

Room: 1557

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Colleyville Heritage LW (Daniel Li & Colin Wei) vs. Bellaire BR (Omar Busaidy & Vedanth Ramabhadran)

DOUB

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire</u>		(1st Team) 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Busaidy</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Ramabhadran</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville LW</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Wei</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Li</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: (PRO) CON		Team/Code: <u>Bellaire BR</u>	

Comments for PRO debaters:


Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

BFD: Pro wins on filtering,
zoning, solves, and no gent.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:



Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1557

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Bellaire BR (Omar Busaidy & Vedanth Ramabhadran) vs. Colleyville Heritage LW (Daniel Li & Colin Wei)

DOUB

Kim, Dohun

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire</u>		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Busaidy</u>			
#2	Name: <u>Ramabhadran</u>			
#3				
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville LW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Wei</u>			
#2	Name: <u>Li</u>			
#3				
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>COLLEYVILLE LW</u>		

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Short term framing preferable b/c displacement causes cycles of poverty w/ intergenerational consequences.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Dohun Kim

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1557

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Bellaire BR (Omar Busaidy & Vedanth Ramabhadran) vs. Colleyville Heritage LW (Daniel Li & Colin Wei)

DOUB

Erard, David

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Bellaire</u>		<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Busaidy</u>			
#2	Name: <u>Ramabhadran</u>			
#3	Name: <u>Li</u>			
#4	Name: <u>Wei</u>			
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville LW</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Wei</u>			
#2	Name: <u>Li</u>			
#3	Name: <u>Wei</u>			
#4	Name: <u>Li</u>			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Colleyville LW</u>				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

more offered in Oral RFD. I felt there was a lot of assessments and logical conclusions made by Aff and Neg, but I need to have these warrants evidenced, therefore I am weighing this evidence for my decision over 'undocumented' contentions. Pro is burdened to both prove a solvency and defend that against rebuttal of Neg. Both used stats and examples of small markets, but I am siding with 'nationwide' evidence of con with

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: (School Judge)

'Stein' and believe status quo, though not ideal, is preferred over market rate.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1502

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Colleyville Heritage CR (Kaitlyn Collins & Nicole Radandt) vs. Cinco Ranch TR (Aatreya Tewary & Anuraag Routray)

DOUB

McCord, Lizzie

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Colleyville CR		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Radandt		
#2	Name: Collins		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Cinco Ranch RT		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Anuraag Routray		
#2	Name: Aatreya Tewary		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: Cinco Ranch RT			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

The round comes down to whether new housing displaces or deconcentrates poverty, i.e. are these middle-income or luxury developments? I think Con does a better job warranting why they're luxury units, so I vote Con.

Comments for CON debaters:

Your evidence accounts for the decreases in cost they talk abt so harp on that more.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min


Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

 St. Mary's Hall

Room: 1502

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Cinco Ranch TR (Aatreya Tewary & Anuraag Routray) vs. Colleyville Heritage CR (Kaitlyn Collins & Nicole Radandt)

DOUB

Amodwala, Juga

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville CR</u>		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: <u>Radandt</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Collins</u>				
#3	Name: <u>Collins</u>				
#4	Name: <u>Collins</u>				
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Cinco Ranch NT</u>		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: <u>Anuraag Routray</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Aatreya Tewary</u>				
#3	Name: <u>Aatreya Tewary</u>				
#4	Name: <u>Aatreya Tewary</u>				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/> Team/Code:					

Comments for PRO debaters:


Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- I'm not sure what a "middle class buffer" is & I'm not sure why ppl wouldn't get displaced
- History weighing is sufficient for a tie breaker
- Thus, aff → ↑ displacement → ↑ poverty

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:


Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1502

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Colleyville Heritage CR (Kaitlyn Collins & Nicole Radandt) vs. Cinco Ranch TR (Aatreya Tewary & Anuraag Routray)

DOUB

Winn, Angela

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Colleyville CR</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Radandt</u>		4 29
#2	Name: <u>Collins</u>		2 29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Cinco Ranch TR</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Anuraag Routray</u>		3 29
#2	Name: <u>Aatreya Tewary</u>		1 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Cinco Ranch TR</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

#1 - had 10 sec left, good case - good impacts - need more on links
 #3 - clear and precise arguments - good links - wanted a little more on impacts.
 #1 - good summary - good line by line; need ~~more~~ something on zoning issue and removing them; good impacts.
 #3 - good final focus - clear and precise - good impacts; wanted more on zoning.

Comments for CON debaters:

#2 - good case - good impacts; need more on links.
 #4 - good rebuttal - good impacts.
 #2 - good summary - ~~more~~ wanted something on zoning issue; good impacts.
 #1 - good final focus - clear and precise. ~ conflicting evidence was a tad confusing

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The CON gets my ballot on the fact that the AFF never fully address the zoning argument in the round and also gave clear links!

