Room: 1602
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Clements QW vs. Vista Ridge KD)

1

Revote, Emil

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Vista Ridge KD	1st Team (2nd Team)			28
#1 / ② Name: Amad Daherkar		3	1	th 30 29
#3 / ④ Name: Siddharth Kelvekar		1	3	29 29

CON			Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Clements QW	(1st Team) 2nd Team			
(#1) / #2 Name: Claire Qu			4	28
(#3) / #4 Name: Samuel Wang			2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON   **Team/Code:** Vista Ridge KD

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
Good CX, Great clash!
Slow it down : would be fine for speed, but you're losing clarity.

Pro Prep Con
:52 left :35 left

Comments for CON debaters:
Cool CX, Great clash. Don't keep asking/prompting in CX after time. @ Qu
Stop trying to extend CX @ Wang.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Lots of missed opportunities for Con side.
Nim. Laws was a weak argument that was focused on throughout round, the Aff eeks out a win on Supply/Demand & summary turns: not properly defended in the Con summary. Very close round - Con could have easily beat nihism argument & better defended sup/dem in summary w/ extensions. Pro also brings up goal solut, but Con could have turned this but did not. In summary, arg could have won round on Pro if they did.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1602
Flight B: Start
PF (Saint Mary's Hall PH vs. Cypress Creek BO)

1

Revote, Emil

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Saint Mary's Hall PH		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2 Name: Trey Plante			1	30
(#3) #4 Name: Sam Hernandez			~~#4~~ ×2	30

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: ~~cypress~~ creek BO		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2) Name: Nick Bazan			2 3	30
#3 (#4) Name: Elijah Ochoa			4	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Mary Hall PH

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for ~~Con~~ debaters:

@ ~~Bazan~~
@ Ochoa

beautiful analysis & acknowledgement of rules & regulations in gov. systems.

Both sides: Terrible GCX, Ochoa needs to speak.

Pro
Comments for ~~Pro~~ debaters:
@ ~~Plante~~
Hernandez

Excellent nuance + reflow.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro
Very close round! ~~Pro~~ wins.
Fiat argument is too weak to buy, but even if I did,
the pro wins in that framework on "promotes."
Without Fiat, ~~Pro~~ Pro wins on better
advocacy & solvency, & nothing burden of
pro. (changing ~~~~ Demand
argument was strong in
this round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2316
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Plano West OR vs. Strake Jesuit College WC)

1

Hunt, Terry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order .

Judging Instructions:
 Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

 *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
 Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

 Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
 New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO						
PRO Team Name/Code:	Plano West OR		1st Team ~~2nd Team~~		Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	Viswa Rajagopalan			4	27.5
#3 #4	Name:	Noah Ogata			3	28

CON						
CON Team Name/Code:	Strake Jesuit College WC		1st Team 2nd Team		Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	Santiago Weiland			2	29
#3 #4	Name:	Cooper Carlile			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | **Team/Code:** Strake Jesuit WC

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Work on a clearer construction speech.
Signpost —

Comments for CON debaters: Strong Constructive — Sets up summaries well.
Pronounce "exacerbate" correctly in 1st constructive

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The warrants from Hobbes
cards are dropped by Pro —
And, the con wins displacement
and negative impacts of displacement.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Terry Hunt_ _Leadership_

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2316
Flight B: Start
PF (Tompkins WW vs. Jasper YN)

1

Hunt, Terry

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner _persuasive_ to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support _prior_ arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper NY		2nd Team (circled)		
#1 #2	Name: Alyssa Nie		4	28
#3 #4	Name: Angela Yi		2	29

CON		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: TOMPKINS WW		1st Team (circled)		
#1 #2	Name: Chumeng Wang		3	28
#3 #4	Name: Alysia Wu		1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON   Team/Code: Jasper NY

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Each debater can slow down in rebuttals and give a big picture. The Grand Cross-fire was over-the-top - Make sure we are resolving issues -

Comments for CON debaters:

Very close debate - All debaters are strong -

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The Pro wins the debate because they control the zoning arguments. Also - land availability leads [to] affordability -

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Terry Hunt Teacher #5_

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus   2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus   2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1921
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (St. John's LT vs. Colleyville Heritage SL)

1   Daugherty, Jonat

154

2317

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Corrections Per TAB

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO						
PRO Team Name/Code: ~~Alief Taylor~~ St Johns			1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	Sam Lu			4	28
#3 #4	Name:	Andrew Tong			2	28

CON						
CON Team Name/Code: ~~~~ Colleyville			1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	Alex Lu			3	28
#3 #4	Name:	katherine shadman			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: ~~~~ Colleyville SL

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
(1) I wanted to see more engagement with the arguments. You read your blocks, which are good, but not much weighing or connecting the dots for me. I thought too much time was spent [on] better [on the] impact scenario. It was close, but the [con] on their [links out] [and] not enough on your [own] was important for me when the flow has both sides extend persuasive con execution and fluency [was] cards.

Comments for CON debaters:
(1) The bubble impact scenario wasn't argued persuasively as it could have been. The case is solid but it looked like you are missing the analysis out why the bubble would pop.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
I vote Con. I buy the defense the con put on the pro's solvency zoning regs and lobbying are huge barriers to a true market rate. It was a close round, the Pro put some solid defense on the Con case, but the arguments against the con's impact scenario were weaker. The biggest issue for me, given the direction the debate went, was will acther rate being [leas to see "affordable units"]. The weight of the arguments led me to believe [no].

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Janet Ourty   Vista Ridge

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: All Saints - SH		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Harrison		27	4
#3 #4	Name: Stein		28	2

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Lake Travis - MW		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Morford		29	1
#3 #4	Name: Wynne		27	3

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: MW. - Lake Travis

Low Point Win?   (Circle: Yes / No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
- 30% of income on housing. 32% cost burden. 50% * 67% higher chance of death.
- no resources for subsidized housing. Filtering - less ex over time. 25 years for cost to lower.
- high construction = stabilized market & kept low income viable? *
- mkt rate builds on high end of mkt then trickles down. takes 25 years.
▶ high income doesn't want to live by low. "build for high end ends comp w/ low"
  harping on tax breaks & zoning laws but these aren't working where they're in place.

Comments for CON debaters: Wealth gap - Seg by income housing.
- Seg regions slow increase income & mental health issues.
gentrification - twice as effective. market rate increases burden on low income.
  indirect exclusion raising surrounding area.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing) - Displacement - local jobs decline by 63% *

Pro contradicted themselves on gentrification pt.
by saying mkt rate doesn't push out low income
like high income people would - but its one in the same.

Con was more persuasive & had more accurate
implications of gentrification.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2301
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Duchesne Academy of t BD vs. Colleyville Heritage FB)

1

Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: DUCHESNE BD		1st Team **(2nd Team)**	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Blanton		2	30
#3 #4	Name: Dubey		1	30

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Colleyville Heritage FB		**(1st Team)** 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Bhat		3	27
#3 #4	Name: Francis		4	26

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: Duchesne BD

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters: PRO
1. excellent cx. Very strong summary, line-by-line and clear.

