

Room: 2320
Flight B: Start

2

Hoang, Keith

PF (Strake Jesuit College AJ vs. Plano West GM)

May Amedwale

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1	Name: <u>Gr</u>			3	28.5
#2	Name: <u>M</u>			1	29.5
#3					
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1	Name: <u>J</u>			4	28
#2	Name: <u>A</u>			2	29
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit AJ</u>					
Low Point Win? (Circle Yes <u>No</u>)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:


Cool it w/ the hand motions bro

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Construction costs 2 high so only luxury homes
↳ gentrification.

Filtering 2 slow & ppl are already relocated

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:



Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1614

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (Houston Memorial MY vs. Westlake DS)

2

~~East~~
Siddiqui, Rafay

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Hoang

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake DS		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Point
#1	Name: Ali Slimi		2 28
#2	Name: Miles Dintzner		1 29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Houston Memorial MY		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Point
#1	Name: Mallesh Maharana		3 26
#2	Name: Jennifer Yu		4 27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <small>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</small>			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Westlake DS	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Very very good job both of you. This is one of the few times I ended up pro I've voted con case after for other instances, but it was clear that the Aff knew exactly where to attack, and they wasted a few minutes with some delay. Also I2 isn't Aff's

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Plan as pro, but party instead. It isn't necessarily restricted to I2, so while I2 was good otherwise, it wasn't really complete as for filling / complete as pro's as they had some case, but I2 is the main focus. I2 was an issue, not that I2 is the main focus. I2 was an issue, not that I2 is the main focus. I2 was an issue, not that I2 is the main focus.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Pro wins for up to 100% accuracy

Room: 1594
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Strake Jesuit College DC vs. Flower Mound GM)

2

Hunt, Terry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit DC</u>		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Neo Curry</u>		2	29
#2	Name: <u>Ethan Dupre</u>		1	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Flower Mound GM</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Colton Moraine</u>		4	28
#2	Name: <u>Nikhil Guddati</u>		3	28.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>				
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/> Team/Code: <u>Flower Mound GM</u>				
Low Point Win? (Circle Yes No) <u>Yes</u>				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Censoring the displacement argument -
Pro has trouble with data to support
warrants provided.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Tyler Lender/HIS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1594
Flight B: Start

2

Hunt, Terry

PF (Seven Lakes RG vs. Bellaire KD)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code:		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name:	Angela Gao		3	28
#2	Name:	Rohit Rao		4	27
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
CON					
CON Team Name/Code:		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name:	Sarika Kotha		1	29
#2	Name:	Lucy Ding		2	28
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>					
Winning Team:		PRO	CON	Team/Code: Bellaire KD	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con wins the time frame
Saves 14/15 displayed
and filters turn too long

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Tyler Scordus

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1585

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (Jasper TV vs. Westlake LR)

2

Farris, Ben

(1st) Neg TV

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

1. Vemulapalli

2. Thorsell

(2nd) (+) Westlake LR

(1) Robertson

(2) Li

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake LR		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: Robertson		30	1
#2	Name: Li		28	3
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Jasper TV		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: Vemulapalli		29	2
#2	Name: Thorsell		27	4
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Jasper LR				

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes) No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

*try to manage time better, to prevent opp. from calling you out on time.
 very good analysis to the cards ran by neg. it highlights scope or weakens points.

Both sides need to control their ^{cx} flow and use of time in cx. keep it civil.

Comments for CON debaters:

Agricultural argument was made globally only addressed by aff. Nationally US impact, could have strengthened case by picking up on this. Developer's intention/understanding seem weak, might want to reinforce w/ more examples or research.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Environment is a recognized point by aff + neg.
 Both recognize its significance. I can't ignore con's impact. Aff environmental benefit is mixed benefit/weakness.

I don't buy that strong student argument/analysis outweighs over prospective expert prediction cards.

Neg was more clear with voters and Aff in summary dropped too much of foundation spent on arguing definitions, status quo what each side's argument was actually saying.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Ben Farris

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1585
Flight B: Start

2

Farris, Ben

PF (Colleyville Heritage CR vs. Eastwood RB)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

1st Pro
1. Radant
2. Collins

2nd Neg
1. Budreckis
2. Rodriguez

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Collyville Heritage CR		1st Team	2nd Team	
#1	Name: Radant			Ranks 31 Poin 30
#2	Name: Collins			Ranks 33 Poin 30
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Eastwood RB		1st Team	2nd Team	
#1	Name: Budreckis			Ranks 32 Poin 30
#2	Name: Rodriguez			Ranks 34 Poin 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code:		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)


Comments for PRO debaters:

Excellent voice + pacing, well thought out arguments and clear analysis. Personally I don't see why the free market or Market Rate housing will only or specifically affect the middle class. but not challenged by con.

Comments for CON debaters:

Should have doubled down on too much housing bad and too little housing can be bad. Dropped this pt. and so did aff. Could have followed up on the why would cheaper houses become available? question from CX.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):



Both sides had a mixed neighborhood argument. Con says Pro only benefits MC but that would imply the mixing itself is not beneficial for poor which pro provide evidence it would.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Ben Farris Clements
in round and neither side fully won. on what status quo currently is. (Different cities each have different approaches and harms of racism is not specific to these areas (San Francisco, LA, Portland, Seattle etc.)

Room: 1591

Flight B: Start

PF (Strake Jesuit College NH vs. Vista Ridge FA)

2

Daugherty, Tim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Point
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Jesuit College NH</u>		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: <u>Nguyen</u>			3	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Hoang</u>			2	29
#3					
#4					
CON				Ranks	Point
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Vista Ridge FA</u>		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: <u>Awadallah</u>			4	28
#2	Name: <u>Fulker</u>			1	30
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Vista Ridge FA</u>					
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Excellent job! Add more evidence to prove your "gentrification isn't bad."

