u>Makani</u>			1	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>LC Anderson KK</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: <u>Yes</u> No )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Remove "like" and "cool" from your vocabulary in debate. Very good work being cordial to one another! Pace, speed is okay for all four. Good job!

Comments for CON debaters:

I know it's been a long day, but your energy/sharpness was very low energy. Low energy = slow delivery, too slow? some points didn't make sense. Your tiredness showed.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Evaluating the round and looking at my flow I need to decide if Restructuring Mortgage risks solves and that pro doesn't cause Economic segregation as Con first stated in first constructive, but neither side convinced me.

Both sides offered arguments as to why or why not gentrification will occur. No team offered compelling evidence that outweighed the other.

Aff was persuasive on the Education argument. ~~Higher~~ Increase in properties = higher education expenditures for urban neighborhoods.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Brad Panella / N/A

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Con's only counter was "property taxes have no correlation with that and direct funding" = no source provided, plus that's inaccurate. As an educator in public schools, yes it is true that ↑ properties = ↑ revenue for schools.

Room: 2343  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Bellaire LF vs. Grapevine MG)

3

Panella, Brenda

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Point
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire LF</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Fan</u>			2	28
#3	Name: <u>Lai</u>			1	30
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Point
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Grapevine MG</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Goodman</u>	4		3	26
#3	Name: <u>McClure</u>	3	4	4	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Bellaire LF</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

THANK YOU for pointing out & arguing Con's old card 1954-1962 \$120 billion in home loans were for white families & tying that to Jim Crow Era. Fan, try not to say "like" when you speak. PRO, your opponent repeated many times something you ended your speech with "No black person wants to go back to Africa". I forgot how this tied to your argument, but they never let up. I'm not black, but I am brown. If I heard "no latino wants to go back to Mexico" I wouldn't find it offensive but rather inaccurate. Be aware and sure of what you say... back it up with a source.

Comments for CON debaters:

McClure a little too fast on your constructive. Talk to the judge, not at the judge. You go from one point to another, but it's so fast that I didn't catch all you said. Goodman, don't say "trap" in a round. Also, don't say "like". McClure too fast on Final Focus.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Your crossfires were very entertaining. I had to bite my tongue not to laugh. Be careful about not getting too heated or silly. Some judges will knock off all points for that. I didn't because I thought your questions needed to be asked such as stance on Capitalism or validity of sources. Final Focus said that housing crisis "oppresses minorities & killing thousands"... Killing?? How? If you stated it before, I didn't catch it. This was a cut and dry round. Neg's stance on Structural violence didn't hold. Gentrification argument falls with Pro's source from Vox. Pro said "Consolidation happens in a capitalist economy" + "zoning laws are racist" (Vox).

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Brenda Panella, N/A

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

The Canada/Toronto source on 90% of housing goes to whites was confusing. Aff said it was irrelevant. Con said it was Duke, not Toronto. But Duke is a University, so why would they deal with housing? I'm thinking Duke was source, but topic was on Canada.

Room: 1591  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Strake Jesuit College DC vs. LC Anderson BJ)

3

Siddiqui, Rafay  
LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019  
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: LC Anderson BJ		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: Jayasundera		3 27
#2	Name: Brinkmann		4 27
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit DC		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: Curry		2 28
#2	Name: Dupre		1 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average			
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON		Team/Code: Strake Jesuit DC	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> )			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Please be a bit more mindful about time. You ~~both~~ were really skirting the line with time and it was a bit borderline, even with some generosity on my part to account for a synchronization.


