

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College BB

Max = 30

Brendan Barrett

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hebron AS

Max = 30

Aashir Sanjrani

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Brendan Barrett
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

good set of arguments for
this case

Neg's

argument regarding the efficacy of
rehabilitation efforts helps the aff's
case

Your neg case as a whole seems to be an
aff case as you encourage decriminalizing
small offenses & focusing on the large
offenses thus supporting that small scale
drug issues should not be criminalized

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

PSJA Southwest AC

Max = 30

Alyssa Castillo

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Lubbock ID

Max = 30

Isaac Doty

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from PSJA Southwest AC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: yes

Signed: Matthew Riggins

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff- great use of discrimination of the court system encompassing his
- great arg of how util also discriminates against minorities ^{drug crts}
which leads right into your case
- focus on the discriminatory aspect of the criminal justice system
- that is your best arg in this round
- good job sticking to this argument and navigating
the args he made against it.

Neg- you talk a lot about how your framework outweighs hers
but you never actually say how/why.
- good defense of your framework - specifically your value
- you are a better speaker but you are virtually ignoring the
clash the Aff is providing

RFD

this round comes down to the inability of the Neg to uphold his crit of util.
the aff proves util to be discriminatory, thus it flows directly under
the aff contentions. With no crit to uphold his value the aff value
falls so my ballot must flow to the ~~Neg~~ Aff.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Seminole NL

Max = 30

Nathaniel Little

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clear Brook AS


Max = 30

Adarsh Suresh

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Clear Brook AS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1) FW is wash. No one pulled ahead.

2) came down to clash IAR drop all AC attacks ~~no~~ w/ little
work on v/c. & only hit FW & 1 con. on 2AR.

Room: 2346
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Perry, Robert

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

PSJA early College IR

Max = 30

Ixoye Ramirez

27

NEGATIVE

Cooper NK

Nia King

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Cooper NK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Very overall organization of case and provided claim
For the aff, - succeeded in turning following claim w/ CTS rehab.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Carroll PD

Max = 30

Paul Doherty

26

~~NEGATIVE~~

Westwood AA

POINTS

Max = 30

Aarya Agarwal

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from Westwood
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg won on T shell

- dropped K
- fiat
- impact

Neg should've extended the
conceded RoB in 1MR
1AR needed to have 2AR drop the
detractor time skew arg

- "No. It's my cross X." - bad line to
say man

Room: 2348
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Smith, Julie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Village AF

Max = 30

Tuloso-Midway TM

Max = 30

Adnan Fatakdawala

29

Teah Mbang

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from VILLAGE AF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Julie M. Smith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF WINS ON FRAMEWORK

Room: 2348
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Smith, Julie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Prosper KS

Max = 30

Kaleb Simes

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Smithson Valley MA

Max = 30

Maddie Aguilar

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from PROSPER KS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Julie M. Smith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG DROPS AFF'S PRISON INDUSTRIAL
COMPLEX, WHICH TURNS NEG IMPACTS

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Tuloso-Midway IL

Ivin Lam

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Clements AS

Arnav Sethi

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Tuloso-Midway
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Johnny Simpson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

US Focus b/c highest incarc rate.

Democracy

Really good framework.

Chl: racism profiling

"why not effective?" → Solomon 17

YO-Good effective

Good speed.

Ch2: ~~taking it~~ Good question in Ch2

~~morality~~ opp say morality/util = majority

Portugal

"If plan works, no harm"

#Look up crime stats/pre/post Prohibition

Not as confident as opponent. work on assertive speaking style.

deterrent, still in society, treatment

racism argument not as clear need more facts

drugs = terror = drug trade not only terrorist enterprise, black market still exists.

NEG

Ch1: racism?

Ch2 safety why not effect

"They don't have to go? Then why would they?"

Good speed.

drug violence = terrorism = Boka Harro

morality = "ought"

Ch3

terrorists gets rich off drugs
evidence that decrim increases violence shaky

How does this answer his view of USA tho?