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Angela Winn

Room: 1502

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Plano West FZ (Joy Fan & Michelle Zhang) vs. Elkins AP (Nibhan Akbar & Abhishek Pratap)

DOUB

McCord, Lizzie

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins AP</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Akbar</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Pratap</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West FZ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Michelle Zhang</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Joy Fan</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Plano West FZ</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Pro args - gentrification turns, not extended as offense in final focus
Small businesses - not at all clear what the warrant for the investment increasing thing is

Comments for CON debaters:

Con arg - LIHR + displacement, con is right that despite flaws, still built homes

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

luxury housing or not debate unresolved
at least actively subsidized housing
wouldn't be built, risk of con offense

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature], St. Mary's Hall

Room: 1502

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Plano West FZ (Joy Fan & Michelle Zhang) vs. Elkins AP (Nibhan Akbar & Abhishek Pratap)

DOUB

Amodwala, Juga

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilizing evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins AP</u>			<u>1st Team</u> <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name:				
#2	Name:	<u>Akbar</u>			
#3	Name:	<u>Pratap</u>			
#4	Name:				
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>PLANO WEST FZ</u>			<u>1st Team</u> <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name:				
#2	Name:	<u>MICHELLE ZHANG</u>			
#3	Name:	<u>JOY FAN</u>			
#4	Name:				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>				Team/Code: <u>Elkins AP</u>	

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:


Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro wins No demo → litedr doesn't get replaced. That means no gentrification. - Good enough to vote pro, also a risk business cycling

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:



Room: 1502

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Elkins AP (Nibhan Akbar & Abhishek Pratap) vs. Plano West FZ (Joy Fan & Michelle Zhang)

DOUB

Winn, Angela

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Elkins AP</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Albar</u>		4 29
#2	Name: <u>Pratap</u>		2 29
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>PLANO WEST FZ</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>MICHELLE ZHANG</u>		3 29
#2	Name: <u>JOY FAN</u>		1 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>PLANO WEST FZ</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

#2 - had 35 sec left - use your time! good case ~ good impacts.
 #4 - good rebuttal but links are a bit confusing, made some good points.
 #2 - good summary - good impacts - would like a tad more on links.
 #4 - good final focus - good impacts - good links

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Do Not Argue during CX!
 - Ask and Answer questions

Comments for CON debaters:

#1 - good case - wanted a tad more on housing (good questions), but don't argue during CX
 #3 - good rebuttal - clear and precise wanted a little more on links; had some good points.
 #1 - good summary - want a tad more on pro - made some good points.
 #3 - good final focus - good impacts

*Both teams

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

* Don't argue about a card until speech - don't argue out loud.
 * Don't Ask a question that it takes a full min to ask - shorten the questions!
 Good Clash! The round was close and it came down to links for me. The pro links were very confusing throughout and the con - gave more clear and precise arguments so con got my ballot.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Angela W

Room: 1510

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF LC Anderson BF (Cody Buita & Mark Foster) vs. Strake Jesuit College SD (RJ Shah & Caden Day)

DOUB

Revote, Emil

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit SD		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Shah		1 29
#2	Name: Day		2 27
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson BF		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Foster		3 27
#2	Name: Buita		4 26
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CON <input type="checkbox"/> Team/Code: Anderson BF			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

@ Buita: Paradigm says lower speed.
 Don't have clarity to make speed yet

Comments for CON debaters:

@ Foster: let's answer.

Pro hold it til end.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro drops major point on information of policy & later on argumentation on urban sprawl/scope. Con had a counter but it was weaker. While the Pro call was for stronger & had more quality speech & analysis than the con, the con won on weighing.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 1510

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF LC Anderson BF (Cody Builta & Mark Foster) vs. Strake Jesuit College SD (RJ Shah & Caden Day)

DOUB

Feng, Matthew

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Strake JS</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Shah</u>		2 29
#2	Name: <u>Day</u>		4 29.5
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Anderson BF</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Foster</u>		3 29
#2	Name: <u>Built</u>		1 29.5
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: <u>Anderson BF</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I don't think either team gave offense on LITELC, but the Con is ahead on the other planning consequences of decision while the Pro is probably winning an access argument about affordability. I think the Con does more of an attempt at weighing.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Matthew Feng

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1510

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Strake Jesuit College SD (RJ Shah & Caden Day) vs. LC Anderson BF (Cody Builta & Mark Foster)

DOUB

Graves, Victoria

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit SD		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: Shah			1	30
#2	Name: Day			2	29
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson BF		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: Foster			3	28
#2	Name: Builta			4	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Strake Jesuit SD			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes NO)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Li Tech - Pro

MR H - Pro

Zoning - Pro

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
 Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
 Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 1510

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi) vs. Westwood FG (Jeff Freeman & Arnav Gunwani)

DOUB

Revote, Emil

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood FG</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Gunwani</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Freeman</u>		
#3			
#4			
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake DS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Slimi</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Dintzner</u>		
#3			
#4			
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Westlake DS</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Vote on feasibility:
While I normally flat, con was
on attack of framework
if not feasible.
Poverty impacts when
clearly link to
affordance.
CONUNTERGRAB CON AFFORD.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1510

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Westwood FG (Jeff Freeman & Arnav Gunwani) vs. Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi)

DOUB

Feng, Matthew

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood FG</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Gunwani</u>		4 28.5
#2	Name: <u>Freeman</u>		1 29.5
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake DS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Slimi</u>		3 28.5
#2	Name: <u>Dintzner</u>		2 29
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Westwood FG</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle. Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I think the PRO was camp, after
the affordability debate and growth debate.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature]
Matthew White

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1510

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Westlake DS (Miles Dintzner & Ali Slimi) vs. Westwood FG (Jeff Freeman & Arnab Gunwani)

DOUB

Graves, Victoria

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westwood FC</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	
#1	Name: <u>Gunwani</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Freeman</u>	3	28
#3	Name: <u>Freeman</u>	2	29
#4	Name: <u>Freeman</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake DS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	
#1	Name: <u>Slimi</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Slimi</u>	1	30
#3	Name: <u>Dintzner</u>	4	27
#4	Name: <u>Dintzner</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Westlake DS</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes/No) No