Comments for CON debaters:

Excellent job! Add more evidence to how ^{difficult} ~~difficult~~ it is for poverty-level families or low income families to move.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted for Con on their luxury housing (^{paid} ~~paid~~ #2) in that this ~~doesn't~~ doesn't stop builders from developing more expensive homes. The PRO attempted to link into gentrification, but there wasn't enough evidence to convince me that this was enough for a win. I ^{also} gave the round to the Con for their arguments and rebuttals on gentrification.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Tim Daugherty

Love joy H.S.
Teacher

Room: 1825

Flight A: Start ASAP

2

Holland, Robey

PF (Strake Jesuit College SD vs. Seven Lakes SM)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Strake SD</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Shah</u>		2	29.7
#2	Name: <u>Shah</u>			
#3	Name: <u>Den</u>		1	29.8
#4	Name: <u>Den</u>			
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes SM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Samantha</u>		4	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Samantha</u>			
#3	Name: <u>Manjula</u>		3	29.5
#4	Name: <u>Manjula</u>			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Strake SD</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I affirm

1) Disclosure Theory - Aff wins
 offense on depth of argumentation
 Proves T is a voter - Fairness.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Robey Holland Alief Taylor High School

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1825
Flight B: Start
PF (Westwood SX vs. Elkins CZ)

2

Holland, Robey

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins CZ</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Chang</u>			3	28
#2	Name: <u>Zaher</u>			1	28.5
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood SX</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Singh</u>			4	27.5
#2	Name: <u>Xiao</u>			2	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Elkins CZ</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Both Teams

This is a super close and very messy debate. A ballot could be justified for either team. Lack of clear sign posts and argument engagement forces judge intervention.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RED: I affirm

1) Tax Revenues - This arg is conceded by the con, so there's 100% strength of link into a very marginal impact. This is the only place in the flow I feel comfortable voting.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Robey Holland Plans
West

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1927
Flight B: Start

2

Erard, David

PF (Plano West HJ vs. Duchesne Academy of t BD)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>DUCHESNE AD</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Blanton</u>		4 29
#2	Name: <u>Trinity</u>		1 30
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West HJ</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Huang</u>		3 29.5
#2	Name: <u>Jiang</u>		2 29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Plano West HJ</u>	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both Aff and Neg demonstrated that Status Quo was not sufficient for housing cost issues. It was solvency of ~~proposition~~ Aff that fuel short of convincing me that displacement on demolition, leading to gentrification, would not occur because of land/labor costs as ~~dis~~contended by Con.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

A.D.E. (School Judge)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1927
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Westwood IP vs. Plano West LM)

2

Erard, David

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LM</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Lakhani</u>		3 29.5
#2	Name: <u>Mullassery</u>		4 29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood IP</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Ringale</u>		1 30
#2	Name: <u>Iyer</u>		2 29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Westwood IP</u>	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Excellent Round. Suggest more examples than just the extreme case of Bay Area. Clarify that zoning isn't "no government interference. Weigh (with specifics) the damage done to education and program funding of non-income tax states by prioritizing free market.

Comments for CON debaters:

Watch "tax hike stat".

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

4.5 million getting assistance with 12 million applying, along with Fannie Mae evidence of lost affordability weighed for Con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

David Erard (School Judge)

Room: 2320
Flight A: Start ASAP

2

Hoang, Keith

PF (Strake Jesuit College WC vs. LC Anderson CE)

~~MASS~~ Amadwa/LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: LC Anderson		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1	Name: E		2	29.7
#2	Name: C		1	29.8
#3				
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1	Name: W		3	29
#2	Name: C		4	28.7
#3				
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: LC Anderson CE		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)				


Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- I don't think CON has any UNIQUE offense. All of these disads being outlined are true of the status quo
- I'm compelled by the PRO's regulations argument so there's more than enough of a risk to negate

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:



Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2331

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (Plano West SZ vs. LC Anderson BJ)

2

Li, William

LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson JB</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Jay Osundera</u>		1 <u>30</u>
#2	Name: <u>Brinkmann</u>		3 <u>29</u>
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West SZ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Yizhen Zhen</u>		4 <u>28</u>
#2	Name: <u>Donovan Spill</u>		2 <u>29</u>
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>LC Anderson JB</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes NO)

CON 1st

Comments for PRO debaters:

Jay Osundera - good push in summary of offense
 - be more aggressive 'inc.'
 - expand on how PRO 20% poverty, → more impacts

then - try to expand on how 30 yrs is good and what happens after the 30 yr. benefit

Brinkmann - good attacks on LIHTC
 - good arg. of luxury housing
 - try to not spread too much in FF!

Comments for CON debaters:

rebuttals fine but you can make your point slower as well

spill - good counter arg. in rebuttal
 need to address what happens after 30 yrs. and counters of only twin cities in FF

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

voted pro b/c show was thrown off, ~~the~~
 won on scope as impacts of con are very small,
 con ignored attacks on ~~the~~ LIHTC, low-inc.
 and low-opp. houses still bad, yes better than no
 home but worse b/c leaving ppl. in dead end
 places, if con ~~to~~ expanded on what would happen
 after 24 yrs., would've had better offense. pro also
 won on trickle
 eco.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: William Li

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Lovejoy SL</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Andrew Sipes</u>		2	30
#2				
#3	Name: <u>Landon</u>		4	20
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood BK</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Barter</u>		3	20
#2				
#3	Name: <u>Kota</u>		1	30
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>(CON)</u> Team/Code: <u>Westwood BK</u>				

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Sipes - in summary, needed to expand on how gentrification helps low-income, and explain why 38% card not relevant, not just put evidence out there, dropped a lot of def. in summary

Landon - good arg. in rebut. try not to flow through ink too much in case in rebut.

Comments for CON debaters:

Barter - good frames of offense w/ issues of pricing and ink, needed to push arg. of vacant homes, and recession impact needed more evidence

Kota
- good arg. in rebuttal
- push case offense more and expand on send -> more
- needed to connect Amy card more and argue against luxury home towns affordable

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

not addressing zoning policies nullifies offense of tipping down w/ hudson card

Voted CON, b/c of Lennan (zoning) as it prevents any imp. on PRO, needed to be addressed and Fanny mae w/ gentrif. arg. outweighed, close round. con also flowed through ink a little, which bogged down offense

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: William Li

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements GK		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: K		3	28.5
#2	Name: G		1	29.2
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson KK		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Kav		4	28
#2	Name: Kar		2	28.6
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO		CON	Team/Code: Clements GK	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

- Bit of a contradiction: say dereg. is a national scale, on a city by city scale
let then say former intent is analyze on national scale. GK so not a huge deal
but if would watch your answers

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- I affirm on removal of regulation
- 1) ~~Permit~~ I buy permits are mitigatory - Aff still accesses supply over time
 - 2) I buy that MPAH is dereg because I) empirics II) neg concedes its mitigatory
 - 3) The turn is functionally outweighed against by saying aff is still a net benefit using crossapp of other extensions
 - 4) Neg is behind on perm and been undue on tradeoff, I don't give offense

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Hebrew

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1921
Flight B: Start

2

Graves, Victoria

PF (Saint Mary's Hall RT vs. Clements MM)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St Mary's RT</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Talukdar</u>		2	29
#3	Name: <u>Reyna</u>		4	27
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements MM</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Marcelo</u>		3	28
#4	Name: <u>Marakani</u>		1	20
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Clements MM</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

PO Your comment on leg keeping/carrying the impoverished in poverty seems contradictory with your case. You say policy keeps people poor so... here is another policy?

