

Room: 1363
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Koshak, Jacob

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Colleyville Heritage IN

Itbaan Nafi

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Westwood AL

Alex Lee

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the

NEG
AFF or NEG

from

Westwood AL
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

vote neg on the arg that
Aff F/w perpetrators cap
by nature of policy discussion
framing. Indeed reason to
vote Neg under MC F/w.

Room: 2349
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

De La O, Dino

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood SM

Saavan Myneni

POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

NEGATIVE

Centennial NS

Nidhi Sonwalkar

POINTS

Max = 30

28.2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Westwood SM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

vote aff on disclosure

Room: 1549
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4


Ha, Steven

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Westwood DL	Max = 30	Burges AQ	Max = 30
Davina Le	<u>30</u>	Alyssa Quesada	<u>29</u>

The better debating was done by the Aff from Westwood DL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: I vote aff on risk of offense
Hansen 14, and Kamkar-Jaffe 18 goes
conceded.
Turns made on the NC were dropped
perm was also dropped.

Room: 1517
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Smith, Julie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Lubbock ID

Max = 30

Isaac Doty

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

PSJA early College IR

Max = 30

Ixoye Ramirez

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from LUBBOCK ID
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Julie M. Smith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF ARGUES THAT NEG IMPACTS
ARE WORSE IN STATUS QUO
THAN IN AFF'S WORLD.

Room: 1363
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Hightower, Canda

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Dulles TJ

Tony Jha

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

NEGATIVE

Cypress Falls KA

Kathryn Alejandre

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Dulles TJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

- Good job making the most of the short Aff rebuttals and using time wisely
- Great speaker
- Don't drop Aff solvency

Neg

- Please make sure you aren't late to rounds (especially the first round of the day)
- Great speaker!
- Really good job sign posting, using turnas, cross apply, etc.
- Don't contradict your own case when attacking

RFD

Aff wins FW - morality changes law not vice versa
under Aff FW, Aff arguments outweigh
Neg cont I - non unique & contradicts

Room: 1331
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Koshak, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit Coll AnM

Aneel Mehra

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Houston Memorial AQ

Andrew Qin

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Houston Memorial AQ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I negate. The link to the K is dropped (the aff seems to reduce it to a question of stations when its a question about paradigms of health and the relation to anti-gay violence). There are some weighing debates to resolve, mainly the material violence question. The neg is winning this debate in two places: (1) the claim that the K controls the internal link to the question of policy-making and (2) the argument that the K resolves the root cause of material violence and takes into account the question of material violence through this notion of overkill. After that, the alt is pretty much conceded so I vote there.

Room: 2556
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Kessler, Todd

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Franklin JC

Jessie Coleman

POINTS

Max = 30

27

NEGATIVE

Woodlands JB

Joshua Bih

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Woodlands JB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This round favors Neg because Neg won out on the framework debate showing how morality encompasses the mindset of individualism, Neg also used superior evidence to show how there have been failures as in Portugal and how scientific studies upheld the idea that voluntary treatments are not as effective for drug addicts, especially teenagers meaning they would not get the help they need under the Affirmative, Neg links compulsory treatments to the criminal justice system and how they would be more effective through the CJ system.

Prep
A 2.30
N 2.30

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Lovejoy CM

Max = 30

Collins Morgan

29.0

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College JH

Max = 30

Joshua Herrera

29.6

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake JH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

The standard for the 3! advocate ARE NEW in the 2012
Also note of the 2012 seems response to the pre-empt
that any such standards would provide no clear brightness
for the quality of that advocate. Also the 2012 impact
story is wrapped in mostly ~~past~~ potential abuse and the
justification on potential versus in round is not flesh out
enough thus even with a violation the abuse is check
by the fact that the lie is about process not actor and
the 2012 work here push to only a slight risk of fairness
issue and the work on that justifying the ballot goes
unanswered with the 2012

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

St. Agnes Academy AJ

Aisha Jalal

POINTS

Max = 30

27.

NEGATIVE

PSJA Southwest AC

Alyssa Castillo

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from PSJA Southwest AC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: ERA

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff- you say there is a distinction b/w decriminalization but never explain or outline what that is.

Disclome- At minimum you need to ask your opponent for the case prior to the debate. Since you do this, no reason to run it.

RFD: ① No explanation b/w decriminalization & legalization makes it impossible to weigh as Aff offense

② The Neg. claims that Aff policies have been implemented but don't work, Aff even reads a card that drug use ↑, which is the insal inability for the Aff to solve.

Room: 2511
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Berdugo, Erick
LC

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Abilene MF

Max = 30

Makayne Favor

27

NEGATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson MR

Max = 30

Malik Rawashdeh

27.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from LC Anderson MR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: CPBA

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AR - Never engaged in depth analysis.
Kept referring to "we will get to the point"

- No viable arguments on the CP were made.

NC-R - technically ok, but it lacked in
depth analysis.

RFD: CP Drapped - IT is a PIC
G. the Solving Fits + goes uncontested
+ bullfills the FW of the Aff.

Room: 2550
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Ullah, Mohammad

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Concordia Lutheran CS

Camilla Scott

POINTS

Max = 30

27

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College BB

Brendan Barrett

POINTS

Max = 30

27

The better debating was done by the Neg from Strake Jesuit College
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M. Ullah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) framing collapse to structural violence; no warrant why we have to go with Aff's Role of the Bulb
- 2) Substance:
 - CP: Aff drops Neg's emphasis on recidivism w/ prison rehab v. voluntary rehab. Aff gives no counter statistic here.
 - DA: Aff doesn't respond to internal link: where there is no threat or punishment (but no formally recognized legal scheme) people may still be associated / caught up in life of crime.
- 3) Aff's main argument that being physically in prison has its own set of harms but reads no evidence to support those claims.

