Flight A: Start ASAP

UAR

Ramaj, Vojsava

PF Strake Jesuit College AJ (Zain Ashraf & Ali Jalal) vs. Plano West FZ (Joy Fan & Michelle Zhang)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first o second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative. *Judges should decide the round as it is debated.

and not on their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

				PR(0		(deler	
ī	PRO T	eam Name/Code;	Strake	FOSU14	AF	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
5	#1	Name: Tol	(a)					
	#3	Name: ASV	rof					
				co	N	ragasarangan kabupatèn beragilagan Salah dalah salah salah Salah		
	CONT	eam Name/Code:	PLANU WE	51 17	/	st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
	#2	Name:	11/2/12/21	KNG,				***************************************
	#4	Name:	J'FAN	7 17				
		each speaker	r from 1 to 4	points: 20-23 Bel 24-26 Ave	rage		ng 🕳	4
i e	Wini	າing Team:	PRO CON	Team/Code:	D)		(REDECORRESTE SERVICES INV	September (State
	******	iiig icaiii.		ivanii oode.	ar rando	West FZ		

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No.)

Comments for CON debaters:

Voked on likeun the dropped turns and the cron and the Bever evidence) and demolishment would nappen under MPH. Con give impacts!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: <u>Augm Many</u> / Hirced

Order/Time Limits of Speeches Speaker #1 (1st Team) Speaker #2 (2nd Team)

Crossfire (#1 & #2)

Speaker #3 (1st Team) Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min Crossfire (#3 & #4)

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Flight A: Start ASAP

QUAR

Galang, Nate

PF Plano West FZ (Joy Fan & Michelle Zhang) vs. Strake Jesuit College AJ (Zain Ashraf & Ali Jalal)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative. *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

	PRO			
PRO Team	Name/Code: Strake Just AJ	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 Na #2	me: AI; Jalul			
#3 Na #4	me: Zuin Ashraf		:	10.000
t trong George	CON			
CON Team	Name/Code: DIAN INST F7	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 Na #2	mo: MUHGUZ ZHANO	- Andrews - Andr		
#3 Na #4	me: IX FM			
Rate eac	ch speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Averag	je 27-28 Above Av	erage	Δ
	ch speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average		_	
	Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical	al or inappropriate beha	ıior.	
Winning	g Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Strake	At		WORKS CHIESCHER

Comments for PRO debaters;

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

I affirm—

1) Presumption— there's not a strong terminal impact wrains from neg final focus of Trin on the AC — rest control to the cource of the shortage


3) Sunya ev and Kendall evidence— zoning solver without a need for demolisher (also, the goo probably wins defense us demolisher programs)

S

G

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing);



Order/Time Limits of Speeches Speaker #1 (1st Team) Speaker #2 (2nd Team) Crossfire (#1 & #2) Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min Speaker #1 Summary 2 min Speaker #2 Summary 2 min Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min 2 minutes of prep time per side

Flight A: Start ASAP

QUAR

Graves, Victoria

PF Strake Jesuit College AJ (Zain Ashraf & Ali Jalal) vs. Plano West FZ (Joy Fan & Michelle Zhang)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative. *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

	PRO		
PRO Te	eam Name/Code: Strake Jout AJ 1st Team (2nd To	Ranks	Points
#1 <i>(</i> #2)	Name: Jalal	2	29
#3	Name: Ashva F	Ч	27
	CON	eccepy economic	
CON To	Feam Name/Code: Plano West FZ 1st Team 2nd T	eam Ranks	Points
0	Name: 7 10 Garage	81	30
1		🐷	1
#4	Name: Fan	3	28

CON Team/Code:

Plano he

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle, Yes No.)