Comments for CON debaters:

Good Recency when reading
Don't argue dur cross you provide him w/ just more time to refute.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Gentrification Flows Con
Poverty prevention/Decrease Flows Con
Zoning Flows Con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min ✓
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min ✓
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min ✓
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min ✓
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min ✓
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min ✓
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min ✓
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min ✓
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min ✓
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: KA TACS HS

Room: 1921
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Colleyville Heritage NW vs. Dulles LC)

2

Graves, Victoria

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville Heritage NW</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
1	Name: <u>Nerendra</u>	4	27
2	Name: <u>Wang</u>	2	28

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Dulles LC</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
1	Name: <u>Chung</u>	1	30
2	Name: <u>Lee</u>	3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO ☒ CON ☐ Team/Code: Dulles LC

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No ☐ Con)

Comments for PRO debaters:

RFD
Know the rate of filtering
Wang work on sign poster
Don't argue. dig cross set up for you
Speeches

Comments for CON debaters:

Like case layout
Be ready w/a stat for Luke houses
Work on sign post

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: Filtering flows Con
Displacement flows Con
Timeframe flows Con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature] TX City HS

Room: 1513
Flight B: Start

2

Bloss, Brian

PF (Seven Lakes NS vs. LC Anderson BF)

LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes NS</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Scrivastava</u>			2	28
#2	Name: <u>Neelala</u>			1	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Foster</u>			3	28
#2	Name: <u>Builfa</u>			4	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Anderson</u> LC <u>BF</u>					
Low Point Win? (Circle: <u>Yes</u> No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Good job in pointing out a lack of trade off being identified that would force Litech out. This argument helps to take out much of the con case. However make sure you explain the timeframe burden they place on you.

Comments for CON debaters:

In summary you need to extend the Jacovis evidence on how renovations increase value. This kills their price decrease argument.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This is the real center of gravity for your case.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

B. Bloss Plano ISD

The pro drops the trade off and the HUD evidence showing people pay below market value and thus solving some.

Room: 1513
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (James Bowie WS vs. Clements GN)

2

Bloss, Brian

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>James Bowie WS</u>		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Williams</u>		3	28
#2	Name: <u>Saladiner</u>		4	27
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements GN</u>		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Goyal</u>		1	28
#2	Name: <u>Nihalani</u>		2	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Clements GN

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Good focus on the Henri arguments.

Comments for CON debaters:

Both teams need to work on extending warrants of your impacts in your speeches not solely in crossfire.
I also feel at times both teams are trying to

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

ultimately I vote for the con because I am persuaded by the empirics of Houston, Portland, and Miami. Pro while you emphasize on what could be done the con heavily emphasizes what developers are most likely to do.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Bloss Plano ISD

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1827
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Kempner BS vs. Hendrickson GY)

2

McClure, Mindy

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Hendrickson GY		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Galan		3	28.6
#2	Name: yedavalli		4	28.5

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Kempner BS		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Benitez		2	28.8
#2	Name: Solanki		1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Kempner BS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

G - good organization

Y - rent control policies - distinctive developers more housing = more availability - good structure

Comments for CON debaters:

B - good organization - good pt tear downs, good analysis 12

S - great passion + emphasis good statistics good emphasis momentum to end cross

B - excellent reader summary pls

good control of Grand Cross

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

McMansion argument + statistics

↓ apartments ↑ luxury housing

Immediate benefits - good job creating

concerns about emphasis on market rate development and what harm it could do in urban areas.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Mindy McClure Grapevine HS

Room: 1827
Flight B: Start

2

McClure, Mindy

PF (Bellaire BR vs. Claudia Taylor "Ladyb MP)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Claudia Taylor MP</u>		1st Team <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1	Name: <u>Pavel</u>		4	28
#2	Name: <u>MaKani</u>		3	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire BR</u>		1st Team <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1	Name: <u>Busaidy</u>		1	29
#2	Name: <u>Ramabhadran</u>		1	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CON		Team/Code: <u>Bellaire BR</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

P - insufficient supply ↑ demand, slow down a little
M - good evidence ↑ market rate housing dln contribute to displacement, great eye contact + conversational tone
P - peak - status quo - good pt

Comments for CON debaters:

B - good org w statistics - arguments clear + easy to follow in cross - great emphasis of your points + eye contact
R - good readdress lack of workers, good response to CA, great pt about construction cost being high, distorted market
B - distorted market is good - R - really controlled narrative in cross

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Mindy McClure Shaperville HS

Room: 1593
Flight B: Start
PF (St. John's GY vs. Jasper KS)

2

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of Ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code:	1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
St. Johns				
#1 Name: Ganza			3rd	2027
#2 Name: Yehell			1st	2029
CON				
CON Team Name/Code:	1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
Jasper				
#1 Name: Shufen			4th	2027
#2 Name: Kamalakantha			2nd	2028
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: St. Johns GY		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

BFD: No reason why luxury homes
in neighborhoods are bad. possibility
of alienating parents

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jacob Lugo

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1593
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Klein GI vs. Hebron WK)

2

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Hebron</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: <u>Williams</u>			3	27
#2	Name: <u>Smith</u>			4	27
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Klein</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: <u>Gunda</u>			2	28
#2	Name: <u>Infan</u>			1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Klein GI

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Smith: Try not to cut people off when they are asking you a question

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: Can win the housing crisis is happening but building more houses will only increase prices. The Gentrification debate is won by the con, and even if that is a wash, demolition is bad.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: ~~1637~~ 1631 (next to 1633)
Flight B: Start
PF (Strake Jesuit College DD vs. St. John's WM)

2

Kim, Dohun

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: STRAKE JESUIT DD		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: Donowho		2 28.9
#2			
#3	Name: Dowdall		1 29
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: ST. JOHN'S WM		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: Moore		4 28.6
#2			
#3	Name: Waters		3 28.7
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: (PRO) CON		Team/Code: STRAKE JESUIT DD	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

① argument is not impacted by the CON.
CON doesn't extend impact of urban sprawl in final speeches
Zoning deregulation solves urban sprawl & homelessness

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Dohun

Room: 1631 (next to 1633)
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Plano West AW vs. Clear Springs BP)

2

Kim, Dohun

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: CLEAR SPRING BP		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: BOEHNING			3	28.5
#2					
#3	Name: PRITCHARD			4	28.4
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West AW		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: Wang			2	28.6
#2					
#3	Name: ANAM			1	28.7
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO (CON)		Team/Code: PLANO WEST AW			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

I think that this style of framework can be useful, but I think you need to have a clearer representation of what ~~debat~~ the PRO world looks like. This was unclear for most of the debate.

Comments for CON debaters:

Try not to interrupt the other team as much in Crossfire

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Florida 2017 cv does say tighter land use regs cause misallocation, but concludes that changing these regs would cause the poor regions to grow poorer. Doesn't seem like offense at all.