2 excellent cx, flawless rebuttal

Comments for CON debaters:

CON
1. you give up too much ground in cx. It became difficult for you to recover from concessions made. the summary was not line-by-line at all.

2 not enough work done in the summary rebuttal.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD:
Pro won on their offense. I bought linkage and deregulation- pro won the "supply" issue with the andrews/badger evidence. Extensions on Quigley & Gothey were clear. The rebuttal made turns + non-uniques that went uncontested.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 2301
Flight B: Start
PF (Elkins AP vs. Colleyville Heritage CR)

1   Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Elkins AP		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Akbar			1	30
#3 #4	Name: Pratap			2	29

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Colleyville CR		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Nicole Radandt			3	28
#3 #4	Name: Katie Collins			4	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Elkins AP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No) CON

Comments for PRO debaters: PRO

1. gray summary

2. Stry rebuttal

CON

1. Summary doesnt do enough work to extend

2. doesnt sufficiently answer case.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: The con conceded terms and non-uniques on their case. The pro sufficiently answers recession and extends

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2315
Flight B: Start
PF (Westlake ZY vs. Tompkins WT)

1   **McClure, Mindy**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake ZY		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Point
#1 (#1) Name: Zhou			3	28
#3 (B) Name: Yang			4	28
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Tompkins WT		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2 Name: Tirunalai			1	29
(B) #4 Name: Wang			2	28.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Tompkins WT

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
I - Inclusionary zoning - compelling, good organization
Y - good org.
Z - perpetuate status quo - good pt.

Comments for CON debaters: T - good passion + examples of harm poverty creates   W - good pt. homeless/trespass
good job separating issue of inclusionary zoning + market rate housing
T - San Jose - good example, good rebuttal of survey
W - good point - evictions - homelessness

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ con argument that more
market rate squeezes out those that can afford
offset by pro argument of more homes = more supply
Ultimately Con won on their specific examples of
lack of inclusionary zoning - very limited, not sure
what would incentivize developers to up the scale of
inclusionary zoning. Also Con very compelling argument
existing examples gentrification

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Mindy McClure   Grapevine HS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2315
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Seven Lakes CZ vs. LC Anderson KK)

1   **McClure, Mindy**
LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO			Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes CZ	(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#2 Name: Chen			2	29
#4 Name: Zhong			1	29.5

CON			Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson KK	1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 Name: Kavoussi			4	27.5
#3 Name: Karchmer			3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Seven Lakes CZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
good use statistics, clear structure
cross- good job controlling
good - passion + clear structure - 2nd speaker - good pt wealthy ↑ & spent in low income
neighborhoods = more jobs
cross- again took control
San Francisco - good example

Comments for CON debaters:
Work on eye contact - much better in cross - I know wi-fi is an issue, but
2nd - eye contact - better when you aren't on computer
don't use it as an excuse - turn it around on your opponent. good conclusion

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Examples in Seattle + San Francisco - $ for low inc.
drop in rents. Pro did a nice job of negating con's
points about barriers to construction. good example - market role +
low income working together

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Mindy McClure_ Grapevine HS

Room: 2305
Flight B: Start
PF (Houston Lamar NV vs. Franklin MD)

1

1513
Bloss, Brian

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss. 1:20

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code:	Houston Lamar NV		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	Van - Nostrand		2	29
#3 #4	Name:	Nelson		1	29

CON					
CON Team Name/Code:	Franklin MD		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2)	Name:	Do		3	28
#3 (#4)	Name:	Murfhree		4	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior :08

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Houston Lamar NV

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters: Solid Case. Very Polished and great explanations offered.

Comments for CON debaters: Make sure to do a bit more defensive work against the Pro case.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): The Pro wins the rationale for their case handidly and definitely out turns the Con. The Pro wins framework which is the only attack left on the Pro meaning any offense on the con adds to a functionally conceded Pro making this a relatively easy ballot to fill out.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [signature] Plano ISD

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Both teams have good speakers. Good luck to both teams at the rest of the tournament.

Room: 2305
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Clements PZ vs. Saint Mary's Hall RT)

1　　**Bloss, Brian**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code:	Clements PZ		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2) Name:	A. Patel			1	29
#3 (#4) Name:	S. Zou			4	27

CON					
CON Team Name/Code:	St Mary's RT		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2 Name:	R. Talukdar			2	29
(#3) #4 Name:	R. Reyna			3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points:　20-23 Below Average　27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4　　24-26 Average　　29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)　**Team/Code:** St. Mary's Hall RT

Low Point Win?　(Circle:　Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters: mr. Patel be careful with your 'chain of questions' and let some questions be asked of you. Controlling crossfire is admirable but you need to give your opponent space to ask questions. In rebuttal you need to give warrants especially when you want me to weigh your arguments. The rebuttal is based on assertions not warrants

Comments for CON debaters:
In rebuttal you need to counter the job creation internal link. when you have to address it only in summary, it is really blippy.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Both teams work on giving warrants in later speeches don't just assume I will do the work for you. Pro does not respond to why rent doesn't go down due to amenities. The con cites San Francisco & Boston and only the high income will come. Also the con wins that rent rates continue to go up against minorities which leads to displacement. This is the functional internal link for the entire PRO case.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)　4 min.
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)　4 min.
Crossfire (#1 & #2)　　3 min.
Speaker #3 (1st Team)　4 min.
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)　4 min.
Crossfire (#3 & #4)　　3 min.
Speaker #1 Summary　2 min.
Speaker #2 Summary　2 min.
Grand Crossfire (All)　3 min.
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: ___Bro BBloss___　Plano ISD

↳ The London school of economics evidence shows this. Also There is the risk of solvency for con compared to an ambiguous

Room: 1588
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Westwood FW vs. Coppell BC)

1

Winn, Angela

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Coppell BC	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Shabbir Bohri		4	28
#3 #4 Name: Sahil Chiniwala		2	28

CON

CON Team Name/Code: Westwood FW	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Mika Freund		3	28
#3 #4 Name: Sophia Wang		1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | Team/Code: Westwood FW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

#2 good impacts ~ would like a little more explanation (dont be so rude during CX!)

#4 ~ would like a little more on framework arguement (a little confusing) ~ had some decent points / need to explain your arguements.

#2 ~ good summary ~ but sign posting was a bit confusing. ~ a little confusing

#4 ~ good impacts ~ but a little bit confusing.

Had good points ~ but confusing at times on arguements.

Comments for CON debaters:

#1 good case and impacts

#3 ~ good speech and gave good impacts! ~ wanted a little more on framework!

#1 ~ good summary ~ could have use a little on impacts.

#3 - good final focus ~

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The Con won the round based on the Impact level of the debate as well as gave clear and percise arguements

Great clash on both sides!

Would have like a like more on framework ~ could have been a decent take on topic

to all debaters!

* Try not to argue in CX!!!

dont keep interupting each other every question during CX

Just Ask questions during CX?

