

Room: 2403
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Mandavilli, Rohi

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Episcopal School ZH

Max = 30

Zan Haq

28.8

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill AM

Max = 30

Anna Myers

29.7

The better debating was done by the

^{AM}
~~AFF~~ **NEG**
AFF or NEG

from

Greenhill

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1. Aff and alt probably not mutually exclusive → the permutation was the way out from the IAR
2. Don't think you can throw away the ontology or blackness for a ballot like this
3. Your framing of the world is essential toward your advocacy which means I stay with the
4. ^{the} links are DA's to the perm (a lot of the criticism was representational)
4. I know what the net benefit is ... we are impossible demands you're ontology isn't the

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westlake EA

Ethan Abraham

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Burges AQ

Alyssa Quesada

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Burges AQ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Anne M. Plant

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Alyssa - You made some good points -
Why exactly is the public health not stable - Need more
stats such as exact prison populations incarcerated for drug use.
made a great point in the neg about - many end back up in
the system, but they were not necessarily oppressed
by HIV but by the drug addiction itself. Good job on
the value of Harm Reduction.

The negative did a better a better job in advancing
the frame work proving the Resolution.
Great Job Both!

Ethan -

You could have used more on proving your value.
more stats - on society in the system, people of color
and poverty, what is the portion of population
use in each and how could the cost benefit
needle exchange. Use less of the CX and more
on LD.

of not
just
stats
on population

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Franklin JC

Jessie Coleman

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Louis E. Brandeis SR

Saba Rajput

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from Saba Rajput
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Jazmine Alejos

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF: Value: Individualism

Criterion: Corruption

- Public Health, not CJS
- Drug use is a problem within themselves.
- Drug users are mostly known to be poor & are discriminated often.
- Government provides for the treatments. → Treatment comes w/ taxpayers
- rejecting Capitalism → we will not have CJS & Public Health.
- * Try not repeating yourself a lot, try defending your case as well on your 1st Rebuttal *

NEG: Value:

~~Corruption~~ Prevent extinction

- Economic → health promotion → loss of human power, because of illness * Rejecting Capitalism *
- Impact: inequality
- Public Health can only be upheld by the upper class, because they are the ones w/ the money (Johnson 07)
- * How do you combine climate change & militarism to the Resolution? *

- my vote goes to NEG for upholding ~~extinction~~ extinction

Room: 1713
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Bailey, Chase

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Clear Brook PV

Max = 30

Prateesh Vijayakumar

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha PK

Max = 30

Pranavkrishna Kandikayal 29

The better debating was done by the AFF from Clear Brook
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Forced Treatment argument was a big turning point in the round. I don't buy the CP.
With the FW debate, I see the AFF linking in to the Neg FW better than the Neg does.
Additionally, Governments have a responsibility to their citizens. A pre-req. to having a gov. is the value of societal well being.

Room: 1408
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Aamar, Taha

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

PSJA early College IR

Ixoye Ramirez

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hereford JC

Junior Contreras

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Ixoye Ramirez
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff v: life
VC: personal safety

neg v: education
VC:

RFD: Aff showed link to arguments and introduced
Framework

Room: 1408
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Aamar, Taha

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

W.B. Ray DG

Max = 30

Daniel Gardiner

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood SK

Max = 30

Sneha Kamal

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Sneha Kamal
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff
V: Quality of life
VC: Social development

Neg V: Morality
VC: Minimizing violence

RFP: Aff did not interlink to negs framework
as well as dropped two arguments.

Room: 1723
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Sasso, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Cypress Ranch MZ

Michael Zhou

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Grapevine MT

Micah Thode

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Michael Zhou
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*Aff was on meta theory
- independent vchz issue*

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Clements ZA

Max = 30

Zoya Ahmed

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Oak Ridge KC

Max = 30

Kirby Cabler

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Oak Ridge
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

J. Ant Whittenberg

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

You are both fine debaters. You both did very well at systematically working the flow and rebutting. The framework debate was not decisive for me. Neither ^{were} the majority of contention rebuttals. For example, it does not make sense to me to say that because racism ~~is~~ ^{is} so widespread, we should not take an action that would reduce its impact in one sphere. It also doesn't work for me to say that ~~supply~~ source of supply of illegal drugs is not resolutional.

However,

RFD: The idea Neg raised about the limited ability of ~~some~~ developing countries to provide public health services was interesting. Aff did drop this point. This was the only such instance I detected in a round that was very well and thoroughly argued by both sides.

Excellent job ladies.

Room: 1900
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Daniel, Julia

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood CW

Carson Wang

POINTS

Max = 30

29.42

NEGATIVE

W.B. Ray AF

Anahita Farishta

POINTS

Max = 30

29.43

The better debating was done by the NEG from ~~Westwood~~ W.B. Ray
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Dropped the perm in the ZAR, which sucks
bc I probably would have voted aff because
I think the neg could have done more
impact analysis & that if its only a mindset
shift & it does not necessarily preclude the action
then we can perm.

Room: 2583
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Hightower, Canda

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clear Lake JC

Jay Collura

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

A&M Consolidated CC

Celine Choi

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Clear Lake JC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral Critiques

Aff -
work on
balancing time

Neg -
specify clearly
arguments that are
important - update evidence

RFD - Lack of mutual exclusivity
with CP; plan text vague
- Neg contradicted self on some
key points

Room: 2367
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

De La O, Dino

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cross Timbers Christi NS

Max = 30

Nathan Smith

29.3

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Lovejoy AR

Max = 30

Ashley Rihani

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Cross Timbers Christi NS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1 vote aff on IAR theory
2NP needs to cover theory more

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Central PE

Paysen Epley

POINTS

Max = 30

27

NEGATIVE

Cooper NK

Nia King

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Cooper
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: John Simpson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

- public health not justice
- ethics - 1 self preservation 2 needs
- ACLU 01 = apartheid unfair legal sys
- substance abuse
- rates of recidivism
- C3 - not help thru CJS.
- 40-60% relapse + proactive argument
- * family values* - parents at home more

CK1: John shows other good answers to her good question.