The framework argument still links to the luxury housing argument. The small businesses buying land would lead to normal means i.e. building luxury housing. The Gowan & Cooper cv is good at explaining why this would occur.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Dohun Kim

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2317
Flight B: Start

PF (Jasper IS vs. Clements QW)

2

Daugherty, Jonat

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Clements</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Claire Qu</u>		28 4
#2	Name: <u>Samuel Wang</u>		29 2
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper IS</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Shahrukh Showkath</u>		28 3
#2	Name: <u>Samir Iyer</u>		29 1
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average			
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>IS Jasper</u>	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

You made lots of good arguments, but I thought you spent too much time on minor things and not enough on major issues. You conceded that accessing the racism expert was most important thing to summarize. Ok cool, you then do that then education. The FF needs to win this internal time convincingly. That time was spent arguing a supply will be prices and help minorities some, ~~that's~~ that's not bad, but they have clear warrants about costs and income disparity that I needed responses to. They also had offense in that controls that I didn't like but there was no interaction with it so I found it to be con.

Comments for CON debaters:

I ~~wasn't~~ wasn't persuaded much by the answer on racism. I'd rather you have your own arguments are most important than weighing, not just reacting to it.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote Con. I thought the con better accessed the link into mitigating racism, because market rate loans are discriminating and due to income disparity. I also bought the offense on rent controls that they encourage an increase supply of affordable housing.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jonat Daugherty Vista Ridge

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Cypress Creek BO</u>		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: <u>Nick Bazar</u>		29	4
#2	Name: <u>Elisjah Ochoa</u>		29	2
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West OR</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: <u>Noah Ogata</u>		29	3
#2	Name: <u>Vishwajith Rajagopalan</u>		30	1
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>OR Plano West</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

I need a clearer definition of what it means to ~~promote~~ ^{promote} when asked to ~~discuss~~ ^{discuss} ~~discuss~~ ^{discuss}
 "I explain it in my case" isn't enough. If the answer is long give a long answer.
 Great speakers.

Comments for CON debaters:

~~The~~ ~~summary~~ ~~and~~ ~~final~~ ~~focus~~ ~~spent~~ ~~time~~ ~~on~~ ~~the~~ ~~right~~ ~~thing~~ ~~to~~ ~~win~~ ~~the~~ ~~round~~.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I vote Con - I thought the Con better addressed the impacts of food insecurity and education for low income households. Additionally, I found the resolution argument for the con side, putting additional defense on the pro impacts.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jonathan Daugherty, Vista Ridge

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Cappell - BC</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Bonri</u>	<u>VB</u>	28 2
#2	Name: <u>Chiniwala</u>		27 4
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire - PW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Wu</u>	<u>VB</u>	27 1
#2	Name: <u>Pantazopol</u>		27 3
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average			
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: <u>PRO CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Cappell - BC</u>	
Low Point Win? (Circle: <u>Yes</u> No)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Choosing right path?
Against Aff housing:
1. Financing
2. Higher costs b/c trans. b/c of location
3. Gov. supp. stopped allocating funds b/c it strains budget.
- Eases eff of gen. Filtering. No gen? b/c of aff housing was met 8 years ago*
- Supply & Demand.

Comments for CON debaters:

Rolls back Rent Control = bad. - Done to reach mkt rate (increases 40% ↑)
Eviction
Increasing gentrification - land value & cons. cost. Drop in rent jobs = increased prices
= displaced low income

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

CON repeatedly implied mkt rate housing automatically eliminates Aff housing & incentives, as well as Rent Control.

PRO is able to counter these implications throughout debate with more conclusive ^{recent} evidence.

PRO was also able to support solvency specifically where CON generalized.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

K Burke

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2503

Flight B: Start

PF (Tompkins WT vs. Bellaire TC)

2

Panella, Brenda

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

This was incredibly close!

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:		Bellaire TC		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name:	Chen		2	28
#2	Name:	Tu		3	27
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:		Tompkins WT		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name:	Tirumalai		1	29
#2	Name:	Wang		4	27
<p>Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average</p> <p>Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding</p> <p>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</p>					
<p>Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/> Team/Code: Tompkins WT</p>					
<p>Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>)</p>					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Tu - SLOW DOWN! You gave great arguments, but sped through ~~explaining~~ explaining why I shouldn't value Con's sources such as Chen. I didn't understand all of your point. I understood that ~~the~~ the Chen evidence focused on London & Australia ... I think. Chen - when rewording evidence on rent control, Do NOT say that "it sucks". Find adequate vocabulary.

Comments for CON debaters:

Con - in first constructive you mentioned (Robert 18) airborne diseases, but then dropped it. You should have kept going with it.

Wang - way too fast on your ~~first~~ second constructive! Be clear, concise. The faster you speak, the less I understand which means I'm not following your argument. Tirumalai, your summary was too fast.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This was persuasive: Market rate housing has less restrictions, no lease and can raise rent whereas rent control has jurisdictions. = CON

Also the filtering argument by Pro includes rural areas. Urban areas, per Con is 0.3% vs. Pro's 2%. filtering rate

Pro's sources on displacement decreased in areas like Seattle, Oakland, etc. was very good.

Pro good point that Neg's urban neighborhood statistic focuses only on one area. Con made a good point that their evidence is on urban area per the resolution.

on Seattle 48.6% renters burden = no social mobility. Con, good evidence. THIS was a tight debate. CON team wins based on IMPACT. Eviction = poverty. 17.5% less in life expectancy among homeless. saw no solvency = PRO by zombie laws and a MRA = decrease in homelessness = HOW?

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Brenda Panella / NA

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Tascosa BB</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Bowmer</u>		4 26
#2	Name: <u>Burnett</u>		3 26
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Duchesne Academy DL</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Linbeck</u>		1 28
#2	Name: <u>Duarte</u>		2 27
Rate each speaker from 1 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average			
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Duchesne Academy DL</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Burnett, you spent the first 30 seconds of your speech quoting cards or arguments from opponent. That is a waste. Rather, defend your stance and refute them, don't quote them unless you're tying it into an argument. Most of your argumentation was "simple economics" but you really need to show me why Market Rate Housing is effective. Good card from City Commentary California on Bay area displacement decrease.

Comments for CON debaters:

Please don't say "cool". Duarte, you started your speech by saying cool at least five times. Say "thank you", "great", "Money on", etc. Also, take "like" out of your vocabulary as you debate. Also, look up when you ask if everyone is ready.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

PRO - in final focus, again too much time was spent quoting/citing neg. You said, "we proved that this will occur" but restate w/ source or argument how you proved.

Con: Huston '18 - rent control = 20% less likely to move out
Berkley - subsidized housing 2x more effective

Rosenthal: Seattle example 92% luxury homes built

Con - your San Francisco evidence was too fast. I didn't understand it.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: B. Panella / N/A

(Coach) no room in urban neighborhoods to build.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1641

Flight B: Start

PF (Flower Mound VV vs. Cypress Woods BM)

2

Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
Team Name/Code: <u>Flower Mound</u>		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1	Name: <u>Shruti Vummadisig</u>	<u>CON</u>		4	27
#2	Name: <u>Saumya Vishnoi</u>			3	28
#3					
CON				Ranks	Points
Team Name/Code: <u>Cy Woods BM</u>		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1	Name: <u>Hannah Bertinot</u>	<u>PRO</u>		2	28
#2	Name: <u>Trisha Malik</u>			1	20
#3					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Cy Woods BM</u>			

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

1. Excellent summary. you made the right extension and chose well to answer your impacts

2. you spent a lot of time in summary saying things that didn't help or hurt you. try to be more concise and efficient.

Comments for CON debaters:

2. excellent rebuttal. really good application in FF

2. Instead of repeating your case you should have answered their case more (or cross-apply)

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

PRO: the pro made some key extensions in summary on their offense, and the con didn't sufficiently answer the turn and responses to case. I bought Blackwell and Badger from the pro, as well as their offense extensions from case that went uncontested

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1641

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (Westlake RW vs. Plano West LR)

2

Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LR</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Red</u>		4	28
#2	Name: <u>Liang</u>		1	30
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake RW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Robinson</u>		3	29
#2	Name: <u>Wenring</u>		2	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Plano West LR</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

PRO
1. good extensions in summary

CON
1. The summary was a little messy but overall good job.

2. Excellent Rebuttal.

Comments for CON debaters:

2. you made extensions in FF that weren't extended in summary

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

PRO: The con misconstrues the pro case. I don't buy the timeframe argument by the con. I bought the layered arguments made by the pro. Pro wins in both worlds. I bought the oversaturation arg by the pro

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Carroll BB</u>		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Eesha Betti</u>		3	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Neha Boudarati</u>		4	28.5
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood FW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Milisa Freund</u>		1	28.6
#2	Name: <u>Sophia Wang</u>		2	28.6
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Westwood FW</u>				
Low Point Win? (Circle: <u>Yes</u> No)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

I don't get why builders have to build.
Also CON reads some conflicting ev. in rebuttal.
Please do ev. comparison in rebuttal.
PRO please weigh in summary. CON summary needs issue selection.

Comments for CON debaters:

What are those extensions in FF PRO???

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both teams debated like big politicians on a big stage. Good job!
This round suffered from lack of evidence/warrant comparison and issue selection in the 2nd half.
What a messy round. The only place I can find any offense at all is this gentrification arg from CON.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Anson Fung / STRAKE JESUIT

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2303

Flight B: Start

PF (Westwood FG vs. Coppell MP)

2

Fung, Anson

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:		Westwood FG		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name:	gunwani		1	28.7
#2	Name:	Freeman		2	28.7
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:		Coppell MP MP		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name:	Panatpur		3	28.5
#2	Name:	MUTHUKUMAR		4	28.5
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>					
Winning Team:		(PRO) CON		Team/Code: Westwood FG	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for ~~debaters~~ debaters:

Interesting AFF. Wonder if you're conflating MRH w/ other policies...
 Whats the uniqueness/net benefit for foreign based codes??
 The AFF action(s) are questionable. Don't go new in 2.

Comments for ~~debaters~~ debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both teams debated like big politicians on a big stage.
Good job! Conceded gentrification non-unique. Shame
 b/c you had good offense not weighed by the CON. No offense
 or impact from CON. I vote PRO on prod. I don't
 think form based codes is terminal defense.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Anson Fung / STRAKE JESUIT

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
 Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
 Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2301
Flight B: Start

PF (Clements GS vs. Elkins AP)

2

Hooks, Alyssa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Boston, MA

Hpa 32

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Clements GS</u>		1st Team: <u>2nd Team</u>	
#1	Name: <u>Singh</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		4	25
#3	Name: <u>Gaddam</u>	3	20
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins AP</u>		1st Team: <u>2nd Team</u>	
#1	Name: <u>Akbar</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		2	27
#3	Name: <u>Pratap</u>	1	28
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average			
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Elkins AP</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

~~Good~~ you don't give data on how many homes are vacant until rebuttal
~~How~~ / what do we need to dereg. Portland does not make it less cherry picked

Comments for CON debaters:

Good CF; Why don't you go for the

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- 1- Gentrification
- 2- Generational Poverty
- 3- What is deregulation

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Alyssa Hooks TFAA

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>SMH ST</u>			1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>Nylan Schandel</u>		2	28
#2	Name: <u>Nicholas Trujillo</u>		1	29
#3				
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper Yn</u>			1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>Alyssa Nie</u>		4	26
#2	Name: <u>Angela Yi</u>		3	27
#3				
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON Team/Code: <u>St Mary's Hall ST</u>				
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>NO</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

I wish you would have gone for filtering more clearly as a way to 1) make the 1st better & 2) go for the no timeframe arg

Comments for CON debaters:

going for this definition in PF is so unnecessary

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- 1- Weighing: the aff clearly outweighs the neg
- 2- FWH: ~~the~~ con does to the AFF W/F
- 3- Coverage: The con does not cover the key args in the round
- 4- Gentrification does not have a timeframe & the con never tries to give one

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] DAHA

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Franklin MD</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Emily Do</u>			25	4
#2	Name: <u>Lena Murphree</u>			26	3
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>TOMPKINS WW</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#3	Name: <u>CHUMING WANG</u>			29	2
#4	Name: <u>ALYSIA WW</u>			29	1

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO ☒ CON Team/Code: TOMPKINS WW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

#2 - good case - have some good points ~ would like a little more analysis ~ confusing during CX

#4 - had a ton of ums... in speech; had some good points but wanted a little more analysis.

#2 - had a ton of ums...; need to directly address con arguments - you were just stating thing already on your contention; Confusing a times; did not address con!

#4 - need to get to the point faster - made some good points but need to bring up sooner umphs

Comments for CON debaters:

#1 ~ strong case - well organized - good analysis. ~

#3 ~ strong points ~ wanted a little more on impacts. ~ good questions!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

~~good case on the good points~~

The pro - drop con in summary
pro also lack clash in the round

~ The con gets the ballot because they won on the contention level of the debate as well as impacts also gave clear and precise arguments

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Angela Winn

* don't let one person do all the work in Grand cross-fire.