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Angela W___

Room: 1588
Flight B: Start
PF (Klein WZ vs. Westwood LS)

1

Winn, Angela

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Klein WZ			(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Andy Zhou			Y	27
#3 #4	Name: Brandon Wu			2	28

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood SL			1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name: Frank Lai			1	28
#3 #4	Name: Krishaa Shunanah			3	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average — 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 — 24-26 Average — 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Klein WZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
#1 ~ good case ~ with strong impacts
#3 ~ strong speech ~ good impacts
#1 ~ good summary ~ would have like a little more on impacts - also be careful with repeative hand motions ~ its a little distracting.
#3 ~ good final focus ; clear and percise arguements

✦ dont argue during CX ! Just Ask questions !
✦ Only ask questions during CX !

Comments for CON debaters:
#2 ~ good case but wanted a little more explanation on impacts.
2 ✦ great summary - made some great points.
  - need to clearfie arguements a little better
#4 ~ good clash ~ wanted more on AFF case ~ spent to much time on your case like - good impacts tho
✦ be careful with repeative hand motions - its distracting.
#4 - good final focus - would like more on Impacts
✦ got confusing a little

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
✦ Need to bring up points during speeches not just CX ! Both teams made arguements n CX and never brought it up again !
✦ Good clash on Both sides —
The round goes to the pro - because they had more clear and percise arguements

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Angela W_

Room: 2312
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Cypress Woods BM vs. Carroll BB)

1   **Panella, Brenda**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code:	Carroll BB	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name:	Bethi		4	27
#3 #4 Name:	Bouapati		3	27

CON

CON Team Name/Code:	Cypress Woods	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name:	Bertinot		1	30
#3 #4 Name:	Malak		2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Cypress Woods BM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Good questions in Crossfire. Don't allow your opponent to dominate the time in crossfire. Your speech/plan is well constructed. Your second speech focused on vouchers when your time should have been better spent on myths (if they exist) of luxury housing dominating the market. In regard to your Houston card/example, take one stance → After Con said "Houston still has regulations, the 2nd Pro speech said "Maybe Houston isn't the best example", then Pro summary said Houston is a good example. Be clear on your Houston evidence.

Comments for CON debaters:
Good questions in crossfire. Limit your questioning to one question instead of 1 of 4 one after the other. Excellent 2nd speech. You really hit the Pro on hard on the need to remove zoning laws? how the Pro's example of Houston has #5 its disadvantages with gentrification.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
On your "Baiter"... I think... evidence on vouchers → SLOW DOWN! I did not understand your argument.
Con made some great points.* Zoning laws were put in place to create communities and [keep] children safe.
* 2.4 million Americans displaced due to luxury housing (Ruben)
* (Al Jazeer/Burns) ⅓ of housing torn down is rebuilt for low income while rest is for luxury homes.
* 75% of market rate housing is skewed for luxury homes.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _B J Panella_ /N/A

The voucher arguments wasn't persuasive by either side. The most persuasive was the luxury homes evidence.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 2312
Flight B: Start
PF (Saint Mary's Hall MW vs. Elkins RN)

1   **Panella, Brenda**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Saint Mary's Hall MW		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	Walls			4	26
#3 #4	Name:	Menendez			3	27

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Elkins RN		1st Team	(2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name:	Nandolia			1	28
#3 #4	Name:	Rafiq			2	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Elkins RN

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters: Good speeches. Walls, you need to remain calm and collected when answering/questioning in crossfire. Don't lose your temper or show impatience. Menendez great arguments in constructive, but your voice was a bit too soft. I didn't hear everything you said. There is ABSOLUTELY NO REASON to spread in rebuttal! This is your last effort to convince me you're right. How can you convince me if I don't understand what you are saying?

Comments for CON debaters: Don't allow your opponent to dominate crossfire.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): Stop when the timer stops. You Both teams need to kept debating, kept talking in crossfire. Only Con 1st speaker asked to finish sentence after timer went off.

Both sides gave great arguments on gentrification. Pro cited Economist 2018 - M.R.H. doesn't cause gentrification while Con cited Chew 2018 - M.R.H does cause it. Neither outweighed.

Time frame is a good point from Con, but ultimately

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Bd Panella / N/A

My decision was based on the persuasiveness of the vacant House Tax. $10 million in revenue for affordable housing. Pro, your defense was that it didn't have Republican support, but Con persuaded me on it working

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1585
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Central ML vs. James Bowie WS)

1

Braley, Kristi

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner __persuasive__ to the judge is imperative.

  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may __not__ be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support __prior__ arguments.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: James Bowie WS	1st Team / (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Williams		2	28
#3 #4 Name: Saladina		1	28.5

CON

CON Team Name/Code: Central ML	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Leverett		3	27.5
#3 #4 Name: Molina		4	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: James Bowie WS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / No)

Comments for PRO debaters: Need more methodology on studies to show warrants. (In case)

= PRO — Nice breakdown of round w/ lots of turns. Need to cover responses a bit more on your own case (leave more time).

Comments for CON debaters: Molina — you ask so many rhetorical questions. Turn it into an argument w/ w____
You ~~need~~ need evidence for fact-based arguments. There's some confusion on the role of the Pro's plan (in the real
Leverett — need to not just repeat case arguments, but respond to attacks on those contentions (or you're
not extended them). Also, directly address any overviews — that a priority.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Only Pro leaves offense on the flow — turned Con case + solved for it. Con is largely non-responsive.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Kristi L. Braley — All Saints_

Room: 1585
Flight B: Start
PF (Eastwood RB vs. Bellaire BR)

1

Braley, Kristi

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code:	Eastwood RB	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Budreckis		4	27
#3 #4	Name: Rodriquez		3	27

CON

CON Team Name/Code:	Bellaire BR	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Busaidy		2	285
#3 #4	Name: Ramabhadran		1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Bellaire BR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters: 1P – Need to answer Qs, not keep trying to sidestep w/ "I believe it's my turn to ask a Question."

2P - Really work on warrants – why is it only short-term or marginal displacement? How does it work? Nice eye contact.

Comments for CON debaters: 1C Good job w/ IDing clash in XF. Need to extend more offense (eminent dom.)
2C - Solid coverage of flow, nice analysis of round.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Whereas it is clear that there needs to be more affordable housing, Pro fails to prove that affirming would cause the regulations changes that would cause this. Con wins on the issue that it is a lack of construction workers that is forcing the cost of housing up, which Pro can't solve for. Con further showed that instead of reg. changes, the govt would/does use eminent domain, which causes gentrification + deepens the crisis.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Kristi L. Braley_ – All Saints - Tyler

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2320
Flight B: Start
PF (Plano West KR vs. Kempner BS)

1   Graves, Victoria

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner _persuasive_ to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support _prior_ arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Plano West		1st Team	2nd Team		
(#1) #2	Name:	Krueger			2	29
(#3) #4	Name:	Rono			3	28

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Kempner		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1 (#2)	Name:	Benitas			1	29
#3 (#4)	Name:	Salanje			4	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: PRO CON | **Team/Code:** Plano West KR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
Clear questions

Good balance w/cross

~~Comments for CON debaters:~~

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro: won zoning + leg

Zoning + Legislation

Con:

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 2320
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (LC Anderson CE vs. Plano West LW)

1   **Graves, Victoria**

LC /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: *Plano West*		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: *Lin*		1	29
#3 #4	Name: *Wei*		4	28

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: *LC Anderson*		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: *Erard*		2	29
#3 #4	Name: *Canyon*		3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: *LC Anderson CE*

