TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit AJ		(2nd Team)		
#1 / #2 Name: Jalal				
#3 / #4 Name: Ashraf				

CON		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: PLANO WEST FZ		(1st Team)		
#1 / #2 Name: MICHELLE ZHANG				
#3 / #4 Name: JOY FAN				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: Plano West FZ

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted on lidewut the dropped turns and the cron
ac the Becurevidence) and demolishment would
happen under MBH. Con give impacts!

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Vegjn Muj / Hired

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit AJ	1st Team (2nd Team)		Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Ali Jalal				
#3 #4 Name: Zain Ashraf				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: PLANO WEST FZ	1st Team / 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: MICHELLE ZHANG				
#3 #4 Name: JOY FAN				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Strake AJ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I affirm —

1) Presumption — there's not a strong terminal impact coming from neg final focus

2) Turn on the AC — rent control is the cause of the shortage

3) Sonya ev and Kendall evidence — zoning solves without a need for demolition (also, the pro probably wins defense vs demolition anyway)

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ KHS Debate

Room: 1563
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Strake Jesuit College AJ (Zain Ashraf & Ali Jalal) vs. Plano West FZ (Joy Fan & Michelle Zhang)

QUAR   Graves, Victoria

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit AJ	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 (#2) Name: Jalal		2	29
#3 (#4) Name: Ashraf		4	27

4

CON

CON Team Name/Code: Plano West FZ	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
(#1) #2 Name: Zhang		8 1	30
(#3) #4 Name: Fan		3	28

2

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

**Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code:** Plano West FZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Overview - Con
Zoning - Con
Demolishing - Con
Li Tech - Con

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus   2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus   2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1533
Flight B: Start
PF LC Anderson CE (Dylan Canyon & William Erard) vs. Seven Lakes CZ (Andrew Chan & Alex Zhong)

QUAR

Nava, Victor
Dva

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes CZ			1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Chan				
#3 #4	Name: Zhong				

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson CE			1st Team / (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Erard				
#3 #4	Name: Canyon				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: LC Adsn CE

Low Point Win? (Circle. Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted con. Ultimately came down to supply ? affordability
? the con did a great job proving how supply will increase
as matter what ? the pro didn't have enough impact or
how affordability will increase

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Speech	Time
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019LC /
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes CZ		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Chan				
#3 #4	Name: Zhong				

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson CE		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Erard				
#3 #4	Name: Canyon				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)	Team/Code: Anderson CE

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I think that there is no offense for either team because the contention argument is conceded and they also direct function the zoning decide in their summary. Vote my properly trade increases and rents increase, which is bad.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Plan Wof

QUAR   Revote, Emil

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes CZ		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Chan			
#3 #4	Name: Zhong			

CON

CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson CE		1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Erard			
#3 #4	Name: Canyon			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: Seven Lakes CZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

@Zhong: Beautiful rebuttal speech, best cov. of the flow I've seen this year.
@Chan: ↑✓
Flag Vence ✓

Comments for CON debaters:

@Canyon: Could barely understand you over slurred speech. Please don't sacrifice clarity for speed. Ended up

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voters   Voters   Boiled down to voters.
nonun: luxury housing   nonun: sup/Demand
                              boil   The con brings it to
cl: luxury tam.   prop vs renovation   bust VP/demand, Pro makes
Zoning too   Tie C3 to C2   the point of better arguing
   negate C2-C3   specificity of MRHW/luxury
                        Cost ben Pro favor,
                        Zoning loss on Cali
Clash needed   a bit more   settle?
            flow con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Elements KG	(1st Team) 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Kalvakuntla			4	20
#3 #4 Name: Gandhi			3	20

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West LR	1st Team (2nd Team)		Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Rao			4 2	20.1
#3 #4 Name: Liang			1	20.1

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** clements KG

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- Vote AFF on affordability

- Starting on NEG Obs: Beon evidence is pretty explicit in saying they can coexist → land isn't necessarily set aside for 1 thing. The 30 yr arg is also not addressed in 2nd FF so that's at least some solid def on the arg.

- Conversion Debate: Beon evidence makes this not necessary since it can coexist. Moreover, the org that it's primarily due to regs also solves a bit. Your arg about conversion is from the sqvo but if the AFF deregs, land would be cheaper. The conceded 2nd price evidence empirically confirms this. The construction debate is mostly mitigatory.