Pro-active: look up stats of crime rate Post-Prohibition
to see if lowered after

morality cyclical. Was it moral to ban alcohol?

really good speaker, good presence, very assertive & commanding

"I'm really worried about health wouldn't do drugs in first place." good quote

Pinto 11

AFF won because in closing mentioned there are deterrents to doing drugs in places that decriminalize them but most importantly that terrorist will still receive income after drugs legal due to other ventures that make them money off black market. That attacked heart of NEG's point abt terrorism.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands LW

Lulu Whitson

POINTS

Max = 30

30
45

NEGATIVE

Clements PS

Pia Shah

POINTS

Max = 30

29
35

The better debating was done by the AFF from Woodlands
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

- racism (mass incarceration)
- progen women (punishment deters treatment)
- rehabilitation
- 13.7B overcrowding leads to other problems in jail
drugs contribute to over pop ✓

CX1:
"take away stigma increase adherence?"
decriminalization decrease stigma

CX2:
good questions directed at specific cards, naming each source 4 times.
missed good CX questions like why/how cartels differ their criminal enterprises affect the resolution.

racism → jails, deportation
cost efficiency

mill 17 overpopulation

crossing borders w/ drugs or guns isn't strong argument
an opponent could attack that easily: "but the

"this proves why we need to keep it illegal!"

mitigate racism, 4x rehab charges,

NEG

Good question: "why would drug addict get treatment?"
Soul eye contact

Drug cartels
Mexico didn't disappear, casinos
Cartels will still make other crimes, so?
this is a huge hole in logic: decriminalization
will take power away from cartels on drugs,
so what if they enter other criminal enterprises?
what does that have to do with the resolution?
drug violence

police funding = \$1B in revenue
less cops
racism not just in war on drugs

possibility, illegal use of drugs

3% of arrest rates

minority worse for drug use, punishment

outweigh on probability

police brutality - not enough money other crimes

increased drug money profits.

racism still exists

Proactive tip:
but crime did look
look up Post-Prohib
crime rates

be proactive
an opponent
could seize
this to prove
war on drugs
is profit
over people

AFF made really good point about overcrowding leads to other problems in jail and overpop. caused by drug war. How can we treat them in jail if not as much money b/c resources applied to all criminals when we could focus more on treatment outside.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Monsignor Kelly Catho PS

Max = 30

Parsa Safa

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Gregory-Portland JH

Max = 30

Jacob Henderson

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Monsignor Kelly PS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M. Ullah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) Collapse to Aff framing (but not entirely sure Neg understands what residuary intention is though)
- 2) Neg just reads & regurgitates the same analysis across the Aff. Mostly meaningless int. Neg needs to clarify why the threat (show) of criminal sanctions makes Drug courts more effective. More specifically, Aff weighs that even if people could be treated in jail, the fact that they are still spending time behind bars is bad → Triggers impacts specified in IAC.
- Most if not all of the Aff is dropped, extended. I think the Neg is off to the right start but needs to work on weighting analysis (even in the INR) and using evidence in INR to turn the Aff.
- Even if the Swiss model is Neg ground, Aff still has offense elsewhere. Also, in the 2NR, Neg said drug courts are not Spain but we have them everywhere in the USA.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Central BF

Max = 30

Westwood AK

Max = 30

Bryce Fant

27

Akhila Keeranoor

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westwood AK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M Ullah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg: Cx is the place to ask/answer questions not make comments, arguments

PIC	AC	OV
DA	NC	PIC
AC		AC

1) Framing: Aff extends value & criterion but doesn't weigh really well back to it. so its a pointless extension for them you have to explain your extensions impacts

2) CP: Aff makes extensions of case, but doesn't sever the PIC + show why wrong or abusive. Aff reads no evidence why its bad to just only punish possession/use of date drugs as a separate offense from assault (if it takes place). O/W, CP garners all other

3) Neg kicked DA; Aff gave no reason why offense from Aff messed up. abusive or

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lubbock GY

Griffin Young

POINTS

Max = 30

27.6

NEGATIVE

Stony Point FB

Frances Bolger

POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Stony Point
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Don't vote on speed R/theory arg