CK2: what to solve?
a little too aggressive in questioning.
"When did I stay mandatory?"

- autonomy not always best - Hitler (too trite)
- against mandating < but how? Godwin's Law
- throw people in prison
- 45% return/help back to jail
- jobs to help proverty
- mitigation is her case

- it's my philosophy
- autonomy
- racially bias
- 45% returning

NEG

CK1: "who are these jobs going to?"
what's p. health aspect
what's another alternative
How

great questions
very pointed.

- autonomy (she pronounced - nice)
- rec drug use & clear thinking / act freely
- criminalize drug use
- treatment & effective
- change

• decreased → not tested (portage)
• 2c "mitigating" effects
• jobs won't help b/c won't help racial disc.
• "choosing to take substances."

- CK2: Human rights violations
- * Should have asked "how do it if not mandatory?"
- hurting ~~at~~ autonomy
- ~~mitigating~~ bad body language and tone during his aggressive questioning, very confident & assertive

unfair/dropped education / decrease in real world
not tested in real world +
help in job, not tried in real world
how is jail autonomous? Epley philosophy

NEG wins mainly because as she said it hasn't been tried in the real world
AFF ~~should~~ explain how he could implement these changes if not in mandatory application.

Room: 2348
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

George, Manju

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Monsignor Kelly Catho PS

Max = 30

Parsa Safa

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands LW

Max = 30

Lulu Whitson

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Monsignor Kelly Catho - P.S
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M-George

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF - well rounded arguments. Excellent use of skts. Good skills in cross-examination. More citations provided than any others I've seen. well done.

NEG - presentation was good. I appreciate the thought process in your arguments. It was a great attempt. I can see you doing exceptionally well in the future.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Plano East HG

Hamsika Gorty

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Centennial NS

Nidhi Sonwalkar

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from CENTENNIAL - NIDHI S.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: Mary Brown

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ACONST WAY ON DRUGS - RACISM
SOUTH AMERICAN COUNTRIES
STDs - HIV PRISON SHOULD
HEALTH NOT CRIMINAL TREAT AS
BENEFITS RECOVERY
INTRODUCE BILL IN CONGRESS
POLICY ADVOCATION

EXAM PORTUGAL NIDA - INTERNATIONAL
APPROPRIATE ANSWERS 2:30 PREP TIME

CK GOOD QUESTIONS

AR TREATMENT IS MOST COST EFFECTIVE
PUBLIC HEALTH WILL STOP DRUG ABUSE
NIDA WILL CONTROL TREATMENT AND
THERE WILL NOT BE RACISM
PORTUGAL IS AN EXAMPLE (ONLY COUNTRY USED)

2ND AR CONTRADICTING NEGATIVE STATEMENTS
• CURRENT RACISM IS FLAWED
• NIDA WILL NOT BE RACIST
• EXISTENCE IS NOT THE PROBLEM USE IS

IN PART
DECISION BASED ON TIPPING POINT
HOW 100% OF HUMAN DOCTORS IN NIDA
WILL BE GUARANTEED NOT ONE
OF THEM WILL BE RACIALLY BIASED
AND GUARANTEE EQUAL TREATMENT

NIDA WAS A WEAK
LINK ON HOW IT WOULD
BE IMPLEMENTED,

GOOD JOB TO BOTH LADIES!
TOUGH DECISION.

CK EXAMPLES
NIDA WHY BAD DIFFERENT LAWS
ABOUT DRUG USE
WILL RACISM STILL EXIST
ADVOCATE FOR POLICY 2:48 PREP TIME

NCONST REHAB DOES ACTUALLY WORK
LEGAL MARIJUANA INCREASE DRUG USE RATE
AFF CASE -
CONTENTION! - RACISM WILL STILL BE THERE
SO NO BENEFIT.
METHODOLOGY - IS FLAWED
RACISM DOESN'T EXIST
DISEASE HIV WILL INCREASE

EXAM CRIMINAL JUSTICE INCLUDES
REHAB TREATMENT
DIDN'T PROVIDE EVIDENCE ABOUT PUBLIC
HEALTH WAS BENEFICIAL.

NR MAXIM STREET VALUE

OPIOD CRISIS - OPPONENT NO DIRECT LINK
OR EXAMPLES OF MARYLAND OR EUROPE
ITALY - INCREASE IN HEROINE USE
CRIM JUST IS MOST COST EFFECTIVE
ITALY - AS COST GOES DOWN USE GOES UP.
CRIM JUST NOT RACISM JUST
DIFFERENT TYPES OF DRUGS USED.
HEALTH CARE IS NOT AVAILABLE FOR ALL
WHY DISEASE IS MORE COMMON IN JAIL.
PORTUGAL STUDY FLAWED. ANALYSIS WAS
NOT CLEAR.

Room: 2556
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Berdugo, Erick

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Colleyville Heritage CW

Celine Wei

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Houston Memorial DX

Daniel Xu

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Colleyville Heritage
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: CA

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- ① Neg. & justifies ~~KEANT~~ is act of gov.
- ② Impact weighby. of # of HIV over Crime.
→ Neg. Never responds to the analysis pulls cards but again does not respond to why HIV outweighs the crime.
- ③ Neg indict of evidence is not explained like "Spill over"

Room: 1517
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Brenner, Kyle

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Lubbock ID

Max = 30

Central BF

Max = 30

Isaac Doty

28.5

Bryce Fant

28

*Isaac
Doty*

*Bryce
Fant*

The better debating was done by the aff from Doty
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: KP

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*I vote for the affirmative on risk of solvency.
if I were to vote neg I dont understand
how we access social progress*

Room: 1517
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Brenner, Kyle

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Houston Memorial JS

Joshua Sucec

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Westlake SM

Shampurna Mitra

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the aff from Sucec
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: KCP

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

aff argues person surrounding → deviousism

Room: 2406
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6


Perry, Robert

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Cypress Falls KA	Max = 30	Timber Creek GJ	Max = 30
Kathryn Alejandre	<u>29</u>	Gus Jones	<u>30</u>

The better debating was done by the NEG from Timber Creek GJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

EFFD
Neg wins Frame analysis and non uniques LAR
Solving evidence



Room: 2406
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Perry, Robert

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Dulles SZ

Sophia Zhao

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Westwood AL

Alex Lee

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westwood AL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: Fails to impact her offense R/R across in party
Areas. And neg CIS analysis of introducing relevant sources.