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Zoning - the Con
 Poverty - Con
 Conversions - Con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] [Signature]

Room: 1504

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF All Saints Episcopal PT (Evan Pan & Bradley Tidwell) vs. St. John's MK (Asher Moll & Pranav Konduri)

DOUB

Phelps, Russel

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>StJ</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Moll</u>			
#2	Name: <u>Konduri</u>			
#3				
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>All Saints TP</u>		1st Team <u>(2nd Team)</u>	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Evan Pan 1st</u>			
#2	Name: <u>Bradley Tidwell 2nd</u>			
#3				
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average				
Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding				
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>(CON)</u> Team/Code: <u>All Saints TP</u>				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

There are too many links to the primary from
Bugs P1 is asking what that happened

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1504

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF All Saints Episcopal PT (Evan Pan & Bradley Tidwell) vs. St. John's MK (Asher Moll & Pranav Konduri)

DOUB

Ali, Raheena

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St. John's MK</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>			
#1	Name: <u>Moll</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Konduri</u>				
#3					
#4					
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>All Saints TP</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>			
#1	Name: <u>Evan Pan 1st</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Bradley Tidwell 2nd</u>				
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>				Team/Code: <u>All Saints PT</u>	

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Never explain why vouchers will increase, clearly misconstrue vacancy argument, dropped a lot in rebuttal and first to summary.

Comments for CON debaters:

Great round!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Price discrimination and structural violence.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Raheena Ali / hired

Room: 1504

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF St. John's MK (Asher Moll & Pranav Konduri) vs. All Saints Episcopal PT (Evan Pan & Bradley Tidwell)

DOUB

Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St. Johns</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>Moll</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Konduri</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>All Saints TP</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>Evan Pan 1st</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Bradley Tidwell 2nd</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>All Saints TP</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Pro: con wins the frame of the round. they win justification
Pro doesn't work because there's no standing offense.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1504

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Plano West OR (Noah Ogata & Viswa Rajagopalan) vs. Klein WZ (Brandon Wu & Andy Zhou)

DOUB

Phelps, Russel

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>KLEIN WZ</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name:				
#2	Name:				
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>PW OR</u>		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name:				
#2	Name:				
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> (CON) Team/Code: <u>PW OR</u>					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Good Round Pro - You are about 3 responses from winning the round.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Better Extension of Evidence. There are 2 drops that are handled the best argument and the inner framework. On balance Con is better in # of points helped out of proof.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Phelps, Russel

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1504

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Klein WZ (Brandon Wu & Andy Zhou) vs. Plano West OR (Noah Ogata & Viswa Rajagopalan)

DOUB

Ali, Raheena

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Klein WZ		1st Team <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2nd Team <input type="checkbox"/>			
#1	Name: Zhou				
#2	Name: Wu				
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West OR		1st Team <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2nd Team <input type="checkbox"/>			
#1	Name: Noah Ogata				
#2	Name: Viswa Rajagopalan				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CON <input type="checkbox"/> Team/Code: Plano West OR					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Need to directly interact with neg warrants. You lost the impact analysis debate.

Comments for CON debaters:

Need to directly interact with the arguments.
Great speeches!

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

~~Both~~

Impact analysis better on neg. Pro never proves land isn't demolished.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Raheena Ali / hired

Room: 1504

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Plano West OR (Noah Ogata & Viswa Rajagopalan) vs. Klein WZ (Brandon Wu & Andy Zhou)

DOUB

Lakhani, Lizna

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: Klein WZ		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Zhou		
#3	Name: Wu		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: Plano West OR		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: Noah Ogata		
#2	Name: Viswa Rajagopalan		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Plano West OR			

Comments for PRO debaters:

good job

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

good job

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I bought the con as they access their impacts.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 1507

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Seven Lakes CZ (Andrew Chan & Alex Zhong) vs. Cypress Woods BM (Hannah Bertinot & Tvisha Malik)

DOUB

Mengisteab, Noa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1	Name: <u>C</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Z</u>				
#3	Name: <u>Z</u>				
#4	Name: <u>Z</u>				
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Cypress Woods BM</u>		1st Team	2nd Team		
#1	Name: <u>B</u>				
#2	Name: <u>B</u>				
#3	Name: <u>M</u>				
#4	Name: <u>M</u>				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

There are better answers to demolition like a normative.

CON:
Hannah - the summary defense on the AFF plan is largely dropped and I don't know why LITC is dropped from your offense...
I think your def of prioritization is abusive

Comments for CON debaters:

RFD: I vote AFF.

Framing: I think the NEG framing of the round is a bit abusive at the LITC drop takes away the offense from it.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- 1) ~~VOX turn w/ CIT (ag 83%). MR affordable~~
dropped by the NEG summary.
- 2) ~~1st turn 7/10 + MR LAO 2/1. 1 displacement~~
- 3) Penalties = chair turn extended → 1 priority

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

4) LITC would have won NEG the round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1507

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Cypress Woods BM (Hannah Bertinot & Tvisha Malik) vs. Seven Lakes CZ (Andrew Chan & Alex Zhong)

DOUB

Romo, Madison

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>			1st Team	2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Chan</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Zhong</u>				
#3	Name: <u>Malik</u>				
#4	Name: <u>Bertinot</u>				
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Cypress Woods BM</u>			1st Team	2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Bertinot</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Malik</u>				
#3	Name: <u>Chan</u>				
#4	Name: <u>Zhong</u>				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON				Team/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>	