- Not warranted why intent doesn't matter
- Fairness & education not extended
- Unclear if INC was "too fast" - i.e. if violate

Vote on NC/PIC

- Aff offense not extended, so ~~is~~ only risk is for neg
- Neg was that ignoring animal rights/welfare is bad for humans

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Colleyville Heritage CW

Max = 30

Celine Wei

28.7

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Falls DR


Max = 30

DeAndra Robinson

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Cypress Falls
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote neg on K

- Substance of warrants conceded - means accumulation is true
- ~~Aff~~ Might answer state link - but not politics of hope link
- 3rd answer to perm conceded

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Centennial NS

Nidhi Sonwalkar

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Kinkaid hs

hannah shi

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Kinkaid HS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote Neg because the Aff could concede the bio-power argument re: treatment can be never-ending but prison is a limited amount of control. That is a clear link to minimizing structural violence.

Room: 1517
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Trinh, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Melissa HC

Hallie Crain

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Granbury MW

Mary Withey

POINTS

Max = 30

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Melissa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: no

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: The NC provides little to no offense.
The NC also leaves the AC framework
almost untouched. The areas she does
touch is pure defense.

Room: 1517
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Trinh, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Oak Ridge KC

Max = 30

Kirby Cabler

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Colleyville Heritage IN

Max = 30

Itbaan Nafi

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Itbaan Nafi
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: The Aff provided little to no offense to the Neg. Allowing the Neg to extend most of his arguments.

Room: 2410
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Mandavilli, Rohi

LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson MR

Max = 30

Malik Rawashdeh

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Grapevine NN

Max = 30

Nidhish Nerur

28.4

The better debating was done by the AFF from LC Anderson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

disclosure theory AFF

Room: 2410
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Mandavilli, Rohi

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Cypress Park TD

Tiffany Damon

POINTS

Max = 30

29.7

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College BE

Ben Erdmann

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG on DA + case turns

Room: 1464
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Gravley, Paul

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

W.B. Ray AF

Anahita Farishta

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Clements CY

Christine Yuan

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the AF from Ray AF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) NR concludes the Counter-Interp.
- 2) only other is on the IAC.

Room: 1464
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Gravley, Paul

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Lovejoy AR

Max = 30

Ashley Rihani

28.8

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Dulles JG

Max = 30

Joshua George

29.9

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Dulles JG
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) Aff links to K.
- 2) Micro-facism is bigger impact, turns case
- 3) Role-PA good

Room: 2349
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Castillo, Chris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westlake SM

Max = 30

Shampurna Mitra

28.2

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian ABr

Max = 30

Austin Broussard

28.4

The better debating was done by the NEG from Northland ABr
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① No IAR theory is dropped.

② Fairness, first.

- Unlim. Fed affs uncontested,
- aff for static advocacy.

Room: 2349
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Castillo, Chris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Northland Christian ABa

Aaron Barcio

POINTS

Max = 30

28.6

NEGATIVE

Carroll SM

Samir Mohsin

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Northland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① Aff & look to
the
NC.

The aff legalizes
NJ.

② Turn to the
NC.

Room: 2345
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Sood, Jalaj

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Cypress Falls RK

Rajveer Kindra

POINTS

Max = 30

29.4

NEGATIVE

Greenhill MR

Mihir Rai

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Rajveer - Great 1AR I was truly blown away.
I think it sucks you only had 4 seconds of
prep before the 2AR

Mihir - Solid strat, maybe address embedded AC
weighing

RFD: I think the Neg does more work
articulating the PICs ~~comp~~ solvency and the DA
link turns the 1AC

Room: 2345
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Sood, Jalaj

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Colleyville Heritage SM

Max = 30

Sarah Manjee

28.7

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clear Creek RB

Max = 30

Rachel Boyd

28.2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Colleyville
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Sarah - Make sure to weigh your offense, that would make the round much clearer

Rachel - Make this into a full blown counter plan

RFD: AFF gives a much clearer ballot story

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit Coll ArM

Max = 30

Arun Mehra

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

McNeil KK

Max = 30

Kartik Kotamraju

29

The better debating was done by the Att from Strake Jesuit Coll ArM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: I vote att bc
Reversibility of extinction
outweighs ableism
arguments.
Therefore the risk of solvency
in the conceded ADV 2 is
a reason to vote att.