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Be careful about dominating cross + interrupting
You would get clearer answers if you
didn't interrupt them mid answer

① very respectful almost too respectful in cross
they dominated the majority of your time

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro - Zong: Flawed con on Inherency
Full House: Flawed pro

Con - Bubble: Flawed con con
- Tao Cruelts: Flawed pro

Con outweighed
on Impact cul.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ TX City HS

Room: 2364
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (LC Anderson BF vs. Strake Jesuit College DD)

1

Shivji, Alina
LC /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: _Strake Jesuit DD_			1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: _Dwsioko_ ✓			1	29
#3 #4	Name: _Dowdall_			3	28.5

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: _LC Anderson BF_			1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: _Joster_			4	28
#3 #4	Name _Builta_			2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** _Strake Jesuit DD_

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes /No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This debate becomes really muddled because neither team does any clear weighing which becomes problematic when feasibility (for both args) are an issue. As a result, the only solid args or reason for me to buy feasibility comes from the aff.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _[signature]_

Room: 2364
Flight B: Start
PF (W.B. Ray HH vs. St. John's GY)

1

Shivji, Alina

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner underline persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support underline prior arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	W.B. Ray HH		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	Harkness			4	23
#3 #4	Name:	Haque			3	27

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	St. John's GY		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	Garcia			2	28
#3 #4	Name:	Yekell			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO CON | **Team/Code:** St. John's GY

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): The aff's fourth contention feeds into the third warrant regarding luxury housing (NCG). More investment supterh- arges that link, which means the aff doesn't access affordable housing

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2313
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Clements GK vs. BASIS San Antonio Sha VP)

1   **Moreno, Clarissa**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: GK Clements		1st Team / (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 / #2 Name: Kalva Kuntala			4	28
#3 / #4 Name: Gandhi			1	30

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: San Antonio Sha VP		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 / #2 Name: Vemulapalli			2	29
#3 / #4 Name: Prieto			3	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team:	PRO	CON	Team/Code: Clements GK

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Overall I thought this was a strong round. The con came close to winning but you dropped most of your unintelligent minorities can't afford stuts until final focus " in the end, the Chicago thing never weighed super heavy - I really liked the txyp idea and saving for homelessness - not sure if it could actually but the con diant call you m it well enough so thats a reason to vote pro. I'm nervous about a umra w/ little safety regulation vout again, con didnt do anything ck what that looks like.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Jasper HS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2313
Flight B: Start
PF (Westlake HL vs. Ysleta CM)

1   Moreno, Clarissa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake HL		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Ho		2	30
#3 #4	Name: Luo		1	30

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Ysleta CM		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Mendoza		3	29
#3 #4	Name: Candelaria		4	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Westlake HL

Low Point Win?   (Circle: Yes/ No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Yall were great. Y'all as well!

Comments for CON debaters:
Candelaria — I think you are a wonderful speaker but sometimes what you're saying doesn't make a whole lot of sense. Think about what you're saying and make sure it's not a just rhetoric

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I really loved the incentive zoning argument and the mass transit & poverty. I don't think the cm ever really made a strong attack on these. I def. think instit racism is an issue but the cm never successfully linked this argument to the pro while the pro did a good job of showing how its world would help alleviate social issues.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1594
Flight B: Start
PF (Clements JR vs. Westwood SX)

1

Li, WIlliam

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood SX	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Singh		3	30
#3 #4 Name: Xiao		4	30

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Clements JR	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 Name: Abel John		1	30
#3 #4 Name: Abhay Rao		2	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Clements JR

Pro

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))   Con

Comments for PRO debaters:
Singh - expand more on weltzer turn
- good attacks in cx (133k deaths)
- good push of offense, but need to explain how Pro is accessing these benefits, not just "more houses - 15% pov."

Xiao
- good rebuttal, well-org.
- nice attacks of asking how much pov.↑ in Pro would to cx

Comments for CON debaters:
- imo, FF didn't hit all the right offense
- need to focus on how global imp.

Rao
- good def. in cx about gentrification's quantification (push that luxury home → ripple eff.)
- try to be more concise in summary and focus more on offense, bipartisan arg. was whatever, Pro contradicted, push the 11% and impacts of it

John
- good extension of luxury homes are only going to be built and how not all urban are tech. bubbles
- understand the imp. of 11% price increases, explain how this is gentrification (would bolster arg.)

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): occurs since it's in overview

voted Con b/c of luxury housing only in pro world which leads to all impacts and harms
- Pro didn't provide sufficient evidence of benefits of zoning reform
- elkin dropped so Con arg. of generational harm wins

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _William_

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1594
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Plano West LR vs. Seven Lakes RG)

1

Li, WIlliam

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West RL		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Mukund Rao			2	29
#3 #4	Name: Daniel Liang			1	30

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes GR		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Angela Gao			4	28
#3 #4	Name: Rohit Rao			3	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Plano West RL

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))   Pro

Comments for PRO debaters:
Con
Gao - make sure you don't drop offense pro has in case
~~good defense~~ try to not give room for pro to take control of cx
- need to explain to me why ~~the~~ pro evidence of 22% is <u>not</u> long term

Rao
- ~~[struck through]~~
good def. in cx

Comments for CON debaters:
Rao
- FF was messy, push offense instead of defense, dropped most of Price imp., don't let pro run away w/ tempo of round and the arg.

Liang
- work on finalizing summary w/ your biggest imp. and offense
- good arg. and focusing on offense
- work on org. of rebuttal and don't speed through some evidence

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
voted Pro because of better organization and linking to offense, newman on con side outweighed by hertz and how displacement decreases w/ ↑ supply of homes

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _[signature]_

Room: 2317
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Flower Mound WS vs. Clements GS)

1

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may <u>**not**</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements GS	1st Team / 2nd Team		Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Singh			4	27
#3 #4 Name: Gaddam			2	28

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Flower Mound WS	1st Team / 2nd Team		Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Shah			3	27
#3 #4 Name: Winter			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | **Team/Code:** Flower Mound WS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Oral

RFD: Gentrification
— leads to worse
condition for those in
poverty and rent
control solves.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 2317
Flight B: Start
PF (Cinco Ranch DM vs. Jasper CK)

1

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper Clk		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2	Name: Chen		4	28
(#3) #4	Name: Khumar		2	29

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Cinco Ranch		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2)	Name: Dial		3	28
#3 (#4)	Name: Mohn		1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) **Team/Code:** Cinco Ranch DM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Oral

RFO: Gentrification does happen and aff does not solve poverty.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation

PF (Westlake LR vs. Colleyville Heritage NW)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Colleyville NW	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Ahisha Navendra		3	29
#3 #4 Name: Joanna Wang		2	29

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Westlake LR	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Owen Robertson		4	28
#3 #4 Name: Rahnel Li		1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: **PRO** CON | **Team/Code:** *Colleyville NW*

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Ultimately, I think the pro is ahead on a couple of key issues that get underdeveloped by the con in the summary/ final focus. The two conceded turns on conversion make the severely mitigated filtering into the best source of offence.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 1927
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (La Vernia BW vs. Plano West HJ)