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: I vote aff bc I buy the arg that prioritizing market rate housing doesn't mean that the housing market turns into a completely unregulated wild west. Plus, the Clentium from the aff, which doesn't address until really late in the rounds shows how displacements are not going to be hugely problematic, and the Seattle card shows that demolitions leading to displacement isn't happening at a high enough rate to be the harm of the neg.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Andrew Chan

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1507

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Cypress Woods BM (Hannah Bertinot & Tvisha Malik) vs. Seven Lakes CZ (Andrew Chan & Alex Zhong)

DOUB

Moreno, Clarissa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO		1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Chan</u>			2	30
#2	Name: <u>Zhong</u>			3	30
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Cypress Woods</u>					
#1	Name: <u>Bertinot</u>			1	30
#2	Name: <u>Malik</u>			4	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Seven Lakes CZ</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Much of this came down to access to vouchers. Low income tax credit in the aff world - which I think does still exist
- also, the urban land avail.
- the demolition felt like a wash

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

CZ

Jasper HS

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1507

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Kempner BS (Bryan Benitez & Raj Solanki) vs. Tompkins WW (Elysia Wu & Chumeng Wang)

DOUB

Mengisteab, Noa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:		Tompkins WW		(1st Team) 2nd Team	
#1	Name:	Benitez Wang			
#2	Name:	Solanki WW			
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:		Kempner BS		1st Team 2nd Team (1st Team)	
#1	Name:	Benitez Benitez			
#2	Name:	Solanki Solanki			
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO CON Team/Code: Kempner					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Pro
1) I don't think the housing crisis is only in the 4 states - yes, it's national

12 concession in FF loses the round

Con
yes. 50-4 = 46. I don't think the top of the NEG needs to change.

Comments for CON debaters:

RFP: 1 WFL 1 NEG

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- 1) 12 concession is dropped in the FF for AFF. So 6-12 in a 40-40 tie. only 20-40 goes to NEG.
- 2) scope - 46 states vs. 4 states very control.
- 3) warm post turn → 1 price 64 for the rich warranting goes uncontested.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Duke A. Carey

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

3) filtering turn → 20-40 states

Room: 1507

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Tompkins WW (Elysia Wu & Chumeng Wang) vs. Kempner BS (Bryan Benitez & Raj Solanki)

DOUB

Moreno, Clarissa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Tompkins WW</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>Benitez Wang</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Solanki Wu</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Kempner BS</u>		1st Team	2nd Team
#1	Name: <u>Wang Benitez</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Solanki</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <input type="checkbox"/> CON <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Team/Code: <u>Kempner BS</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

7.2 mil across all US > 4 states + a few cities
 also filtering isn't a pos.
 and while being down for rich, that does little for poor

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature]JASPER HS**Order/Time Limits of Speeches**

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
 Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
 Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1507

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Tompkins WW (Elysia Wu & Chumeng Wang) vs. Kempner BS (Bryan Benitez & Raj Solanki)

DOUB

Romo, Madison

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: Tompkins WW		1st Team 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Wang				
#2	Name: Wu				
#3	Name: Wu				
#4					
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: Kempner BS		1st Team 2nd Team		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: Benitez				
#2	Name: Solanki				
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO (CON) Team/Code: Kempner BS					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: Aff made a big mistake dropping 12 policies. They ignore the turn that real costs & regulation, from market rate housing will fall to buyer making housing less affordable. Neg does further analysis on rent falling that shows over supply of MR housing only helps the rich. They also win that filtering concentrates poverty.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Romo

Audrey Delray

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
 Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
 Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1554

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Plano West KR (Conrad Krueger & Noah Romo) vs. Saint Mary's Hall PH (Trey Plante & Sam Hernandez)

DOUB

Canezo, Jon Car

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Saint Mary's PH</u>			<u>1st Team</u> 2nd Team		
#1	Name:	<u>Plante</u>			
#2	Name:	<u>Hernandez</u>			
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West KR</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name:	<u>Krueger</u>			
#2	Name:	<u>Romo</u>			
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>(PRO)</u> CON Team/Code: <u>Saint Mary's PH</u>					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: pulled trigger on MRH promotion ~~meeting~~ demand soon which builds non-luxury which gives access to PRO impact b/c CON doesn't argue against the crux/logic behind it, ~~non~~ outweighs with section 8 housing. St. John's

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]**Order/Time Limits of Speeches**

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1554

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Saint Mary's Hall PH (Trey Plante & Sam Hernandez) vs. Plano West KR (Conrad Krueger & Noah Romo)

DOUB

Hooks, Alyssa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>St Mary's Hall PH</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Plante</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Hernandez</u>	2	
#3	Name: <u>Hernandez</u>	1	
#4	Name: <u>Hernandez</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West KR</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	
#1	Name: <u>Krueger</u>	Ranks	Points
#2	Name: <u>Romo</u>	3	
#3	Name: <u>Romo</u>	4	
#4	Name: <u>Romo</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding			
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>St Mary's Hall PH</u>	

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

telling me that cards don't represent argument at all

When you go for ev in round, make sure you extend in S

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

- ① Risk of sovereignty, esp. decreasing rent
- ② demolitions & rent control flow pro
- ③ zoning laws are what cause a net harm & the only team that risks changing
- ④ luxury housing's need is almost met so Mkt shifts

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Alyssa Hooks DASH

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
 Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
 Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1554

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Plano West KR (Conrad Krueger & Noah Romo) vs. Saint Mary's Hall PH (Trey Plante & Sam Hernandez)

DOUB

Farris, Ben

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code:		St. Mary's Hall (PH)			
#1	Name:	Plante	A	1	30
#2	Name:	Hernandez	A	2	29
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code:		Plano West KR			
#1	Name:	Krueger	B	3	28
#2	Name:	Romo	C	4	27
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team:		(PRO) CON	Team/Code: St. Mary's Hall PH		

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No ☐)

x meet demand for rich first, then meet demand of poor people and (lack of land) - don't let CON take wheel
 ex with question over question, - ~~the~~ - Plante - claim you know the market is always
 going to meet demand. (Maybe it will always try to meet demand)

10% 1.5% statistics sounds weak, maybe quantify, or characterize.