Room: 1331
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Ha, Steven

LC

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Clements PK

Max = 30

Parin Kashyap

30

NEGATIVE

LC Anderson SJ

POINTS

Max = 30

Sesh Joe

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from LC Anderson SJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Steven Ha

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: 1 vote neg

bc K > T,

& no offense coming off the T flow
for aff.

Room: 1441
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Koshak, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Falls KA

Max = 30

Kathryn Alejandre

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood CW

Max = 30

Carson Wang

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Westwood CW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Matthew Koshak

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I negate on T. The ~~argument~~ shell is pretty much totally conceded in the 1A which makes it easy to vote on it. The turn on the K (Farbod) seems non-unique and there isn't a perm. so after I grant that the neg can kick the K, there isn't anyway to access offense on the AC. (for the affirmative debater)

Room: 1441
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Koshak, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements JX

Jarvis Xie

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

BASIS San Antonio Sha PK

POINTS

Max = 30

Pranavkrishna Kandikayal 29.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from BASIS San Antonio Sha
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I negate on the cap K. The Link evidence is contextually specific and dropped as to the alt. so it outweighs the AC advantage, since cap is the root cause of the systemic violence affecting opioid users.

Room: 2346
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

LaBarre, Davis

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Dulles AS

Max = 30

Abhinav Sinha

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Timber Creek GJ

Max = 30

Gus Jones

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Dulles
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Davis LaBarre

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CP does not compete with the AC.
No trans/offense for the neg on the
Aff case, so I vote on risk of offense.

Room: 2513
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Zollo, Maggie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Round Rock SG

Max = 30

Sreya Gandra

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha RN

Max = 30

Rija Naqvi

28.3

The better debating was done by the aff from Round Rock
AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: 1

Signed: Margaret Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

• should have tried to perm the
K

• I don't think it was
absolutely necessary for
you to have gone all in
on theory, so the speakers
reflect that

• on theory, should've addressed
the drop the debater may

• ~~could~~ it would have been great
if you had extended the
pre-fiat implications of the
K vs theory

• need to be explaining why
K's can uniquely talk about
forms of violence

• other than theory, okay coverage
between all the other layers,
true wise

Room: 2513
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Zollo, Maggie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Clear Brook KP

Max = 30

Kent Pham

27.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands AH

Max = 30

Andrew Harding

29

The better debating was done by the neg from Woodlands
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Maggie Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- they b. have a more specific plan ~~text~~ & a solvency advocate that proves it can ~~be done~~ be done & that it will solve
- ~~they~~ work on de-loading your case from the K1 & perm it
- ask questions in ~~the~~ CX about what impacts matter in the world of the K1 ~~about~~ how the impacts of the K can be weighed against your own

- if you get that ~~the~~ your opponent might be a little out of their depth or aware about a fair bit of the round, it's okay to not go like, 100% if that's clearly not what is necessary for you to win
(like saying "i ~~can't tell~~ ^{can't tell} what the hell the plan is probs not necessary :)

Room: 1307
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Whittenberg, Gra

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Central AF

Aaron Flores

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Hebron ZR

Zoha Rizvi

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from central
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: J. Spurr Whitten

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff - excellent job in CX.

Both of you did a good job of listening, working the flow and generating clash. You are performing at a high level and I hope you are proud of your achievement.

RFD

Aff more thoroughly addressed the framework debate and vulnerabilities of the Neg framework. Aff also demonstrated serious problems with the status quo. Neg relied upon proposed continuance of the status quo, without successfully countering the concerns raised by Aff regarding it.

Fine work both of you.