The K as a whole feels incomplete. Aff is also needing work defending itself.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Lovejoy KC

Max = 30

Kaya Czyz

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clear Brook KP

Max = 30

Kent Pham

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Kaya Czyz
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

M. Ullah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) Framing: Neg dropped the Role of Ballot L & (role of judge too).
- 2) AC pretty much dropped substantively. could not give the cite / card they read from Planned Parenthood.
 - Autonomy Advantage, Safety AD dropped.
 - Text is spec to drugs, not abortion itself. Neg doesn't incorporate the distinction into his analysis.
- 3) Impact calc. very difficult to weigh in Neg's favor when Aff generates as much offense as they do.
 - Neg needs to work on using evidence in attacking Aff case. should probably ask for disclosure on Aff.
 - Cite & cut cards!
- 4) Also the perm is a tool for the Aff not the Neg.

March 14-16, 2019

Max = 30

There is
much flying
around
in the
2AR ~~section~~
~~section~~
~~section~~
press,
the evidence
about Dattwerk
already having
away from the
WOO because
of public pressure
to WOO is
not mitigated
mitigation of
acts.

Room: 2403
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Pozza, Amy

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands AH

Andrew Harding

POINTS

Max = 30

NEGATIVE

Garland IR

Ishan Rerreddy

POINTS

Max = 30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Garland IR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Amy Pozza

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff No show 10:23 a.m.

Room: 2367
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Mambapoor, Dhruv

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Garland BM

Brandon Molina

POINTS

Max = 30

~~28.2~~ ^{DM} 28.2

NEGATIVE

Clements PK

Parin Kashyap

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from GARLAND
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Dhruv

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

· meets T shell

· proves a DA to the K

↳ shows that affs materiality
claims are sufficient offense

Room: 2367
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4 Mambapoor, Dhruv

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

James Bowie TW

Tate Weston

POINTS

Max = 30

29.2

NEGATIVE

Woodlands LW

Lulu Whitson

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from JAMES BOWIE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Dhruv

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote Aff on conceded AFC shell

Room: 1578
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Aamar, Taha

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Central MG

Max = 30

Micah Golden

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clear Lake JC

Max = 30

Jay Collura

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Jay Collura
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

fff: v: collective

vc: util

Oh

Neg:

v: morality

vc: util

RFD: Affirmative conceded 4 arguments of neg where
as neg linked back into framework.

Room: 1578
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Aamar, Taha

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha RN Max = 30

Rija Naqvi

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Lubbock GY Max = 30

Griffin Young

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Rija Naqvi
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: V: morality

Vc: structural violence

Neg: V: Pragmatism

Vc: Util

RFD: Voted Aff for the round since they linked into
Negs framework while upholding their own.

Room: 2348
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Andrews, Blake

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lake Travis BO

Blake Ochoa

POINTS

Max = 30

29.2

NEGATIVE

Westwood SK

Sneha Kamal

POINTS

Max = 30

28.7

The better debating was done by the XXX from Lake Travis
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: [Signature] [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2 min

2:56

RFD ORAL +

I Vote Aff.

Aff winning militarism

Root Cause of other

Violence / Risk Solving

militarism.

Date Rec PIC Not

Revised Euro-g

AACC
Evidence
is awesome.

Room: 2348
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Andrews, Blake

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Keller JB

Jada Bourne

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

NEGATIVE

Plano East HG

Hamsika Gorty

POINTS

Max = 30

28.9

The better debating was done by the NEG from Keller
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: no

Signed: Bu W

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION: 55

RFD ORAL +

I vote
Aff on Smith/
Dillon extension
Superiority
D. Sad

+5
to both
Debaters
for being
nice + having
productive conversation
about problems
in debate

Room: 2346
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Ehresman, Katya

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Greenhill AM

Anna Myers

POINTS

Max = 30

29.9

NEGATIVE

Clements AU

Ahad Ullah

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CP Rem
Solvency needs
to be more
clear

① the DA
clear
case offense

W. in
humanizing
adv. neg

RFD

vote
as an
try on
chile

inc on
case = rebuttal

az humanize
solvency weights

Room: 2346
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Ehresman, Katya

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Greenhill MR

Mihir Rai

POINTS

Max = 30

29.3

NEGATIVE

Colleyville Heritage CW

Celine Wei

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the


Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Greenhill
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

vote on
aff risk of solvency
& alt impact UQ
takeat

Room: 1331
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Daniel, Julia

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College BE

Max = 30

Ben Erdmann

29.8

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Plano West AG

Max = 30

Anirudh Garg

27.6

The better debating was done by the AFF from Strake Jesuit College
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

[Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF wins their outweigh & has full
Solvency and won the turn on the PIC.

Room: 1723
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Ghandour, George

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Borger AH

Max = 30

Addison Hook

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Central PE

Max = 30

Paysen Epley

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Paysen Epley
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: George Ghandour

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral Critiques

Room: 1723
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Ghandour, George

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Village AK

Aman Kumar

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Clear Brook PV

Prateesh Vijayakumar

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Prateesh Vijayakumar
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

At 17:00

1 Aff fails to prove Consequentialism as both ~~main~~ structural violence and what leads to this falls into Consequentialism. Neg wins VC Argument.

- ↳ No viability in Con 1 for Aff
- ↳ Doesn't address unnecessary Mutual Exclusivity in Con 2.
- ↳ Doesn't address increase in CD from Sterile worker policy in Con 3.

Room: 1709
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Gravley, Paul

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Kinkaid hs

hannah shi

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

NEGATIVE

Colleyville Heritage SM

Sarah Manjee

POINTS

Max = 30

29.4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Kinkaid Hs
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote on the conceded turns to the K.

Room: 1709
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Gravley, Paul
LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson SJ

Sesh Joe

Max = 30

29.8

NEGATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha ZC

Max = 30

Zoey Chacon

29

The better debating was done by the APP from Anderson SJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: PM

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) Perm Doubt - BMD
Net benefits Case
- 2) Revolution is almost immediate
- 3) ~~Per~~ Best model for debaters both Fiat and
Kritikal.