Comments for CON debaters:

Suit up. Lose the sweaters, tuck in shirt. Romo, CXH doesn't have any questions... Don't drop knaloty
 when stressing voting. Neg. Make it a strong argument. Should have attacked short term move
 on Aff case. Hard to follow Final Focus argument. Clarity 3 needed, slowdown to be concise

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Neg was dropped silvercy arguments. Neg settles down on gov regulations
 to solve. But that's status quo.

Demolitions is not in Aff plan + ~~please~~ opening vacant land w/ deregulation prom

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Ben Farris

Clements HS

Room: 1554

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Lake Travis CP (Jacob Clemmons & Sydney Piwonka) vs. Plano West LW (Jennifer Lin & Jacqueline Wei)

DOUB

Canezo, Jon Car

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Lake Travis CP</u>							
#1	Name:	<u>Clemmons</u>					
#2	Name:	<u>Lake Travis CP</u>					
#3	Name:	<u>Piwonka</u>					
#4	Name:	<u>Plano West LW</u>					
CON				1st Team	2nd Team	Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LW</u>							
#1	Name:	<u>Lin</u>					
#2	Name:	<u>Wei</u>					
#3	Name:	<u>Lake Travis CP</u>					
#4	Name:	<u>Lake Travis CP</u>					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding							
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.							
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON				Team/Code: <u>Plano West LW</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Lifting
zoning restrictions on PRO does increase the supply, CON doesn't get at the crux of the logic, instead just giving competing evidence.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

St. John's

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1554

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Lake Travis CP (Jacob Clemmons & Sydney Piwonka) vs. Plano West LW (Jennifer Lin & Jacqueline Wei)

DOUB

Hooks, Alyssa

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Lin</u>		3
#2	Name: <u>Wei</u>		4
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Lake Travis CP</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Clemmons</u>		2
#2	Name: <u>Piwonka</u>		1
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/> Team/Code: <u>Lake Travis CP</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

You can't bank an entire case on Fiat

Comments for CON debaters:

very very strong team w/ a great Rebuttal

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

① Fiat is not warranted enough for me to be willing to vote on it, you just tell me you should be able to do it.

② Homeliness ③ Displacement

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Alyssa Hooks DASH

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min

Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min

Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min

Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min

Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1554

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Plano West LW (Jennifer Lin & Jacqueline Wei) vs. Lake Travis CP (Jacob Clemmens & Sydney Piwonka)

DOUB

Farris, Ben

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Clemmens
Piwonka 1st Neg

2-PRO

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LW</u>		1st Team <u>(C)</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Lin</u>	B	4	28
#2	Name: <u>Wei</u>	A	3	29
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Lake Travis CP</u>		1st Team <u>(C)</u> 2nd Team	Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Clemmens</u>	A	2	30
#2	Name: <u>Piwonka</u>	A	1	30
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <u>(C)</u> CON Team/Code: <u>Lake Travis CP</u>				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

each eg. of MRA having ill effects + hurting affordability are in areas of the highest gov. reg. regulations. Attack this as needing to be in either or not both. You need to clarify/counter CON analysis on probability of Carson's devaluing.
 Needed to attack Con's record of solvency more.
 Call for too many cards. Slowing down, clarity can be obtained in CX rather than arguing on their status quo.

Comments for CON debaters:

Piwonka - good speed, very strong clarity.
 move on Carson evidence (Smith) - calling for too many cards, between prep + speeches.
 Bay area - definitely has saving.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

gentrification not fully countered by the Aff as neg specifics benefit is limited.

MRA - 29K development going on and no refuting of this argument.

Card - Smith card self defeats with probability - never address ~~cards~~ ^{cards own}

Freeman -

Neg doesn't solve homelessness, but neither does Aff.

Time frame? ▽

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Ben Farris, Clements

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1512

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Seven Lakes NS (Megha Neelala & Shivank Srivastava) vs. Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van_Nostrand)

DOUB

Loh, Sam

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes NS</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name:		
#2	Name:		
#3	Name:		
#4	Name:		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Lamar NV</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name:		
#2	Name:		
#3	Name:		
#4	Name:		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Houston Lamar NV</u>	

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I negate:
1) Aff concludes leading evidence in rebuttal which says aff is squ which is problematic that aff goes for idea that we need improvements to the squ
2) Dropped inclusionary zoning term that MHA decreases supply by 31%
3) Hubs evidence that MHA leads to demolition of 2.5m homes nationwide. Even if I want to buy aff's line that they create 1300, my still outweighs

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] / HBBrow

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1512

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Seven Lakes NS (Megha Neelala & Shivank Srivastava) vs. Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van Nostrand)

DOUB

Daugherty, Tim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may **not** be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				
PRO Team Name/Code: Seven Lakes NS		1st Team	2nd Team	
#1	Name: Srivastava			Ranks Points
#2	Name: Neelala			4 29
#3				2 30
#4				
CON				
CON Team Name/Code: Houston Lamar NV		1st Team	2nd Team	
#1	Name: Van Nostrand			Ranks Points
#2	Name: Nelson - Groococ			3 29
#3				1 30
#4				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.				
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/> Team/Code: Houston Lamar NV				

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes ☒ No ☐)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted for the CON based on how they were able to prove their warrants best suited for the framework. The CON persuaded me that MRH is the status quo and that the majority of ~~new~~ new units built were luxury housing. This doesn't solve for helping low income people when you concede the turn on inclusionary zoning. Excellent round!

