OCTA   Dua, Arpit

M. Saad

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC/

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements GK	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Kalvakuntla		2	30
#3 #4 Name: Gandi		1	30

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson BF	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Foster		4	30
#3 #4 Name: Builta		3	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON   Team/Code: Clements GK

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Drop uniquenss

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: Aff wins on risk of offense for deregulation, which leads to ↑ accessibility & less displacement (which they say plays a role in structural violence)

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Contract

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1533
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF LC Anderson BF (Cody Builta & Mark Foster) vs. Clements GK (Nilay Gandhi & Saahil Kalvakuntla)

OCTA   Ramaj, Vojsava

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 (#2)	Name: Kalvakunta				
#3 (#4)	Name: Gandhi				

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
(#1) #2	Name: Foster				
(#3) #4	Name: Builta				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Clements G k

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Voted pro on the perming re alt and the dropped urban-sprawl turn.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Vojsava Ramaj / Hired_

OCTA

Winn, Angela

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO			Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Clements GK		1st Team / (2nd Team)		
#1 (#2)	Name: Saahil Kalvakuntla			
#3 (#4)	Name: Nilay Gandhi			

CON			Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson BF		(1st Team) 2nd Team		
(#1) #2	Name: Mark Foster			
(#2) #4	Name: Cody Builta			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: LC Anderson BF

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
#2 - Strong case - would like a tad more on Links!
#4 - good rebuttal ; drop neg alternative ; good impacts ; would have like more on Links.
#2 - good summary - good analysis

Comments for CON debaters:
#1 - strong case ; good Links - wanted more on impacts
#3 - good rebuttal - would have like more on impacts
#3 - good summary - need to extend alternative ; drop suffering.
#1 -

Don't argue during CX!
-ASK and Answer questions!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The Con wins on impacts and on Racism arguement

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Angela W—

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West LR	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 (#2) Name: Rao		3	28
#3 (#4) Name: Liang		4	27

CON

CON Team Name/Code: All Saints Episcopal PT	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
(#1) #2 Name: Pan		1	30
(#3) #4 Name: Tidwell		2	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)	Team/Code: All Saints Episcopal PT

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

I hope you will all be better Policy Makers.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Urban - Toss

FW - Con

Race Discrimination - Con

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 1563
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Plano West LR (Daniel Liang & Mukund Rao) vs. All Saints Episcopal PT (Evan Pan & Bradley Tidwell)

OCTA   Canezo, Jon Car

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>**not**</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West LR		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Rao			
#3 #4	Name: Liang			

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: All Saints PT		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Pan			
#3 #4	Name: Tidwell			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Plano West LR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD No ROB/reasons to vote for NEG K,

PRO links to solve S.V. anyway.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ St. John's

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1563
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Plano West LR (Daniel Liang & Mukund Rao) vs. All Saints Episcopal PT (Evan Pan & Bradley Tidwell)

OCTA   **Fung, Anson**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO						
PRO Team Name/Code: Plano West LR				1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name. Rao					
#3 #4	Name: Liang					

CON						
CON Team Name/Code: All Saints PT				(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Pan		1st			
#3 #4	Name: Tidwell		2nd			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
  Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

**Winning Team:** (PRO) CON | **Team/Code:** Plano West LR

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
The 3rd link in NEG is problematic. It's not clear what it means for my ballot even if AFF links. Why is my ROTB to promote discourse ??? At this point the FW is just a weighing mech that AFF also links into. The tradeoff is you miss BIG Turns

Comments for CON debaters:
that AFF also links into. The tradeoff is you miss BIG That Turns

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Both teams were like big politicians debating on a big stage. Good job! You're extending a lot thru ink. Easiest PRO ballot I've had this tournament. I can vote AFF on any of the turns on NEG or on the C1 displacement impact.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ (STRAKE JESUIT)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1559
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Plano West OR (Noah Ogata & Viswa Rajagopalan) vs. Seven Lakes CZ (Andrew Chan & Alex Zhong)