Comments for CON debaters:

More impact calculus, but overall fine. ~~more at the end~~

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: the argument that I end up really leaning on heavily is the land scarcity argument from the CON that by and large is skirted around by the PRO, it is the most logical thing that happens within the parameters of the resolution.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

 St. John's

**Order/Time Limits of Speeches**

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min  
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min  
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1591  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Plano West AW vs. Vista Ridge FA)

3

Siddiqui, Rafay

Conero

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West AW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Wang</u>		<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>
#2	Name: <u>Annan</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Vista Ridge FA</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Awadallah</u>		<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
#2	Name: <u>Fuller</u>		<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Plano West AW</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>NO</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Sincerely a tough round and decision, I do not make it lightly. Both teams were quite good.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Even if MRH does increase luxury apt., no response to the fairly novel point about MRH also building houses catered to the middle/lower socioeconomic class goes largely uncontested by the con and much of the crux of St. John's

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: St. John's

the con arguments were about luxury/high cost houses.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2503  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Westlake XX vs. Duchesne Academy of t DL)

3

Winn, Angela

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Duchesne Academy of t</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Point
#1 #2	Name: <u>Linbeck</u>		<u>4</u>	<u>29</u>
#3 #4	Name: <u>Duarte</u>		<u>2</u>	<u>30</u>
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake xx</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Point
#1 #2	Name: <u>Dodgin</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>29</u>
#3 #4	Name: <u>Sigel</u>		<u>2</u>	<u>30</u>

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average  
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding  
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: (PRO) CON Team/Code: Duchesne Academy of t

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

## Comments for PRO debaters:

- #2 - good case - would like a little more on impacts
- #4 - strong speech - but wanted more on the con impact, you mention it but did not go into it
- #2 - overall good speech - had clear achievements and point out the things the con forgot. but also ignore some of the con points
- #4 - strong final focus

## Comments for CON debaters:

- #1 - strong case - good impact -
- #3 - strong speech, gave some really good points, good overview. <sup>try not to argue during of</sup>
- #1 - great impact debate, had clear and precise arguments, want a little more on pro.
- #3 - strong final focus, would have like a little more on supply and demand

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The PRO gets the ballot base on the fact the con forgot a few key things in summary and needed more on supply and demand arguments

Great Round

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Angela W

Do Not Argue during CX!  
- Both Teams!  
Grand was a lot better!

## Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2503  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Westlake DS vs. Colleyville Heritage SL)

3

Winn, Angela

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake DS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
<u>#1</u>	Name: <u>Ali Slimi</u>		<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>#2</u>	Name: <u>Mikes Dintzner</u>		<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville Heritage SL</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
<u>#3</u>	Name: <u>Lee</u>		<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>#4</u>	Name: <u>Shadman</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Westlake DS</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

## Comments for PRO debaters:

2# strong case - great impacts  
- great questions!

4# - strong speech - good overview of each argument; wanted a little more on pro case. ~ great questions

2# - good clear and precise arguments; good impacts

4# - good job pointing out things con miss; good overview

## Comments for CON debaters:

#1 strong case - wanted a little more on impacts.

#3 - good speech ~ good impacts.

#1 - Needed to address pro case in some way. Had some good points.

#3 - good final focus - good overview; wanted impacts.

## Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The pro wins base on the fact that the con drop key arguments, and the pro gave more clear precise arguments

Try Not to argue during CX!

Be care with asking for evidence and then arguing about it  
pro was bad at this

## Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Angela Winn

Room: 1825  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Saint Mary's Hall PH vs. Elkins CZ)

3

Braley, Kristi

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins CZ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: <u>Cheng</u>		4	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Zahir</u>		2	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Saint Mary's PH</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: <u>Trey</u>		3	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Hernandez</u>		1	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>St. Mary's Hall PH</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

*Solid round - coaches should be proud.*

Comments for CON debaters:

*Zahir - threw down a lot on the flow - nice. I appreciate the "that means..." and interp throughout. In the time you ask if you can finish the sentence, you could just finish the sentence also, listen more to attacks so you don't rely on a study heavily indicated by opponents.*

*2 - Good job on both of responses*

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

*Kristi Z Braley - All Saints*

*not really buying that rent control solves (banned) in 38 states. Con still prevents from offense while showing they would supply by 45%.*



Room: 1825  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Cypress Woods BM vs. Tompkins WT)

3

Braley, Kristi

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Cypress Woods BM</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Bertinot</u>		4	28
#2	Name: <u>Malik</u>		1	28.5
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Tompkins WT</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Tirumalai</u>		2	28
#2	Name: <u>Wang</u>		3	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>(CON)</u>		Team/Code: <u>Tompkins WT</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle Yes <u>No</u> <del>Yes</del> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

17 Offs on reading wrong case - just shake it off.  
Need to directly respond to argument about predatory loans not being geographically defined. Your partner read ev. - why should I prefer yours?