Room: 1411
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Neeley, Alyson

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha MS Max = 30

Woodlands CMU Max = 30

Mridula Shan

28.5

Camila Munoz

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Woodlands CMU
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

FW - wash

Neg is only one that shows what the action would look like. AFF just says we should but not really what it would look like. AFF attempts to flat a mindset shift w/ no solvency.

Room: 1411
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Neeley, Alyson

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements CY

Christine Yuan

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Louis E. Brandeis SR

Saba Rajput

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Clements CY
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) Neg shows no link to Res or AFF
- 2) you can still discuss cap but you have to link
- 3) Neg drops ALL IAR ~~de~~ attacks for cap bad.

Room: 2583
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Riggins, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Harlingen South KN

Kaidyn Nelson

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Leander LB

Luke Bartelt

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Leander LB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg - read the Zimmerman card in the NC rather than the NR
Aff - you have to specifically address why your impacts outweigh
the Neg's not just say that they do
- your smoking in the 80s example is exactly why the Neg
is making the point he is making

Room: 2583
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Riggins, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Smithson Valley MA

Max = 30

Maddie Aguilar

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Carroll PD

Max = 30

Paul Doherty

30

The better debating was done by the APP from Smithson Valley MA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: yes

Signed: Matthew Riggins

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

APP wins on framework

- gave oral critique

Room: 2345
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Johnson, Austin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clements ZA

Max = 30

Dulles NJ

Max = 30

Zoya Ahmed

29.0

Neer Jain

29.1

The better debating was done by the Neer from Dulles
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Pro list impacts outweigh case

Clements

- Your date-rape responses are very muddy.

- Speak up some

Dulles

- I dislike your approach to case, which seems contradictory to the spirit of the K. The K calls criminality a bad approach, but your case answers all say criminality is a good approach

- Speak more clearly, esp in

NC

Room: 2345
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Johnson, Austin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Cross Timbers Christi NS

Nathan Smith

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

NEGATIVE

Plano East AK

Ameera Khan


POINTS

Max = 30

29.7

The better debating was done by the Neg from Plano E
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote neg on the CP: PREP eliminates need
for exchanges & has some healthcare spill-over benefits

CTCS

- It's at least a little messed up
to say we don't need a theory
debate in your IAC & then
bring up a whole new theory
shell in the IAR

Plano East

Good speaking, articulate
CP better in NC - it crystallized
nicely in NR, but I had
to wait for it.

Room: 1441
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Huynh, Dan

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood CW

Carson Wang

POINTS

Max = 30

28.7

NEGATIVE

Westlake SM

Shampurna Mitra

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westlake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Dan Huynh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Presume Neg

Room: 1441
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Huynh, Dan

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Stony Point FB

Frances Bolger

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

NEGATIVE

Carnegie Vanguard AB

Arnav Burudgunte

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Arnav Burudgunte
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Dan Huynh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: evid ethics o/w
accessibility so I find it sufficient
to vote on disclosure.

Room: 1701
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Perry, Robert

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements AS

Arnav Sethi

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Oak Ridge KC

Kirby Cabler

POINTS

Max = 30

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Clements AS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: AFF. DOES a better job defending consequences of the action
and NEG never fully develops PT as an extension of Bro power.

Room: 1701
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Perry, Robert

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Prosper IP

Max = 30

Isabelle Petros

28

NEGATIVE

Central BF

Bryce Fant

POINTS

Max = 30

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Prosper IP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Robert Perry

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Neg Fanto do turn from K and Aff overall performance better organized.

Room: 1900
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Gandra, Akhil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westlake AL

Andrew Lee

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College WH

Wyatt Hatfield

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Strake Jesuit WH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I have seen a lot of theory debates in my time in LD. This was one of the most incomprehensible, mostly because I said clear 7 times and nobody was clear. Probably missed a lot of stuff. Vote neg on the marginally stronger link to fairness

Room: 1900
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Gandra, Akhil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands CMa

Camryn Manley

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Clements JX

Jarvis Xie

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Meg from Clements JX
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote on theory because the aff doesn't defend
a *specific* public health policy.

Room: 2349
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

De La O, Dino

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Leander AG

Max = 30

Andy Gutierrez

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Stony Point CS

Max = 30

Caitlin Smith

28.2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Stony Point CS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD - 1AR overinvested on the aff is a mindset shift. 2AR was a lot new. Rate Neg on the turn. I think it links under the aff framing.

Room: 1703
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Sood, Jalaj

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Northland Christian JQ

Jack Quisenberry

POINTS

Max = 30

28.7

NEGATIVE

George Ranch MS

Mohammad Sheikh

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from George Ranch
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Jack - Good IAR strat, ~~good~~ spend more time clarifying weighing and how all the arguments interact

Mo - Great 2NR, don't be disheartened by them conceding Kant I still think that's advantageous for you

REF: I think the Neg wins offense under both shells but (T) > theory

Room: 1703
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Sood, Jalaj

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Trinity Valley KK

Kishan Kalaria

POINTS

Max = 30

29.4

NEGATIVE

Lake Travis MM

Mackenzie Matheson

POINTS

Max = 30

29.8

The better debating was done by the Neg from Lake Travis
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Kishan - Great 2 AR. Maybe spend less time extending in the 1AR and more time on K

Mackenzie - Amazing 2NR!

RFD: I think there are multiple compelling responses to the perm which make voting on the K @ simple

Room: 1483
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

LaBarre, Davis

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Houston Memorial JS

Joshua Sucec

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Stony Point AH

Arnav Hiray

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from STONY POINT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Dr. [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg wins on T, no offense for the AFF.

AFF

NEG

- Ask why cinema has
to be discussed in debate.
You ask why it is good, but don't
take that next step in Cx.

Room: 1483
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

LaBarre, Davis

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood MS

Mihir Shah

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Pflugerville CD

Coltrane Drexler

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from PFLUGERVILLE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: *Rm 2Rm*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Voted neg on theory. No reason why
neg isn't winning me k as well.

Room: 2347
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Panjiwani, Nabil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westlake EA

Ethan Abraham

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Prosper KS

Kaleb Simes

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Prosper KS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Anti-Blackness KS don't always act in the forms of anti-state argumentation. I think you were ready for Wolderson and wanted to swing at Wolderson. I don't think what you're trying to generate is fundamentally offensive. You need to step back and see what the Kritik is saying over what you think it's saying. You hypercharge K links in performance.

I feel like the alt can be tagged way better, because the mud in the debate can be avoided by not calling it "anarchism" and instead calling it "identity formulation" or something to that effect. You do an excellent job on coverage but it feels really disingenuous when you frame your alt in absence, not consolidation of the role of the state.

All functions over advantage debate, Neg winning line-by-line

Room: 2347
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Panjiwani, Nabil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clear Brook AS

Adarsh Suresh

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Dulles AS

Abhinav Sinha

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Clear Brook AS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

There is way too much prefiat nonsense taking up the flow and it really put the debate in a downward spiral.

I bought Nebel. I'm an English teacher. Ext is your only issue to resolve in the 2AR because NR made your job easy. You could've done way more.

Allocate SOME time to your offense, trying to win on T and only T is lazy just because you're scared of losing risk of link. You don't win disclosure or OSPEC, and you leave Ext up to 2AR.

Prefiat wash AFF winning post fiat offense.

Room: 2573
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Tom, Neville

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Cooper NK

Nia King

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Cypress Falls RK

Rajveer Kindra

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Cypress Falls
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Vote Neg on New Aff's Bad. The ZAR is way too defensive on the shell in the ZAR & as such, I vote on risk of offense.

Room: 2573
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Tom, Neville

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clear Creek RB

Rachel Boyd

POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

NEGATIVE

Central AF

Aaron Flores

POINTS

Max = 30

28.2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Clear Creek
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Vote aff on case. There is a conceded
stigmatization DA to prison rehab.

Room: 1549
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

George, Manju

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Grapevine NN

Nidhish Nerur

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

W.B. Ray DG

Daniel Gardiner

POINTS

Max = 30

28.9

The better debating was done by the AFF from Grapevine NN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M. George 3/15/19

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff - strong evidence, supporting arguments and overall great. Almost close to a well rounded approach.

Neg - Interesting use of Kuznets curve !!
- ~~28~~ cross was not the best. Some rebuttals were weak.
- great attempt. On your way to doing great.

Room: 2365
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Zollo, Maggie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Garland KP

Khoa Pham

POINTS

Max = 30

~~29~~ 29

NEGATIVE

Marcus KL

Kyle Lleras

POINTS

Max = 30

~~28~~ 28.7

The better debating was done by the

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Margaret Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

• ~~there~~ wasn't much why
as much time was spent
on the plan in the 1A
when it didn't make it to
the 2A
(same goes for cards on
edleman)

verbal critiques -

~~29~~ again, very
sorry for the
initial disclosure,
but if ~~you~~
you want me to
go talk to anyone

• you should probably
be a little more
prepared for CX1
and in extenuate on brainy in AC
• didn't engage specifically
w/ ~~edleman~~ responder to
edleman
• needed to put at least some time
on addressing material claims

Again, really
sorry, I thought
you did a good job
still, feel free to
ask questions if
you see me around
the tournament

Room: 2365
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Zollo, Maggie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College ZD

Zion Dixon

POINTS

Max = 30

27.7

NEGATIVE

Cy-Fair KA

Katy Aing

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the Alief from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Margaret Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- could have done more work on establishing what all the alt entails ~~core~~ (especially given how CX went)
- some repetitive answer, supporting little may help with making sure you don't repeat as much & can spend time on other areas of the debate
- try using CX to determine ~~what you~~ what impacts will matter under ~~the~~ the aff's framing ~~to the~~
- ~~the~~ the neg didn't actually prove any form of solvency, even if I did buy politics first, ~~as~~ you aren't winning any offense under it, & I can't just vote off of framing

Room: 2577
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Simpson, Johnny

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Gregory-Portland JH
Jacob Henderson

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Melissa HC

Hallie Crain

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from Gregory-Portland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: John

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

- it we cant keep drug off streets = good quote
- I ~~was~~ cannabis arrest ever X seconds = good fact
- good use of cards
- why not make ciss illegal? - good proactive argument
- ↳ why not mention crime rates during Prohibition? look up stats
- CR1 = mandatory treatment but not thru crim. sys (good idea)

CR2 (Bingham 16) Card - good use of her data
CR2 = good questions about her case shows you listened.

Decrim cuts drug research
↳ less ppl use drugs

*why no Portugal data? ****
Medical cannabis hasnt had effect on Pharma (source?)
Trump base will be med - but Trump went against base w/ bump stock / very good point. ✓
Drugs can go home after treatment = more freedom b/c not locked up. ✓

4th uphold liberty value more, not w/ synthetismurder but medical prots.

NEG

CR1 - Why does mandatory treatment not violate freedom?
good question
↳ as you defend decriminalization or legalization?

good practice at reading faster

*Trump tough on crime, good argument but nuclear war??

*Risky behavior forced into public health out free
forcing treatment is not liberal society.

*Case is very well organized * ✓

CR2: reads card (Bingham 16)
"all we show is that you're ~~not~~ framework won't work" nice rebuttal to his question.

PR by less Rx and more decriminalized
Wills Garden 16 - Pharma research = lower costs

uncarded argument re: Trump

↳ Trump tough on crime > gun base expects that

*Freedom is taken by aff - but how is it ~~not~~ more free locked up in jail?

Opponent didnt read cards + refute her cards

AFF wins mainly on point that he upholds liberty value better, "how much freedom in forcing ppl into criminal justice system?" is the question AFF left me trying to answer when I left the room. NEG had very well organized and prep and

Room: 2577
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Simpson, Johnny

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Hereford JC

Junior Contreras

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College AA

Aidan Atkins

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

- hegemonic systems - inclusion
- professionalism - minority - clothing
- WTK debater

CX1:
"Can't find" good way to be assertive but somewhat dismissive.

"If you weren't ready, why did you say?"
"Don't you have G + Fing?"
crapped on - ~~very~~ very informal

no prep
If I'm not defending resolution -- you didn't defend it well enough.
debate system is unfair,
title 1 school
good eye contact, strong speaking skills, very aggressive and confident ~~but not enough~~

no prep
"I defend the resolution but talk about something different"
not all circuits are the same

NEG

- CX1:
• what's the Aff?
- How is this topical?
- Do I link into professional?
- what

21207

Strategy skewed by opening
No definitions
The Aff has time advantage, now on the case ✓
* take of blazer - good move.*
debate isn't gender non binary
double contradiction.
time skew, no link to K

CX2:
took off more clothing to rebut his assertions of professional dress

→ took argument back to resolution

→ Good enough to qual for TFA,

NEG wins the round primarily because he removed his coat, tie, and unbuttoned his shirt in response to AFF argument about professional attire. While I understand and AF argument that West TX circuit isn't fair as the larger urban circuits and doesn't have all of the same resources or access to debate experience. NEG managed to show his debate skills in a more philosophical debate that didn't center on prepared data and scripted.

Room: 1708
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Whitaker, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE
Seven Lakes RR
Rohan Rao

POINTS

Max = 30

29.2

NEGATIVE

Tuloso-Midway JS
John Stearns

POINTS

Max = 30

29.0

The better debating was done by the Aff from Seven Lakes
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Muel

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

There is little weighing by both sides. I default theory over k. I end up deciding theory flows aff since offense is clearly extended and aff is winning on the norms vs abuse debate.

Room: 1708
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Whitaker, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Hebron AS

Aashir Sanjrani

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

NEGATIVE

Westlake AC

Amanda Chen

POINTS

Max = 30

29.0

The better debating was done by the Aff from Hebron AS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: ZNR is way too defensive in nature, I think there needs to be clear offense in the INC shell that links to the fairness voter. Additionally, I think that the aff answers the question of fairness relatively well with this argument about "breaking down the structure of debate." The ZNR needs to allocate more time to this argument, seeing that I think the edn of the aff is preferable, I affirm.

Room: 1517
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Beard, Perry

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Prosper AA

Max = 30

Strake Jesuit College DH

Max = 30

Alexander Antonakakis 28

David Herrera 28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake DH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote Neg because the Neg effectively co-opts the AC framework via the legalization CP. Essentially, keeping drugs illegal means that the Aff limits the potentiality of each individual. Neg expands this opportunity for all

Room: 1335
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Paramo, Rodrigo

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Timber Creek GJ

Gus Jones

POINTS

Max = 30

28.1

NEGATIVE

Seminole NL

Nathaniel Little

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from timbercreek
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFP:

this round is frustrating b/c there is little to no

fuck. comparison - at the very least, the ~~aff~~ neg
makes args about why indiv. self ownership controls s.v.,
but the aff doesn't really seem to have an interpretation
of what structural violence is.

means the neg wins the fuck debate - this is

nonetheless, the gave either one would desire.

he aff says "you want liberty," but I think
the important part of this arg (you want them before there
committed crimes) is uncomfortable - so that is unfortunate.

he aff doesn't have a great way to connect crime - its therefore
The Hottel is getting

Room: 2351
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Trinh, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE
Princeton CM

Christopher Malhas

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Hebron PN

Philip Ng

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from Hebron
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This was probably the best round I saw all weekend.

RFD: I voted off the voluntary DA.
The Aff doesn't provide a an application to the neuroscience card. While the Neg provides statistics to show how people don't use the programs

Room: 2351
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Trinh, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Carroll SM

Samir Mohsin

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

St. Agnes Academy LH

Lydia Huang

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from St. Agnes Academy
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: YES

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: The AC misses the point of the Alt
in the AR and doesn't really address it in
the 2AR. This makes it difficult to
evaluate a new arg insert in the 2AR.
~~At the end~~

Room: 1307
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Sasso, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

^{she}
AFFIRMATIVE

Victoria West RH

Rama Hamoudah

POINTS

Max = 30

27

^{they}
NEGATIVE

Jack C. Hays EC

Emma Cook

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Emma Cook
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

oral RFD -

Rama - please pay attention to your opponent's pronouns,
it was pretty egregious to continually misgender them.

Neg wins on K + presumption

Room: 1307
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Sasso, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lake Travis LS

Lee Scheffe

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Cypress Park TD

Tiffany Damon

POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Lee Scheffe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg drops aff framing → aff operates on a higher layer than the ~~aff~~ neg → neg has no offense on the AC flow, only defense.

- Lee: focus on clarity when reading cards, Neg also could be cut down more. Also, work on strategy of exchanging offense by up layering.

- Tiffany - work on responding to Rules of the Ballot or other Ramsey args. You are a very persuasive speaker, but you needed to engage in the aff more.

Room: 2406
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Mandavilli, Rohi

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Kinkaid sm

shreya mehta

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Carroll TR

Tarun Ratnasabapathy

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Carroll
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg on disclosure

Room: 2406
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Mandavilli, Rohi

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

George Ranch AA

Asad Ahmed

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Veterans Memorial SM

Sebastian Martinez

POINTS

Max = 30

27.9

The better debating was done by the AFF from George Ranch
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Asad

conceded KWK → KKK as
structural violation

CP to (S)

was off scope

Room: 2368
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Stuckert, JP

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Dulles JG

Joshua George

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

NEGATIVE

Northland Christian ABa

Aaron Barcio

POINTS

Max = 30

29.2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Northland Christian
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote neg on T

- TVA - both ~~aff~~ args seem generic & neither answers the point the neg makes that can't have offense

- Halberstam - could set up a sequencing claim, but aff also has a sequencing claim

- ~~Neg~~ wins fairness voter

- ~~Neg~~ wins that aff structurally not contestable

Room: 2368
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Stuckert, JP

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Borden County ISD TC

Max = 30

Trent Collins

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway IL

Max = 30

Ivin Lam

27.8

The better debating was done by the Aff from Borden County
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg wins framework, but aff wins
under neg framework.

- Aff wins that Portugal shows
public health works

- Even if it takes time, as
neg says, it is better than nothing

Room: 1408
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Alejos, Jazmine

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Elkins KP

Kedar Pandya

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Woodlands SC

Shristi Chitlangia

POINTS

Max = 30

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Kedar Pandya
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jazmine Alejos

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: Framework: Best context of strategy

- Public Health

Capitalism: → aggression of desires (Dean Evidence)

- Identity politics can't go any further insular as it denies the impact

- The goal is overthrowing capitalism.

↳ to build a communist society as capitalism crumbles

- Division is crucial.

- Micro Political Practice → Ex: Black Panther

NEG: Framework: Better debating over the Resolution

↳ Public Health

- Aff "not topical" → Therefore there's no solvency.

- Suburban Pop was also excluded

↳ Opponent only implemented on a urban scale

* Okay if you feel that your opponent is not being topical
Then run a plan where it is topical! *

- My vote goes to Aff for upholding
the beliefs of topicality.

Room: 1408
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Alejos, Jazmine

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Tuloso-Midway TM

Teah Mbang

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Lake Travis VM

Vivian McDonald

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Teah Mbang
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Jazmine Alejos

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: Value: Life criterion: Minimizing crime through Public Health

- Cont#1: Public Health approaches focus on treatments for successful recovery.

- Cont#2: The CJS Punishes those who enter, NOT HELP them rehabilitate.

- Cont#3: Public Health approach to drug use is more beneficial to marginalized communities.

* Ladies what about the long - and short term? *

NEG: Value: Morality criterion: Minimizing structural violence

Cont#1: Big Pharma

* Elaborate what Morality is & how it's either for a common group or either one - or - one *

Cont#2: Crime use

→ decriminalization paves the way for Pharma companies to reap reap massive profits from new drug industries

- decriminalizing drugs use increases consumption, dependence, & unrelated crime rates.

Q. what about those that re-lapse? Also, you talked about serial killer aren't serial killers still a person?

- My vote goes to Aff for Upholding the Framework of life & Minimizing crime.

Room: 2401
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Castillo, Chris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Village AI

Max = 30

Aditya Iyer

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Carroll GI

Max = 30

Gautam Iyer

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Village AI
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① ~~AI~~ Aff meets GI.

— 80/100 on
SOL

Room: 2401
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Castillo, Chris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Hebron ZR

Zoha Rizvi

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

NEGATIVE

Dulles SZ

Sophia Zhao

POINTS

Max = 30

28.7

The better debating was done by the Aff from Hebron
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① Aff Solves
In canceration

② No Link
2 K
- aff & force
treatment

Room: 1713
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Powell, DeMarcus

EI /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

El Paso Coronado SR

Sophia Rojo

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

NEGATIVE

W.B. Ray AF

Anahita Farishta


POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

The better debating was done by the NEG from W.B. Ray AF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote neg. the aff never answers the argument that the INC is a prior question since it frames our relationship to the government. That argument paired with the lack of a counter RoB means that all the offense for the round flows negative since only the negative re-orient's our relationship to the government to solve the root of the use of illegal drugs.

So the aff needs a response to the sequencing, a counter RoB, and better answers for the alternative argument.

Room: 1713
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Powell, DeMarcus

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha PK Max = 30

Pranavkrishna Kandikayal 28.7

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Episcopal School ZH

Max = 30

Zan Haq

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Episcopal School ZH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: vote neg on +. This flow comes down to the semantics standard and the TVA argument for me. I don't have a good answer to the TVA as terminal defense to the c/i in the ZAR. This means that even if everything the aff says is true about education and having a solvent advocate grounded in the topic ~~it~~ it would seem to be solved by reading the aff/plan text as whole Rez and spec'ing in the advantage areas. A winning ZAR sits down and explains why this TVA is not a better model of debate to endorse.

Room: 2366
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Harvey, Miguel

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill BZ

Max = 30

Cypress Ranch MZ

Max = 30

Brian Zhou

29.5

Michael Zhou

29.1

The better debating was done by the AFF from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Good debate

Aff was RVI on theory

IF I get to the R debate,
I think Gordon probably solves
the alt.

Room: 2366
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Harvey, Miguel

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Granbury MW

Max = 30

Burleson Centennial HM

Max = 30

Mary Withey

28.5

Hope Moffatt

28

The better debating was done by the Negative from Burleson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: neg

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff you're a tremendous speaker in the IAC

I'm very suspicious of using RONALD REAGAN to justify decrim-his administration conceived the WAR on DRUGS

I'm unconvinced of the K link, especially because you're advocating crim justice control and the double effect doctrine

neg the way you're explaining "proper cognitive functioning" seems kind of Ableist.

IAR you functionally concede the K. It's going to make it very hard to salvage the round, even though the K is shaky - you can't concede an Alt!!! neg the role of the Ballot!

WR you're relying on an overview to the K that isn't part of this debate. why can't you explain the link?

Careful - your arg that CJS/Aff can work together is straight

Room: 2373
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4 McConway, Camero

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements PS

Pia Shah

POINTS

Max = 30

27

NEGATIVE

Houston Lamar MT

Maximilian Tran

POINTS

Max = 30

28.9

The better debating was done by the neg from Houston Lamar
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted neg - only aff offense was on the counterintere on T,
but did not win an RVI.

Room: 2373
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4 McConway, Camero

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood AA

Aarya Agarwal

POINTS

Max = 30

27

NEGATIVE

Grapevine MT

Micah Thode

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Grapevine
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted neg- I think that the aff counterinterp doesn't answer the original interp & concedes extra T. I also don't think the aff wins the RVI debate, which was the only place for offense.

Room: 1707
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Wright, Kris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AK

Max = 30

Houston Memorial DX

Max = 30

Akhila Keeranoor

28.5

Daniel Xu

28.4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Westwood AK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

1. AFF wins an unanswered "I meet" on T
2. AFF wins counter-interp
 - a) AFF wins that neg is wrong about the semantic claim
 - b) AFF wins "real world advocacy" offense
3. AFF is slightly ahead on the PW, given two choices
I vote on the AFF

Room: 1707
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Wright, Kris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Jack C. Hays PF

Patrick Fox

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College JG

Joseph Georges

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Strake Jesuit JG
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Kris Wright

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both of you should work on sign posting, complete extensions,
and the internal organization of rebuttals.

I'm left to resolve much of the clash myself ~~etc~~ b/c
there isn't much attention paid to comparing between
warrants.

Neg wins Nardin + definitional analysis of "affirm" & "negate"
Aff wins Nelson, which means evaluating T/F sometimes involves
comparisons.

Neg wins util triggers permissibility & that it negates.

Aff is not winning that neg's permissibility arg is procedurally unfair
This is the Aff's only offense in the 1A & 2A2

Room: 2410
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Shah, Rishabh

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

McNeil AR

Max = 30

Abhishek Rao

28.3

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit Coll ArM

Max = 30

Arun Mehra

28.6

The better debating was done by the Neg from Strake Jesuit
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Rishabh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Vote Neg on Section 11; perf can & have ① 3 w/gh
2AR & win reason why it o/w links to the Aff.

Room: 2410
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Shah, Rishabh
LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

LC Anderson KS

Kyle Stephens

POINTS

Max = 30

27.9

NEGATIVE

Monsignor Kelly Catho PS

Parsa Safa

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Anderson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Rishabh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Mahtab 18 - Aff wins crackdown advantage o/w PA

Room: 1464
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Joyner, Alex
LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

LC Anderson AR

Aseel Rawashdeh

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Lovejoy AR

Ashley Rihani

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Anderson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Alex Joyner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: Fun case - but delivery hurts you.

Neg - Great job managing a very unconventional case!

RFD

Neg provides no alt framing. This means only in round impacts matter. Thus, the Dca are irrelevant because they do not uncover the ~~is~~ ghost story & allow those communities to begin healing.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

El Paso Coronado GP

Max = 30

Grace Park

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

McMillen NG

Max = 30

Nevin Gera

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from McMillen
AFF/NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: alex

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: good case. work to improve framework. specifically
1. link to the resolution.
2. link value to criteria
3. make sure value and criteria are very distinct...
they function as a pyramid - start abstract and
begin to narrow...

Neg: good case / pace. good job collapsing 2N to
the pic

RFD standard is util / CBA

pic resolves AC harms. AC specifies pic works.
pic also uniquely provides incentives for treatment
which AC recognizes as important due to
nature of addiction.

Room: 1477
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Chao, Isaac

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian ABr

Max = 30

Austin Broussard

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill AZ

Max = 30

Anais Zhang

28.3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Northland Christian ABr
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A 3 card substantive IAC probably isn't a winning strategy in front of me. What are you going to do if a NEG shows up and throws down on a substance debate, ignoring the underview?

My facial response in CX was in reaction, not to you citing Henrietta Lacks (which is an excellent example), but was rather in response to your explanation of what happened to her. Justifiable.

There is a concession going into the 2AR that fairness comes prior to education - the only 2NR response I have here is that the education from the K is better, but that isn't responsive. This means I evaluate IAR theory first.

On the IAR shell, I think that the NEG is doing enough on the limits standard to generate offense to the interp. The AFF puts enough ink on CX vs reasonability and the explanation for what reasonability entails on theory is spotty anyways.

Room: 1477
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Chao, Isaac

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements UU

Uneeb Ullah

POINTS

Max = 30

27.4

NEGATIVE

Village AF

Adnan Fatakdawala

POINTS

Max = 30

29 = 29 I.C.

The better debating was done by the NEG from Village AF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

• As soon as the IAR took 3:53 of prep and listed 6 flows (+ new shell) as the roadmap, pretty sure it was over for you. There aren't very many universes in which you would have been able to cover everything. Instead of reading untrue/unresponsive answers to substance (while allocating 1:30 to the new shell) you just needed to go all-in on theory.

• The exchange at the beginning of the round "I'll just resend it to you so we can start an email chain" probably indicates that the AFF was given before the round started. Glad we didn't have a dislative debate today.

• This is a very big AFF. Obviously you're going to lose some links and some DAs. Doesn't seem like too extreme of a research burden to me.

I negate.

Both debaters agree that the ROB takes out theory/T so conds and T go away. The perm a. doesn't have a net benefit and b. doesn't resolve the competition from the DAs. CP solves the AFF and the DAs are 100% conceded in the

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements TR

Thomas Rivera

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

NEGATIVE

Westwood TL

Truman Le

POINTS

Max = 30

29.3

The better debating was done by the neg from Westwood
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Start here:

AFD: ROB extensions in last two speeches are seriously lacking. There are massive risks of offense vs the AC:

No offense on cap. ROB analysis lacking in NR but most cause claims are dropped using K subsumes AC. Reed is uncontested link that proves AC is insufficient & also reflects neoliberal logic. Self provides warrants for why cap comes first historically. Aff is comparatively better than AC because it
1. pushes back vs. cap
2. solves both sets of impacts
3. is dropped & never has offensive reason to reject.

1. Aff doesn't know Willersleben argument of anti-blackness
I don't vote here because
a. not much done for me to pull the trigger
b. 2AR "I'm not using Willersleben's exact argument" while late in the round, is sufficient given there's not a clear reason to vote provided by neg
2. White people saying "no hope" is bad - not gone far except to answer Osajima turn
3. K line re: over focus on ontology bad; not answered other than simply 'proving' ontological component of anti-blackness (which aff argues is proof that adversity is good/solvent) which does not respond to trade off with other forms of resistance
Winant is empirical claim that progress & material change is possible. Aff "burning paper" comparison doesn't respond to this (go over to left side of page)

Room: 1555
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Anderson, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College CR

Montgomery GC

Cameron Raschke

Grayson Constantine

POINTS

POINTS

Max = 30

Max = 30

29

29.2

The better debating was done by the neg from Montgomery
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Burden: Aff ground vs. neg prep skew weighing is lacking. Loss of aff ground not extended into ZAR but the argument that "not having arguments" to read is. Aff drops that prep skew outweighs textuality entirely b/c aff is not textual. Also there is not weighing of burden vs K so I'm seeing this as a binary (either the burden is true & I presume aff if aff wins presumption or the burden is untrue & I guess I immediately vote on the K unless aff answers there are sufficient). Also, neg argues that the K is an 'identical' inducement to public health, meeting the Robinson justification for the burden.

Presumption: I'm not confident in voting that aff gets presumption. The justification for it is at best a wash. Neg proves approximately equal or greater skew ^{effecting} ~~to~~ neg, the "more false than true" claim is not hindered well in ZAR. My default assumption coming into the round is presumption goes neg & aff does not meet the threshold of changing that assumption.

K: 1. No offense vs.; 2. No "alt doesn't believe" claims; 3. "Dark outlook" NR explanation answered in ZAR

Room: 2513
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Colling, Richard

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

McNeil KK

Kartik Kotamraju

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Cypress Woods AZ

Angela Zhong

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Cypress Wood AZ
AFF of NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think this debate comes down to whether the use of the term "woman" or pregnant people best breakdown gender binaries. I negate on strength or risk of, that rather to the specific terminology being used debated by. I negate on a risk of advench in negative evidence being greater.

Room: 2513
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Colling, Richard

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Cypress Falls DR

DeAndra Robinson

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Westwood AZ

Alice Zhang

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westwood AZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

If I give aff T, there is no place to affirm as there is no RUF on T and no substance from the AC is extended to meet the ROB.
If I give neg T, there are places to be extended. T is muddled b/c I am not sure engagement & the racist system is fully resolved. I vote where I have an out.

Room: 1309
Flight A: Start 1000
LD

4

Emerson, Eric

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

1250

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College VL

Vincent Liu

POINTS

Max = 30

28.1

NEGATIVE

Round Rock SG

Sreya Gandra

POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

The better debating was done by the NEG from Round Rock
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Eric Emerson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vincent - You start unclear - in one on enunciation
clarity. VALUE = CX = LOSS SPEAKER POINTS.
EQUATOR? PROBABLY IS NOT A GOOD WAY TO
EXPLAIN PLAN & ADVOCACY. VALUES → MOVES
TARGET, HUNT POINTS

SREYA - GREAT CX OF AC, ESPECIALLY EQUATOR.
BE MORE CONFIDENT IN DEBATE TO HELP W/ETROS.
COULD BE CLEARER ON TOPIC CASES. YOU
SHOULD HAVE A LIST (NET, MEMORANDUM, ETC)
SOMEWAY VALUE ON GROUND LOSS

① = ~~PROBABLY~~ PROBABLE STAFF 4
CLASS + AFF HAS NO OFFENSE AS
TO WHY TO TURN

CT -
PWR - GLOBA Comm Data Through Decision.

An Country -

ECUADOR - GOLF Decision

For Intention -

⑤ ⑥ - Decision - YES. Comm Works -

An FG Decision No Reg Dmg - 0

REHAB? No Force

No REHAB - Text.

PROBABLY

AFF 1st - 6 max size / 5 max 2nd.

NEGATIVE - Decision. 0 PH Play. CDC = IMPROV, PSTRCK.

⑦ - REHAB PACK, PH Play = COURSE AVAL,

TEXTNARY - 0 COURSE AVAL = SHEET.

AFF - Country - PH Play. REHAB, TEXT END - SPEECH

COUNTRY - ECUADOR.

SPR - PS HUM Power - AVAL.

STANDS - WASH.

DATA - SPEECH COUNTRY.

Room: 1309
Flight B: Start 1100
LD

4

Emerson, Eric

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

1150

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College JP

Josh Porter

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Grapevine RS

Ruth Schlenker

POINTS

Max = 30

28.6

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Josh - UNCLEAR EARLY BUT BETTER AFTER 1ST TWO CARDS. GREAT IN CX PARTICULARLY YOUR DEMAND TO ME, AS JUDGE.

Ruth - GOOD CX OF AC BUT LSA SUGGEST FOLLOWING UP YOUR QUESTIONS & EXPANDING, WITH EXAMPLE, THE END POINTS OF YOUR QUESTIONS; GOOD CX BUT TRY TO ARTICULATE & EXPLORE WHY AFF/NEG IS COMPETITIVE/POINT OF CONTRADICTION.

NEG LACKS OFFENSE. AFF WANTS DITAD. (HOUSE GOOD)

2f - AFF HANDS = PSEUDONYM 4 IN CONGR SOCIETY

OUTSIDE? COUNTER TOSS UP

Now B = BREAK OFF TMASTEL, SQ? No, Not Now

BLACK TPL Now? SOME = GOOD, EXPAND EMERSON VOTE

4 ME = BREAK @ STATE.

TWO S = TWO B = DEMAND STATE f OPTIMISM. ONLY EMILIA

= DEMAND STATE. 7 BLOW TONY WHITE HOUSE

AFF WOULD f Now? 7 BLOW TONY WHITE HOUSE

WHY AFF? NEG COND IF CONCLUDE AFF

Dem
2) CONTESTATION - SECTION 11 - ACCEPT PARADOX

= SQ; DIFFERENT APPROACH.

BLOW TONY WHITE HOUSE = GOOD? UNAVOIDABLE

AN INSTANCE.

BLACK RACE = AFF? SOCIAL LIFE NOT SOCIAL

DEATH. WARMER - NO HOPE 4 PUTCH, TURNING

PUTCH KEY? YES

CONTESTATION. NO WALK (S)

Nihilism f RACE? Nihilism