OCTA　　**Lakhani, Lizna**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: *Seven Lakes CZ*		1st Team ⓶nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: *Chan*				
#3 #4	Name: *Zhong*				

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: *Plano West OR*		⓵st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: *Noah Ogata*				
#3 #4	Name: *Viswa Rajagopalan*				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points:　20-23 Below Average　　27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4　　　　　　　24-26 Average　　　29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO CON | **Team/Code:** *Seven Lakes CZ*

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I buy PRO offense - they win even if I give con access to supply, which I don't

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

OCTA　　**Erard, David**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: *Seven Lakes CZ*		1st Team **2nd Team**	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: *Chan*			
#3 #4	Name: *Zhong*			

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: *Plano West OR*		**1st Team** 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: *Noah Ogata*			
#3 #4	Name: *Viswa Rajagopalan*			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points:　20-23 Below Average　27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4　　24-26 Average　　29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team (**PRO**) CON | Team/Code: *Seven Lakes CZ*

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Burden of the Pro is to provide solvency (though not necessarily unique) and to defend rebuttal by Con. I have issue with Pro assessment that low-income people move into vacated high-income housing, but I do weigh "Anderson" evidence which is "National" reference on a "U.S." topic over any geo-specific evidence. Magnitude goes to Pro.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ (School Judge)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)　4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)　4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)　3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)　4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)　4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)　3 min
Speaker #1 Summary　2 min
Speaker #2 Summary　2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)　3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus　2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus　2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

OCTA   McCord, Lizzie

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes CZ		1st Team ~~2nd Team~~			
#1 #2	Name:				
#3 #4	Name:				

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West OR		⊙1st Team 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name:				
#3 #4	Name:				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: ⟨PRO⟩ CON | **Team/Code:** Seven Lakes CZ

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The round boils down to whether or not new housing is affordable. Aff says affordable housing is more attractive to investors, while Neg says NIMBY prevents this. There's not much analysis done as to which is more true. Given that I have to do this analysis myself. NIMBY is a reactive movement, but I don't know how affective it is, whereas the people doing the development are actively pursuing more affordable housing for sure. Given that, even if there's demolition, more homes are created to in their place that are affordable.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ , St. Mary's Hall

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1560
Flight B: Start
PF Colleyville Heritage LW (Daniel Li & Colin Wei) vs. Strake Jesuit College AJ (Zain Ashraf & Ali Jalal)

OCTA   Feng, Matthew

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner underlined persuasive to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:	Colleyville LW			1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 (#2)	Name:	Wei				
#3 (#4)	Name:	Li				

CON					Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:	Strake AJ			(1st Team) 2nd Team		
(#1) #2	Name:	Jalal				
(#3) #4	Name:	Ashraf				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   **Team/Code:**   Strake Jesuit AJ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I think that the Svstm on subsidies is unclear but that there could be a risk of rents increasing in order to finance the construction.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ Please Wait

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1560
Flight B: Start
PF Colleyville Heritage LW (Daniel Li & Colin Wei) vs. Strake Jesuit College AJ (Zain Ashraf & Ali Jalal)

OCTA   **Daugherty, Jona[**
Emil Revore

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Colleyville LW		1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 (#2)	Name: Wei			
#3 (#4)	Name: Li			

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
(#1) #2	Name: Jalal			
(#3) #4	Name: Ashraf			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Strake Jesuit AJ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:
@ Jalal - rude during CX

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Weighing
Best affordability wins round

Con provides more fluid &
dev/chronologically minded
analysis of the round;
while the pro builds a cohesive
case, they get lost in
no definition in voters;
Status Quo ≠ failed solvency
because a problem
exists
Lack of workers;
Arent on aff ≠ luxury housing

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

OCTA

Panella, Brenda

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.
Judging Instructions:
   Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.
   *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
   Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO						Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:	Colleyville Heritage LW			1st Team	2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	Wei				1	30
#3 #4	Name:	Li				2	30

CON						Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:	Strake Jesuit College AJ			1st Team	2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	Jalal				3	29
#3 #4	Name:	Ashraf				4	29

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Strake Jesuit College AJ

Low Point Win? (Circle. Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Phenomenal job!
Great delivery, well poised; you look sharp!