Room: 1411
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Ghandour, George

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands CMu

Camila Munoz

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Borden County ISD TC

Trent Collins

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Trent Collins
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: George Ghandour

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Good Critique

~~Voted~~

voted Neg because of the Concession of the VC is spoo
and role that plays with autonomy. Also confusion between voluntary +
involuntary

Room: 1411
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Ghandour, George

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clear Lake JC

Jay Collura

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Victoria West RH

Rama Hamoudah

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Rama Hamoudah
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: George Ghandour

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Dan P. Stigues

Room: 1707
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3 Mambapoor, Dhruv

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Jack C. Hays EC

Emma Cook

POINTS

Max = 30

29.4

NEGATIVE

Concordia Lutheran CS

Camilla Scott

POINTS

Max = 30

27.1

The better debating was done by the AFF from Jack C Hays
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Dhruv

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NC was a decision CP,
aff made a perm.

I vote on perm.

Room: 1707
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Mambapoor, Dhruv

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westlake AC

Max = 30

Amanda Chen

^{DM}
~~28.6~~ 28.4

NEGATIVE

Woodlands CMA

POINTS

Max = 30

Camryn Manley

^{DM}
~~28.6~~ 28.6

The better debating was done by the

NEG

~~AFF~~ ^{DM}

AFF or NEG

from

WOODLANDS

~~WESTLAKE~~ ^{DM}

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Dhruv

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff impacts outweigh, but
aff doesn't resolve for those (I) ~~back~~
Instead drug courts resolves ^{mandatory} using treatments
↳ Neg CP

Feedback:

· better solvency extention

Room: 2373
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

De La O, Dino

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Leander LB

Luke Bartelt

POINTS

Max = 30

27.6

NEGATIVE

Clements UU

Uneeb Ullah

POINTS

Max = 30

27.8

The better debating was done by the NEG from Clements UU
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD - I vote neg on the K. Ontology debate is conceded in 1AR/2AR.
The aff is a vision of progress. There is no future. I
vote neg on the pessimistic aff.

Room: 2373
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

De La O, Dino

EI

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Tuloso-Midway JS

John Stearns

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

El Paso Coronado SR

Sophia Rojo

POINTS

Max = 30

27.8

The better debating was done by the AFF from Tuloso-Midway JS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: I don't think the neg has developed
FW args to exlude the Non-T aff.
Aff wins its ~~point~~ Non-T affs are
good + the substance of the aff.

Room: 1363
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Joyner, Alex

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Houston Lamar MT

Maximilian Tran

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

BASIS San Antonio Sha MS

Mridula Shan

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Houston Lamar
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: framework has self-contradictions, don't run laundry list if contradicts.

Neg: cool case but shore up how mutually exclusive from plan

RFD

Aff wins framework due to drops.

only link to Aff framework for neg is Brown '12 which is mitigated/washed by Blumenbach.

thus Aff gets only unique piece of offense under Ripstein.

Room: 1363
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Joyner, Alex

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood SK

Max = 30

Keller JB

Max = 30

Sneha Kamal

27.5

Jada Bourne

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Keller
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Alex Joyner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

Neg wins state is Anti black and demonstrates how the black body excluded from benefits of Aff → bad.

Room: 2550
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Gandra, Akhil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands SC

Shristi Chitlangia

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

NEGATIVE

McNeil AR

Abhishek Rao

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the Me from McNeil AR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

T7K was conceded and so I vote on the original
interp. Aff needs a CI. Also not sure how I would vote on
the attention debate

Room: 2550
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Gandra, Akhil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Houston Memorial DX	Max = 30	Jack C. Hays PF	Max = 30
Daniel Xu	<u>28.5</u>	Patrick Fox	<u>29.6</u>

The better debating was done by the Neg from Jack C. Hays
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I don't think the aff wins the RVI off of time skew, but even if they did, not winning the CI on theory. Vote neg on either theory or the NC.