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Lovejoy H.S
Teacher / Coach

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1512

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Houston Lamar NV (Alexander Nelson-Groococ & Ethan Van_Nostrand) vs. Seven Lakes NS (Megha Neelala & Shivank Srivastava)

DOUB

Shivji, Alina

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Seven Lakes NS</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>			
#1	Name: <u>Srivastava</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Neelala</u>				
#3	Name: <u>Neelala</u>				
#4	Name: <u>Neelala</u>				
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Houston Lamar NV</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>			
#1	Name: <u>Van Nostrand</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Van Nostrand</u>				
#3	Name: <u>Nelson Groococ</u>				
#4	Name: <u>Nelson Groococ</u>				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Houston Lamar NV</u>					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

The conceded turn and the idea that market rate housing promotion is the aff are fundamentally mishandled. even if give the aff access to justifying it's short circuited by Hobbes

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Alina Shivji

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1512

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF LC Anderson EC (John Erard & Seung Cho) vs. Strake Jesuit College AJ (Zain Ashraf & Ali Jalal)

DOUB

Loh, Sam

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Strake AS</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name:				
#2	Name:				
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Anderson &</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name:				
#2	Name:				
#3	Name:				
#4	Name:				
<p>Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average</p> <p>Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding</p> <p>Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.</p>					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit College AS</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I affirm
 1) I think the neg start on theory inherently doesn't make much sense. You read substance over theory but proceed to spend all of your time on theory - if your layering is true then even if you win theory & RVI but lose substance you lose the round. Aff was up ahead on substance so this becomes a plausible place to write

2) Aff ends up ahead on the shell - on theory proving all the fairness claims by saying aff is the right cause - reasons for CI are not lay appeal but I don't think you win with cards - nobody understands

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Cheryl L. Anderson

I don't get the criticism in first in education through literature depth means debaters can go over more by paraphrasing. Even if I give the shell aff still wins through substance first which was neg's one in summary

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
 Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
 Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1512

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Strake Jesuit College AJ (Zain Ashraf & Ali Jalal) vs. LC Anderson EC (John Erard & Seung Cho)

DOUB

Daugherty, Tim

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: Strake Jesuit College AJ			1st Team 2nd Team		
#1	Name: Jalal			24	29
#2	Name: Ashraf			1	30
#3					
#4					
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: LC Anderson EC			1st Team 2nd Team		
#1	Name: Cho			32	30
#2	Name: Erard			3	29
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: Strake Jesuit College AJ			

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes (No))

You need to research the values of housing.

Comments for CON debaters:

You are guys are excellent debaters and definitely deserved being here at State.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I voted for the PRO because of the Evidence ethics theory AND that you solved for the housing crisis. The PRO also had newer evidence and extended your impacts as well. While the theory debate derailed this round, the CON could have done more with their case. Cont 2 and 3 were dropped from the CON.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Si [Signature]

Lovejoy H.S.
Teacher / Coach

Room: 1512

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF LC Anderson EC (John Erard & Seung Cho) vs. Strake Jesuit College AJ (Zain Ashraf & Ali Jalal)

DOUB

Shivji, Alina

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019 LC

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit AJ</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name: <u>Jalal</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Ashraf</u>				
#3					
#4					
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson EC</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name: <u>Cho</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Erard</u>				
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Strake Jesuit AJ</u>					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

As far as the neg drops substance and the RVI is literally predicated off that the neg doesn't get access. The aff's drop the debater section of the shell gets conceded, so the neg is already behind.

In terms of substance, there's no extension from the neg, so it becomes really easy to vote off property taxes (even if I buy the RVI)

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Alina Shivji

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1508

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Plano West AW (Nihar Annam & Brandon Wang) vs. Clements GK (Nilay Gandhi & Saahil Kalvakuntla)

DOUB

Mason, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West AW.</u>		1st Team <u>Wang</u> 2nd Team <u>Annam</u>			
#1	Name: <u>Wang</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Annam</u>				
#3					
#4					
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements G.K.</u>		1st Team <u>Gandhi</u> 2nd Team <u>Kalvakuntla</u>			
#1	Name: <u>Kalvakuntla</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Gandhi</u>				
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding					
Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>Clements G.K.</u>					

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Wang - Tried to take over CF by explaining case and not answering quest. inefficient.
 So the pro is "creating" land by rezoning ~~it~~ it to ~~residential~~ residential.
 Evidence is saying that the poor stay poor and usually don't gentrify. ← McPherson
 The Reynolds evidence allows for zoning changes.