Comments for CON debaters:

1C - "expenditures" is correct. Passionate case delivery. You can actually pull back a bit - this round isn't THAT intense.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I do think that rent control is part of market rate housing, but this is a non-issuer in the round.

Ultimately, there was a LOT of tension left in the round w/ unresolved issues, but overall, Con best extended offense that comes from limited space <sup>on</sup> ~~from~~ which to build, explaining that deregulated zoning in Houston only works in areas where there is plenty of land to develop, not NY or CA, which would only cause ~~disorder~~ homelessness.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Kristi L Braley - All Saints Lutheran

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1583  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Cypress Creek BO vs. McNeil YS)

3

Hoang, Keith

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Cypress Creek BO</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Nick Bazan</u>			3	27
#2	Name: <u>Elijah Ochoa</u>			2	28
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>McNeil YS</u>					
#1	Name: <u>YAKES</u>			4	26
#2	Name: <u>SANISH</u>			1	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Cypress Creek BO</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes NO)

Comments for PRO debaters:

If the ZNR <sup>use</sup> ~~used~~ more, this would've been ~~an~~ <sup>an</sup> ~~excellent~~ <sup>excellent</sup> close. Both ~~state~~ <sup>use</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> idea of "better", but ~~fail~~ <sup>fail</sup> to elaborate ~~him~~ <sup>on</sup> why, (I assume for good reason)

Comments for CON debaters:

besides that, FW debate was <sup>awesome</sup> ~~con~~ <sup>con</sup> ~~failed~~ <sup>failed</sup> flow, and very well done by both. However,

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

to adequately ~~address~~ <sup>on</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~clash~~ <sup>clash</sup> with the rest of Pro's case. Further, Pro's observation still stands, so even if FW was relatively close, you leave a whole con, and con 1 ~~capitulates~~ <sup>capitulates</sup> as voters. ZNR did a very good job in trying to recover, but that small ~~recovery~~ <sup>recovery</sup>.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Ken - My <sup>certified</sup>

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

allowed Pro to gain sig offense, ~~offense~~ <sup>that</sup> and put con into def, ~~dragging~~ <sup>dragging</sup> what pro wanted to argue.

Room: 1583  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Tompkins SR vs. Franklin MD)

3

Hoang, Keith

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Franklin MD</u>		1st Team: <u>Emily Do</u> 2nd Team: <u>Lana Murphree</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Emily Do</u>		4	27
#2	Name: <u>Lana Murphree</u>		3	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Tompkins</u>		1st Team: <u>Sambaraj</u> 2nd Team: <u>Rapolu</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Sambaraj</u>		2	28
#2	Name: <u>Rapolu</u>		1	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <del>CON</del>		Team/Code: <u>Tompkins SR</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes NO)

Comments for PRO debaters:

RFD = Diana rd - national scope > local  
Sternan / Iglesias - > general econ arg.  
Lead Renewals dep by Con.  
side note: myt  
cases these would be  
be RFD, bc thh  
the followup for that  
is viable not that strong

Comments for CON debaters:

One thing of note, good GDP & Econ / local Econ & sol.  
- China, U.S., Greece, a lot of  
capitalist econ = poor sol.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Fw debate was thorough and well done,  
but by the post-summary, pro ceded offense  
to Con, and Con never retreated.  
Good sub and good logic both

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Keith Hoang Contract

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2364  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Westwood MP vs. All Saints Episcopal PT)

3

Ali, Raheena

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019  
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Sidh Pandit	#1		4	28
#2					
#3	Name: Sanki Mohankumar	#1		3	28.5
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: All Saints PT		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Evan Pan	#1		1	30
#2					
#3	Name: Bradley Tibwell	#2		2	30
#4					
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>					
Winning Team: PRO		CON		Team/Code: All Saints PT	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Contention 1 was taken out, evictions contention could've been stronger.  
Impacts weren't rounded.