* Need clash
* since lost

#1 ~ good analysis of the arguments in the round. ~ good impacts -

#3 ~ good job pointing out what they drop; very clear and precise wanted more on pro

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West KR</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: <u>Conrad Krueger</u>		2	28
#3 #4	Name: <u>Noah Romo</u>		1	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Vista Ridge KD</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: <u>Amod Daherkar</u>		4	28
#3 #4	Name: <u>Siddharth Kelhelcar</u>		3	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Plano West KR</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

- #2 - good case with strong impacts
- #4 - strong speech ~ be careful with repetitive hand motions ~ good clear arguments.
- #2 - strong summary; good job referring to CX; wanted a little more on Con.
- be careful with repetitive hand motions!
- #4 ~ good final focus; good impacts ~

Comments for CON debaters:

- #1 - good case - good impacts ~ how does putting people in house lead to crime?
- #3 - good speech ~ good analysis ~ don't let opponent talk all of CX
- #1 ~ had some good points; wanted a little more on your case and going over pro arguments on it. ~ be careful with repetitive hand motion
- #3 - good final focus ~ would have like a little more on impacts

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Good clash throughout round;

Overall good round ~ The pro won on impacts and gave clear and precise Arguments

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Angela W =

Room: 2315
Flight B: Start

2

Ramaj, Vojsava

PF (Plano West LW vs. Cinco Ranch DM)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Cinco Ranch DM</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Diao</u>		4	29
#2	Name: <u>Mhon</u>		3	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LW</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Lin</u>		2	30
#2	Name: <u>Wei</u>		1	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Cinco Ranch DM</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: <u>Yes</u> No)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

~~It was a very good and close round. It came down to the long effects since demolition happens in both worlds. Pro extended all their warrants on how the housing market rate has helped in the past.~~

It was a very good and close round. It came down to the long effects since demolition happens in both worlds. Pro extended all their warrants on how the housing market rate has helped in the past.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Norjma Ruzj / Hired

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2315

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (Westwood MR vs. Seven Lakes CZ)

2

Ramaj, Vojsava

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood MR</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Mirza</u>			3	28
#2	Name: <u>Maneswamy</u>			4	28
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Chan</u>			2	29
#2	Name: <u>Zhong</u>			1	30
<p>Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</p>					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

It was an easy ballot for Con. The non-uniqueness argument for zoning reforms wasn't countered and I bought it. Going with that they prove how supply doesn't necessarily mean much lower prices. Ultimately it comes down to who is moving into these new buildings and the pro has no warrants for the poor flocking here.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Leigha Boery /Hired

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2343
Flight B: Start

2

Moreno, Clarissa
Baez, Luis

PF (Cinco Ranch TR vs. Flower Mound MK)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Flower Mound MK</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Kotha</u>			4	28
#2	Name: <u>Motupalli</u>			2	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Cinco Ranch TR</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Routray</u>			3	29
#2	Name: <u>Tejowary</u>			1	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Cinco Ranch TR</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This was tough! While I do believe pro is correct that they are the main ones that risk solvency I had to vote con due to the increase of housing prices on the poor one and the resulting inter-generational poverty gap - and the links that lead to foul sweat of urban poverty. I think pro could win by doing more w/ their deregulation argument but seemed to drop this in round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jasper HS

Room: 2343
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Westlake XX vs. Bellaire LF)

2

Mareno, Clonson
Baez, Luis

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire LF</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Fan</u>			<u>3</u>	<u>30</u>
#2	Name: <u>Lai</u>			<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
#3					
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake XX</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Dodgin</u>			<u>2</u>	<u>30</u>
#2	Name: <u>Sigel</u>			<u>4</u>	<u>29</u>
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Westlake XX</u>					
Low Point Win? (Circle <u>Yes</u> No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This was a tough round to judge and decide on. In the end I judged off of timeline. Filtering ads have positive impacts but the timeline was too long. The idea that new housing is luxury and not beneficial to the inner class and then subsequently leads to the poverty trap of the suburbs was another reason to vote con. I think pro contained a numerical hint on low-income renters (HDI) but missed that opportunity.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: 

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1592
Flight B: Start

2

Ali, Raheena

PF (Tompkins TS vs. Westlake HL)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake HL</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>HO</u>		2	29.5
#2	Name: <u>LUD</u>		1	30
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Tompkins TS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>SHARMA</u>		3	29
#2	Name: <u>TIRUMALAI</u>		4	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Westlake HL</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

con
Your case is ~~very~~ structured in a very weird way and confusing. They have "fat" to promote market rate where housing as it is right now.

Comments for CON debaters: Pro
Great signposting in rebuttal! 1.3% stat takes out ~~displacement~~ displacement. Long term and short term evaluated and you won on both.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

PRO's impacts outweigh cons and pro strongly proves displacement and poverty will decrease.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Rahuna Ali/Hired

Room: 1592

Flight A: Start ASAP

2

Ali, Raheena

PF (James Bowie BM vs. All Saints Episcopal SH)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>James Bowie</u>		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: <u>Bellman</u>			2	29.5
#2	Name: <u>Moedgen</u>			3	29.5
#3					
#4					
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>All Saints</u>		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: <u>Harrison</u>			1	30
#2	Name: <u>Stein</u>			4	29
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>James Bowie</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Diversity overview relatively unimportant in round but I loved how it took out their impacts.. maybe it was important whoops.

Great Lexus / Camry analogy!

Comments for CON debaters:

Without being able to prove that they are directly stopping Affordable housing from being ~~built~~ built, I am forced to default PRO where they show me more housing will develop in their world through "filtering." It's really just supply and demand but the concept remains the same. The impact of displacement should come directly from where Market Rate housing is happening. ~~and it should~~

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Need to focus more on their overview and impact level debate.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Rahmiz Ali / Minister

Room: 2312

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (St. John's MK vs. Westlake YW)

2

Mason, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St. John's MK</u>			1st Team	2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Asher Molt</u>			<u>3</u>	<u>30</u>
#2					
#3	Name: <u>Pranav Kondur</u>			<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
#4					
CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake YW</u>			1st Team	2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Preston Williams</u>			<u>4</u>	<u>29</u>
#2					
#3	Name: <u>Xuechen Yin</u>			<u>2</u>	<u>30</u>
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON			Team/Code: <u>St. John's MK</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

This is an effective PRO case. Best I've seen.

Comments for CON debaters:

Yin - your rebuttal was thorough and VERY effective. Solid link attacks and understanding of evidence. Williams - I understand your summary attacks, but there seemed to be a few logic leaps there.