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro - you did a great job defending your case, but Con found a hole on the construction workers. Developers cannot build new ~~construction~~ homes because of lack of construction workers. Paying workers means an increase in rent in order to make MKH a reality (Reyes). Your responses were "it's not fair to ~~this~~ debate that"; then "not probable at all", and finally "must keep rent low to make a profit." Their card was persuasive.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ B / Panella / NA _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1556
Flight B: Start
PF Lake Travis CP (Jacob Clemmons & Sydney Piwonka) vs. Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van_Nostrand)

OCTA

Braley, Kristi

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Houston Lamar NV — 1st Team (2nd Team) | Ranks | Points

| #1 #2 | Name: ~~Ethan~~ Nostrard | | |
| #3 #4 | Name: Groucock | | |

CON

CON Team Name/Code: Lake Travis CP — (1st Team) 2nd Team | Ranks | Points

| #1 #2 | Name: Clemmons | | |
| #3 #4 | Name: Piwonka | | |

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average · 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 · 24-26 Average · 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: Houston Lamar NV

Comments for PRO debaters: BOTH ~~ZP~~ STOP TALKING OVER YOUR OPPONENT in CX - you ask a Q, then immediately interrupt her. Didn't even let her ask a question before interrupting.

Low Point Win? (Circle. Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters: 30 sec left in case - why not add more?

Need clearer impact calculus/weighing/resolving tension.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): Whether zoning as a method for promoting MFH can be feated is unclear. also, neither side offered a clear FW for the round, so I'm left comparing impacts, which were not clearly weighed for me.

There was tension still remaining in the round over whether supply would change. In final focus, Con did a better job of showing some offense could be garnered through empirics that could occur w/ MFH w/o zoning laws changing (but if those laws did change, would be even more beneficial). Meanwhile, was able to bring Con offense highly into question. So on offense, going Pro.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Kristi L Braley - All Saints

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1556
Flight B: Start
PF Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van_Nostrand) vs. Lake Travis CP (Jacob Clemmons & Sydney Piwonka)

OCTA
Kim, Dohun

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Lamar NV		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Van Nostrand			
#3 #4	Name: Nelson-Groococs			

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Lake Travis CP		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Jacob Clemmons			
#3 #4	Name: Sydney Piwonka			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: **PRO** CON | Team/Code: LAMAR NV

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Impact Fees ⊕, needed for 70% affordable housing funds.
Florida ev is comparative, MRH → 15%
decrease in displacement than w/o MRH.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Dohun

Room: 1556
Flight B: Start
PF Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van_Nostrand) vs. Lake Travis CP (Jacob Clemmons & Sydney Piwonka)

OCTA   **Amodwala, Juga**

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: *Lamar NV*	1st Team / **2nd Team**	Ranks	Points
#1 / #2 Name: *Van Nostrand*			
#3 / #4 Name: *Nelson Groocock*			

CON			
CON Team Name/Code: *Lake Travis CP*	**1st Team** / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 / #2 Name: *Jacob Clemmons*			
#3 / #4 Name: *Sydney Piwonka*			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (**PRO**) CON   **Team/Code:** *Lamar NV*

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- Impact fees builds new housing, Variable HuD budgets means squo is shaky, & not much of an impact to Neg.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *(signature)*

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1531
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi) vs. Kempner BS (Bryan Benitez & Raj Solanki)

OCTA   Shivji, Alina

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake DS	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Ali Slimi			
#3 #4 Name: Miles Dintzner			

CON

CON Team Name/Code: Kempner BS	1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Brian Benitez			
#3 #4 Name: Raj Solanki			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO / CON   **Team/Code:** Kempner BS

~~Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)~~

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): The impact level is extended but there's barely any warrant analysis coming from the aff that is extended through final focus. The point of clash in the debate comes down to its and density bonuses (At least those are the only args I evaluate since there is minimal arg to arg weighing)

On density bonuses, the NRIE evidence is dropped and so is the IA empirics which supports the idea that density bonuses won't help w/ the affordability crisis especially since it's small in scope.