Room: 1578
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Koshak, Jacob

LC

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Stony Point CS

Caitlin Smith

POINTS

Max = 30

28.2

NEGATIVE

LC Anderson AR

Aseel Rawashdeh

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from LC Anderson AR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION: _____

note my on k

Room: 1578
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Koshak, Jacob

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Houston Memorial AQ

Andrew Qin

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Princeton CM

Christopher Malhas

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Houston Memorial AQ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*Aff only case extended and weighing that was
extended. No arg about specific war
on drugs.*

Room: 1900
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Panjiwani, Nabil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Central PE

Paysen Epley

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Lake Travis LS

Lee Scheffe

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Lake Travis LS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Nabil Panjiwani

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Very poor coverage on the DAs, I don't think washing Hospital clog with Court clog is very effective. Completely miss the mark on the Environmental Racism DA and that went clean extended.

Better case coverage could go a long, long way for you. CP needs reworking as it feels really weak and pretty easily nonunique. Would like to see more clear cross-applications coming across in the line-by-line.

RFD:

Contention 2 offense

<

Environmental Racism DA

Room: 1900
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Panjiwani, Nabil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

McMillen NG

Max = 30

Nevin Gera

29

NEGATIVE

Clements ZA

Zoya Ahmed


POINTS

Max = 30

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from McMillen NG
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The IAR was a bit sloppy, but you definitely had the round won on DA coverage alone. You needed to spend more time on AC proper to make sure a turn wasn't too bad for your overall offense.

This DA needs to be flushed out way, way more if it's going to be your main piece of offense. Relying on line-by-line hits is never a great way to rejoin in an L/O round though it makes ~~a~~ ^{for} decent clash. Really just needed a better OFF position.

RFD:

Framework Please AFF NEG didn't have enough offense to fight presumption

RFD I vote on the perm to the CP with the net benefit of ~~the~~ the Aff ~~is solving the case~~ ^{adv. benefits of intersectionality (trans adv.)}. The only offense on the Neg are the case turns but they don't link ~~it~~ if the State is disrupted, plus I think this is a T debate that was never made explicit and needs to be read by the Neg to get the Aff to link (or not link thereby proving abuse) ^{agrees}

Room: 1709
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Pozza, Amy

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Montgomery GC

Grayson Constantine

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

George Ranch AA

Asad Ahmed

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Montgomery
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Amy Pozza

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*RED: I vote on the pre-fiat ROB that semi-capitalism should be disrupted
by performance ~~disruption~~ — even if
the neg mitigates solving via an analytic about inherent being able to
solve all information (speed & amount), I still buy that it is a net good. I
also could vote Aff on the framework debate with semi-cap. preventing
evaluation of happiness and ~~ability~~ ability to weigh its importance.*

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

W.B. Ray DG

Max = 30

Daniel Gardiner

27

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Lovejoy KC

Max = 30

Kaya Czyz

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Lovejoy KC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AR - ~~unorganized~~ unorganized. Need to make sure to

complete the analysis.
- Need you went back and forth all over the flow.

RFD: - Pharm D.A dropped

- Case arguments ~~dropped~~ dropped / not developed enough

- Alt. is dropped.

Room: 2577
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Berdugo, Erick

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

St. Agnes Academy LH

Lydia Huang

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Trinity Valley KK

Kishan Kalaria

POINTS

Max = 30

29.29

The better debating was done by the Neg. from Trinity Valley KK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- ① ROB - dropped - action of how I should push the action of the debate
- ② ROB -> No answer how the Aff still uses a mechanism of Bio-power to oppress already marginalized people. - E.g. the S.U. off the Aff is entrusted to the Public Health System.

Room: 1549
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Daniel, Julia

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Tuloso-Midway ZN	Max = 30	Hereford JC	Max = 30
Zachary Nepote	<u>26.4</u>	Junior Contreras	<u>26.5</u>

The better debating was done by the NEG from Hereford
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*Aff didn't extend their case which means
I couldn't evaluate it.*

*I think the neg should polish the
solwenay deficit argument about the lack
of marginalized voices w/in the debate
community as something that
reentrenches the squo.*

Room: 1549
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Daniel, Julia
LC

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Garland IR

Ishan Rerreddy

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

NEGATIVE

LC Anderson KS

Kyle Stephens

POINTS

Max = 30

28.6

The better debating was done by the AFF from Garland IR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Ami Dri

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Felt AFF answered T sufficiently and that
the PICs good arguments from the neg weren't
strong enough.

Room: 1701
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Alejos, Jazmine

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Burges AQ

Alyssa Quesada

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Prosper IP

Isabelle Petros

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from Alyssa Quesada
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: Value: Harm reduction criterion: Perpectivism
Con#1 Public health eliminates the negative stigmas
Con#2 The criminal justice approach Ruins Lives
Con#3 Public health skyrockets benefits
→ More health benefits. → as one on one
→ Pop. health vs. Public health.

NEG: The 'K' → The System of Medicine
→ Sexual Difference → women are associated
w/ the nonsubject (The other)
→ no authentic heterosexuality in western culture
- women's health is being ignored Q: where is women's health
being ignored?
Q: what is the percentage of
women being denied pain
medication?

- My vote goes to AFF for upholding
the value of harm
reduction

Room: 1701
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Alejos, Jazmine

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Plano East HG

Hamsika Gorty

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Lake Travis BO

Blake Ochoa

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Hamsika Gorty
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: value: morality criterion: Minimizing structure violence
#1 Racism / oppression → Racial targeting / incarcerating African-American rate 4x
#2 Disease → Increase the risk of HIV infection from drug injection
plan - NIDA - utilize research to further prevention
Q: If NIDA actually works then why is the re-lapse percentage keeps increasing each year?

NEG: criterion: Minimizing structure violence
#1 decriminalizing drug use perpetuates environmental damage in at risk communities
#2 decriminalizing paves the way for Pharma companies to reap massive profit.

My vote goes to Aff for upholding the criterion of minimizing structure violence on Dis Ad #1

Room: 2583
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Rutledge, Michael

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands JB

Joshua Bih

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Central MG

Micah Golden

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the

AFF
AFF or NEG

from

Woodlands JB
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

N/A

Signed: _____

Michael

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg drops structural violence and buys into the moral blw of the aff w/ respect to duty of govt; these are both moral arguments. There was a lot of ground left uncovered- ie that the aff is inherently legalizing all drugs - this has major implications that go untouched in the round. The in-treatment argument is good but not fleshed out. Where are the numbers that show the solvency that ITC work in the neg world? Without this I buy back into C5 Aff that shows relapses which thereby ↑ structural violence, and this would be immoral & not the duty of the govt. Negating would exacerbate structural violence. And even if govt's have the right to criminalize you need to show me what the upside to this is and the NC does not do that.

C1 NC - no solvency that gave way to the ballot

C2 NC - irrelevant to round

C3 NC - no mpx are offered

V - washes w/ morality

Voters are choppy in the NR

V - morality - conceded to

VC - goes dropped

C1 - doctors N/A x

C2 - stigma - wear x & C3

C4 / C5 - dropped

Aff Ballot; No way to Neg

Room: 2583
Flight B: Start 530
LD


3

Rutledge, Michael

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019



POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Village AK

Aman Kumar

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the Aff from Drexler - CD
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: N/A

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Morality ✓

Coltrane → you rape & util analogy? WT? That was largely noncommittal & borderline offensive, tbh.

At the end of the round this will come down to whether I buy that the aff is criminalizing or not which is the core of the NC at the content-based level. The benefits of the NC & the impacts the neg thrusts on the AC are critical to this link. This is a fine line. And the aff doesn't advocate for criminalizing; we can still function in a world where the abuse is illegal w/o criminalizing and insofar as I buy the PH would ↓ & ↑ morality I have to vote aff. A really good round. You both do a great job.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Stony Point AH

Arnav Hiray

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Abilene MF

Makayne Favor

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the ASF from Arnav Hiray
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*COULD HAVE GONE EITHER WAY

- Aff did a good job of setting up the framing up of the case. Deontology/morality / least rights infringement went well together. I had some question as to the scope of morality and/or how that fits with the role of govt in that world. I am not certain deontology is mutually excluded from society/Gov't laws.

Neg. had really good case arguments on leveraging the threat of criminalization w/ not using & Aff did not spend enough time attacking the neg case while leaving everything up to his framing.