Winning Team: PRO

Comments for CON debaters:

Overview-Con Zoning-Con Demolishes-Con Li 7ech-Con

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:



Room: 1533 Flight B: Start

QUAR

Nava, Victor

FF LC Anderson CE (Dylan Canyon & William Erard) vs. Seven Lakes CZ (Andrew Chan & Alex Zhong)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative. *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO Team Name/Code: Seven lakes (2 Ist Team and Team	Ranks	Points
#1 Name: Chau		
#3 Name: Zhong		
CON		
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson (E 1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points,
#1 Name: Erard	0[1000000000]	
#3 Name: Canyon		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average 29-30 Outstand Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior	ling 🕳	<u>^</u>
Winning Torm: PPO CON Torm/Code: //		

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle, Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

leasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):	Order/Time Limits of Sp	<u>seeches</u>
Voted can withhely come down to supply ? approbables	Speaker #1 (1st Team) Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min 4 min
in the state of th	Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
? He localid a great july promy how sighty with prompte	Speaker #3 (1st Team) Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min 4 min
	Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
as nother what I the pro-dilat hove easily impost or	Speaker #1 Summary Speaker #2 Summary	2 min 2 min
han apprehability will income	Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
	Speaker #3 Final Focus Speaker #4 Final Focus	
Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:	2 minutes of prep time p	per side
	4	

Room: 1533 Flight B: Start

QUAR

Feng, Matthew

PF LC Anderson CE (Dylan Canyon & William Erard) vs. Seven Lakes CZ (Andrew Chan & Alex Zhong)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019LG/

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes CZ	1st Team 2rld Team	Ranks	Points
Warne: Chan			
Name: Thong			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: LC Ander 18h (E	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
Name: Erova			
#3 Name: (Onyon			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average	ge 27-28 Above Ave	erage	Δ
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethick	29-30 Outstandi al or inappropriate behav	•	
Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: A	s south	ang sagaran an	we summer our

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No)

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): Order/Time Limits of Speeches Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min I think shows show is no officer for either from Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min because the construction argument so conceiled and them Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 mln Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 mln Speaker #1 Summary 2 min Speaker #2 Summary 2 min Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min Man Out 2 minutes of prep time per side Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: __

Room: 1533 Flight B: Start

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation(

QUAR

Revote, Emil

2 minutes of prep time per side

PF Seven Lakes CZ (Andrew Chan & Alex Zhong) vs. LC Anderson CE (Dylan Canyon & William Erard)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019LG/

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

	Please do not complete the portion below until A	FTER the coin toss.
Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the	PRO	
debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or	PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes CZ	Ranks Points
second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.	W Name: (Nan	
Judging Instructions: Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a	#3) Name: Zhoug	
manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative. *Judges should decide the round as it is debated,	CON.	
and not on their personal beliefs. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents,	A CANADA	st Team 2nd Team Ranks Points
and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.	*1 Name: Erard	
Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence	#3 Name: Canyon	
may be referred to extemporaneously. New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence	Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or	27-28 Above Average 29-30 Outstanding inappropriate behavior.
to support <u>prior</u> arguments.	Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code:	Fil CV
Comments for PRO debaters:	Low Point Win? (Circle, Yes No.)	
07 James Bendiful se	buttal speech, but car of the	
/ FI	in I've ster this year.	
Ω / Γ		
4 /7 /7 /		
Flog Vener ///	,	
Comments for CON debaters:	I borely understand you over shred speed please don't socrifice closely for speed	4.
@ (anyon Cali	all the section of the	1 Fooded UP
	please of our satisfies (lacity to spile	/, U ⁻
Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):	, hees	1
Voters Voters	Boild down to voters.	Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min
VMV1	The can beings it to	Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min
Ch. Wary term. Plop us Manchon	I was I demand Pro roker	Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Zoning the CZ-CZ. Tip C3 to CZ	bose up I demand promoker bose up I demand promoker the point of better town of the point of mether/luxury rock specifictly of mether/luxury cost ben for fores,	Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min
Mon! vegey	the Constitution of MRHETHORN	Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
, copps,	Noc Standing	Speaker #2 Summary 2 min
1021/11/00/	Kloff (35 Cost ben fro fover)	Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min
	Joseph Lass on lattle &	Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