At the end of the day, I buy the PRO case and I think it still is the best option based on evidence.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
 Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
 Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

William Mason KHS/coach

Room: 2312
Flight B: Start

2

Mason, William

PF (Tompkins SR vs. Saint Mary's Hall MW)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St. Mary's MW</u>		1st Team: <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>John David Walls</u>		1	30
#2	Name: <u>Tori Merendez</u>		2	30
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Tompkins SR</u>		1st Team: <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Abhishek Sambaraj</u>		4	29
#2	Name: <u>Aryan Rapolu</u>		3	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u>		Team/Code: <u>St. Mary's MW</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

IN What is the direct link between MR housing and dishonest landlords who don't renew leases? I didn't get that. Oh, not gentrification.

Comments for CON debaters:

PRO Gentrification also means building middle class housing which is not necessarily luxury housing. Moll said that in her rebuttal. Finally. Displacement caused by what? (other than gent.?)

Reason for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing)

Biggest reasons I had to vote PRO: Inclusionary zoning factor AND delinking displacement to gent.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 2313
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Clements CH vs. Westlake DR)

2

Shivji, Alina

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code:		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name:				
#2	Name:			4	29 28
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:			3	29 28
CON					
CON Team Name/Code:		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name:				
#2	Name:			1	29 29
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:			2	29 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO		CON		Team/Code: Clements CH	
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

80% card isn't sufficiently responded to, so it turns most of the off

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Alina Shivji

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Ysleta CM</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Mendoza</u>			2	29
#3	Name: <u>Candelaria</u>			1	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire ZS</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Sun</u>			3	28
#3	Name: <u>Zhao</u>			4	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <small>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</small>					
Winning Team: PRO <input type="radio"/> CON <input checked="" type="radio"/>		Team/Code: <u>Bellaire ZS</u>			
Low Point Win? (Circle: <u>Yes</u> No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The neg proves that there's an increase in luxury homes when you increase market-rate housing. Accordingly, all the aff's offense goes away. The aff extends through inn and does not respond to the crux of the neg case or responses. The warrants provided by the aff are not finished out and the provides clear extensions. So, I vote neg off the Allen evidence! (happy ~~with~~ evidence.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Alina Shivji

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood KS		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1	Name: Raymond Sun			4	28
#2	Name: Prunav Kulkarni			3	28

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Bellare DS		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1	Name: Pravit De			2	29
#2	Name: Andrew Sun			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Bellare DS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Speaker 1) Good arguments

7) Did a great job during summary to extend certain cards

Speaker 2) great job on defending their whole case

Comments for CON debaters:

Speaker 1) great response to question asked

Speaker 2) did a great job during rebuttal & good job on defending the pro case

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Both sides did a great job representing their respective arguments, but ultimately voted con b/c of their point that zoning isn't unique & ultimately detrimental to zoning & prior relationship

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Arpit J

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1583
Flight B: Start

PF (McNeil YS vs. Clements JR)

2

Dua, Arpit

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Clements</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Rao</u>		3	27
#2	Name: <u>John</u>		2	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>McNeil YS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Yates</u>		4	27
#2	Name: <u>Satish</u>		1	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Clements JR</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Speaker 1) did a good job responding to question & made good arguments in the summary
Speaker 2) did a good job defending the con case & providing circumstantial warrants

Comments for CON debaters:

Speaker 1) overall did a great job
Speaker 2) responded very well to the pro side & had good warrants

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted pro b/c of their solution for poverty/affordability?
having more options than though timeframe argument where
the demand increase will provide some sort of profitability
defining con's impacts.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Arpit Dua

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2314
Flight B: Start

2

Siddiqui, Fawaz

PF (Dripping Springs FA vs. St. John's LT)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St. John's LT</u>		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Lu</u>		2	29
#2	Name: <u>Tong</u>		1	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Dripping Springs FA</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Frem</u>		3	28.5
#2	Name: <u>Aziz</u>		4	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>St. John's LT</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

given in round.

Comments for CON debaters:


given in round.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD:

Pro controls internal link to housing crisis
and they have risk of relevancy.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:



Dired.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2314
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF (Elkins RN vs. Colleyville Heritage LW)

2

Siddiqui, Fawaz

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Colleyville Heritage LW		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Wei		2	29.5
#2				
#3	Name: Li		1	30
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Elkins RN		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Nandolia		3	29.5
#2				
#3	Name: Rafiq		4	29.5
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: Colleyville Heritage LW		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>No</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Great debate!

Comments for CON debaters:


Respond to overviews and do more weighing.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD:

1.) The con team dropped the overview on both sides.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:



Hired.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2311

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (Jasper CK vs. Westwood RC)

2

Siddiqui, Omer

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Point
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood RC</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name: <u>Chen</u>			<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>
#2	Name: <u>Rajesh</u>			<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>
#3					
#4					
CON				Ranks	Point
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper CK</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name: <u>Chen</u>			<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>
#2	Name: <u>Kumar</u>			<u>3</u>	<u>28</u>
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>			Team/Code: <u>Jasper CK</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes NO)

Comments for PRO debaters: - you guys need to clarify exactly why affordable housing gets funds from market rate section
 - also please provide internal warrant for why displacement ~~the~~ wouldn't occur and the statistics behinds the poor the low income families are getting. ~~the~~

Comments for CON debaters: - agricultural impacts/risk are pretty garbage. look to show links into luxury apartments that's where your impacts are

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

voted for con because of affordable housing are crowded out, and impacts of gentrification

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per slide	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Contact om

Room: 2311
Flight B: Start

2

Siddiqui, Omer

PF (Westwood LS vs. Central ML)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Central ML</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>L</u>		3	29
#2	Name: <u>M</u>		4	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood LS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Lui</u>		1	30
#2	Name: <u>Shamara</u>		2	29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Westwood LS</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes <u>NO</u>)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Please focus on the line by line debate specifically in the rebuttal, very few arguments were made and there was almost no clash from the pro team

Comments for CON debaters:

Good job

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - con won

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Contract

Room: 1581
Flight B: Start

PF (Westwood TV vs. Jasper LY)

2

Burke, Kellyn

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper - LY</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Ye</u>		1	29
#2	Name: <u>Li</u>		2	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood - TV</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Velani</u>		4	24
#2	Name: <u>Tehrani</u>		3	26
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>(PRO) CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Jasper - LY</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Accessibility.

- cons. regulations increase costs. = easing land use reg.
- aff. housing increases b/c of mand. inclusionary laws. Drives up prices?
- reduced demand for lux housing. Cons. is targeting middle class.
- protects ag. gentrification. Reducing w/o moving.
- Current aff. housing continues cycle of poor b/c of poor amenities.

Comments for CON debaters: out in the streets. - homelessness - direct displacement & Demand increases rent
Supply & demand = 3.5m homeless 18m empty homes.

increased rent = homeless & can't reap benefits of better amenities.
lost me @ increase rent by \$1 = homelessness.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro was able to present evidence to support every point of their argument as well as countering the con contentions.

Con lost me at Houston ex because they could not quantify how zoning would have changed outcome.