Room: 2366
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Henderson, Domin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Central AF

Aaron Flores

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College AA

Aidan Atkins

POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aaron
C2 - You say Portugal decision in 2011 then said after 15 years it is easy to see which is more effective - just stuck out

RFD

The WAR does not do anything to exclude the CP - simply saying policymaking is not needed is not an offense argument. If you wanted to exclude a CP as an approach you need to make offensive argument against the theoretical justification of the CP or why a framework approach should be seen first - certainly policymaking can reach a moral ends if it is proven to achieve your framing better.

The CP assure solvency for 90% who would avoid treatment. Seems superior - Contention 3 seems independent despite the little work by aff but the stigmatize argument turn is dropped and CP solvency outweighs

Room: 2366
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Henderson, Domin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements UU

Uneeb Ullah

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Village AK

Aman Kumar


POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Clements UU
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

C/I has a clear NB Moten 13 - serious policy failure

Case 1/ - neg miss paradigmatic analysis

Disad - obviously no link

Alt best option in the debate space

Room: 2410
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Neeley, Alyson

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westlake AC

Max = 30

Amanda Chen

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Dulles AS

Max = 30

Abhinav Sinha

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from Westlake AC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF ~~UNWINS~~ Wins T but barley.

AFF also wins on case.

Room: 2410
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Neeley, Alyson

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Dulles TJ

Tony Jha

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

NEGATIVE

Borden County ISD TC

Trent Collins

POINTS

Max = 30

29

152

The better debating was done by the AFF from Dulles TJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) Neg needs theory voters & a solvency.
- 2) AFF wins on theory & case & RVI

Room: 2550
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Raley, Tammi

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Stony Point FB

Frances Bolger

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Tuloso-Midway TM

Teah Mbang

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from T. Mbang
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Wikipedia - Replace
this source

VC - unclear at
First

you did a good
job going down
Aff Flow in 1AR
but you only left
45 secs to refute
Neg case - Left
Lots untouched -
Specificall V & VC

- Nice job in CX -

Neg clarified in CX.
Thanks!

I like your case
Lots of Flowing Brackets &
Stumbles - work on
this a bit -

Great INR! Nice job going
Down Aff
Flow -

Voting based on
Framework - Neg
Framework stands -
Aff did not address
until 2AR & Never
touched VC security -

Room: 2573
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Ghandour, George
Plant, Anne
LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

LC Anderson MR

Malik Rawashdeh

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

St. Agnes Academy AJ

Aisha Jalal

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Aisha Jalal
AFF. or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

How can you uphold a value
without criterion? For this
reason alone, I vote Neg.
I wish you had a more progressive
judge so you'd walk home
able to debate. Sorry it

Room: 1578
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Tom, Neville

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Gregory-Portland JH

Jacob Henderson

POINTS

Max = 30

21.8

NEGATIVE

BASIS San Antonio Sha MS

POINTS

Max = 30

Mridula Shan

29.3

The better debating was done by the Neg from BASIS San Antonio
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: 2NR is winning on pretty much every
layer - CP, case turns, & the contention.

Room: 1578
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Tom, Neville

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Plano West AG

Anirudh Garg

Max = 30

29.9

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands JB

Joshua Bih

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Woodlands
AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Rudolphs OP + conceded vote aff to
Vote neg

Room: 1477
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Gandra, Akhil
EI /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

El Paso Coronado SR

Sophia Rojo

POINTS

Max = 30

27.4

NEGATIVE

Clements PS

Pia Shah

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Clements PS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Aff case was good but needs more solvency
- Neg needs to weigh impacts
- Aff doesn't have a huge terminal impact

Room: 1477
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Gandra, Akhil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College ZD

Zion Dixon

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

NEGATIVE

Hebron PN

Philip Ng

POINTS

Max = 30

28.6

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake Jesuit ZD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff wins RTB and offense

Room: 1331
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Trinh, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Smithson Valley MA

Maddie Aguilar

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Lovejoy KC

Kaya Czyz

POINTS

Max = 30

20

The better debating was done by the Neg from Lovejoy KC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFO: ~~The negative~~
I bought the link to the Lovejoy KC, I don't
feel like the AC addressed the K correctly
allowing for the neg to make clean extensions

Room: 1331
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Trinh, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements TR

Thomas Rivera

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Cy-Fair KA

Katy Aing

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Clements
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: The ROB for the AC goes conceded so I must default to it. Since we must find that AC has never the sound evidence proves that ontological claims become accidents. Also, the AC proves that ontological claims comes first before policy making.

Room: 1900
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Rutledge, Michael

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands CMu

Camila Munoz

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Harlingen South KN

Kaidyn Nelson

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Harlingen South
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: Nelson

Signed: Michael Rutledge

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

At the highest level of this round it functionally comes down to whether Personal Autonomy or Justice is what we look to and who can meet it best. Both effectively concede to the standard so this is a wash in the round. At the end of the round I buy things on both sides - ie that brain/punishing issues need treatment and that criminalizing is bad but I also buy the analytical warrant that docs are part of the problem that exacerbate the issue. At the point where they are part of the aff solution and part of the issue, how does autonomy win out over justice? These patients/victims/criminals are at the mercy of "suppliers" and can't exercise autonomy w/o coercion that neg provides through in-prison treatment and courts. Flw → neg and hence a neg ballot.