Room: 1531 Flight B: Start

Wang, Daniel

PF Clements GK (Nilay Gandhi & Saahil Kalvakuntla) vs. Plano West LR (Daniel Liang & Mukund Rao)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative. *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously. New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

	ere ere	to a supply the territory and the supply the				
PRO Te	eam Name/Code: Elements KG	1st Team)2nd Team	Ranks	Points		
#1 #2	Name: Kalvakuntia		4	20		
#3 #4	Name: Gandhi		3	20		
	GON					
CONT	eam Name/Code: Plano Wast LR	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points		
#1 #2	Name: Rae		42	20 -1		
#3 #4	Name: Liarny	400	#1	20-1		
	Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Wint	Winning Team; (PRO CON Team/Code: crements kile					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle, Yes

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- Yote AFF on affordability

- storting on NEG Obs: Beon evidence is pretty explicit insoying they can coexist -> land isn't necessorily set aside for 3 thing. The 30 yrang is also not addeded in and FF so that s at least some solid def on the arg.
- Conversion Debate: Beon evidence moltes this not necessary since it can coexist. Horeover, The the org that it's primarily due to regs also solves abit. Your arg about conversion is from the squo but if the AFF devegs, lond would be cheaper. The concede! 2x 1 price evidence empirically confirms this The construction debate is mostly mingctory -
- Tuins on Ac: The political power and is only useful if you win displacement; however, insofar as there's of offense from the NC. there's no link to this tun: The AFF insofar as there's offense from the NC. there's no link to this tun: The AFF insofar as there's offense from the links that consolidation evidence ion't that good. It never set the links that consolidation evidence ion't that good to the links that consolidation evidence ion't the links that consolidation evidence ion't the links that consolidation evidence ion't the links that consolidation evi soys they would bery together to Jack prices

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min Speaker #1 Summary 2 min Speaker #2 Summary 2 min Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min 2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1531 Flight B: Start

QUAR

McCord, Lizzie

PF Clements GK (Nilay Gandhi & Saahil Kalvakuntla) vs. Plano West LR (Daniel Liang & Mukund Rao)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative. *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence

PRO T	eam Name/Code: Clements GH	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:			804 19 S + + 11+ 3 SQ
#3 #4	Name:			
	CON			
CONT	eam Name/Code: New West LR	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:			
#3 #4	Name:			
Rate	each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below	v Average 27-28 Above Ave	rage	Δ
Rank	each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Avera Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases o	•	•	
Wins	ning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: 🏋	are West CR		

Comments for PRO debaters:

to support prior arguments.

Low Point Win? (Circle, Yes No.)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): Order/Time Limits of Speeches This round is super confusing. I honestly have no idea what happens to prices -> reg paices costs becrease labort land costs are still high, also there's consolidation? It's way too many competing things for me to actually evaluate an impact to affordability. I buy, though, that Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min Speaker #1 Summary 2 min Speaker #2 Summary 2 min Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 mln Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min 2 minutes of prep time per side Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _

Room: 1531 Flight B: Start

Sinha, Vivek

PF Clements GK (Nilay Gandhi & Saahil Kalvakuntla) vs. Plano West LR (Daniel Liang & Mukund Rao)

Li, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative. *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

	PRO	and the second s		
	pam Name/Code: Clementa KG	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Kalva Kuntla		3	30
#3 #4	Name: Grandhi		4	30
	CON			
CONT	eam Name/Code: Plano West CR	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Rao		2	30
#3 #4	Name: Liang		١	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average

27-28 Above Average 29-30 Outstanding

Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRQ CON Team/Code: Views WEST UK

Low Point Win? (Circle. Yes/No.)

wa. that prices go up b/c law of workers, not jobs - I think you wishnessood winning arg. Sax., reg. -> more prices, 30 yrs. solvency, Kind of Bean

Comments for CON debaters:

Comments for PRO debaters:

-rebuston should've had more attacks on UCLA

Winning arg. no imp. evidence of I price, shortage of workers, luxury homes only being bunt, painter Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

bullies out others -> less comp. To doesn't show how this win lower in to world, LIHTE was all con offende, more tunding in sew snows saw more likely and higher chance of success, and luxury house built, so displacement now -> no Pro impacts in house built, so displacement now -> no Pro impacts in future as well, Hayward on Pro wasn't enough to disprove investors stru pref. affordable housing