Comments for CON debaters: Good speeches! Gentrification was good argument.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Gentrification is bad, affordable housing demolished, racial discrimination was all uncovered by PRO

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

 Ali Raheena

Room: 2364  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Saint Mary's Hall ST vs. Vista Ridge KD)

3

Ali, Raheena

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019  
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St Mary's Hall ST</u>		1st Team <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2nd Team <input type="radio"/>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Rylan Schendel</u>		3	28
#2	Name: <u>Nicholas Trujillo</u>		2	28.5
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Vista Ridge KD</u>		1st Team <input type="radio"/> 2nd Team <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Arnod Daherkar</u>		4	28
#2	Name: <u>Siddharth Kelvekar</u>		1	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CON <input type="checkbox"/> Team/Code: <u>Vista Ridge KD</u>				
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Try to get broader and more up to date evidence about social mobility - warrant illogical.

Comments for CON debaters: extend better impacts than just structural violence hammer harder about illogical social mobility argument.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The only reason I am slightly negating is because the social mobility card is very flawed and small in scope. No weighing done for me so I had to vote off magnitude of displacement.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Raheena Ali Trained

Room: 2331 *Chore*  
Flight B: Start *1* *won* *Chore 2nd* **3**  
PF (LC Anderson BF vs. Westwood BK) *Pro*

**Hooks, Alyssa**

LC /

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

*Edwards*

*When debating a K you need to answer the Alt ASAP  
You put turnison but they are not terminalized soon enough.  
The arg that are made aren't responsive, they're new.*

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <i>Westwood BK</i>		1st Team <i>2nd Team</i>	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: <i>Barter</i>		3	28
#2				
#3	Name: <i>Isota</i>		4	20
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <i>LC Anderson BF</i>		1st Team <i>2nd Team</i>	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: <i>Foster</i>		2	28
#2				
#3	Name: <i>Built</i>		1	30
#4				
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>				
Winning Team: PRO <i>CON</i>		Team/Code: <i>LC Anderson BF</i>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No )

Comments for PRO debaters:

*Zoning needs to be ANYWHERE else before it is read as an "overview". It's sketchy & also very unfair, they have a 2 minute response to that; knowing you were going to read that you should have had your partner read ANYTHING about zoning in the 1st speech*

Comments for CON debaters:

*I think the K is strategic and adapts well. I think you need to build the impact out a little bit more than you do now.*

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

*1- Structural violence comes before lost \$, there was new terminalization. Corruption comes 2nd to the K 2-100% evidence makes the alt viable option to get PPT off the streets & disrupt the capitalist system w/ racism 3- the CBS goes conceded MTH=Capitalism so it triggers the 3 1/2's on the K 4- K comes 1st & the K is largely unanswered 5- LITEC has to maintain*

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *Alyssa DASH*

Room: 2331  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Ysleta CM vs. Colleyville Heritage FB)

3

Hooks, Alyssa

Called  
Hooks  
2

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Colleyville Heritage FB		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Samarth Bhat			1	29.5
#2	Name: Noah Francis			2	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Ysleta HS		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Mendoza			3	26.5
#2	Name: Candelaria			4	26
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO		Team/Code: Colleyville FB			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No)

Comments for PRO debaters:


I think it's kind of sketch to give 3 ways to build that aren't in the AC, but your opponents let it go so I have to also. Great! Coverage in the summary!!

Comments for CON debaters:

the rebuttal needs to signpost more and answer the specific arguments instead of just the general premise of the arguments. Summary is not the time for new argo

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- 1- the displacement only has a change by 0.3% + w/ gentrification
- 2- 3 more extended args on gentrification that are extended
- 3- The pro case is not considered in summary, all offense & defense
- 4- Property taxes is one of the benefits that is super clear
- 5- Gentrification has too many benefits in this round

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:  DASH

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2320  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Klein GI vs. Saint Mary's Hall MW)

3

Loh, Sam

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>SMH MW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>W</u>		28.4	2
#2	Name: <u>W</u>			
#3	Name: <u>M</u>		28.5	1
#4	Name: <u>M</u>			
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Klein GI</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>G</u>		28.2	4
#2	Name: <u>G</u>			
#3	Name: <u>I</u>		28.3	3
#4	Name: <u>I</u>			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Saint Mary's Hall MW</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No) <u>No</u>				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I affirm. Was an extremely difficult round to advise judge since the offense you are both running one on the other side of the flow. Overall I think the aff has a slight edge in turn offense since it's not unintended in the name level. I think the OV fundamentally takes out Aff's 2nd link if supply > demand and prices are high then increasing supply is not the way to go.

On the turn in the AC on demonstration. Your argument is not entirely responsive because your arg is that Mkt leads to demonstration but the aff's arg is create Mkt and affordable housing after that.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] H. Blom

On the NC- I think the aff is ahead on this justification and argument that it's not luxury but more low inc. homes and growing jobs affs.

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min  
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min  
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side



TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019  
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Anderson CE		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: E		2	29
#2				
#3	Name: C		1	29.1
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood FW		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: F		4	28.9-6
#2				
#3	Name: W		3	29.4-6
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: LC Anderson CE		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

- little note in ex, I didn't want this against you but some judges might

Comments for CON debaters:

- 1st speaker - I got the perception in mind of doing something and shifting blame to other team when it got heated/over time. Yes they were a bit note but try not to do this so much.

- Please organize your own better, it shouldn't take you 3+ min to find ev. that they use for

E Affirmation note that the aff solves for a better

On NC: Few pieces of defense not responded to / not extended

I) Don't contest Matt's story in speech I buy aff solves for not cause


ii) On OV - anstr. finishes at the same time, no unique reason to negate

iii) NC C2 is A) Nonsense - don't respond to how any of f. inv & changes in aff, B) No impact in summary

I give aff the C3 note that there's a check that how Matt avoids neg's mitigates that and cause a recession

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:



HEBREW

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood IP					
#1	Name: Pingale			3	28.5
#3	Name: Iyer			2	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Clements JR					
#2	Name: Rao			4	28
#4	Name: John			1	29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Westwood IP			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Great job extending your arguments and linking into theirs. I would suggest you do more research to cite examples of how M.R.H. has helped. The CON could hit you with enough to make your case problematic for your long term solvency.

Comments for CON debaters:

The two of you are fantastic and I thought you did a superb job of debating.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted for PRO based on their arguments for their framework and linking into the CON's framework. The PRO extended the first contention and their responses to the CON provided me with enough evidence to solve for generational poverty, limit recession bc support overall economic well-being. This was a difficult round because you're all amazing and the CON did provide good evidence (Stein), but it wasn't enough to convince me of their argument being the best solution for their framework.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

*Li DS*

Lovejoy H.S.  
Teacher/Coach

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1927  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Cinco Ranch TR vs. Strake Jesuit College AJ)

3

Daugherty, Tim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019  
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit College AJ		1st Team	2nd Team	
#1	Name: Jalal			Ranks 3 Poin 29.5
#3	Name: Ashraf			Ranks 4 Poin 29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Cinco Ranch TR		1st Team	2nd Team	
#1	Name: Routray			Ranks 1 Poin 30
#3	Name: Tewary			Ranks 2 Poin 30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO		Team/Code: Cinco Ranch TR		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Amazing debate round! I can't say enough good things about you guys!

Comments for CON debaters:

\*Tewary - Make sure to give the opponent time in crossfire to ask questions. You dominated the time in your crossfire.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted for Con based on the impacts that they gave in regards to helping people now. The evidence that the PRO gave was great, but ~~known~~ in theory and the historical evidence was weighed against that. Excellent round and the four of you are seriously amazing debaters!