1

Stubbs, Andy

La /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West HJ	1st Team / (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Edison Huang		2	28
#3 #4 Name: Anthony Jiang		1	29

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: La Vernia BW	(1st Team) / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name: Warren		3	27
#3 #4 Name: Bratcher		4	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team (PRO) CON | Team/Code: Plano West HJ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters: Good coverage of the cases in rebuttal; the summary is effective, but could be structured in a more clear way; you don't need to go for too much on their case

Comments for CON debaters: The rebuttal needs to engage with the warrants of the pro case; their case link turns yours, so you need to address that in your speeches; you also need to frontline all of the rebuttal's attacks on the contention you're going for in the summary

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): This is a clear pro ballot; all of the pro defense/offense on the con case goes conceded & there are clear extension of pro offense in both summary & final focus

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ SIS

PF (Claudia Taylor "Ladyb MP vs. Strake Jesuit College AJ)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Strake AJ			1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Kiki			2	28.8
#3 #4	Name: Ashram			1	28.9

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Claudia Taylor MP			1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Patel			4	27.5
#3 #4	Name: Makani			3	28.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Strake AJ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
- Summary is very top heavy on the Aff.
- Summary should clean up weighing.
- FF is also top heavy.

Comments for CON debaters:
- Summary flows across into. The round really falls apart for the con when you dont address rebuttal/summary frontlines.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
RFD: I affirm.

1) Inclusionary harms - Aff wins the link to displacement/saliency here, con summary flows through Aff frontlines

2) Terminalization of impacts - The tangibility of the Aff impacts creates a more compelling ballot story.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Robey Holland - Plano West

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2503
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Tascosa BB vs. Clements CH)

1

Holland, Robey

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the section below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner _persuasive_ to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support _prior_ arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: ~~Clements CH~~ _Tascosa BB_			1st Team / (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	Chen		1	29.6
#3 #4	Name:	Huang		2	29.0

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Tascosa BB			(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	Bowmer		4	27.0
#3 #4	Name:	Burnett		3	27.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Clements CH

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / No)

Comments for PRO debaters: Con
- ~~Rebuttal~~ Rebuttal, conceded a lot of the Pro case, this makes your opponent job much easier in summary.
- Conceded args/especially the turn make it impossible to buy into the con advocacy.

Comments for CON debaters: Pro
- Rebuttal - clean up source cites. Tough to flow Authors.
- Impact calc should happen earlier in round.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I affirm.

1) Ricardo conceded by the Con. displacement net worse in con world

2) Poverty - Aff wins the link to poverty solvency.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Robey Holland_ - Plano West

Room: 2303
Flight B: Start
PF (St. John's WM vs. All Saints Episcopal PT)

1

Sinha, Vivek
Amodwala

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: St. Johns		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: W			4	27.5
#3 #4	Name: M			1	29

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: All Saints Epsc.		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: P			2	28.5
#3 #4	Name: T			3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** St. Johns WM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
- No need to constantly be making faces. Just rude/unprofessional

Comments for CON debaters:
Why Yall hovering behind em When asking for cards? chill out yo

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- Yall are so petty omg G G
- This "Framework" + "Intent 1st" stuff is kinda silly. I don't think it's sufficiently warranted for me to just ignore the PRO args
- Con impacts seem non-unique to me. Sure filtering(is slow) demolition happens but why does this get WORSE? ucc Thus, there's a risk pro does smtg good.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _[signature]_

Room: 2303
Flight A: Start 10 AM   2nd Pro
PF (Coppell MP vs. Houston Memorial MY)

1

Sinha, Vivek

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Market rate Housing

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner underline persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Houston Memorial		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: M		1	29
#3 #4	Name: Y		3	28.5

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Coppell		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: P		4	28.
#3 #4	Name: M		2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Houston Memorial MY

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
, I like the case.

Comments for CON debaters:
, Final focus is weird, I'm not getting a clear link chain for impacts, the PRO has disproved relocation so why are ppl still dying?

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
, Vote PRO - the aff ↑ supply of housing which ↓ costs + ↑ taxes. Not sure the warrant for price ↑ ripple effect.
  — I'm compelled by the risk of solvency arg too.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 2314
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Hebron WK vs. Tompkins TS)

1   **Mason, William**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Hebron WK		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Williams		4	28
#3 #4	Name: Kirk		2	30

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Tompkins TS		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Sharma		3	29
#3 #4	Name: Tirunalai		1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Tompkins TS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

PRO - Kirk - logical response to removing links. Great crossfire speaker 2.

CON - Beautiful CON Rebuttal (1st). Very well structured. Full flow to CON side at that point ~~crossfire~~ ←

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- Displacement - new UR → ↓ low inc. housing → 20K disp.
  Diamond - Rent control helps.
- Housing prices ↑ $.
- UR also builds low inc. as well.
- Gen ↓ pov.
While I don't buy the fixed land argument, I do see that CON had a solid link to impacts. The PRO

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Willie Mason   KHS Coach

world is solid but I don't believe it was as effectively argued. Both the CON and PRO world do happen, but I have to go with which side held up warrants / impacts better. Good round!

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
***New arguments** may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit College NH		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Timothy Nguyen		4	29
#3 #4	Name: Justin Hoang		3	30

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Colleyville Heritage LW		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Colin Wei		2	30
#3 #4	Name: Daniel Li		1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: Colleyville LW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

I agree that integration has a beneficial effect on lower income/education. <u>Excellent</u> job of building warrants, links showing the better world of M.R. housing.

Comments for CON debaters: I do agree with the PRO question to the Con of why & how POVERTY <u>matters</u>. Not that it isn't bad, but how does it affect the economy of the rest of the ~~enc~~ country? or surrounding neighborhoods?
I felt privileged to be able to judge this round. <u>Both</u> teams were amazing. I believe the evidence was stronger and more convincing on the NEG side.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: William Mason   KHS/Coach

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements LN		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Lin		4	28
#3 #4	Name: N		1	29

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: James Bowie BM		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Belman		3	29
#3 #4	Name: M		2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: Clements LN

Low Point Win? (Circle: (Yes) No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
· The summary speech needs to have some line-to-line debate, there was not enough work done on the flow.

· For both debaters: collapsing is very important in PF, you don't have to go for every argument

Comments for CON debaters:
· Summary speech needs more weighing.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

R F D:

1.) The Houston Chron. analysis was not responded to by the Con team. This helped prove that poverty is the root cause of segregation, delinks Cons impacts.

2.) The evidence that developers can build an unused land in order to ↑ supply: was not responded to.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Hired -

Room: 1583
Flight B: Start
PF (Westwood KS vs. Tompkins SR)

1   **Siddiqui, Fawaz**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner _persuasive_ to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support _prior_ arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Tompkins SR		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Sam raj		4	28.5
#3 #4	Name: Rapolu		2	29

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood KS		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Son		3	29
#3 #4	Name: Kulkarni		1	29.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Westwood KS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

·given in round

Comments for CON debaters:

·given in round

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

1.) The Bacharach card does not delink the con team.