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: ___

Order/Time Limits of Speeches Speaker #1 (1st Team) Speaker #2 (2nd Team) Crossfire (#1 & #2) Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min Speaker #1 Summary 2 min Speaker #2 Summary 2 min Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min 2 minutes of prep time per side

(protains to prove affordable houses will be bully 400 \

MAH

Flight A: Start ASAP

QUAR

Mason, William

PF Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van_Nostrand) vs. Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

December (or my decision /site energific occurrents that had a bearing)

	PRO			
PRO T	eam Name/Code: LONYOC (VV	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:			100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
#3 #4	Name:	:		
	CON			
CONT	eam Name/Code: Westalle DS	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:			•
#3 #4	Name:			
	each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Ave each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of uneti	29-30 Outstandi	ng 🖦	4
Win	ning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: West	Hile DS	ozzarzynajejelajazyjejilal	

Comments for PRO debaters;

Low Point Win? (Circle, Yes No.)

Comments for CON debaters:

Neasons for my decision (cite specific alguments that had a bearing).	Order/Time Limits of Sp	eecnes
I moste:	,	4 min 4 min
1) Conversions - yes the ev compansor is late but may close contest with	Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Mario evidence and His the city or comparison done in nound. Say OW slope which is fundamently articled so B by it over the aft or that	, , ,	4 min 4 min
MSF Imput fees I all howing 40% and LA 1:6 and 1:18 ratio.	Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
2) Warding charges on luxury fifter up turn is made relatively now in 2FF	Speaker #1 Summary Speaker #2 Summary	2 min 2 min
so I) dent great and not really any offerse curtestuctified also west.	Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
3) I by mound target and agant feelility see but I don't think this	Speaker #3 Final Focus Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min 2 min
Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:	2 minutes of prep time p	er side
	nung into	
the city which I don't get a line of blere's no supply interest and prie d	ecept.	
T had inner fees not What meg on comprises means he str.	of link.	

Flight A: Start ASAP

QUAR

Lakhani, Lizna

SH WOLKE

PF Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van_Nostrand) vs. Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative. *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

	PRO						
PRO Te	nam Name/Code: LAWAY NV 1st Team 2(d Team)	Ranks	Points				
#1 #2	Name: Van Nostral						
#3 #4	Name: Nelson						
	CON						
CON Team Name/Code: West Lake &S (61 Team) 2nd Team			Points				
#1 #2	Name;						
#3 #4	Name;						
	Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding						
Main	Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.						

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle, Yes, No.)

Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: \ \ \ \

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):	á
WHI FEST con wine the evidence. The training begs a lot of full intermention. In controls the link to supply with	
The Color to but one was decree	ų.
parties and the like to "price does not desert	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Speaker #1 (1st Team) Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min 4 min			
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 mln			
Speaker #3 (1st Team) Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min 4 min			
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min			
Speaker #1 Summary Speaker #2 Summary	2 min 2 min			
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min			
Speaker #3 Final Focus Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min 2 min			
2 minutes of prep time per side				

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Flight A: Start ASAP

QUAR

Ali, Raheena

PF Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van_Nostrand) vs. Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative. *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

100	PRO	residential and the second					
PRO Te	eam Name/Code: / lows ton Lamas NU	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points			
#1 #2	Name: Van Nostand						
#3 #4	Name: Nelson						
	CON			, j.			
CONT	eam Name/Code; WasHalle DS	1st Team 2nd Team	Danke	- Dointe			
1	A - 16-16-0 A >	ļ.,	Ranks	Points.			
#2	Name: Ali Slimi						
∰]	Name: Miles Dintanar						
Rate	Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average						
Rank	ng 🚃						
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.							
Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Market Lange All							
	2(1)(4)(20)	(a. a a 18 h l					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Conget round?

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No.)

Kahwa ali hined

General vound! My Gover thros in summary.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Bakrat widence, pro probably solves back for howing.

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min Speaker #1 Summary 2 min Speaker #2 Summary 2 min Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min

Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: