

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Lovejoy KC

Kaya Czyz

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands CMA

Camryn Manley

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from Camryn Manley
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Jazmine Alejos

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Aff: Ad #1 - Autonomy Ad #2 - Safety
Plan: The illegal use of abortion drugs should be treated as a matter of Public Health, not of criminal justice.
- Date Rape: Laws enacted to criminalize date rape drugs. End up prosecuting pregnant women.
- Q: What is the process of having a pill abortion? Does the pill make it safer? If so, how → what percentage? What happens later to the women's bodies after taking the abortion pill? What would be the cost?
- NEG: Value: Justice criterion: Maximization of well-being
- Con #1: Narcoterror & organized crime
 - Con #2: Criminal Justice Crisis Addiction
 - Con #3: Sexual Assault → Date rape drugs are one of the most common methods of sexual assault.
- Drug courts within the CJ system help substance abusers & generates better outcomes for drug users.

- My vote goes to the neg. for upholding the value of Justice. "Without Justice, people would be allowed to do whatever they want."

Room: 1701
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

George, Manju

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Seminole NL

Max = 30

Franklin JC

Max = 30

Nathaniel Little

28.5

Jessie Coleman

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Seminole - NL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M. George

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF - has a clear understanding of the semantics and it was evident. Good job

NEG - great attempt today. Need to add more pertinent citations with statistics (not relying on one or two).

Room: 1723
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Pozza, Amy

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Falls DR

Max = 30

Stony Point FB

Max = 30

DeAndra Robinson

28.5

Frances Bolger

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Cypress Falls
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Amy Pozza

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Great Aff + interesting Neg strategy. Good job explaining anthro. I think the Neg wins the impact debate in terms of "root cause" because the evidence (and the warrant) reveals that all violence + degradation against humans is grounded in the hierarchy embedded in the racist mindset of speciesism. However, I vote on the perm - ~~despite~~ acknowledge the validity of the Aff & the mindset education of the Neg about anthro.
by performative power + post-fact political conversation shift

28.5

Room: 2550
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Whittenberg, Gra

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Hereford JC

Max = 30

Junior Contreras

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Concordia Lutheran CS

Max = 30

Camilla Scott

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Concordia
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: J. Jeff Whitten

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

Neg rebutted AC and effectively articulated / extended NC. Aff dropped NC.

Neg successfully demonstrated that Aff was not resolutional, thus winning on topicality.

I sympathize with your concerns Aff,
and will try to help with those issues.
You are a brave, concerned person.

Best wishes

Room: 1331
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Harvey, Miguel

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westlake SM

Max = 30

Lake Travis LS

Max = 30

Shampurna Mitra

28

Lee Scheffe

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Lake Travis
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Lee you're really going to have to sell me on the CP not linking to the trans violence disad. you integrate treatment
Shampurna see above. Great job in CX

1AR- Dude theres only 1 condo advocacy. Disads Arent advocacy. Also shell is bella long. Time allocation!
Way undercoverage on CP/case. Do a little more work on the link

2NR STOVE dude your only Answer needs to be that a disad isht an advocacy

2AR why go far so much? Do better answering his responses on reps- thats your out

Room: 2511
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Simpson, Johnny

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha MS Max = 30

Mridula Shan

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clements ZA

Max = 30

Zoya Ahmed

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from BASIS SA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

NEG

- min structure violence
- increase due to mandatory sentence w/ good facts
- bulletin effect
- total health - women in jail worse health conditions
- needle exchange
- Good usage of framework, nice structure

CR: date rape drugs

"roll of bullet" good question

Drug courts ineffective at recidivism
L good counterpoint, good sources

cartel - Lopez 16 bulletin effect
drug trafficking won't go down

* look up stats on Prohibition crime rates
after repeal & how it affected mafia

CR: needle exchange globally
racism

- cartels would switch enterprising increase violence
- DUS - weed in bodies at death DUE
- 16% = drug related
- racism ≠ fixed w/ AFF
- increased deaths = BG Pharma

Lots of good data in your case

CR: drug cartels will switch
date rape drugs

consequences of neg.

AFF never mentioned DUE increasing

- Polson 19:
- addicts won't seek help themselves
- Big Pharma profits > ppl - AFF gets coopted by companies
- can't apply Needle Exchange to whole world

AFF did a better job at explaining cartels, I felt like from discussion that became most relevant point and most compelling reason to take rehab out of criminal justice sys - decriminalize so drugs are less profitable decreases number of people in jail and addicts. NEG used lots of good facts and cards but I feel like they most added up fixated w/ cartel issue.

Room: 2573
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Huynh, Dan

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Village AK

Max = 30

Aman Kumar

28.7

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clear Brook KP

Max = 30

Kent Pham

28.4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Village
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Dan Huynh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: The NC doesn't link into the IAC because the AC does not make drugs legal to sell nor reduce the risk to sell. The AC just makes the consequence of possessing not a criminal case.

Room: 1703
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Paramo, Rodrigo

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha PK Max = 30

Garland IR

Max = 30

Pranavkrishna Kandikayal 28.3

Ishan Rereddy 28.5

The better debating was done by the neg from garland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Ishan - push back when answers insufficient

RFD:

2 links to K o/w n.b. to perm. -

vote neg on cap

Room: 1701
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Mandavilli, Rohi

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Leander AG

Max = 30

Andy Gutierrez

27.8

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Gregory-Portland JH

Max = 30

Jacob Henderson

27.6

The better debating was done by the AFF from Leander
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff controls RC or addiction → neg CJS Kous
Creates more problems

Room: 1408
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Kessler, Todd

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Central MG

Max = 30

Micah Golden

27

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Falls KA

Max = 30

Kathryn Alejandre

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Cypress Falls KA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This round flows Neg because Neg's 3rd contention showing how drug treatment services within the criminal justice system has worked out better than the public health programs went uncontested and outweighed parts of Aff's evidence that also went unanswered. Neg also won on framework showing how the rule of law protects not only individuals but also society's morals at the time and Aff did state that laws are seen as moral now a days which undermined his argument that laws are everchanging and have been deemed immoral in the past during times of slavery & Jim Crow in the South.

Prep
A / N
2 / 1.25

Room: 2583
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Aamar, Taha

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Carroll PD

Max = 30

Paul Doherty

28

NEGATIVE

Colleyville Heritage IN

POINTS

Max = 30

Itbaan Nafi

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Itbaan Nafi
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff v: morality
VC: minimizing violence

Neg v: morality
VC: util

RFD: Aff conceded arguments were neg linked
framework.

Room: 2583
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Aamar, Taha

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Leander LB

Luke Bartelt

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Veterans Memorial SM

Sebastian Martinez

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFI from Luke Bartelt
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: V: Individual power
VC: limiting oppression

Arg V: Autonomy
VC: retributive justice

RFD: Neg went conceded on certain arguments
were Aff linked Framework to case.

Room: 1708
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Ghandour, George
EI

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Abilene MF

Max = 30

Makayne Favor

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

El Paso Coronado SR

Max = 30

Sophia Rojo

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Makayne Favor
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: George Ghandour

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg gave burden of proving Public Health was the only way and aff did.
Aff wins.

Room: 1708
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Ghandour, George
EI

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Harlingen South KN

Max = 30

Kaidyn Nelson

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

El Paso Coronado GP

Max = 30

Grace Park

28

The better debating was done by the 28 Aff from Kaidyn Nelson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral Cortez + RFD

Room: 2349
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Johnson, Austin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Lake Travis BO

Max = 30

Blake Ochoa

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

PSJA Southwest AC

Max = 30

Alyssa Castillo

28.5

The better debating was done by the


AFF
~~NEG~~
AFF or NEG

from

Lake Travis

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION

Neg has no offense Case solves better under both frameworks

Lake Travis

slow down + articulate
your IAC more carefully

PSJA

- This percentages angle doesn't gain you much ground
- This case is not articulated very clearly
- "People are discriminated against in Statquo" isn't ~~as~~ ^{its nonunique} terribly compelling
- "People are discriminated against by healthcare system" is both

Room: 2349
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Johnson, Austin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cy-Fair KA

Max = 30

Katy Aing

29.4

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Central AF

Max = 30

Aaron Flores

29.2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Cy-Fair
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff demonstrates that criminalization causes
unique harm that medicalization can solve.

Cy-Fair

- Good work constructing the case. Go for a more ambitious case next time

Central

In the end, you ask me to value law for its own sake. There has to be a reason we have law. You say it's there to mitigate harm, but do nothing about the many ways neg shows it causes harm.

Room: 1517
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Perry, Robert

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Dulles AS

Garland WA

Abhinav Sinha

Will Ashcraft

POINTS

POINTS

Max = 30

Max = 30

28

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Garland WA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: AFF drops mitigation and claims sovereignty w/o
sufficient evidence to warrant that claim. TWO FALLS
To Turn the CJS leverage claim of the Neg.

Room: 1517
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Perry, Robert

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Burges AQ

Alyssa Quesada

POINTS

Max = 30

28²

NEGATIVE

Lubbock GY

Griffin Young

POINTS

Max = 30

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Burges AQ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Aff overall presentation and work down
line flow neg fails to imp CBH

Room: 1549
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Claeson, Tammy

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Oak Ridge KC

Max = 30

W.B. Ray DG

Max = 30

Kirby Cabler

28.5

Daniel Gardiner

29

The better debating was done by the

Neg

from

W B Ray

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed:

Tammy Claeson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Morality
Quality of life. Be careful
how you answer CX questions.
Keep your answer limited
to the question he asks.
You need to make sure you
have a clear and measurable
explanation for quality of life.
Work on clarity and brevity in your
CX questions so that you have time
to ask all of the questions you want.
Circular reasoning doesn't clarify
your value. Clarify? When did
this come up? Cont but you didn't
focus on the courts. Just drag
trade in general. Where specifically
do this theories not work? Give
me specifics. He gave me specifics.

quality of life not benefits
Neg opening CX question. Beautiful
job. Try not to look at her so much,
you might actually get an
answer to the question you want.
I want to see how criminalization
works out to be good. You've set it
up beautifully. Deterrents theory?
Your first two attacks on the
aff case contradict each other.
Offenders and addicts aren't the same.
You set up beautiful arguments in your
CX, but you didn't follow through with
them. Good clarity of the flow. Excellent
pt by pt refutation of each arg. Neg.
extensions. You need to work on
how you rebuild that deterrents
theory. It's a little shaky.

Neg won the flow all cont. and
frame work

Room: 1549
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Claeson, Tammy

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AA

Max = 30

Aarya Agarwal

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clear Brook PV

Max = 30

Prateesh Vijayakumar

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Clear Brook
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Tammy Claeson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Justice
maximizing well being
Good answers in CX. "Opoids are bad" has to be my favorite line I've heard today. Don't make arguments in CX. Ask questions, use those questions to set up the arguments you're going to make in the next speech. Not in CX. 1000s is a lot? That's maximizing well being? For whom? Doesn't seem like a huge impact. Manage your time wisely so you can make solid attacks on both. "Really bad" and "lots of people" are not convincing arguments. Give me solid answers. Specific numbers and statistics. The resolution is better explained by the neg w/ dehumanizing

Moral Hy
Adhering to consequentialism
Good start to absorb aff frame work, but it fell a little short. Use your time wisely to make sure you hit all of the arguments. Cross apply your contentions to his which can "save you time". "I don't do drugs." is my second favorite line of today. Watch how often you say "OK, cool." Where is the uniqueness? Spend a little more time understanding your non opoid and nerve block evidence. Make sure you clearly understand them. Excellent job of buying at your voters. But you need to shore up that non-opoid piece. It's not the part you want to focus on.

Aff agreed to Neg frame work

Neg won solvency

Room: 1703
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Smith, Julie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College BB

Max = 30

Brendan Barrett

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Round Rock SG

Max = 30

Sreya Gandra

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from STRAKE J.C. BB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Julie M. Smith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF WINS ON CARTELS.

Room: 2406
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Riggins, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westwood SK

Max = 30

Sneha Kamal

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Grapevine NN

Max = 30

Nidhish Nerur

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Grapevine NN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: no

Signed: Matthew Riggins

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg - great job of line by line

App - you agreed in CX that you use the F6

oral critique

Room: 2406
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Riggins, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway TM

Max = 30

Woodlands CMU

Max = 30

Teah Mbang

29

Camila Munoz

28

The better debating was done by the ATT from Tuloso-Midway TM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: Matthew Riggins

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Neg - when she asks how you make sure they go to these programs - the simple answer is the CJS mandates it and there is no choice. - you almost went there with your judicial supervision answer.
- shot yourself in the foot when you conceded your value
- ATT - focus on the value debate - this is the strongest arg in this round

oral critique

Room: 1578
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Brenner, Kyle

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Victoria West RH

Rama Hamoudah

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Lake Travis VM

Vivian McDonald

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the neg from McDonald
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: KP

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

aff links into the K

Room: 1578
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Brenner, Kyle

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Borger AH

Max = 30

Addison Hook

28.5

NEGATIVE

PSJA early College IR

POINTS

Max = 30

Ixoye Ramirez

28.0

The better debating was done by the aff from Hook
AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: N

Signed: KP

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

not some warrants in the IAC;

your observations argues globally
yet all of your examples are
domestic; why did you want
all the INR to argue warrants

The negative's idea of justice seems to ignore
how best to deal with the individual addiction
of citizens. The negative would didn't seem to
create a coherency mechanism for addiction.
Affirmative aptly demonstrates that the
continued use of the criminal justice will
hamper future growth.

Room: 1900
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Panjiwani, Nabil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway JS

Max = 30

John Stearns

29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Plano West AG

Max = 30

Anirudh Garg

28.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Tuloso-Midway JS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1 AR should be doing more work on rob framing and how it doesn't link to offiscation. You had some issues engaging in some NEG line-by-line. You can also link the Crob to creating another method of ableism. You should be reconciling the NR more than line-by-line.

The "no outside influence" analysis is really defensive and becomes an issue against Interpretosis argumentation. I don't get why unfiltered/uninterrupted imagery gives you access to anything beyond the status quo. Paying attention to violent imagery is condonation of some system.

Interpretosis extensions go clearly

Room: 1900
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Panjiwani, Nabil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College AA

Dulles NJ

Aidan Atkins

Neer Jain

POINTS

POINTS

Max = 30

Max = 30

28

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Dulles NJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This Perm... I really have no idea what it's doing. Are you sending rapists to rehab, rape victims to rehab, or getting rapists sex addiction therapy? I don't think addiction to date-rape drugs is a thing. I think you cover well on the OFF case positions, but your approach towards your own offense was shaky.

You could've done so much more with the Date-Rape Drugs CP. Considering the perm is incoherent at best or really problematic. I think this was somewhat lazy debate on your part.

Offense on Contention 3 < DRD CP

Room: 2368
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Gandra, Akhil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands LW

Lulu Whitson

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College CR

Cameron Raschke

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Akhil

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think the neg wins RTB and the resolution
is false/impossible. Terrible arg... but sure I guess.

Room: 2368
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Gandra, Akhil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands JB

Joshua Bih

POINTS

Max = 30

27.9

NEGATIVE

Houston Lamar MT

Maximilian Tran

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Houston Lamar
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

No RVI on theory → vote on substance

Room: 1408
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Hightower, Canda

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Louis E. Brandeis SR

Saba Rajput

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Granbury MW

Mary Withey

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Granbury MW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

- work on drops and managing the sheet
- Aff rebuttal times
- Don't focus too much on one issue
- cross apply more to help with these issues

Both sides need to extend more

Neg

- I think you should have called out the Aff for having the ~~one~~ source but you didn't. This could have helped show that ~~all~~ all Aff evidence linked to a single document and you could have easily attacked that

RFD - Neg

concedes to Aff FW but shows they achieve it better - Aff dropped this argument so FW went to Neg

Aff dropped almost all of Negs case

Room: 2367
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Castillo, Chris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

W.B. Ray AF

Max = 30

Anahita Farishta

28.7

NEGATIVE

POINTS

McMillen NG

Max = 30

Nevin Gera

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from McMillen
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① Fairness
first

② 1st respond
to +
superior

Room: 2367
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Castillo, Chris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Borden County ISD TC

Max = 30

Trent Collins

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clements PK

Max = 30

Parin Kashyap

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Clements PK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① K means
aff
&
solve

aff solves.

Room: 2550
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Brown, Mary

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway ZN

Max = 30

Zachary Nepote

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Lubbock ID

Max = 30

Isaac Doty

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from ZACHARY - TULOSO-MIDWAY
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: Mary Brown

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

cont 1 public health successful
recovery

2 punish vs rehab

3. pub health - community

val life

cxans cont

cxans improve life

minimize crime thru public health
users only not dealers

cater to needs not punish them

CX why resolution analysis matters
good questions

AR public health not criminal justice
no life no society life most important
minimize crime thru public health
lost in system 9% 200K still
commit crimes

2nd AR

CX good questions

2159 prep

cont public health vs criminal justice
both better than and or approach
must plead guilty to be admitted to rehab
drug courts better than crim court
best tailor made to offender
continuing care + monitoring of drug user
require to go thru treatment plan
offer incentive to seek treatment

cxans

neg minimis use of incarceration
cont 2 help before release
US treatment drug court test in real world

NRel

society on moral level
not happen to largest # of people
expand drug court not eliminate them
specific how to be done don't defend current
system + greatest good greatest # of people
needs of each person is equal

BOTH AFF & NEG - GREAT JOB!
TOUGH DECISION
WELL PRESENTED!

the user and its victims need to be addressed
tipping point was that both the people need to
be recognized and considered
your speaking rate was slightly fast

your speaking rate was slightly fast

Room: 2351
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Whitaker, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Clear Creek RB

Max = 30

Rachel Boyd

28.3

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Keller JB

Max = 30

Jada Bourne

29.4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Keller JB
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Mund W. W.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Negative is ahead on the FW debate, especially this argument about guiding action. Therefore, I can pull the trigger on black nihilism.

Aff: Read up on some of these authors so you don't have to try to learn the theory mid-round. Also make sure you are ahead on the FW debate or it will be hard to win rounds.

Neg: Try to structure your 2NR in a way that prioritizes the most important issues. For example, framing & impact question on top.

Room: 2351
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Whitaker, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Grapevine MT

Max = 30

Micah Thode

28.5

NEGATIVE

Jack C. Hays EC

POINTS

Max = 30

Emma Cook

28.9

The better debating was done by the Neg from Jack C. Hays Emma Cook
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Andrew Whitaker

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: I think the aff needs some restructuring either go all in on block nihilism or the prison-industrial complex. I end up voting neg since there is a risk of link and the alternative solves better.

Room: 2410
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Bailey, Chase

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha RN Max = 30

Rija Naqvi

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Houston Memorial JS

Max = 30

Joshua Sucec

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from BASIS San Antonio
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Chase Bailey

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I buy the "laws don't matter" argument at the top of the flow. The impacts the NEG cites are so outlandish considering the violation of treaties that occur so often. Furthermore, while I believe that the CP & the plan from the AFF are both feasible, the AFF showed why they don't work while the NEG just argued that they're better. Lastly, the Role of the Ballot was to reduce structural violence. Keeping punishments/rehabilitations out of the public eye destigmatizes minorities approach to wellness and wins on health. Good Round!

Room: 2410
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Bailey, Chase

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements PS

Pia Shah

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Dulles TJ

Tony Jha

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from Dulles
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The 3rd card doesn't say that the CJS is bad. It says that Public Health is good.

Tony, you're being really short with CX questions. "Great", "Cool", "Uh-huh" Be nice!

The Kantian FW was beautifully argued and defended. Nice Work!

REF: By acting on extrinsic factors (Drug Use), we don't solve the issues of the CJS. The Kantian FW + the Retributive Justice along w/ Action Theory stand up very well to any consequentialist FW.

Lastly, the Universalizability argument was lays out why the "drugs must be banned because they take away our ability to reason" argument very well.

FW debate goes to the Neg.

Room: 1307
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Gravley, Paul

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Timber Creek GJ

Clear Lake JC

Gus Jones

Jay Collura

POINTS

POINTS

Max = 30

Max = 30

28.1

28.2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Clear Lake JC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both DA's are functionally conceded.

Room: 1307
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Gravley, Paul

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Park TD

Max = 30

Tiffany Damon

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Dulles SZ

Max = 30

Sophia Zhao

28.1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Dulles SZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote on the K. The Aff fundamentally
concedes the link story. ~~and~~ The K is more
about what happens in the Round, not just the
action of the Aff.

Room: 1335
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Ha, Steven

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Elkins KP

Max = 30

Kedar Pandya

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College ZD

Max = 30

Zion Dixon

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Elkins KP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Steven Ha

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: | vote aff of the 2 turns
made on the K.

also the neg had a poor
understanding of the ontology
and root cause arguments.

Aff therefore had a risk of offense.

Room: 1335
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Ha, Steven

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College BE

Max = 30

Ben Erdmann

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

St. Agnes Academy LH

Max = 30

Lydia Huang

30

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake Jesuit College BE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: I vote aff on a
risk of offense coming from
the post-fiat layer.

• on the pre-fiat layer.

Speechact → Abolition / gives aff offense,

Room: 2366
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Sasso, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westwood MS

Max = 30

Mihir Shah

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Princeton CM

Max = 30

Christopher Malhas

28.3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Mihir Shah
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

[Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NC concedes util

aff wins on weighing under util
time frame & specificity

Room: 2366
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Sasso, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Centennial NS

Max = 30

Nidhi Sonwalkar

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hebron AS

Max = 30

Aashir Sanjrani

28.7

The better debating was done by the Neg from Aashir Sanjrani
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg wins on theory, plus offense to the aff. through the ahuja evidence

- Nidhi - for a sophomore you are very good, get more exposure to the norms of circuit debate, not disclosing is a silly reason to lose a round.

Room: 2401
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Colling, Richard

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements AS

Arnav Sethi

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Westlake EA

Ethan Abraham

POINTS

Max = 30

28.7

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westlake EA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Aff misinterprets the Neg theory
shell and so tries to meet w/ the
wrong understanding of it. The neg
extends & wins.

Room: 2401
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Colling, Richard

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Clements CY

Max = 30

Christine Yuan

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood CW

Max = 30

Carson Wang

28.7

The better debating was done by the neg from Westwood CW
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think the neg is winning
T on the real world applicability
standard is on the voter of
advocacy skills.

Room: 2513
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Trinh, Andrew
LC

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Northland Christian ABa

Aaron Barcio

POINTS

Max = 30

27

NEGATIVE

LC Anderson SJ

Sesh Joe

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from LC Anderson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: The AC theory seems frivolous and violates
the underview argument the NC makes in
the INR. Furthermore, the AC ~~used~~
~~little to no work on the AC~~
allows the Affend the NC with little to no
effort bc the attacks made mostly
just generated defense

Room: 2513
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Trinh, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lovejoy AR

Ashley Rihani

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Colleyville Heritage CW

Celine Wei

POINTS

Max = 30

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Lovejoy
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: The NC goes for frivolous
theory ~~and~~ which ~~reiterates~~
~~the paradigm~~ is explained in
the paradigms that it wouldn't
be a good idea.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway IL

Max = 30

Ivin Lam

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westlake AC

Max = 30

Amanda Chen

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westlake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Alex Joyner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: framework is oddly not descriptive and even in cross-ex, you couldn't elaborate.

Neg: Don't Run theory if pref says don't run it without major 7's / asking how I want it Run

- RFD
1. Neg establishes Aff must disclose case. Aff drops the shell → 100% strength of length
 2. Aff uses framework and K is only arg links

Room: 2403
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Zollo, Maggie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Grapevine RS

Ruth Schlenker

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Burleson Centennial HM

Hope Moffatt

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the aff from grapevine
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Margaret Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

real

- be ~~careful~~ careful
about not letting
into speaking for
others

• didn't address
the manner of the
K - generalized all
afropeers hit

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Montgomery GC

Max = 30

Grayson Constantine

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Garland BM

Max = 30

Brandon Molina

28

The better debating was done by the aff from Montgomery
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Margaret Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 2A theory responses were okay, could have been more structured & I should have just not spent time on the big school prep because of the non unique
- It was kind of weird how you read my paradigm, have been judged by me before, I asked more questions, ^{in court} where I explained how I was pretty reluctant to vote for disclosure, I still went for disclosure theory w/ competing interp.
- do competing interps work on the front end, otherwise bites into over-unders coverage issue presented by aff

real critique given

Room: 1464
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Daniel, Julia

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College VL

Vincent Liu

POINTS

Max = 30

28.6

NEGATIVE

Plano East HG

Hamsika Gorty

POINTS

Max = 30

28.4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Strake Jesuit College
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Bought no link on the K, even though it could be some extra explanation, but obviously there is a thing.

Also, if you're going for the no link w/ a community based approach you could probably be the perm too since communities are somehow different.

Room: 1464
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Daniel, Julia

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian JQ

Max = 30

Jack Quisenberry

29
~~29.4~~ AL

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College WH

Max = 30

Wyatt Hatfield

29.4

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake Jesuit College
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Didn't buy condo bc conceded the intrp
was bad for debate
Means the DA & CP was dropped &
they probably o/w and (S)
Should have reallocated time & trust
no one would vote shoe theory & good arg

Room: 2347
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Sood, Jalaj

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha ZC Max = 30

Zoey Chacon

29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Pflugerville CD

Max = 30

Coltrane Drexler

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from BASIS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Zoey - Cool Aff case, read into Deleuze and his opinion on LSD and its freeing capabilities

Coltrane - It's like you're having your whole own debate on the side dude. If you don't understand how the Aff functions or works spend more time trying to understand it. Ask specific questions about framing and the implications of important args

RFD: AFF is conceded, my ballot is a ~~partick~~ to be used to fight microfascism

Room: 2347
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Sood, Jalaj

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Jack C. Hays PF

Patrick Fox

POINTS

Max = 30

29.9

NEGATIVE

George Ranch AA

Asad Ahmed

POINTS

Max = 30

27.9

The better debating was done by the AFF from Jack C Hays
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Patrick - Great IAR,

Asad - I think it's best to just follow disclosure norms so you can avoid this debate. Need to do more work on internal ~~ca~~ link weighing.

RFP: Vote off disclosure shell in the IAC

Room: 1707
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Mambapoor, Dhruv

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Greenhill AM	Max = 30	Kinkaid hs	Max = 30
Anna Myers	<u>29.6</u>	hannah shi	<u>29.1</u>

The better debating was done by the AFF from GREENHILL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff:

- IAR on k had the "aff is a form of rejection" 4-5 times with no new warrants or relevant additions, 'just say it once' slowly

- The pet con needs a separate warrant than Condo Ethics and it needs more time

2AR

- repeated "conceded semantics" "text first" 4 times w/ nothin new spend time answering the "BPP did not get support" 3 "the implementation is continued outside args"

Neg:

- Better T definition
 - its also vague bc it uses "especially"
- Spend more time on more important issues.
ask yourself "what argument can I not drop?"

RFD:

Voted Aff on the I meet / Interp is vague

Room: 1707
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Mambapoor, Dhruv

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands SC

Max = 30

Shristi Chitlangia

26.1

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cross Timbers Christi NS

Max = 30

Nathan Smith

28.5 ^{DM}

The better debating was done by the NEG from LTC NS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

[Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff:

- better time management
don't drop the DA
- Needs better
solvency extension
in the IAR, get specific
- Have some theoretical out
on the kritike
make a pert-con between
K&T and Fed DA

RFD:

Voted NEG on
Federalism DA

Neg:

- better Link articulation
I don't know what the
link is at the end of the 2N

- you should have a
prewritten story of
the critic
- ① Fundamental
World view
- ② impact
- ③ weighing
- ④ Links
o claim
o Author/outrage
o Example from aff
IL to I
Impact
weighing
- ⑤ All +
DA to per Aff solves
mutually
exclusive

Room: 2577
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Stuckert, JP

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Houston Memorial DX

Daniel Xu

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Smithson Valley MA

Maddie Aguilar

POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Smithson Valley
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Vote neg on K
- The ZN might misunderstand the perm, but the IAR & ZAR never cleared it up.
- The ZN answer to Bryant & Zanotti is allowable b/c it's against the application of the spkr
- AH solves AC, & neg wins forward
- Don't vote on disclosure
- Neg wins counter-intapp
- Aff wins no RVI

Room: 2577
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Stuckert, JP

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Melissa HC

Hallie Crain

POINTS

Max = 30

28.2

NEGATIVE

Monsignor Kelly Catho PS

Parsa Safa

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Monsignor Kelly
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

All Impacts under both frameworks come down to
whoever reduces drug use.

Neg wins that treatment in prisons works,
based on the turn to Chandler

Neg wins deterrence against drug use based
on Singapore example & Gynell 17 card

Vote neg on NC offense

Room: 2573
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Neeley, Alyson

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

St. Agnes Academy AJ

Max = 30

Aisha Jalal

29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Prosper IP

Max = 30

Isabelle Petros

29

The better debating was done by the Neg. from Prosper IP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ✓

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg wins FOU A-C → flows to neg since Aff didn't
address D&E till 2AR.
Aff drops Neg con. cards & only talks in general

Room: 1441
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Koshak, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill AZ

Max = 30

Anais Zhang

29

NEGATIVE

Prosper KS

Kaleb Simes

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Greenhill AZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I affirm. The neg drops the argument that the K doesn't meet its own burden of showing how the AC specifically makes Anti-Blackness worse. The K has no link, so I vote on the AC. I also think the AFF is winning the perm debate, so even if I grant a risk of link, the aff resolves it.

Room: 1441
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Koshak, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements AU

Ahad Ullah

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Carroll GI

Gautam Iyer

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Carroll GI
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I negate. The negative is winning the line-by-line of the RVI debate, which means theory becomes a non-voting issue after it's reached by the neg. The argument on RVIs that wins it for the neg is that granting RVIs kills resolvability of debates ~~which is a bad thing~~. I grant time-skew and strat-skew to the neg. After that I pull the trigger on the DA.

Room: 2556
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Andrews, Blake

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

George Ranch MS

Mohammad Sheikh

POINTS

Max = 30

~~29.2~~ 29.2 BX

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit Coll ArM

Arun Mehra

POINTS

Max = 30

29.3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

46 verbal.
LFO ORAL +
Confusion
words
requires
truth testing
etc conceded

30
second

max
near
3 states
hard
Legalize

Q Engle
work
to vote
on reps K

Room: 2556
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Andrews, Blake

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Greenhill MR

Mihir Rai

POINTS

Max = 30

~~29.8~~ 29.8

BA

NEGATIVE

Garland KP

Khoa Pham

POINTS

Max = 30

~~29.8~~ 29.8

BA

The better debating was done by the Aff from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: M. C.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD ORAL
No violation
Kant Risk of
aff solidarity
+ .5 for everyone
being nice in round

This is a super
close round.

Aff
wins

Neg

I think
you could

get more

strat
w/ sp

w/ tr

Southwest

Differer

then Kant

Aff

I like

- Gordon +

Bloom

also +

- it would
be cool to
read a lot of
Kant.

Room: 1713
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

De La O, Dino

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Clements JX

Max = 30

Jarvis Xie

28.4

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Falls RK

Max = 30

Rajveer Kindra

28.7

The better debating was done by the NEG
AFF or NEG

^{D.P.}
from Cypress Falls RK
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think aff loses RV1 so I cant vote on the * C.I even
if won. Only other offense is AR theory which
they are way behind on.

Room: 1713
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

De La O, Dino

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian ABr

Max = 30

Austin Broussard

28.4

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood TL

Max = 30

Truman Le

29.1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Westwood TL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2AR goes for perm - I don't buy the
args. Too new

Room: 1411
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

McKinley, Jonath

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clear Brook AS

Adarsh Suresh

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Cypress Ranch MZ

Michael Zhou

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from Michael Zhou
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

* Both of you had great speed, analysis,
& CX composure.

- Neg if you had a Trump
Pro-drug court use would
highly improve link.

SV vs UTIL - NEG (UTIL) - Bought argument that government
obligated to identify needs of all parts of society (multicultural)

Drug Courts vs. PH - ^{AFF} Based on AFF evidence & DC connection to
ineffective status quo I went AFF on this contention of
PH superiority.

I feel based on Neg Criteria of Util it comes down to AFF winning
based on scope of impact (African Americans, minorities, women, women of color, ~~etc~~ Immigrants,
etc....) ~~And~~ If I buy the NEG Trump DA, AFF meets UTIL
criteria best. Based on neg rebuttal of Trump DA I was given
enough of a fear to way extinction as most UTILITARIAN

Room: 1411
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

McKinley, Jonath

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Cooper NK

Nia King

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Clements UU

Uneeb Ullah

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Uneeb Ullah
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: J. McKinley

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

* GAVE MOST OF MY THOUGHTS POST ROUND TO DEBATERS.

AFF

- Aff. wins K based on lack of plan text demand of neg. In my mind fairness applies to both in theory based neg analysis.

- Aff. was late to attack fairness of theory. Based on that & the direct address of matter consistently through debate I have to go neg.

Ida

NEG

- slow down on taglines & during analysis.

- Be prepared for Anti-blackness K having to outweigh benefits to society at large in multi-cultural countries/world.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AZ

Max = 30

Alice Zhang

29.1

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit Coll AnM

Max = 30

Aneel Mehra

29

The better debating was done by the aff from West wood
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Reason to reject aff interp is ground. Issues I have with this:

1. Neg still has access to generics
2. Neg agrees that PICs also get read under aff interp
3. Never given specific ground loss that aff interp causes

Aff also argues neg should prepare for minutes & have ground against him

Reason to reject neg interp is an overproduction of ground, 1,000s of PICs etc. All ~~inputs~~ inputs are under fairness & I have defensive reasons to pref aff as well as offensive reasons to reject neg. Only reason to reject aff is argued properly - I don't think neg proves ground loss, and the 100% risk due to the 'drop' ignores what the 2AR then extends from the 1AR: the turn on ground the Counter-Interp solves. Considering those PICs all like to whole read & don't link to spec, TVA doesn't solve

Plan vs. CP: Plan has alcohol specific solvency for rehab, CP gets drunk drivers off the roads but returns them to road while rehab solves the issue.

Room: 1709
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Ehresman, Katya

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Woods AZ

Max = 30

Angela Zhong

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Marcus KL


Max = 30

Kyle Lleras

29.9 ^{KL}

The better debating was done by the Aff from CY Woods
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

+6

So many shells. "

ZAR needed unifying

RFD
Vote on the vote
implication of
bidirectionality
Puis

Honestly I am not the best judge
for this round but I think
the ZAR needed explicit
answer to bidirectionality on
Puis in ZAR

ZAR should've
explicitly extended
offense on the shell
bc you winning
Puis better should've
win for being fair

This round could've
gone either way

Room: 1709
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Ehresman, Katya

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Village AF

Max = 30

Central PE

Max = 30

Adnan Fatakdawala


27.5

Paysen Epley

25

The better debating was done by the Aff from Village
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- .5 for cutting off
opp often + keep
speaking despite
asked

Both of y'all are incredibly aggressive
when talking about a very
intimate + important issue of women's
health + gendered stigma "be better"

- We should
include a cp
to actually get
access to your
debate.

- Don't spread/be
aggressive against a
opponent that isn't prepared
to debate that style
equitably

- This IAR should've
sat on this + call it
out if you actually
care about gendered
oppression

Both of you should
stop calling women
already marginalized
"these people"

★ let's not call women
irrational beings...
PLEASE + THANK YOU

You have been at
this tournament for
4 rounds - you
should know about
the wiki of speaking.

Note off
on risk of
offense/solutes
but I am
highly disappointed
in this round

This round made me uncomfortable as a woman.

Room: 2345
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Shah, Rishabh

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Plano East AK

Ameera Khan

POINTS

Max = 30

29.2

NEGATIVE

Lovejoy CM

Collins Morgan

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

The better debating was done by the Aff from Plano East
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Vote on case offense; aff wins they get
to weigh case

Room: 2345
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Shah, Rishabh

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

McNeil KK

Hebron ZR

Kartik Kotamraju

Zoha Rizvi

POINTS

POINTS

Max = 30

Max = 30

28.1

28.4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Hebron
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Rishabh Shah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Neg wins treatments DA & CL mitigates sufficient case offense
(DA QW marginal case offense)

Room: 1363
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Chao, Isaac

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College JH

Max = 30

Joshua Herrera

29.4

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Episcopal School ZH

Max = 30

Zan Haq

29.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Episcopal School ZH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

• Running out of things to say/read in the 1AC is a little awkward. AFF is really light on substance; if the NEG throws down on a VARP debate and engages with the AFF directly it's going to be a tough 1AR.

• Probably should have allocated time in the 1NC to provide an explanation for why arguments specific to the U.S. (or to countries with black people living there) are relevant to the specific AFF scenario.

• Not showing card names means that signposting the line-by-line AFF answers is difficult and, therefore, hard to follow.

Round comes down to the Evans 15 double turn on the K.

There is conceded weighing here but that's contingent on the AFF winning the offense in the first place. There are two responses that the NEG makes: #2 my experience is relevant and anti-black ideas can be the lens through which we understand the oppression of other groups and #3 the criticism is specific to one round/context. The warrant for #2 is never answered and the answer to #3 is unclear, so I negate on the K.

Room: 1363
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Chao, Isaac
LC

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Carroll SM

Max = 30

Samir Mohsin

29.8

NEGATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson MR

Max = 30

Malik Rawashdeh

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Carroll SM.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- I don't understand why people are cutting cards like this size 4 font for unhighlighted makes it infeasible to check your work. Makes me believe in font-size theory sometimes..
- I appreciate that the AFF is heavier in substance than some of these 3-card plans I've been judging.

Some criticisms of the INC:

- This Kant NC is too long for you; took you 5 minutes to read it, and you conceded all the warrants for Util coming out of the IAC
- Extremely unclear how you generate offense under Kant. Is the requirement is that domestic violence offenders go to jail, why can't the AFF just say "it's illegal we incarcerate abusers, just not for drug crimes"?

There is a conceded actor spec warrant for util in both the INC/DNR so I evaluate the round on the basis of which debater does a better job of maximizing expected wellbeing.

The only piece of defense on AC C1 (Heger + Keller 17) is answered (about numbers but proportion) and AC C1 is weighed in both the IAR and 2AR against the DA/NC (cyclical violence) so I affirm there.

Room: 2365
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Berdugo, Erick

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

James Bowie TW

Tate Weston

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

NEGATIVE

McNeil AR

Abhishek Rao

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF/NEG

from

James Bowie TW
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: OT DJ

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

T → ~~solitary~~ is a mandate of the law for the penalty it is w/ the context of imprisonment as a way to handle a situation that most likely occurs while in prison. Neg. this is the better answer versus the "all" removal. You briefly mention in CX.

→ Can use to augment SV ~~SV~~
- (There is a representation before a judge or formal charge for these offenses)

ATFD: ~~TE~~ T - ① Aff arguent that no author would advocate for legalization of all drugs. is the main strategy arguent for me for allowing access to ~~isolated~~ isolated (specific) plans
② Neg. position of all ~~is what~~ is what deters. b/c the above arguent would have won the day.

Room: 2365
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Berdugo, Erick

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westlake AL

Max = 30

Andrew Lee

29.4

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands AH

Max = 30

Andrew Harding

29.3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Westlake AL
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: ATB

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- ① The team makes, b/c the fact that health + view of it is a direct strike @ body control and processes.
- ② ROR fits w/ the Frang of the Aff as there is nothg. that prevents this from being a step-wise action of a Aff ballot.
- ③ The only Neg. def argnt is that the Aff is still wrong. Neo.Lib control - But there is no answer to the fact that the Neg of PH. is essentially a Δ in hedge thinking. + thus a challenge to control.

Room: 2346
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Powell, DeMarcus

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Strake Jesuit College JP	Max = 30	Lake Travis MM	Max = 30
Josh Porter	<u>28.8</u>	Mackenzie Matheson	<u>28.7</u>

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake Jesuit JP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: VOTE Aff. I thought the 2NR went for too much and made some critical drops. I thought the speech should have just been the Kritik flow and case. The 100% drop of the contingent freedom argument along with a lack of weighting on this made it hard to vote neg. I also thought you should have gone to the case page since a chunk of the offense you were winning depended on winning the wilderson ev read on case.

So I voted aff, as a strategy of incoherence. I don't think the neg won the standards debate on T and the impacts off of contingent freedom mean I can't vote on the K.

Room: 2346
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Powell, DeMarcus

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hebron PN

Max = 30

Kinkaid sm

Max = 30

Philip Ng


28.3

shreya mehta

28.6

The better debating was done by the neg from Kinkaid SM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: vote neg for the K. Aff doesn't get a perm because of dropped no perm args from the 100c. this means neg wins 100% of offense on the K flow. Outweighs the Aff. Even if I don't vote on the alt I can vote on the Pharma line turning the neg's advantage of the alt or the clarity turn that is not handled well by the Aff.

Room: 2348
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Wright, Kris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Village AI

Aditya Iyer

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Houston Memorial AQ

Andrew Qin

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Village AI
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Kris Wright

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

ARP wins IAR shell d theory weighing

Room: 2348
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Wright, Kris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Stony Point CS

Caitlin Smith

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Westwood DL

Davina Le

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from Stony Point CS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Great round

REF

AFF wins counter-interp to disclosure shell + RVI

AFF's "I meet" on 2nd shell + leveraging "spirit of the interp" in 2AC was very smart. I do agree with the AFF that in fact the neg is not forced to do more to win, & that the neg's offense is really grounded in their premise.

~~However, the neg's offense is really grounded in their premise.~~

Perhaps there is some marginal offense from the shell produced by not being able to win under the AFF framework as easily as the AFF; however, I don't think the neg develops the warrants well enough in that direction.

Neither debater really weighs between the CI + RVI vs 2nd shell, so I'm left to do that.

I think AFF's I-meet mitigates most (if not all) the offense in the 2nd shell.

I vote on the CI + RVI

Room: 1483
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5 McConway, Camero

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AL

Max = 30

Clements TR

Max = 30

Alex Lee

28.5

Thomas Rivera

28.9

The better debating was done by the neg from Clements
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Cameron McConway

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted neg on the K - I don't think the aff is winning the disclosure shell or the ROB, and there are two conceded links.

Room: 1483
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5 McConway, Camero

LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson AR

Max = 30

Aseel Rawashdeh

27.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Trinity Valley KK

Max = 30

Kishan Kalaria

28.9

The better debating was done by the Neg from Trinity Valley
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted neg because I think the aff is behind on the TVA argument and engagement impacts on + that are impacted back to her role of the ballot.

Room: 1331
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Tom, Neville

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Carnegie Vanguard AB

Arnav Burudgunte

POINTS

Max = 30

29.9

NEGATIVE

Seven Lakes RR

Rohan Rao

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the Aff from Carnegie Vanguard
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Presumption ≠ Permissibility
Rest was Oral

Room: 1477
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Henderson, Domin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood SM

Max = 30

Stony Point AH

Max = 30

Saavan Myneni

29

Arnav Hiray

29.1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Stony Pt.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NOT THE RFD JUST A SPACE FOR ME TO PROCESS

AFF W/0 CASH DFR CANNOT WIN - UNFAIR

NEG CONSIDER OUTSIDE = INF REGRESSION

THERE IS NO BL TO OUT OF ROUND

RVI W/0 RAIN THEORY
THEORY PUSH AWAY FROM SUBSTANCE

AFF/NEG UNLESS RATE ON POTENTIAL

AFF SAY NOT OUTSIDE B/C GOOD NORM - BUT REQUIRE OUR DETERMINATION TO GET TO NORM

INF AUTHORITY GRANT LX (AFF) NEWLY SAYS BAD JUDGE - WHY? ALSO NEW

EXPOST FACTO - NEG SAY NO AUTHORITY - AFF SAYS THIS IS JUST INF REGRESSION WHY? NOT SURE

AFF SAYS NOT A RULE NORM, BUT WHERE IS BL TO NORM? WHY EXPOST IS = INF REGRESSION

RFD

ZAR concedes that I should look at potential to access a link to abuse despite it could have been linked to the actual substance read in the debate. The potential and any actual abuse would all be outside of the debate but this is not regressive. The ZAR answer here seems new and frankly not really understood - If I vote for you being a sexist pig (for example) because I ~~do~~ want to not endorse you why does this make me a bad judge. The RVI debate now - 2 reasons why RVI good checks first theory and theory diverts time from substance thus answer is needed.

Room: 1477
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Henderson, Domin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AK

Max = 30

Prosper AA

Max = 30


Akhila Keeranoor

29

Alexander Antonakakis 29.1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Prosper
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

CASE TURN - The case turns seem to assume to assume decision not alt.
sentence mandate

(K)

- 2 no link
AFF decrease stigma - no reason why the alt does not achieve this ends
thus it is not a unique link turn to the (K)

No psychotropic - I think the neg is wrong not to engage but this is damaging
to Guantani 2 but neg is going for the classification link and this is
not denied

- Perm - other incidents

I feel like I have no idea what these other incidents are or how
that would interact w/ the (K) as the implication is specific and not systematic
The neg extend of the Guantani 1 evidence here seems to prove both
the link that the perm does not address and the implications

- The blip on the bottom of the (K) flow

Room: 2373
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Koshak, Jacob

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Carroll TR

Max = 30

Tarun Ratnasabapathy 28.2

NEGATIVE

Greenhill BZ

POINTS

Max = 30

Brian Zhou 28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Greenhill BZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think Aff. doesn't extend cohesive offense on the case debate or extends through rule, adv 2, so no way to easily generate offense on this. Debate comes down to if the scholarship and if Aff is twisted and if the ontology claims are true, liberal economy, the claims that progress is possible do not provide reasons why the liberal economy is incorrect and why this clashes w/ the contradiction evidence. I would have argued that the claim is not grounded in clear social psychology that is true. The scholarship false so negate.

Room: 2373
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Koshak, Jacob
LC

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

A&M Consolidated CC

Max = 30

Celine Choi

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson KS

Max = 30

Kyle Stephens

28.3

The better debating was done by the NEG from LC Anderson KS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote for Neg on disclosure.

Room: 1309
Flight A: Start 200pm
LD

5

Emerson, Eric

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

1:16

:07

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College JG

Max = 30

Dulles JG

Max = 30

Joseph Georges

28.1

Joshua George

28.3

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Dulles
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

JOEY - NEED TO BE CLEARER ON CITES. IMPOSSIBLE TO
FOLLOW. YOU NEED TO ELABORATE MORE / CONSIDERATION
YOU SPEAK INTO COMPUTER. YOU NEED TO FOLLOW UP
YOUR QUESTIONS OF NC. GOOD QUESTIONS BUT YOU
DON'T DO ANYTHING WITH THEM OR IMPACT THEM. ^{TO LONG EXPL.} ~~THE CASE~~
SOSY - TURN TO FACE JUDGE IN CX. THIS IS AWKWARD
VERY DISORGANIZED - YOU ARE ALL OVER THE PLACE
CX, NOT SURE WHY EFFECTIVENESS IS AN IMPACT.
NOT SURE WHY YOU GO TO D/A IN NR.
WASTE OF TIME / NO WEIGHING. YOU ARE
NOT GONNA WIN IT.
RFD - AFF HAS NO OFFENSE ON IMPACT &
2AR DROPS NR WEIGHING.

CT - WHOSE & AFF SUBJECT? No, 1A is

- ADDRESS - No SEC (I) - & UNDERSTAND MASSIVE HITS

- PERSONAL USE - SELF

- VACCINATION = DRUGS - NOT TUCKER, : AFF ↓ %

- NUCA? DUTY - (U) DIA - ISSUE STATISTICS - DEAS

- DEAS - NO SAMPLE - 100;

④ ABUSE -

② AFF + GENERAL PURPOSE: PERSONAL USE -

WHAT IS DROP? STRUCTURES (AND IMPROVED ON

BODY → CONTROL

TRAIL - INSTA FUND SAME CONTROL - \$

1st DETAIL (I) FIVE

FBI CAPA - WARM

Room: 1309
Flight B: Start 300pm
LD

5

Emerson, Eric

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Colleyville Heritage SM

Max = 30

Sarah Manjee

28.8

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College DH

Max = 30

David Herrera

28.9

The better debating was done by the AFF from Colleyville
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

SARAH - GOOD DEFENSE OF AFF IN CX. GREAT CX OF NC BUT FOLLOW UP ON LINK DISCUSSION. NC CONTRADICTS & YOU NEED TO EXPLOIT THAT.

DAVID - TARDY TO DEBATE. TIME LINES IS A VAGUE. SMOOTH CX OF AC, NEEDED FOLLOWING UP QUESTIONS. CX IS EXTREMELY VAGUE ON ACT, ESPECIALLY AS IT RELATES TO STRATEGIES SPECIFIC TO NEG, HAV, ETC.

AFF WANTS PUN & INDIRECT TO MC LEAN. STATE INEFFECTIVE & AFF EDUCATION = GOOD

CT - ACTION - Don't, I WANT = USF6. Anywhere US
OR WOULD
STATE ARI - YES - AXN GOOD
3. CARRY - SPEC METH - DISSE
GROUPS OPP
DISSE = CAUSE / Policy
WAY ONLY? AND ETHICAL
THEORY WHERE
TOD - How AVER w/IN RAND -
MANALIZE GROUP

ACT - WHAT MEAN? SPACE ENDMARK
POST FORM PURE AXN & NO W. MARK.
OTHER FORM? RESET NOT? RESET 5-10
DEF NET, STATE CONTROL, COULD BE RESET
STATE - (OA)
MCLEAN - ROAD LIKE - RIGHT TO LIFE
IS STATES - EXCUD = STATES DECIDE
HARM REDUCER - DISEASE - MUGFORD