On IZS: there's no response to Alexander and insofar as there's ~~impact~~ a .01 v. impact in regards to affordability, I give the neg access to displacement. The turn from the aff x responsive

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1531
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Kempner BS (Bryan Benitez & Raj Solanki) vs. Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi)

OCTA   Li, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake DS		(1st Team) 2nd Team			
#1 #2	Name: Slimi			2	30
#3 #4	Name: Dintzner			1	30

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Kempner BS		1st Team (2nd Team)			
#1 #2	Name: Benitez			3	30
#3 #4	Name: Solanki			4	30

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON | Team/Code: Westlake DS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
- liked the link outweigh on in rebuttal, gave a lot of offense
- good deat de links of new bill and seattle card (I buy that)
- grand cross-right about if it happ, still accesses impact through warrant
- I buy promotion → density bonus → good

Comments for CON debaters:
- liked the rebuttal attacks on IZ and how few houses actually built,
- needed more attacks on transit, but also better possibility of momentum and new bill will pass
- in summary, bought

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
Voted Pro, I bought Berdalay turn and how more construction leads to more downward pressure for more offense, Con's offense of how IZ leads to reduction in supply and density bonus not good enough wasn't substantial enough to outweigh, Pro's seattle example and 21 states outweighed and proved MRH net positive, bought that even if MRH→low units, still good since tht leads to more inv.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _William_

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1531
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi) vs. Kempner BS (Bryan Benitez & Raj Solanki)

OCTA   Holland, Robey

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Westlake DS		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 / #2	Name:			
#3 / #4	Name:			

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Kempner BS		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 / #2	Name:			
#3 / #4	Name:			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | Team/Code: Westlake DS

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Close debate
RFD: I Affirm.

I vote on turns on the con case specifically
1) loss limit becomes 84 new, dec cost by competition

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Robey Holland - Plano West

2) Turns to IZ, A rent cause, FF for con doesn't extend now to IZ, stems compedin IZ bad

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1558
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Saint Mary's Hall PH (Trey Plante & Sam Hernandez) vs. LC Anderson CE (Dylan Canyon & William Erard)

OCTA   Galang, Nate

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Saint Mary's Hall			1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Trey Plante				
#3 #4	Name: Sam Hernandez				

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson CE			1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name: Erord				
#3 #4	Name: Canyon				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: LC Anderson CE

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I   negate —

1) Nelson — this evidence isn't highly contested and clearly indicates that deregulation can't solve. I'm willing to grant some risk of offense based on the Bloomberg evidence, but I don't think it's sufficient to outweigh urban sprawl

2) Urban sprawl — the heat events evidence is fire and it is definitely the highest-magnitude impact, which means mitigatory defense on care is sufficient for me do vote neg.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____ KHS Debate

Order/Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker #1 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)   3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)   4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)   4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)   3 min
Speaker #1 Summary   2 min
Speaker #2 Summary   2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)   3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1558
Flight A: Start ASAP

OCTA

Wang, Daniel

PF Saint Mary's Hall PH (Trey Plante & Sam Hernandez) vs. LC Anderson CE (Dylan Canyon & William Erard)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Saint Mary's Hall PH			1st Team / 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:	Trey Plante			
#3 #4	Name:	Sam Hernandez			

CON					
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson			(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 #2	Name:	Will Erard			
#3 #4	Name:	Dylan Canyon			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON)   **Team/Code:** Anderson CE

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
99.9% sure you double-turned yourself