2.) Con has risk of offense bc of the agreed framework of helping low-income people.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1921
Flight B: Start
PF (Dulles LC vs. Westwood TV)

1   **Daugherty, Jonat**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Dulles ~~WESTWOOD~~			1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	~~VELANI~~	chang		2	29
#3 #4	Name:	~~TEHRANI~~	Li		1	~~27~~ 30

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: ~~PF Dulles~~ Westwood			1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	~~chang~~ Velani			4	26
#3 #4	Name:	~~Li~~ Tehrani			3	~~27~~ 28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO CON | **Team/Code:** Dulles LC

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
- Good job of explaining a clear and easy to understand impact scenario, I agree the warrants were really addressed in regard to freeing up housing.

Comments for CON debaters:
- just coverage during rebuttal, I like how you ~~were~~ keyed on big issues. Your arguments are good, execution is a really important factor here. The other team was significantly more clear and concise, allowing them to say more and remain understable.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
I vote Pro - I was convinced that supply will go up through market rate housing, and overall the controls the internal link into the homeless impact.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Jonathan Daugherty_ Vista Ridge

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood BK		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: B		4	28 +7
#3 #4	Name: K		3	28.1 +1

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Clear Spring BP		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: B		2	28.4 +1
#3 #4	Name: P		1	28.5 +1

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Clear Spring BP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

I) Both sides: please I) Do not just throw empirics around, most of the round all I get is extend this extend that without any warranting

II) Don't drop turns

III) Dumb extend both turns and terminal defense on the same arguments

IV) Framer intent doesn't hold enough of an impotence to yell at each other over

Comments for CON debaters:

- Just because they deabt respond to your IVC impact doesn't mean its offense unless you win the link into econ growth

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I negate:

1) Zimmerman turn on AC CI that $1 → $2.12 econ return means aff is net harm

2) Default neg — Aff drops defense that schools phasing out tax rev.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ HEBRON

PF (Saint Mary's Hall ST vs. Strake Jesuit College SD)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Strake SD			(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: S				2	30 +1
#3 #4	Name: P				1	30 +1

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: SMH ST			1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: S				4	2A
#3 #4	Name: T				3	29.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON | **Team/Code:** Strake Jesuit College SD

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:
- Shell is weak, AFF was no alt doesn't win shell

Comments for CON debaters:
- The team could win for the mural but the weighing on it is new in 2nd FF
Needed more work in summary

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
I affirm.
- AFF was the filtering → education argument. argument warranted in summary and the 2A
- Neg defense on education and warranted in summary and the 2A ... kind of late implication
clarity is I) Mitigatory and II) kind of late implication
- The Charlotte ev. isn't consistent throughout summary AFF . I get ~95% so supply doesn't source to pay only 65% so doesn't help much (mitigation) back to 51.9 while the ev does say 51.9, it's not consistent extended
So I don't feel comfortable giving it to neg
- Filtering response to neg alt min solves takes out neg offense because neg demolished filtering solvy

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ HEBRON

Room: 1593
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Bellaire ZS vs. Hendrickson HV)

1

Ramaj, Vojsava

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Hendrickson		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
(#1) #2	Name: Valdespino		1st	29
(#3)	Name: Hann		2nd	29

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Bellaire		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 (#2)	Name: Sun		3d	28
#3 (#4)	Name: Zhao		4th	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO CON) | **Team/Code:** Hendrickson HV

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Very good clash especially last 2 speeches.

Comments for CON debaters:

2nd speech had very poor sign posting, could barely follow the flow. Arguments just needed to be more clear and better extended in the final speech.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro accesses their impacts better. I voted on the Wiltz, Badger, and Sultz evidence. Con needs a warrant that the LIHTC will go down if I vote Pro. Con doesn't effectively argue that there isn't any room in the cities.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Vojm Rmaj_ / Hired

Room: 1593
Flight B: Start
PF (Westlake DS vs. Klein GI)

1

Ramaj, Vojsava

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO			1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Klein				
#1 / #2	Name:	~~Reza~~ Gunda		3d	29
#3 / #4	Name:	Irfan		4th	28

CON			1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Westlake				
#1 / #2	Name:	Slimi		1st	29.5
#3 / #4	Name:	Dintzner		2nd	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | Team/Code: Westlake DS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

If you need to see every card before a speech, just ask to flush before the round.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted Con for 2 reasons that were dopped. Lobbying and tax incentives. Pro tries to urge contradicton then just drops it. Never touches tax incentives. Also the argument that Pro makes about we are not looking at the housing market rate at the current status, but if we only promote is really faulty. Dropping tax incentives and lobbying makes it impossible for Pro to reach their impacts

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Vejmn Meiz_ / Hired

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min

Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min

Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

1

~~Feng, Matthew~~

McLord, Lizzie   LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Anderson EC		1st Team ②nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Seung Joh Cho		2	28.5
#3 #4	Name: John David Evard		1	29

CON

CON Team Name/Code: Bellaire KD		①st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Sanika Kotha		4	28.5
#3 #4	Name: Lucy Ding		3	28.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Anderson EC

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

oral rfd

c2 loans dropped, o/w con case

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min

Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min

Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ , St. Mary's Hall

Room: 1513
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Jasper KS vs. Seven Lakes NS)

1   Feng, Matthew

McCord, Lizzie

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes NS		(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 / #2	Name: Srivastava		2	28
#3 / #4	Name: Neelala		1	28.5

CON		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Jasper KS		1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 / #2	Name: Shuler		4	27
#3 / #4	Name: Kamalakantha		3	27.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Seven Lakes NS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

oral fd

filtering mitigated solvency o/w ⊠ unclear rent control solvency

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____, St. Mary's Hall

PF (Vista Ridge FA vs. Flower Mound VV)

AFF ④ ① Vummadising
② Vishnli

CON ⊖
1. Awadallah
2. Fuller

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Flower Mound VV		1st Team	(2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Vummadising				2	29
#3 #4	Name: Vishnli				4	28

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Vista Ridge FA		(1st Team)	2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Awadallah				1	30
#3 #4	Name: Fuller				3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON) **Team/Code:** Vista Ridge FA

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
Your case needs stronger evidences, or evidence of a different kind. Many cards are vague and lack specifics so the cons evidence in this round felt more concrete.

Comments for CON debaters: Good application of long term impacts. Good focus on New jobs restricting where market rate development of new houses will occur. Watch out making arguments that counter your own point. Can't have 2 points that argue with each other stand

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Displacement was a wash, neither side fully won this point. The 2% filtering should have been characterized more fully. The New job con argument simits the scope of urban MRH. I think the argument that the poor don't leave their gentrified neighborhoods are not renters. Renters do leave if rent is too high owners do not That shows.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Ben Farris_ Clements HS

Room: 2311
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Westwood CR vs. Grapevine MG)

2ND NEG ① Goodman
② McClure

1

Farris, Ben

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

WWCR
1st - PRO
① st CHEN
② Rajesh

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Westwood CR		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: CHEN				2	29
#3 #4	Name: RAJESH				1	29

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Grapevine Mg		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Goodman				3	28
#3 #4	Name: McClure				4	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO CON) **Team/Code:** Westwood CR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters: good job pointing out Discrimination + foundation to structural violence is non unique. I would have loved to see an example of correlation or a possible causation example. I don't think PRO's case had to solve for structural violence due to the lack of application to supporting MR.4

Comments for CON debaters: Good analysis, way to synthesize + apply concepts. Good point that land are not working as they apply to discrimination but. I don't buy aff can't build more hones.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): much of Con arguments ignore zoning. Alot of the round was confused by which side was talking about the status quo. I think CX got out of hand, too many non questions + interuptions. Both sides had stray grasp of the conceptual. Application to reality seemed more tenuous. Good argument I think impacted the round was economist's 2018 failure to detect rise in displacement on continually said they don't have to solve, and that's fine but their norms didn't seem to directly link. At least not after case was argued out.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Ben Farris Clements HS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Both teams had excellent analysis

Room: 2331
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Bellaire PW vs. Plano West SZ)

1   **Siddiqui, Omer**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>**not**</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West SZ				
#1 #2	Name: Yizhen Zhen		3	29
#3 #4	Name: Donovan Spall		1	29

CON		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Bellaire PW				
#1 #2	Name: Lucy Wu		4	25.5
#3 #4	Name: Alex Pantazopol		2	24

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: ~~PRO~~ CON | **Team/Code:** PW-SZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
- don't focus so much on luxury housing, you dropped a lot of the links -- into Keypta reform politics and education, even though education is sort of a weak link.
- also if you are going to just talk about luxury housing use more than one city

Switch there

Comments for CON debaters:
- you guys need to basically drop your contention 2 and get better links into Keypta. Bipartisanship is like your only good warrant to it.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted off "Nature of the market". Con doesn't provide an answer as to why affordable housing would ever be viable in their world and pro shows through Bipartisan support that Keypta can solve

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Contruct

Room: 2331
Flight B: Start

1

Siddiqui, Omer

PF (Westlake DR vs. Strake Jesuit College DC)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake DR		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Anisha Reddy		3	29
#3 #4	Name: Yasmine Daghestani		4	28.5

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit CD		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Neo Curry		2	29
#3 #4	Name: Ethan Dupre		1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | **Team/Code:** Strake Jesuit CD

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
- if inclusionary housing is your solvency make sure it works in the squo otherwise it makes it much for ~~them~~ to any reason for me to vote off the pro case.
- also I don't think you should've dropped transit as it is something unique to urban cities.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - vote off hobbs which shows that there isn't just density regulations that are limiting housing prices

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Contract

Room: 2318
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Clements GN vs. Plano West FZ)

1

Ali, Raheena

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>**not**</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: PLANO WEST FZ		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: MICHELLE ZHANG			1	30
#3 #4	Name: JOY FAN			2	30
CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Clements GN		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Rewa Goval			4.	27
#3 #4	Name: sonia ninalani			3	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

4

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Plano West FZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters: Great assertiveness in second cross!
Strong summary! try to spend more time on impact level

Comments for CON debaters: need to cover more turns in rebuttal! It was all over the place and your word economy could be improved
Summary needs to respond to rebuttal... very broad arguments made but didnt cover all terminal defense

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Pro wins link level and impact level debates. Voted mainly off dropped overview when 75% of the poor have to compete for affordable housing where middle class also competed competes.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *Raheena Ali* /Hired

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West LM		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#2	Name: Lakhani		1	30
#3 #4	Name: Mullassery		4	27

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Westlake XX		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Michael Dodgin		3	28
#3 #4	Name: Sigel		2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Plano West LM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
Strong summary and rebuttal
Final should be more organized and structured

Comments for CON debaters: Strong case but need more stronger warrants.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
The round was super muddled & especially on the supply & demand topic.
I voted off of 10x more demand and the housing crisis. The evidence
was good and the luxury homes article was not a big enough scope and
not during the housing crisis.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Hired

Room: 1827
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Flower Mound GM vs. Westwood MP)

1   **Siddiqui, Rafay**

Mengisteab

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Pro Comments:

Yes have to answer
the 100 MPR it → need + 43 Affordable homes
and the Redman evidence on low development.
otherwise displacement still happens.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
   Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

   *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
   Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

   Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
   New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Westwood MP		1st Team 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Sruti Mohankumar			3	28
#3 #4	Name: Sidh Pandit			1	28

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Flower Mound GM		1st Team 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Colton Moraine			2	28
#3 #4	Name: Nikhil Guddati			4	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON   **Team/Code:** Westwood MP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

con

Comments for PRO debaters:
Guddati - Start from the top down. Why start on C2 in the rebuttal?
@ Moraine - end of summary is just ~~really~~ recaping

Comments for CON debaters: I don't understand why you read the voter disad
in constructive...

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):   I vote AFF

1) Weighing goes AFF for the business (1)
   No one tells me what is important until 2nd FF.

2) Displacement exists in squo, at least ↑
   Construction by 11% should outset
   displacement of workers right now

3) Voters is a wash.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____/ Duchesne Academy

Room: 1827
Flight B: Start
PF (Hendrickson GY vs. Plano West AW)

1   Siddiqui, Rafay
Mengisteab

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Hendrickson GY		1st Team / 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: ~~Whitmore~~ Galan			Y	27
#3 #4	Name: Yeclavalli			3	27

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West AW		1st Team / 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: ~~Annam~~ Wang			2	28.5
#3 #4	Name: Annam			1	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO ~~CON~~ | Team/Code: Plano West AW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters: Need to address impact level in rebuttal.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD I Vote NEG
1) @ LIHTC still some offense for NEG
2) Gentrification warrant level goes NEG

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ / Duchesne Academy

Room: 2343
Flight B: Start
PF (Flower Mound MK vs. St. John's MK)

1   **Erard, David**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner **persuasive** to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support **prior** arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: MK-St. Johns	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 / #2 Name: Moll		1	29.5
#3 / #4 Name: Kondur;		2	29

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Flower Mound MK	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 / #2 Name: Kotha		3	28
#3 / #4 Name: Motupalli		4	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** St. Johns MK

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes **No**)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Excellent use of evidence and good framework

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Weighed impact of decreased in poverty through vouchers/inclusionary zoning. Con conceded that .58% nationally is decreased through filtering. Though small, that is more solvency than Con offers, when Con acknowledges 112% increase in market.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ (school Judge)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 2343
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Bellaire LF vs. Dripping Springs FA)

1

Erard, David

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Bellaire LF			1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:	Fan		1	29.5
#3 #4	Name:	Lai		2	29

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Dripping Springs FA			1st Team / (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:	French		4	27.5
#3 #4	Name:	Aziz		3	28.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Bellaire LF

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Good framework, well presented contentions and strong rebuttals. Interesting argument on gentrification being "good". Just have good evidence for this.

Comments for CON debaters:

1st speaker opened case w/ acknowledgement of problems in status quo. "Either" drop that OR provide resolution in opening.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Con stated decreasing income with increasing rents in status quo. Therefore I needed a solvency which didn't occur until 2nd speaker and even then the impacts (as a rebuttal to having none) for me didn't outweigh the filtering down assurance of 2% decrease in rent and help to homeless.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ (School Judge)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1581
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Lovejoy SL vs. Bellaire DS)

1

~~Allen, Ashley~~
Care20

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Lovejoy SL		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:	Sipps, Matthew			4	26
#3 #4	Name:	Larson, Garrett			2	27

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Bellaire DS		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name:	Prait De			1	28
#3 #4	Name:	Andrew Sun			3	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | Team/Code: Bellaire DS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for ~~PRO~~ debaters:
CON

Sign post/group arguments more clearly. Don't grandstand cross just because they don't say what you want.