Comments for CON debaters:

I want to know why it is bad to displace the poor. I need societal, economic (broad) and moral arguments. Don't just assume that displacing the poor is a bad thing in the long term.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Property taxes fund public schools, not private.
 The availability of land is NOT a big voter for this judge, but it was a major issue in this round. So...
 At the end of the CON F.F., I'm voting CON. I don't believe that rezoning can be easy. Also, the Clark evidence ~~2017~~ 2017 states that this land may not be desirable.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

William Mason KHS/Coach

Room: 1508

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Plano West AW (Nihar Annam & Brandon Wang) vs. Clements GK (Nilay Gandhi & Saahil Kalvakuntla)

DOUB

Li, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West AW</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name: <u>Wang</u>			1	30
#2					
#3	Name: <u>Annam</u>			3	30
#4					
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements GK</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name: <u>Kalvakuntla</u>			4	30
#2					
#3	Name: <u>Gandhi</u>			2	30
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON				Team/Code: <u>Plano West AW</u>	

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes NO)

Wang- good arg. of luxury homes → less competition in market for low-income ppl. inc. good summary about luxury housing topping out, good extension of alternatives to bulldozing, good call on McKeon, I buy Ben and intensity land

Annam- good analysis on lack of spaces is not true and supply can go up in rebuttal, already said call card for McKeon, move on to other offense in FF

Comments for CON debaters:

Kalvakuntla- I don't buy responses to bulldozing in summary, only telling me to defer your arg., not convincing

Gandhi- Fin. times in rebuttal doesn't really convince me that luxury homes still will be built since it says very few

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing): are occupied, FF doesn't tell me how 2.2 mil.

(2.2 million homes goes up goes up in pro world not good enough in FF and summary)

Voted Pro, attacks made by Pro on bulldozing

not addressed, no comparison (just told to buy prefer), I then have to buy brownfields available to build on and McKeon shows there's empty lots, Con didn't extend Clark other and wasn't substantial enough to outweigh, I also buy Pro's cosgrove so focus shifts to less luxury homes

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: William Li

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1508

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Clements GK (Nilay Gandhi & Saahil Kalvakuntla) vs. Plano West AW (Nihar Annam & Brandon Wang)

DOUB

Daugherty, Jonathan

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West AW</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Wang</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Annam</u>		
#3	Name: <u>Wang</u>		
#4	Name: <u>Annam</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements GK</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Kalvakuntla</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Gandhi</u>		
#3	Name: <u>Gandhi</u>		
#4	Name: <u>Kalvakuntla</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Clements GK</u>	

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

- I think you need to spend more time convincing me ~~that~~ supply price you read a card that
reg supply but my team didn't disagree on that

Comments for CON debaters:

- I thought you were the argument into displacement.

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - I vote con. I thought the con had the
 clearest impact story. I thought that MKH would lead to
 land being cleared and displacement would increase. I thought that
 segregation was biggest impact in round. I thought that lobbying
 would eventually bring up prices.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
 Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
 Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
 Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jonathan Daugherty Vista Ridge

Room: 1508

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF Westlake HL (Nicolas Ho & Jason Luo) vs. LC Anderson CE (Dylan Canyon & William Erard)

DOUB

Mason, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019^{LC /}

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake HL</u>		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: <u>Ho</u>			4	30
#2	Name: <u>Luo</u>			3	30
#3					
#4					
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson CE</u>		1st Team 2nd Team			
#1	Name: <u>Erard</u>			2	30
#2	Name: <u>Canyon</u>			1	30
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/> Team/Code: <u>LC Anderson CE</u>					

Comments for PRO debaters:

There is a brightline for transit. ~~Ho~~ Luo spent a lot of time on the housing bubble but other attacks were very effective! ~~Ho summarized with transit~~ ~~poverty benefits~~ and the overall change ~~to~~ to the market that MR may cause. I won't vote completely for density bonuses.

Comments for CON debaters:

Case: The fact that MR is not compatible w/ home income tax credit is important. I hope you carry this over. After CON rebuttal I'm flowing CON, but no brightline needed for environment

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Benefits just for transit. I think you (Canyon) could have hit some more important areas during crossfire. There are actually more than 5.8M homes vacant, but high price vacant homes are a CON issue. Comes down to whether ↑ supply → ↓ cost and does MR provide? I vote CON

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Drew Ma KHS/Coach.

Room: 1508

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF LC Anderson CE (Dylan Canyon & William Erard) vs. Westlake HL (Nicolas Ho & Jason Luo)

DOUB

Li, William

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019^{LC}

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Westlake HL</u>			1st Team 2nd Team		
#1	Name: <u>Ho</u>		4	29	
#2	Name: <u>Luo</u>		2	29	
#3					
#4					
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson CE</u>			1st Team 2nd Team		
#1	Name: <u>Erard</u>		3	29	
#2	Name: <u>Canyon</u>		1	30	
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u> Team/Code: <u>LC Anderson CE</u>					

Pro 1st

Comments for PRO debaters:

Ho - good attack on recession, and connecting con to use diff. recession than 2008, didn't refute brightline burden con put on Pro in summary → shaky offense for transit arg.

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Con and Erard - good def. in ex about transit, good private firm push of offense in summary, liked the offense w/ supply not issue.

Comments for CON debaters:

Luo - rebuttal doesn't properly address private firms offense, would've liked to see more notice to hertz and density bonus negligible

Canyon - I buy the private firms buy out and kick out low-inc. tenants, not sure if I buy that inclusionary is not only req. from rebuttal, good offense in FF

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

voted con, density bonus not impactful addressed too late, and brightline burden, this denies offense on Pro w/ transit, bought con

Florida w/ supply isn't problem and Hertz solidified round as takes too long for filtering so no actual benefits (25%) evicted b/c of private firms as well

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

William Li

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1508

Flight A: Start 10:30

PF LC Anderson CE (Dylan Canyon & William Erard) vs. Westlake HL (Nicolas Ho & Jason Luo)

DOUB

Daugherty, Jonathan

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019^{LC}

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code:		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Nicolas Ho</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Jason Luo</u>		
#3	Name: <u>William Erard</u>		
#4	Name: <u>Dylan Canyon</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>LC Anderson</u>		1st Team 2nd Team	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>William Erard</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Dylan Canyon</u>		
#3	Name: <u>Nicolas Ho</u>		
#4	Name: <u>Jason Luo</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: PRO CON		Team/Code: <u>LC Anderson</u>	

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

- I would have liked to see responses to the parking and private equity investment args.
- I just thought ~~that~~ their defense on luxury houses was strong enough to win the round, they had access to the private equity & prices.