- Turns on AC: The political power arg is only useful if you win displacement; however, insofar as there's Ø offense from the NC. There's no link to this turn: The AFI never is that good. It offense from the NC consolidation evidence isn't that good. says they would bey & evidence also takes out the link that consolidation together to jack prices

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ / Stroke Jesuit

Room: 1531
Flight B: Start
PF Clements GK (Nilay Gandhi & Saahil Kalvakuntla) vs. Plano West LR (Daniel Liang & Mukund Rao)

QUAR

McCord, Lizzie

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements GK			(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:					
#3 #4	Name:					

CON					Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West LR			1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name:					
#3 #4	Name:					

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Plano West LR

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

This round is super confusing. I honestly have no idea what happens to prices → reg prices costs decrease, labor + land costs are still high, also there's consolidation? It's way too many competing things for me to actually evaluate an impact to affordability. I buy, though, that input costs mean some new housing has to be luxury resulting rich ppl advocating against welfare, which is enough risk of offense for me to negate.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____, St. Mary's Hall

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements KG	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Kalvakuntla		3	30
#3 #4 Name: Gandhi		4	30

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West LR	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Rao		2	30
#3 #4 Name: Liang		1	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Plano West LR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

- I think you misunderstood arg. that prices go up b/c lack of workers, not jobs

winning arg.: sax., reg. → more prices, 30 yrs. solvency, kind of bean

Comments for CON debaters:

- rebuttal should've had more attacks on UCLA

Winning arg.: no imp. evidence of ↓ price, shortage of workers, luxury homes only being built, Painter LIHTC

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted Con, b/c I bought Painter - private consolidation (cause prices go up) bullies out others → less comp., Pro doesn't show how this will lower in Pro world, LIHTC was all Con offense, more funding in sew shows sew more likely and higher chance of success, and luxury homes built, so displacement now → no Pro impacts in future as well, Hayward on Pro wasn't enough to disprove investors still pref. affordable housing not MAH (Pro fails to prove affordable houses will be built too)

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _William_

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1560
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van_Nostrand) vs. Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi)

QUAR   Mason, ~~William~~ Loh

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Lamar NV		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:			
#3 #4	Name:			

CON

CON Team Name/Code: Westlake DS		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:			
#3 #4	Name:			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   Team/Code: Westlake DS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I negate:
1) Conversions - yes the CV comparison is late but neg does contest with Marlo evidence and its the only CV comparison done in round. Say on scope which is functionally accepted so B by it over the aff CV that
2) SF Impact fees ↓ aff housing 40% and LA 1:6 and 1:B ratio.
2) Weighing analysis on luxury filter up turn is new relatively new in 2FF so I don't grab and not really any offense contextualized else wise.
3) I buy moving target and grant feasibility ss but I don't think this quagley CV is feasible and therefore intensive with the competition arg

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ / HEBRON

5) I don't buy SK inc is absent supply → Yur link is contingent on people moving into the city which I don't get a link if there's no supply increase and prc decrease. I buy impact fees tol what neg CV comparison means neg has the str. of link.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1560
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van_Nostrand) vs. Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi)

QUAR   Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Lamar NV	1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 / #2 Name: Van Nostrand			
#3 / #4 Name: Nelson			

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Westlake DS	1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 / #2 Name:			
#3 / #4 Name:			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON | Team/Code: Westlake DS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Con wins the evidence. No framing begs a lot of judge intervention. Con contests the link to supply with [...] and the link to 'price does not [...]' with [...] analysis.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min

Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min

Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1560
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van_Nostrand) vs. Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi)

QUAR   Ali, Raheena

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO						Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: *Houston Lamar NV*				1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: *Van Nostand*						
#3 #4	Name: *Nelson*						

CON						Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: *Westlake DS*				1st Team 2nd Team			
(#1) #2	Name: *Ali Slimi*						
(#3) #4	Name: *Miles Dintzner*						

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: **PRO** CON	Team/Code: *Houston Lamar NV*

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Great round!

Comments for CON debaters: *Great round! ~~Make~~ Cover turns in summary.*

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Bakrat evidence, pro probably solves back for housing.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *Raheena Ali / hired*

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side