Room: 2368
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Huynh, Dan

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands AH

Andrew Harding

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Leander AG

Andy Gutierrez

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Woodland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: Dan Huynh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: IAC
conceded

Room: 2368
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Huynh, Dan

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Prosper IP

Isabelle Petros

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Clear Brook AS

Adarsh Suresh

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from ~~MB~~ Prosper
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Dan Huynh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Aff has strongest
link to Value Criterion.

Room: 1307
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Beard, Perry

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Kinkaid sm

shreya mehta

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College BB

Brendan Barrett

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the AFF from Kinkaid SM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff proves that the NEP impacts of preventing HIV death and minimizing the societal stigma of HIV criminalization outweigh the advantages of the CPs treatment in prison and the impacts of crime that come from "decriminalized" drugs. Even if rehab requires enforcement, Aff permits the CP to allow for NEPs before mandating treatment in prisons.

Room: 1307
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Beard, Perry

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Prosper KS

Kaleb Simes

POINTS

Max = 30

24

NEGATIVE

McNeil KK

Kartik Kotamraju

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Prosper KS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote Aff because:

- 1) Aff wins the structural violence framework (Neg concedes it) and then extends ~~that~~ that a community approach seeks to re-frame public gaze on black bodies. This approach is the only risk of offense to structural violence
- 2) Neg disads are contingent on continuing structural violence against black bodies while not really offering a probable impact.

Room: 2403
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Smith, Julie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lubbock GY

Griffin Young

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Seminole NL

Nathaniel Little

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from SEMINOLE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Julie M. Smith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG PERSUADES THAT GOD IS IMMORAL*

(*THIS WAS A VERY ODD ROUND.)

Room: 2577
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Ha, Steven

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clear Brook KP

Kent Pham

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Clements CY

Christine Yuan

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Clements CY
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Steven Ha

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote neg because...

RFD: Aff didn't extend any
offense in the 1A or 2A
turn on the CC flow against the
aff

The aff also lost on T.

Room: 2577
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6


Ha, Steven

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
PSJA Southwest AC	Max = 30	Melissa HC	Max = 30
Alyssa Castillo	<u>30</u>	Hallie Crain	<u>28.5</u>

The better debating was done by the Neg from Melissa HC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD:

I vote neg off the
Biopower K that goes
uncontested and turns
the IAC. I think the aff misunderstands
the K.

Room: 1411
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Whitaker, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

George Ranch AA

Asad Ahmed

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Westwood AA

Aarya Agarwal

POINTS

Max = 30

28.6

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westwood AA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Muel UQ

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: No weighing between rob & theory in 1ar means I look to theory debate. Neg has substantially more offense and puts significant defense on opponents standards. Additionally, neg is ahead on the competing interps debate.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Granbury MW

Mary Withey

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Clements AS

Arnav Sethi

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Clements
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

M. Ullah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) Resolutional arguments: new in 2AR to argument in using alcohol as an analogy. Aff doesn't clarify what harms are exempt or non-exempt. Meaning if there are harms that are a result or made complicit by personal use, Aff doesn't clarify.
- 2) But even if personal use is not stopped to prevent a link chain, the Cartels DA shows that liberalization of the average individual creates broader implications for others (ie cartels look elsewhere)
- 3) Also the PIC generates merger offense, but still a dropped argument...

Room: 2511
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Ullah, Mohammad

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Grapevine NN

Nidhish Nerur

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Tuloso-Midway IL

Ivin Lam

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Grapevine NN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

M. Ullah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) Framing: Neg drops justifications for Aff's flw & Rob, Neg doesn't give reasons why being alive is necessary or is a pre-reg or why it being a pre-reg comes into any kind of conflict w/ using an abolitionist pedagogy.
- 2) Substance: Neg needs warrants that say having nationwide legalization - Colorado is just one state. - Portugal evidence is also not properly ut; no reason to believe it applies to USA. here.
- Prison arguments by Neg have no warrants anywhere; no evid.
- 3) Impact: overall risk of solvency by Aff.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood DL

Max = 30

Woodlands SC

Max = 30

Davina Le

28

Shristi Chitlangia

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Woodlands SC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This round flows Neg because Aff conceded f/w meaning we must look towards justice through util to evaluate. While Aff's plan on using a public health approach ~~on~~ for drunk drivers only doesn't really do much for organized crime and terrorist groups, Neg also wins out on magnitude as her 2nd contention brings larger impacts to the table than providing a public health approach to those who drink and drive. While res was a preference in my paradigm so I'm not going to dismiss Neg's 2nd contention as easy as the Aff did.

Prep
A | N
2.25 | 3.16
4

Room: 2365
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Kessler, Todd

EI /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

El Paso Coronado GP

Grace Park

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Victoria West RH

Rama Hamoudah

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from El Paso Coronado GP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg continued to provide a Hitler example of how a util approach doesn't always use the ~~best~~ ^{most moral} means to achieve an end goal as stated by the Neg, "Hitler killed off a bunch of Jews" but this line of reasoning also turns the ~~criticism~~ ^{not too cool with this quote} of consequentialism which was supported by both sides. Aff shows that drug dealers will still be punished & used Portugal well to illustrate how positive outcomes are possible through a public health approach. Neg needed to reiterate & ^{emphasize} ^{outweigh} the impacts from the Netherlands & Switzerland examples in the 2NR. This round flows Aff.

Prep
A N
2.41 / 2.32
17

Room: 2345
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Gravley, Paul

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Marcus KL

Kyle Lleras

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Clear Creek RB

Rachel Boyd

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Marcus KL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: PSJ

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote Aff. The Neg new ^{reports} ~~reports~~ back to the issue of records. That's what helps users from getting into or having. Also, the ~~team~~ is not answered well. It's a time-frame ~~bad~~ ~~team~~. Do ~~pro~~ as neg, but Aff nev folks that commit crimes.

Room: 2345
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Gravley, Paul

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Dulles NJ

Neer Jain

POINTS

Max = 30

28.4

NEGATIVE

Westwood MS

Mihir Shah

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westwood MS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Really Messy Round!