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Tim Daugherty  
Lovejoy H.S.  
Teacher/Coach

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2311  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Houston Memorial MY vs. Westwood CR)

3

Moreno, Clarissa

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood CR</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Chen</u>			1	30
#2	Name: <u>Rajesh</u>			2	30
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Houston Memorial MY</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Maharama</u>			3	29
#2	Name: <u>Ju</u>			4	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Westwood CR</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I absolutely adored the rebuttal and man I wanted to see more of that! The Cambridge ex. was strong but you didn't do anything with it.

In the end I voted pro because they impacted on a larger scale - though I def. think there are some issues w/ the link chain - they weren't adequately attacked. I also liked that if you're building in urban areas, you're going to bleed for the ppl who live there. Again, I don't know that this necessarily true (ppl's will but the neg didn't attack so it stuck).

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jasper HS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2311  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Bellaire TC vs. Westlake DR)

3

Moreno, Clarissa

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire TC</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Chen</u>			1	30
#2	Name: <u>TU</u>			3	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake DR</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Reddy</u>			2	29
#2	Name: <u>Daghestani</u>			4	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Bellaire TC</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )					


Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

I really liked the impacts of the poverty trap and would like to see that extended  
- I also had an issue w/ theoretical vs real world members when they gave you actual ex.  
Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):  
The bx more crushing is an attractive argument but that does  
little to tell me about individ. mkt's, which pro mentions. In  
the end I brought zoning laws and the argument from  
cal. leg. about mk rent & displacement

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

 Jasper HS

Room: 2301  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Elkins AP vs. Clements CH)

3

Romo, Madison

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins AP</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name:	<u>AKbar</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>30</u>	
#2	Name:	<u>Pratap</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>30</u>	
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements CH</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name:	<u>Chen</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>	
#2	Name:	<u>Huang</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>30</u>	
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Clements CH</u>					
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

*I voted con, but this was a RFD. Very solid round from everyone! I don't buy aff's business prosperity. Con effectively argued that dec in pov levels isn't be low income people there are being helped but be they are being driven out and replaced with higher inc residents. This makes pov levels look like they are decreasing. Secondly, pro doesn't show that housing will go down enough to make units affordable for low inc ppl (not enough). I also don't buy that developers are choosing to upzone over bulldozing even if upzoning might be better in theory. I think pro's modular housing arg is interesting, but it needs to be expanded upon and maybe add more specific evidence / relevant evidence.*

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Madison Romo Sturheane

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2301  
Flight B: Start  
PF (LC Anderson EC vs. Plano West HJ)

3

Romo, Madison

LC /

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West HJ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Edison Huang</u>		4	29
#2	Name: <u>Anthony Jiang</u>		2	30
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Anderson EC</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Seung Joh Cho</u>		1	30
#2	Name: <u>John David Erard</u>		3	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Anderson EC</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I vote con for several reasons. First, they effectively show that even if there 25% vacant lots, <sup>as they say</sup> the land costs still matter a lot in urban areas. Homes are decreasing in price much and definitely not enough to make them affordable. Plus, pro mentions a few points such as their point about legislation, which makes it difficult to weigh.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Madison Romo Sturtevant Debate

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1602  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Plano West FZ vs. Bellaire DS)

3

Kim, Dohun

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019  
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

**Judging Instructions:**

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West FZ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Michelle Zhang</u>		2	28.6
#2	Name: <u>Joy Fan</u>		1	28.7
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire DS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Pramit De</u>		4	28.4
#2	Name: <u>Andrew Sun</u>		3	28.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>PLANO WEST FZ</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Misunderstanding of economics. I think a focus on competitiveness of markets would be a better discussion to have. Crowding out & shortage in market arguments determine affordability i/l w/c of dead weight loss caused by rent control

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: DDH Kim

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	



Room: 1602  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Plano West KR vs. Dulles LC)