PRO
Comments for ~~CON~~ debaters:

Be specific about why having no offense means anything - impact it out to the round. You guys both try to cover so much ground and end up be a little cyclical in your arguments. Group them together, or group your counter points. Would have liked to have arguments in summary and Final focus actually focus on the things you were going for.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: Even if everything was a wash, vacant homes are indicitive of a greater, mullifaceted problem and the crux of the PRO was increase supply. St. John's

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

PF (Jasper LY vs. McNeil YS)

Coneza

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order .

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Jasper LY			1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Ye			2	28
#3 #4	Name: Li			1	28

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: McNeil YS			(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name: Yates			4	27
#3 #4	Name: Satish			3	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Jasper LY

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Be weary of making gestures or movement during your partners speeches.

Comment to Both teams: Sign post times hard to follow. Some

Comments for CON debaters:

Yates, you tend to have some speaking "tics"/mannerisms that can be a bit distracting. I know its hard, but try to refrain.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: Inclusionary housing, even though it may have failed in Chicago, goes largely unanswered aside from that. But that failure could, as the PRO talks about, be to lack of promotion.

St John's

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1825
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (LC Anderson BJ vs. Jasper IS)

1

Dua, Arpit
LC /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Anderson UB		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Ananthi Jayasundera			4	26
#3 #4	Name: Robin Brinkmann			3	26

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Jasper IS		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Shahrukh Showkath			2	27
#3 #4	Name: Samir Iyer			1	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: Jasper IS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Good case, try to give more direct answers in CX
1st good attacks on the con case
Had good cards but should've linked them to con's arguments

Comments for CON debaters:

Very logical case, great rebuttal argument (very structured)

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted (Con) b/c of them winning the
poverty argument ~~~~ ?
leaving the xxx w/ no offens

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1825
Flight B: Start
PF (Elkins CZ vs. Westwood IP)

1

Dua, Arpit

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Elkins			1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name: Chang			3	26
#3 #4	Name: Zaheer			4	25

CON				Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood			(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Pingale			1	28
#3 #4	Name: Iyer			2	28

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON | Team/Code: Westwood IP

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes / No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
Good case, address the framework in the rebuttal
Make better utilization of CX

Comments for CON debaters:
Very good case, addressed the arguments well & logically

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Voted on on framework & by their
ability to prove that without prudent rates
programs like match & others would ↑ poverty,
moving affordability out causing ppl to lose office

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1614
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Plano West GM vs. Duchesne Academy of t DL)

1

Kim, Dohun

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code:	DUCHESNE DL		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	L		4	28
#3 #4	Name:	D		3	28.1

CON					
CON Team Name/Code:	PLANO WEST GM		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	G		2	28.5
#3 #4	Name:	M		1	28.6

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: PLANO WEST GM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes   No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Monopoly turn is not addressed effectively by the PRO. Also, the displacement/gentrification argument is mishandled. If markets aren't competitive → monopolies can set market rate → displacement.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1614
Flight B: Start
PF (Bellaire TC vs. Jasper TV)

1

Kim, Dohun

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code:	JASPER TV	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name:	V		2	28.4
#3 #4 Name:	T		1	28.5

CON

CON Team Name/Code:	BELLAIRE TC	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2 Name:	C		4	28.2
#3 #4 Name:	T		3	28.3

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** JASPER TV

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Gun violence vs. poverty framing debate is won by the PRO.
Given that gun violence has a cyclical impact on individuals,
it's important to address as a prerequisite

Atlantic evidence serves as defense for displacement
arguments

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1591
Flight A: Start 10 AM
PF (Westlake YW vs. Cinco Ranch TR)

1

Hoang, Keith

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code:	Westlake YW		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	Preston Williams		4	28.7
#3 #4	Name:	Xvechen Yin		2	28.5
CON					
CON Team Name/Code:	Cinco RT		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Poin
#1 #2	Name:	Anuraag Routray		3	29
#3 #4	Name:	Aatreya Tewari		1	29.5

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | **Team/Code:** Cinco RT

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

The atk/refutation on Freedman gave a huge vantage pt
that the pro struggled to respond with. The con even
went further in showing how Freedman wilded, not along with

Comments for CON debaters: Key claims unfrequences by capitalizing on Freedman's
down fall by attacking the early impact of

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Solvency, PTSPS Atk, on internal link...

F.bw Tws Immediate so

pro's last piece of off is the idea
of "density incentives" However, con had
already won the link on poverty, time and
more empirically with current housing projects
contract.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Westlake	YW	Jasper KM	1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name:	Preston Williams		shruti Kotha	4	26
#3 #4	Name:	Keechen Yin		Michelle Ma	2	28

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Linco RT	Lake Travis CP		(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	Anuroop	Roctrey	Jacob Clemmons	1	29
#3 #4	Name:	Ractreya	Twoory	Sydney Piwonka	3	27

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: Lake Travis

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:
- Is greater F. by def displacement? U hard to argue that in such limited time.
- A thing about econ, if supply is staying high, while S steps low, the issue is more than a S/D issue, but one of corporation/profiteering

Comments for CON debaters:
The point on PL & prices is a good start, but that both we

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
He only offense.
The frame as FW works, but time misallocated in defense.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1641
Flight A. Start 10 AM
PF (Seven Lakes SM vs. Westwood FG)

1   **Smith, Rhonda**
Madison Romo

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Clements MM
v
Westlake RW

Flight B

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code:	Clements MM		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	~~Robinson~~ Maccio			4	29
#3 #4	Name:	~~Wehring~~ Marakani			3	30

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code:	Westlake RW		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	~~Maccio~~ Robinson			2	30
#3 #4	Name:	~~Marakani~~ Wehring			1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Westlake RW

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I vote con because I buy ~~that~~ the lux homes argument and that there additional reasons for housing prices going up besides supply and demand. I also buy neg's poverty trap ~~teams~~ in suburbs. Good round by all!

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Romo_   _Duchesne Deb_

Room: 1641 Seven lakes SMV **1** Smith, Rhonda

Flight B: Start

PF (Clements MM vs. Westlake RW) Westwood FG Madison Romo

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flight A

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner _persuasive_ to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated and not upon their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support _prior_ arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Poin
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes SM				(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Samarth				1	30
#3 #4	Name: Manjul				3	28

CON					Ranks	Poin
CON Team Name/Code: Westwood FG				1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name: Gulhwani				4	28
#3 #4	Name: Freeman				2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior .

Winning Team: (PRO) CON Team/Code: Seven Lakes SM

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Clean round from everyone.

I vote pro because I buy the arg that more mr housing drives displacement down by ½. Plus, con gets caught in contradiction. Either supply and prices go up = dec of affordable housing or argue recession be up supply going up and prices going down. Con did not effectively clarify this.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: R Romo Sheeme Debate