Comments for CON debaters:

- I ~~think~~ think you won the supply does not drive and the luxury houses

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD - I vote CON. I bought that the pro ~~does~~ does not solve for parking, and didn't really address mass transit. I bought that investors would drive up prices which hurts the poor. I thought the con ~~had~~ had the clearest link into the impact of rehiring displacement.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jonathan Daugherty Vision Ridge

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1557

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Clements CH (Wallace Chen & Michael Huang) vs. Plano West LR (Daniel Liang & Mukund Rao)

DOUB

Erard, David

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO				Ranks	Points
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LR</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name: <u>Rao</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Liang</u>				
#3					
#4					
CON				Ranks	Points
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements CH</u>			1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		
#1	Name: <u>CHEN</u>				
#2	Name: <u>HUANG</u>				
#3					
#4					
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team <u>PRO</u> <input checked="" type="radio"/> CON <input type="radio"/>				Team/Code: <u>Plano West LR</u>	

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

You had my Pro vote with contention 2, and this would have been easier and faster for me to evaluate had you done a clear extension and weighted impact in F.F. I ~~was~~ ^{suspected} you would spend most of your time on your 1st contention and its defense when this was an easier round with a voter on Contention 2.

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

I felt this was an extended tug-of-war of supply-demand AND low-inventory or not, AND tearing down if hurting or helping poor. Both sides used evidence to warrant their use of these to support their contention and it almost became a "he said/she said" of evidence and warrants. I would vote Pro exclusively on the weighted impact of Contention 2, but I can't do that for you. So I'm making a very tough call and finding for the Pro (school judge) Smith evidence to sway me off the fence.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	

Room: 1557

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Clements CH (Wallace Chen & Michael Huang) vs. Plano West LR (Daniel Liang & Mukund Rao)

DOUB

Lugo, Jacob

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO					
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LR</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>Rao</u>				
#2	Name: <u>Liang</u>				
#3	Name: <u>Liang</u>				
#4	Name: <u>Liang</u>				
CON					
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements CH</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>		Ranks	Points
#1	Name: <u>CHEN</u>				
#2	Name: <u>CHEN</u>				
#3	Name: <u>HUANG</u>				
#4	Name: <u>HUANG</u>				
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.					
Winning Team: PRO <u>CON</u>		Team/Code: <u>Clements CH</u>			

Comments for PRO debaters:


Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

RFD: Rent can still be high in aff world and lead to pushing low-income out. Bulldozing can happen in aff world. There is an increase in supply

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:


Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#1 & #2) 3 min

Speaker #3 (1st Team) 4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team) 4 min

Crossfire (#3 & #4) 3 min

Speaker #1 Summary 2 min
Speaker #2 Summary 2 min

Grand Crossfire (All) 3 min

Speaker #3 Final Focus 2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus 2 min

2 minutes of prep time per side

Room: 1557

Flight B: Start 11:15

PF Plano West LR (Daniel Liang & Mukund Rao) vs. Clements CH (Wallace Chen & Michael Huang)

DOUB

Kim, Dohun

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

TFA STATE PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School -- March 14-16, 2019

Please do not complete the portion below until AFTER the coin toss.

Flip a coin to determine side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (first or second) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order.

Judging Instructions:

Clash of ideas to advocate or reject a resolution in a manner persuasive to the judge is imperative.

*Judges should decide the round as it is debated, and not on their personal beliefs.

Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning utilize evidence, counter arguments of opponents, and communicate clear ideas with professional decorum.

Crossfire time should be dedicated to questions and answers rather than reading evidence. Evidence may be referred to extemporaneously.

New arguments may not be introduced in the Final Focus; however, debaters may include new evidence to support prior arguments.

PRO			
PRO Team Name/Code: <u>Plano West LR</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>Rao</u>		
#2	Name: <u>Liang</u>		
CON			
CON Team Name/Code: <u>Clements CH</u>		1st Team <u>2nd Team</u>	Ranks Points
#1	Name: <u>CHEN</u>		
#2	Name: <u>HVANG</u>		
Rate each speaker from 20 to 30 points: 20-23 Below Average 27-28 Above Average Rank each speaker from 1 to 4 24-26 Average 29-30 Outstanding Scores below 20 may only be awarded in cases of unethical or inappropriate behavior.			
Winning Team: <u>PRO</u> CON		Team/Code: <u>PLANO WEST LR</u>	

Comments for PRO debaters:

Low Point Win? (Circle: Yes No)

Comments for CON debaters:

Reasons for my decision (cite specific arguments that had a bearing):

Hertz probability of displacement decreases in areas w/ more development.

Smith - eliminating regs allow integration since the political elite cannot keep low income individuals out of those areas.
Segregation is based on lack of construction

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Dohun Kim

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker #1 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #2 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#1 & #2)	3 min
Speaker #3 (1st Team)	4 min
Speaker #4 (2nd Team)	4 min
Crossfire (#3 & #4)	3 min
Speaker #1 Summary	2 min
Speaker #2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker #3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker #4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes of prep time per side	