I vote on the D.I.A.D. Theory is a wash.

Room: 1713
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Anderson, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Cypress Falls RK

Rajveer Kindra

POINTS

Max = 30

28.7

NEGATIVE

Colleyville Heritage SM

Sarah Manjee

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the aff from Cypress Falls
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: John Anderson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Not any offense on the interp.
Education seems to be mutually important to both sides +
ZAA gives best framing vs. education.
Evidence ethics standard is extended + shown to be
internal link to prep + increasing education. Reason
to prefer aff interp. I don't pull the trigger on
giving neg AVIs because aff shows the
reciprocal best neg can use to go for theory
over substance but neg wins the interp anyway.

Room: 2401
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6 Mambapoor, Dhruv

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit Coll ArM

Arun Mehra

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

NEGATIVE

Greenhill MR

Mihir Rai

POINTS

Max = 30

29.2 DM

The better debating was done by the NEG from GREENHILL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF:

- IAR needs better responses to the "T-shell"
- if you're going for voters, I would pair it up w/ a challenge to the voter, like a voter of your own
- IAR should've been like "Yeah we defend a 'Shift' and made I meet, and then hard on FYI"

NEG:

- Creative LP
- Have explicit voters
- This T interp needs better wording
- 'Substantial' AC makes a BL to ground loss

Room: 2401
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6 Mambapoor, Dhruv

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Dulles JG

Max = 30

Joshua George

28.9

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway ZN

Max = 30

Zachary Nepote

28.3

The better debating was done by the AFF from DULLES
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF:

Go slow
you don't
need to spread
that's just rude.
Docked speaks.

NEG:

You need more
offense
on the aff's
method.
d.g why is
"fabulation" or a
"hermeneutic reading of
GOS." bad?

RFD:

Voted on AC method, no neg offense
was applicable.

Room: 1549
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Shah, Rishabh

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College CR

Max = 30

Cameron Raschke

28.1

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill AZ

Max = 30

Anais Zhang

28.9

The better debating was done by the Neg from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Neg wins psychoanalysis arg

Room: 1549
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Shah, Rishabh

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Clements PK

Max = 30

Parin Kashyap

27.9

NEGATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha RN

Max = 30

Rija Naqvi

27.8

The better debating was done by the Aff from Clements
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Aff wins theory comes first and accessibility net benefit.

Room: 2583
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Chen, Stephanie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Concordia Lutheran CS

Camilla Scott

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Leander LB

Luke Bartelt

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Luke Bartelt
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

I liked a lot of your points and Neg never argued them down. But when he focused on the fact that drug use shouldn't be either public health nor criminal, you needed to bring it back to public health. Both sides agreed it shouldn't be criminal, so the burden was making it an issue of public health, which you conceded you weren't advocating for

Neg

I don't like your case but the idea that you don't support either public health nor criminalization is poignant

Room: 2351
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Valdez, Antonio

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Garland WA

Will Ashcraft

POINTS

Max = 30

27.8

NEGATIVE

Westwood AK

Akhila Keeranoor

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Garland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: Antonio Valdez

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff. should've asked before round how judge feels about spreading, last year's TFA champs didn't spread, meaning it's not needed to win rounds, so why do it? Be clear!!!

Just portray this as, but Time Skew. You're being abusive by targeting Phillipines, infinite possible ACS, so Neg can't prep. Granted, ~~your~~ your Aff is online, but you get the idea.

Aff is right, how does US pharma link to Phillipine Pharma? Should've brought in a card for the UN instead

Appreciate your clarity, but go a bit faster so you can finish reading your case!

Voted on US. vs. Phillipines card

Yes, you can say Plan is false, but fully ID why. Spend more time on this argument. I didn't hear it enough in round.

Room: 2351
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Valdez, Antonio

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Princeton CM

Max = 30

Christopher Malhas

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Carroll PD

Max = 30

Paul Doherty

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Carroll PD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Antonio D. Valdez

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both are clear, and appreciated. ✓

"Do both, vote Aff, and if it
doesn't work, vote Neg, or do May plan"

"If the Aff doesn't
work..."

Court Clog →
Decrim vs. Legal →
Underground markets →
Perm vs CP →
← the "OR" argument
↑
However new argument,
so Neg can't defend,
abusive

Room: 1701
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Zollo, Maggie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westwood TL

Max = 30

Truman Le

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Plano East AK

Max = 30

Ameera Khan

28

The better debating was done by the aff from westwood
AFF & NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Margaret Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- don't be cagey about where structural violence is in relation w/ material violence
- be more sure of your case & framework in CX

- didn't buy the arguments against the permr

real

Room: 1701
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Zollo, Maggie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Veterans Memorial SM

Sebastian Martinez

POINTS

Max = 30

26

NEGATIVE

Cypress Park TD

Tiffany Damon

POINTS

Max = 30

26

The better debating was done by the aff from veterans memorial
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Margaret Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- needed to cover the neg case more, such as youth & deterrence arguments
- Chandler usually is read as a neg card
- mentioned how neg exemplar were just one aff exemplar
- need to address aff statistics / empiricism
- need to talk about increase in demand / prohibition argument

Room: 2367
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Paramo, Rodrigo

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Colleyville Heritage IN

Itbaan Nafi

Max = 30

28.2

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Borger AH

Addison Hook

Max = 30

28.1

The better debating was done by the Aff from colley
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ZAR says "probably" too much

I think every aff rebuttal includes
too many arguments - makes the debate
for closer than it has to be

vote aff on pre-first offer - word disc,
risk of 6, and spec. arg)

Neg controls the internal link to militarism within the Queer Politics K, which
the Aff has built into the case as the highest layer. The JAR goes on all
in on T, which was a great decision. I buy the structural abuse
argument but wish you would have slowed down & explained it better &
weighed the abuse against his arguments about the psychological violence against
Queer debaters. ~~Because~~ The round comes down to what is fair in the debate
space, ~~the~~ ^{if} ~~that's~~ ^{the} ~~case~~ ^{Neg} does a better job weighing ~~the~~ ^{& explaining}
their internal link to the fairness voter.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Garland KP