Comments for CON debaters:
- Need to collapse harder in summary.
- Honestly think that the 4 warrants for no new housing also takes out your offense

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
- Vote NEG on climate change
  - AFF has very little offense at the end. Land costs thumps building houses + Nelson evidence indicates that slashing regs won't do much. At that point, that's close to terminal D on the AFF.
  - NEG has a slight risk of offense coming from climate change. Reducing zoning means that there's more sprawl. Lots of defense was too new in FF. NEG does the only weighing in the round. ✓
     Defense was solid though.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Brooke Jerew_

Room: 1558
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF LC Anderson CE (Dylan Canyon & William Erard) vs. Saint Mary's Hall PH (Trey Plante & Sam Hernandez)

OCTA   Ali, Raheena

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC /
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Saint Mary's Hall PH	1st Team 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Plante				
#3 #4 Name: Hernandez				

CON				
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson CE	1st Team 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1 #2 Name: Erard				
#3 #4 Name: Canyon				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO CON | **Team/Code:** Saint Mary's Hall

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
Great speeches!

Comments for CON debaters:
Great speeches!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):
I think pro won construction, con "conceded" luxury housing demand being met, pro eventually ends housing poverty.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _Raheena Ali_ /hired

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1561
Flight A: Start ASAP
PF Cinco Ranch TR (Aatreya Tewary & Anuraag Routray) vs. Plano West FZ (Joy Fan & Michelle Zhang)

OCTA   Mason, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO

PRO Team Name/Code: Cinco Ranch RT	1st Team (2nd Team)	Ranks	Points
#1 / #2 Name: Anuraag Routray			
#3 / #4 Name: Aatreya Tewary			

CON

CON Team Name/Code: PLANO WEST FZ	(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1 / #2 Name: MICHELLE ZHANG			
#3 / #4 Name: JOY FAN			

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: (PRO) CON   Team/Code: Cinco Ranch RT

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters: That was some of the most effective PRO evidence I've seen, especially the WP imp. I agree with the PRO that displacement has little impact.

Comments for CON debaters: There are many different kinds of zoning laws, not all based on rich/poor, the PRO stood ground on that.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

VOTE

1) displacement - Pro
2) LITC - Not only for new houses.
3) The Florida evidence does <u>not</u> cover all of the PRO warrants to low cost houses.
4) PRO wins on scope of
5) Filtering works better on PRO.
Ultimately — Deregulation → more aff housing.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _William Mason_ K&S /Coach

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1561
Flight A: Start ASAP

OCTA

Lugo, Jacob

PF Plano West FZ (Joy Fan & Michelle Zhang) vs. Cinco Ranch TR (Aatreya Tewary & Anuraag Routray)

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
  Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
  *Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
  Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

  Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
  New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO					Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:	Cinco Ranch RT			1st Team (2nd Team)		
#1 #2	Name:	Anuraag Routray				
#3 #4	Name:	Aatreya Tewary				

CON					Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:	PLANO WEST FZ			(1st Team) 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name:	MICHELLE ZHANG				
#3 #4	Name:	JOY FAN				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average   27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4   24-26 Average   29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO (CON) | Team/Code:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: no reason to vote
Pro. Pro destroys off.
houses

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:
Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner <u>persuasive</u> to the judge is imperative.
*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.
Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.
New arguments may <u>not</u> be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support <u>prior</u> arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Cinco Ranch RT			1st Team 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: Anurag Routray				
#3 #4	Name: Aatreya Tewary				

CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: PLANO WEST FZ			1st Team 2nd Team		
#1 #2	Name: MICHELLE ZHANG				
#3 #4	Name: JOY FAN				

Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.

Winning Team: PRO / CON /Team/Code: PLANO FZ

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for PRO debaters:
- LIHTC - Pro misunderstands LIHTC
- 35% of subsidy inherent Risk of Please flow con
- ~~Name~~ ~~Please~~ Please flow

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____