Overall, Pro effectively argued w/ evidence & were more persuasive in general.
Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: K Burke

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1588

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (Hendrickson HV vs. Jasper KM)

2

Allen, Ashley

LMcCord

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Hendrickson HV</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>VALDESQIND</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		3	27.5
#3	Name: <u>HANN</u>	1	28.5
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Jasper KM</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Kottha</u>	Ranks	Points
#2		4	27
#3	Name: <u>MA</u>	2	28
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>			
Winning Team: <u>(PRO)</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Hendrickson HV</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

I vote on filtering turn about decreased displacement. The argument isn't really responded to until second FF. Everything else is a wash.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature], St. Mary's Hall

Room: 1588
Flight B: Start

2

Allen, Ashley

PF (Lake Travis CP vs. Klein WZ)

L McGard

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Klein WZ		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Andy Zhou			2	28.5
#3	Name: Brandon Wu			1	29
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Lake Travis CP		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Jacob Clemmons			3	28
#3	Name: Sydney Piwonka			4	27.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Klein WZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Con link chain to displacement very unclear, pro arg that taxes → subsidies → affordable housing clearly extended.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min


Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

 St. Mary's Hall

Room: 1591

Flight A: Start ASAP

PF (Lake Travis MW vs. Clements LN)

2

Daugherty, Tim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Lake Travis MW		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: Morford			4	27
#2	Name: Wynne			2	28.5
CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Clements LN		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: Lin			1	29
#2	Name: Nagnagaraj			3	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team PRO CON		Team/Code: Lake Travis MW			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)					

Comments for PRO debaters:

You need more arguments to prove that more houses equal lower costs in housing. Your 2 warrants won your framework.

Comments for CON debaters:

You need more evidence to solve for your contention 2.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted for PRO because of their framework of most affordable housing. I weighed their evidence of the 45% & 8 years for 20% over section 8. The CON had an excellent argument, but those two extantial pieces of evidence I felt were stronger to win on the framework. Excellent round!

Lovejoy H.S.
Teacher.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Houston Lamar NV</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: <u>Van Nostrand</u>		1	20
#2	Name: <u>Nelson-Grocock</u>		2	20
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood MP</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Point
#1	Name: <u>Sruti Mohan Kumar</u>		4	27
#2	Name: <u>Sidh Pandit</u>		3	28
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>Lamar NV</u>		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

@ Nostrand - excellent historical analysis of policy!
Excellent turns. Historical timeline CX analysis. Speaking at the same time was pretty funny.

Comments for CON debaters:

Work together on CX, cover flow better.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro wins on LITIC debate &
on overall solving - econ, social
& on strict ~~debate~~ framework
P2 & P3 essentially flow across
Con drops a lot of arguments
early - had stronger analysis
later, but too late.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Clements PZ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Poin
#1 #2	Name: <u>Aksh Patel</u>		<u>27</u> <u>3</u>
#3 #4	Name: <u>Sheldon Zou</u>		<u>26</u> <u>4</u>
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Basis VP</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Poin
#1 #2	Name: <u>Vemulapalli</u>		<u>29</u> <u>2</u>
#3 #4	Name: <u>prieto</u>		<u>28</u> <u>1</u>
<p>Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average</p> <p>Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding</p> <p>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</p>			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Clements PZ</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes/No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

debat A - don't stammer CX

Let your opponent finish. I counted at least 5 interruptions throughout
1st CX, then completing sentences for them. Ridiculous & unprofessional.

Ridiculous standards & terrible lines of questioning in CX.

Comments for CON debaters:

Con - don't CX

While both sides are strong debaters, the pro side
persuades consistently, ridiculous or absurd lines of questioning
you cannot ask the con to have specific
neighborhoods

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

While the con has better long-term impacts,
the pro wins on noting a logical
flow of benefits (i.e. life → benefits)
while the con builds a good case
of benefits w/ flight/cow, the con
provides clear solvency and then
carries that solvency & logic
through C2: Pro doubles down on
econ instead of responding.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2364
Flight B: Start

2

Romo, Madison

PF (Colleyville Heritage SL vs. W.B. Ray HH)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Colleyville Heritage SL		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1	Name: Lee			1	29
#2					
#3	Name: Shadman			3	29
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: W.B. Ray HH		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1	Name: Harkness			2	29
#2					
#3	Name: Hague			4	28
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average					
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Colleyville Heritage SL			
Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I vote pro bc con doesn't adequately show how structural discrimination is decreased and why mr housing and rent control wont coexist.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Romo

Ducharme, Delate

Room: 2364
Flight A: Start ASAP

2

Romo, Madison

PF (All Saints Episcopal PT vs. La Vernia BW)

La

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: La Vernia BW		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Warren 1st		3	28
#2	Name: Bratcher 2nd		4	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: All Saints Episcopal PT		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Pan 1st		2	30
#2	Name: Tidwell 2nd		1	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON		Team/Code: All Saints Episcopal PT		

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I voted con. I buy the structural violence framework ~~be~~ pro does not effectively respond or answer attack or will adequately. I also buy "setting up" arg which pro does not address.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation

Romo

Duane Adair

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson EC</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Seung Joh Cho</u>		2 29
#2	Name: <u>John David Enml</u>		3 28
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Flower Mound SW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Poin
#1	Name: <u>Jirret Shah</u>		4 28
#2	Name: <u>Kyle Winter</u>		1 30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding <i>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior</i>			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>LC Anderson EC</u>	

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

The second cross actually muddies the waters & makes things much less clear; Clean those answers up

Comments for CON debaters:

I don't understand the summary strategy; allocate the time where you're going to need it in the final focus.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The con summary makes some decisions that put the final focus in a bad position. None of the con offense on the con case makes it across in a complete link chain. I call for Snider after the round and the card & the context are very generic & not enough for me to grant the de link. Now, I have a high magnitude impact w/ access vs. the Redmond turn, which isn't quantified or weighed particularly well

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] SJS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1602
Flight B: Start

2

Stubbs, Andy

PF (Plano West FZ vs. Saint Mary's Hall PH)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>PLANO WEST FZ</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>MICHAEL THANG</u>		34	29
#2	Name: <u>ION FAN</u>		3	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Saint Mary's Hall PH</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Trey Plante</u>		1	30
#2	Name: <u>Sam Hernandez</u>		2	29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior				
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>PLWest FZ</u>		
Low Point Win? (Circle Yes No)				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This is a really good round! Both sides do a very good job extending & warranting out their arguments but the round is really hard to resolve because there isn't a lot of analysis between the competing claims of the shortage (monopoly vs regulations). I don't think Plante's claim is strong enough to resolve the top level & there's clearer & clearer pro offense underneath

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] SJS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	