Khoa Pham

POINTS

Max = 30

~~30~~ 30

NEGATIVE

Carnegie Vanguard AB

Arnav Burudgunte

POINTS

Max = 30

~~30~~ 30

The better debating was done by the Aff from Garland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Amy Pozza

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Good job to both ~~debaters~~ *debaters* - both did a great job collapsing the round, weighing + explaining arguments. *So high speech*

DA is missing the internal link between the unpopularity of needle-exchanges and ~~the~~ *the* prison reform. So, it comes down to the case turns - whether or not they turn the ~~prison~~ *prison* health diplomacy adv. The evidence indicates the U.S. ~~does not~~ *does not* just needs to adhere to the treaty & goals of inter human rights law, which is done through needle-exchanges. So, the Aff gains ~~substantive~~ *substantive* ~~on~~ *on* Solweny from a policy action ~~disconnected~~ *disconnected* separate from the question of its effectiveness & ~~has~~ *has* ~~diplomatic~~ *diplomatic* impacts o/w on magnitude any ~~other~~ *other* ~~negative~~ *negative* consequences of implementation. ~~Using~~ *Using* ~~the~~ *the* ~~same~~ *same* ~~argument~~ *argument*

Room: 2349
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Sood, Jalaj

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Hebron ZR

Zoha Rizvi

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Tuloso-Midway JS

John Stearns

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Tuloso
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Zoha - Need to do more work responding to args about your discourse

John - Really took NC

RFD: The K has better poetics and the AFF reinscribes ableism

Room: 2349
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Sood, Jalaj
LC

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Prosper AA

Max = 30

Alexander Antonakakis 29.4

NEGATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson AR

Max = 30

Aseel Rawashdeh 29.4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Anderson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Prosper - Really cool IAC, I think you need to do a lot more weighing between your framing and args like TVA and switch side

Anderson - Take the time to explain interactions in INC, would make the ballot story a lot easier

RFD: I think the INeg is ahead on those clask k2 spillover arguments and thus I think I have to vote Neg on theory

Room: 1483
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Ehresman, Katya

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Garland IR

Ishan Rerreddy

POINTS

Max = 30

29.3

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College BE

Ben Erdmann


POINTS

Max = 30

29.6 6¹⁵

The better debating was done by the Neg from Strake Jes.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

o concede fiat is
illusory "
LD necessary to get
access to & w/o the
weighing the ZAR
does; also, you
make materiality of
args but never have
m/c turn your
health diplo. arg =
material race solvency

RFD.

Vote Neg on
Mellan &
Wheeler 2

Have a better answer
in ZAR to the
"must have a competitive
policy option" plank
at the end.
- defend the SQLO but
that's also prob at the back
so under your rotb/
ethical orientation I would
impeccably a policy
w/ faith in legal
structures = worse

Room: 1483
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Ehresman, Katya

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit Coll AnM

Aneel Mehra

POINTS

29.3^{KE}

Max = 30

~~18.7~~

NEGATIVE

Stony Point CS

Caitlin Smith

POINTS

28.4^{KE}

Max = 30

~~18.7~~

The better debating was done by the

Aff

from

Strake J.

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

[Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

IAR should
do more work
on the CP solving
putting details on
points - v. defensive on

ZAR should have
given a plan theory
than do Theory v. Roth
heavily

RFD:
vote aff
on theory

Maybe... don't say
you "solve all oppressions"

if you're going to go all
in on the K + concede
the IAR shell

A. I wouldn't do
this

B. Make sure you
a super plan plan
can win solving

Just bc you win a
Roth ≠ mean you
win round -
you just win a fr.

Room: 2513
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Panjiwani, Nabil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Houston Lamar MT

Max = 30

Maximilian Tran

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Jack C. Hays PF

Max = 30

Patrick Fox

30

The better debating was done by the AFF from Houston Lamar MT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

IAR time management... time on latter half of the K/2nd OFF. Strategically risk of offense arguments are good. You get overly nuanced when you can simply how to break down the link level much easier than the way you did, but your links works regardless.

Coverage on the AC bottom wasn't a fleshed out enough cross-application to do much aside from criticizing LARPing and becomes way too difficult to vote on risk of a turn when everything gets prefat dumped. You never tell me why severance matters.

RFD:

STEPS analysis, Risk of PERM > Necropolitics links off K

Room: 2513
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Panjiwani, Nabil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Burleson Centennial HM

Max = 30

Hope Moffatt

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Abilene MF

Max = 30

Makayne Favor

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Burleson Centennial/HM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Nabil Panjiwani

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Too much time allocation on framing when you were just going for a line-by-line/impact calculus collapse. You can do a lot more quality weighing and turns coming off contention 3 with how the Negative case was tagged. Did a good job of extending, would like you to blow out impacts more.

You have a talent for speaking very rapidly but your word economy isn't all that efficient. The attacks in the NC were relatively shallow and only really mitigated the 1AR to defense instead of direct point takeouts. Framework didn't matter as much as you thought it mattered in this debate. Nonweighing everything isn't a persuasive tactic.

Contention 3

REF:

Mitigatory offense on 1a

Room: 2347
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6 McConway, Camero

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
St. Agnes Academy LH	Max = 30	Village AF	Max = 30
Lydia Huang	<u>28.5</u>	Adnan Fatakawala	<u>28.7</u>

The better debating was done by the NEG from Village AF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: C. M. C.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted neg on T - I think that the conceded semantics first argument means most of the offense on the counterinterp goes away, and the 2AR undercovers a pretty well extended semantics standard (i.e. the Nebel evidence).

Room: 2347
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6 McConway, Camero

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Lake Travis MM

Max = 30

Mackenzie Matheson

29.6

NEGATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha ZC

Max = 30

Zoey Chacon

29.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Lake Travis
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Cn McConway

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted aff because I think the extension of the solvency answers the main link argument, so I can vote on the perm.