3

Kim, Dohun

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West KR</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Conrad Krueger</u>		2	28.2
#2	Name: <u>Nouh Rume</u>		1	28.3
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Dulles LC</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Sofia Chang</u>		4	28
#2	Name: <u>Yihan Li</u>		3	28.1
<b>Rate each speaker</b> from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u>		Team/Code: <u>PLANO WEST KR</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Trade off vs. Shift argument. Not necessarily about demolishing affordable housing. Conversion can be reverted and Cosgrove evidence indicates that the developers will make a shift toward low income individuals if the luxury homes are not profitable. Davidson evidence provides uniqueness & reason for why this shift will occur.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Dohun Kim

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1581  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Bellaire PW vs. Hebron WK)

3

Hunt, Terry

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Hebron KW		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: Williams		3 28.5
#2	Name: Kirk		4 28.5
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Bellaire PW		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: Wuy Wu		1 29
#2	Name: Alex Pantazopol		2 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Bellaire PW -	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes NO)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

All debaters are strong debaters -  
- Enthusiastic State plan - and how you  
don't roll back rent controls.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The con wins the supply argument -  
Proves luxury homes are the  
ones built and leads to 2.3 \$mil in  
displaced.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Tyler Hunt Teacher HS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1581  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Clements GK vs. Cinco Ranch DM)

3

Hunt, Terry

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements GK		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Kalvakunthla			1	30
#2	Name: Gandhi			2	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Cinco DM		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Dial			1	27
#2	Name: Mohan			3	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Clements GK			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No) )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

The pro dominated the debate by making the focus on their case.

Comments for CON debaters:

They needed to extend more cards/opponents.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The Tyrell and Durham evidence preferred - shows the need to lift restriction to solve for displacement MPX.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Tyler Hunter HS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2318  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (St. John's GY vs. Houston Lamar NV)

3

Erard, David

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: St. John's GY					
#1	Name: Garza			3	28
#2	Name: Yekell			4	28
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Houston Lamar NV					
#1	Name: Van Nostred			2	28.5
#2	Name: Nelson - Grocock			1	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/>		Team/Code: Houston Lamar NV			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Gave more extensive oral RFD.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Not the strongest round for either team. I didn't see a clear framing at work and the round felt ambiguously messy. Ruled Con for lack of convincing solvency and advantage of promoting market rate

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

*(Signature)* (School Judge)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2318  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Plano West LW vs. Clements GS)

3

Erard, David

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Clements GS</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Simh</u>		3	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Simh</u>			
#3	Name: <u>Mod Lem</u>		4	28
#4	Name: <u>Mod Lem</u>			
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>plano west LW</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Lin</u>		2	29.5
#2	Name: <u>Lin</u>			
#3	Name: <u>W Li</u>		1	30
#4	Name: <u>W Li</u>			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>(CON)</u>		Team/Code: <u>Plano West LW</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u> )				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

*Oral RFD in detail*

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

*Pro evidence was well refuted by Con and regulation argument not substantiated enough with Mass. example to withstand rebuttal. Final move cities if that is your contention. Framework strong, consistent and extended for Con.*

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

*D. E. (School Judge)*

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1513  
Flight B: Start

PF (Seven Lakes NS vs. Elkins RN)

Pro 1st

1) Srivastava

2) Neelala

Can 2nd 1) ~~Ben~~ Nandolia

3

Farris, Ben

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code:		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name:	Srivastava	A	1	30
#2	Name:	Neelala	B	4	27
CON					
CON Team Name/Code:		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name:	Nandolia	A	2	29
#3	Name:	Rafiq	3	3	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team:		PRO	CON	Team/Code: Elkins RN	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Disproportionate spending Bank going to be used by U.S. Application to solve "7 million units" needed to meet Cont. 1...

Aff didn't address your economic growth statistics well. Homelessness was also under covered. Good job on decoupling bubble (econ) with housing crisis. Not buying weight of filtering housing weight, wasn't explained impact on filtering argument at end even if it was untouched out of CX.