Great round! ☺

Room: 1707
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Johnson, Austin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

McNeil AR

Abhishek Rao

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

NEGATIVE

Cypress Falls DR

DeAndra Robinson


POINTS

Max = 30

29.3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Cypress Falls
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: Yes

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff links to the K, I can't allow the perm
because it co-opts.

McNeil

- Separate Tags from cards in your speaking
- Yeah, there's no way you can't link here.

Cypress Falls

Congrats on being the first person who is actually African-American to run an Afro-Pess case on me ~~this~~ this year. Double congrats on articulating it clearly and cogently without spreadings.

Room: 1707
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Johnson, Austin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Carroll GI

Max = 30

Gautam Iyer

29.8

NEGATIVE

POINTS

James Bowie TW


Max = 30

Tate Weston

29.6

The better debating was done by the AFF from Carroll
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote aff b/c of the perm + b/c of
Adv. 1. I also don't buy the CP
because of the conceded mixed signals analytic

Carroll

- Backlash is a good answer to the CP. Mixed signals is way better.

Bowie

- The 5th word of Vynter 87 is "desacralize," not "deracialize."
- Work on your speaking. There was altogether too much repetition in this speech + too many "uh"s etc.
- Is it a PIC or a CP. Please choose.
- The backlash he's describing isn't going to overturn the CP. It's going to revolt generally. I don't think the durable fiat defense holds up.

Room: 1703
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Powell, DeMarcus

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Garland BM

Brandon Molina

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Carroll SM

Samir Mohsin

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Carroll SM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote Neg on OSpec Shell. I think this disclosure arg is kinda ridiculous to begin with not even taking into account the Neg arguments. That being said I think the Neg wins enough defense on disclosure and clearly wins the O-Spec Shell so thats how I vote. I also think if you (aff) are going to read a new shell in the IAR you need to answer the IAR theory stuff in the IAR

Room: 1703
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Powell, DeMarcus

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

McMillen NG

Max = 30

Nevin Gera

28.7

NEGATIVE

POINTS

George Ranch MS


Max = 30

Mohammad Sheikh

28.8

The better debating was done by the Neg from George Ranch MS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: vote Neg. Don't buy the perf con arg. the impact to a DA is not an advocacy the neg doesn't defend Health care as good so it's not a contradiction with the kritik. If they read a CP with it that would make for a better perf con arg since that would be an advocacy or the aff could have also made a perf con about reading T with wilderness. I think that's a stronger perf con argument since it's used to exclude people and arguments from debates.

Room: 1555
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Koshak, Jacob

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Seven Lakes RR

Rohan Rao

POINTS

Max = 30

23.4

NEGATIVE

Clements AU

Ahad Ullah

POINTS

Max = 30

23.2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Seven Lakes RR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~I vote Aff on criminalization. But I think that, even though
the evidence on legalization is not responsive, the articulation
of pros and cons in drug use is sufficient to warrant
a vote to criminalize which~~

RFID: I vote Aff on the 1, prison overcrowding. Problem
I have with DISAD is lack of
quantifiability of criminalization
DA. But numbers I have
come from the Aff
and 7 in drug use
doesn't equate to 7
in crime altogether.

Room: 1555
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Koshak, Jacob

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Jack C. Hays EC

Emma Cook

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Westwood AZ

Alice Zhang

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Jack C. Hays EC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote for the counter interp. ~~It~~ solves
harm NB of Interp. ~~Also~~
~~clear~~ Powers is Disad to
~~CTE~~
Interp.

Room: 1309
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Joyner, Alex
LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson SJ

Max = 30

Sesh Joe

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College JP

Max = 30

Josh Porter

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Strake Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Alex Joyner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

oral

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Trinity Valley KK

Kishan Kalaria

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Montgomery GC

Grayson Constantine

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Montgomery
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Alex Joyner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

1. schett merely establishes governments look at racial bodies for exploitation, the K, whether it is Root cause or not serves to explain ~~the~~ what will happen through the system - the same system condemned by bc framing. K explains that cap will ~~change~~ change the stated goals to benefit itself. Neg clearly extends how
 1. forcing people into system will get coopted & reify current system or
 2. solve bc nature of addicts.point one supported by Lopez showing how this is a small population → rise of solvency supporting K.
2. perms & resolve alt bc Mutual exclusive

Room: 1708
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Wright, Kris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College WH

Wyatt Hatfield

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Westwood SM

Saavan Myneni

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the AFF from Strake Jesuit WH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: KW

Signed: Kris Wright

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Extremely messy round. Both debaters should work on piecing together cogent bullet points from complicated line-by-line issues.

RFD

Aff wins fairness first & no new paradigm issues in the 2NR.
I'm not convinced by the Neg that the Aff burden is racist
nor unfair for any other reason given in this round.

Aff wins uncontested offense to that burden.

Aff also wins a 1AR shell that indicates the way the K was read

Room: 1708
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Wright, Kris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands CMA

Camryn Manley

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Northland Christian ABr

Austin Broussard

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Northland Christian ABr
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Kris Wright

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Good job to both debaters.

RFD

Neg wins link to K
- AFF puts people in a sub-bird that involves them engaging w/ the cap system

Neg wins impact
- AFF successfully took out the extinction impact, but ~~it is not clear~~ that argument doesn't prove there's been no harm to cap.

Neg Wins Alt

AFF didn't make a perm to this K

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lake Travis VM

Vivian McDonald

POINTS

Max = 30

28.4

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College JG

Joseph Georges

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake Jesuit JG
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- I think you're confusing conditionality + dispositionality.
- You try to go for everything in the IAR. This is probably going to lose you the round. I would have recommended new shell (condo-had, which you start but never develop at the bottom of the IAR), answer the RVI dump, and read a CI.

- the places you're pushing in ex are true (in the sense that the AFF FW collapses to util) but why waste time pushing there instead of just impacting to it (since it's consequentialist)?
- This INC strat...
 - ① "illegalized" ok dude.
 - ② CP 2 (illegalized) generates competition via net benefits from a disad to public health. Where's that DA?
 - ③ You should be pairing DAs with CPs, since the CP shields the DA from case offense.
 - ④ the methadone PIC is essentially "HAT good"; why is banning methadone a requirement to access HAT?
 - ⑤ give me pen time when moving from flow to flow and pause in b/w arguments/before author names.

There's a bunch of conceded no-RVI arguments (incentivize debaters to be abusive, etc.) which means even if theory flows AFF I don't affirm.
the NEG is probably ahead on theory anyway; F > E takes out #3 on the CI, and prep skewed is weighed against ground. So I negate on theory.

Room: 1441
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Chao, Isaac

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lake Travis LS

Lee Scheffe

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Clements JX

Jarvis Xie

POINTS

Max = 30

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Lake Travis LS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

• You probably tried to do too much in the 1AR.

and the 2AR

• He was willing to commit to either implementation or general principle, whichever you preferred. Seems pretty frivolous to me.

• "We do not know if racism is good or bad"

Really dude?

a. everyone in the room disagrees (except maybe you...)
b. your authors definitely disagree

1 affirm.

There are two pre-fist pieces of offense that the AFF accuses coming out of the 2AR: the "fix their identity" argument on AC A2 and the "we don't know if racism is good or bad" argument on the top of the K.

There's no weighing between the offense from these arguments and the offense from the T shell, from either the AFF or the NEG. So even though the NEG comes out ahead on T b/c the AFF runs out of time in the 2AR, the winner here is unclear. There's at least ink on the CX though, so letting off the discursive impacts.

Room: 1363
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

LaBarre, Davis

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Kinkaid hs

Max = 30

hannah shi

~~29.8~~ 30 DL

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College JH

Max = 30

Joshua Herrera

29.8

The better debating was done by the AFF from Kinkaid
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: DL JH

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Concedes ROB. 2 AR does a good
job answering NR args on CP.
CP doesn't solve as well as AC.

Room: 1363
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

LaBarre, Davis

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Lovejoy CM

Max = 30

Strake Jesuit College VL

Max = 30

Collins Morgan

29.8

Vincent Liu

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from STRAKE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg wins no RVI.

Room: 1709
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Colling, Richard

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Houston Memorial AQ

Max = 30

Elkins KP

Max = 30

Andrew Qin

29.4

Kedar Pandya

28.7

The better debating was done by the AFF from Memorial AQ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think the aff wins on

1) extension of framing means
we do not look to consequences.
The neg tries to indict Kant's
epistemology thru Kant's metaphysics
and (maybe) epistemology but it
is not clear nor clearly applied
to aff framing.

2) I don't see a clear link to
cap so I don't understand
why aff cannot permit
people being released to
join Exodus is compelling
net benefit.

Room: 1709
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Colling, Richard

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Greenhill BZ

Brian Zhou

POINTS

Max = 30

29.30

NEGATIVE

Village AI

Aditya Iyer

POINTS

Max = 30

29.7

The better debating was done by the AFF from Greenhill BZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The only place I think I could negate is on the drug courts CP and that is only if I cannot give the AFF the perm. The neg makes a couple of line arguments to try to block the perm. 1) That the AC links to disad but then does not extend enough of the disad to win it. The disad has no impact. 2) The voluntary and existing rehab fails or hams. The AFF argues this is not specific to AFF proposal which I think is pretty clear. So I give AFF the perm.

Room: 1335
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Koshak, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Pflugerville CD

Coltrane Drexler

POINTS

Max = 30

22 27.5

NEGATIVE

Grapevine RS

Ruth Schlenker

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Grapevine RS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I negate. I think the neg is winning theory so it washes (but I also think that theory probably doesn't matter under this ROB, but that is never really fleshed out). After theory goes away it's pretty easy to vote neg. There are at least three links being extended (Normative FW being anti-black, public health being anti-black, and state being anti-black). The alt is also being extended clearly across the flow so it's a simple ballot.

Room: 1335
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Koshak, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Strake Jesuit College DH	Max = 30	Northland Christian ABa	Max = 30
David Herrera	<u>28</u>	Aaron Barcio	<u>28.5</u>

The better debating was done by the NEG from Northland Christian ABa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I negate on T. I think the aff is losing the CI, particularly the cross application of the standards of the neg shell to the standards of the counter-interp. I also think the neg is winning a net-benefit by means of the TVA standard. I vote there.

Room: 2346
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Andrews, Blake

LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson KS

Kyle Stephens

Max = 30

29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian JQ

Jack Quisenberry

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the neg from Northland Christian
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ✓ BA

Signed: [Signature] [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

3:15

FTD

Kyle

center
infield

On Spec
is awesome
+ .5 speaks

So I think
the Reps Argument
is an easy place
to vote. Explicitly
talks about
how the Aff
has to defend
Speech Act of
PICS bad
all contextualized
to policy
No PICS
Ba-t the 6/5
Arg under covered

Room: 2346
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Andrews, Blake

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Stony Point AH

Max = 30

Arnav Hiray

28.9

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Carroll TR

Max = 30

Tarun Ratnasabapathy

29.2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Carroll TR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

3:30

1:05

~~RED FORMAL~~

If TARUN is abusive
its because of original
spec abuse + disclosure
hurts small schools

Aff
This is
a cool
Aff!

Room: 1464
Flight B: Start 800pm
LD

6

Harvey, Miguel

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Keller JB

Max = 30

Jada Bourne

29.2

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Woods AZ

Max = 30

Angela Zhong

29.1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Cy woods
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: X

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

1. Unintell
2. No Rvs

Room: 1464
Flight A: Start 700pm
LD

6

Harvey, Miguel

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Hebron AS

Aashir Sanjrani

POINTS

Max = 30

29.2

NEGATIVE

Westlake AL

Andrew Lee

POINTS

Max = 30

29.3

The better debating was done by the neg from westlake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

PTD util outweighs K