Comments for CON debaters: have case or pieces of case ready for app. So you appear prepared. try to limit Should have followed up with filtering. Either minimize it as 1.9% is relatively insignificant or dig into filtering up mentioned in CX.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Aff trying to pin Neg as the status quo. Neg isn't claiming a continuity + support of status quo. Final focus of pro misaligned arguments trying to paint can as status quo.

Neg did a good job covering MRH harms + minimizing scope of inclusivity zoning. If I can accept Con vacant house its not convincing that MRH combined makes it better. Arguments against can cont. 2. status quo. Claim against uniqueness of Vancouver as eg. not explored.

I don't buy the generic answer of finding that can do significant dent to 7 million missing units.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Clements Ben Farris

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

What is this idea that in gentrified regions people who stay benefit. This must be assuming some people can choose to stay. So they own their home rather than are renting.

Room: 1513  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (James Bowie BM vs. Jasper YN)

3

Farris, Ben

# TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Pro - (2nd)

1st Nie

2nd Yi

CON - (1st)

1st Bellman

2nd Maedgen

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

## Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper YN		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <del>Nie</del> Nie		29	2
#2	Name: <del>Nie</del> Yi		26	4
#3	Name: <del>Nie</del> Yi			
#4	Name: <del>Nie</del> Yi			

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: James Bowie BM		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <del>Nie</del> Bellman		29	1
#2	Name: Maedgen		27	3
#3	Name: Maedgen			
#4	Name: Maedgen			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average  
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding  
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: James Bowie BM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

argument against non unique of Gov. targeting low income public housing come kind of late. should have taken it up earlier.

modular housing is an interesting case to run. I think most modular home sites are not actually urban?... Never came up. Removing zoning. Use of NY as show case Good argument that lack of supply pits poor against wealthy and they want with that contest. good focus on costs of demolition it is an added cost but by no means prohibitive

Comments for CON debaters:

should have continued up with price shading. Good focus on NY city + housing crisis good contextualizing of 5%  $\Delta$  causing only  $\uparrow$  0.5%

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

strong argument New York having huge issues with luxury apartments replacing other types.

HOPE 6 is relevant I don't buy that it should be ignored in the dialogue of the round.

Question of what constitutes as a vacant lot is an interesting potentially worthwhile direction to draw conversation.

Displacement - both sides claimed I believe Con. did a better job supporting displacement.

definition of who is getting vouchers 15% relocated in segregated areas.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Clements

Ben Farris

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2317  
Flight A: Start ASAP  
PF (Plano West OR vs. Bellaire KD)

3

Sinha, Vivek

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West OR</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Ogata</u>			4	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Pasigopalan</u>			23	28.5
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire KD</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Kotha</u>			2	29.5
#2	Name: <u>Ping</u>			1	29.5
<b>Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points:</b> 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/>		Team/Code: <u>Bellaire KD</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Postcard a link/impact turn together

Comments for CON debaters:

Hit hard if you hear a contradiction, you don't need to go for their responses

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

frp: Risk of Offense flows for Con

Contract

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: \_\_\_\_\_

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	



Room: 2317  
Flight B: Start  
PF (Flower Mound WS vs. St. John's LT)

3

Sinha, Vivek

## TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

### Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

\*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

**New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Point
PRO Team Name/Code: 2nd					
#1	Name: <i>Lo</i>			29	4
#2	Name: <i>Lo</i>			29	4
#3	Name: <i>Lo</i>				
#4	Name: <i>Lo</i>				
CON		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Point
CON Team Name/Code:					
#1	Name: <i>Shah</i>			29	4
#2	Name: <i>Shah</i>			29	4
#3	Name: <i>Winter</i>				
#4	Name: <i>Winter</i>				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/>		Team/Code: <i>Flower Mound WS</i>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> )					

Comments for PRO debaters:

*Good job handling speed*

Comments for CON debaters:

*Go for Alt.*

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

*RFP: Risk of offense flows in*

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *W. Co. teacher*

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min  
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min  
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min  
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side