Very close round but I will have to go based on Aff framing and morality based on the Aff lens as that is defined by least restriction of freedoms.

Room: 2367
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

McKinley, Jonath

EI

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Lake Travis VM

Max = 30

Vivian McDonald

29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

El Paso Coronado GP

Max = 30

Grace Park

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Vivian McDonald
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I feel like the Aff did a very good job of maintaining ~~the~~ the standard/criteria Framework. Aff wins on structural violence, militarism & recidivism based on level of evidence presented. Neg was able to muddy the waters a bit for me on addiction stigma & Aff could have clarified concept & impacts better on that contention. I would have also liked to have heard more attacks on the combination itself or the more definitive expression of how that worked & how it was better. Any way, good round & good luck!!!

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

A&M Consolidated CC

Max = 30

Celine Choi

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Borger AH

Max = 30

Addison Hook

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from A&M CC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The aff has conceded evidence that the
CJS ^{harms} ~~harm~~ oppressed populations w/
recidivism & economic harms. This leads
to a cycle caused by the CJS. The
neg makes some interesting arguments
that the CJS is not all bad and
casts some doubt on public health,
but aff wins ROB's offense. I
don't really see any neg offense to
the ROB.

Room: 1703
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Colling, Richard

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Hebron PN

Max = 30

Philip Ng

28.5

NEGATIVE

Westwood SM

POINTS

Max = 30

Saavan Myneni

29.8

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Westwood SM
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think I can easily negate on either framing. The aff never responds well to econ disad so under extinction neg wins. I also think under SV Neg wins multiple turns.

Room: 2511
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Huynh, Dan

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

George Ranch MS

Mohammad Sheikh

POINTS

Max = 30

29.3

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College ZD

Zion Dixon

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the Aff from George Ranch
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Dan Huynh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: conceded CI paradigm means
any risk of offense the aff
has on theory means I
have to vote aff

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Burleson Centennial HM

Max = 30

Hope Moffatt

28.6

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Franklin JC

Max = 30

Jessie Coleman

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Hope Moffatt
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Dan Huynh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- your cards be ~~go~~ cut down which means you can read more arguments

- Good job on the emphasis of your tag-lines.

- same value so no reason to debate that

RFD: aff makes weighing argument about magnitude. only weighing argument there is so aff is better for util.

Room: 2403
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Tom, Neville

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Ranch MZ

Max = 30

Michael Zhou

29.4

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Kinkaid sm

Max = 30

shreya mehta

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Kinkaid
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Vote Neg on the K. The ZAR work on
it doesn't quite make sense. Prob. should have gone
for a perm of the IAR interp.

Room: 2403
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Tom, Neville

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Louis E. Brandeis SR

Max = 30

Saba Rajput

28.3

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood DL

Max = 30

Davina Le

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westwood
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Pretty clear ^{neg Ballot} ~~that~~ on DA. IAR mishandles
the DA. Independently, no extension of offense in IAR or 2AR
means neg has clear access to offense.

Room: 1477
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3 McConway, Camero

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College JH

Max = 30

Joshua Herrera

29.9

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Prosper AA


Max = 30

Alexander Antonakakis 29.8

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted aff on the IAR shell - I thought the extension of the arguments about fairness as a metaconstraint on engagement was a sufficient reason the shell came before the K, and the standard the aff went for was not covered well in the 2N. I also think the counterinterp only accounts for one plank of the original shell.

Room: 1477
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3 McConway, Camero

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Carnegie Vanguard AB

Max = 30

Arnav Burudgunte

29.6

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Village AI

Max = 30

Aditya Iyer

29.7

The better debating was done by the Neg from Village AI
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted neg because I think the aff does not get IAR theory - there are conceded resolvability claims & indicts to the initial justifications in the spike that are compelling when the only argument for IAR theory is the answered infinite abuse claim.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Cy-Fair KA

Katy Aing

POINTS

Max = 30

28.2

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College JP

Josh Porter

POINTS

Max = 30

28.9

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake Jesuit JP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

• Number of objections to the IAR.

① I don't think you can kick out of substantive offense (when extending your opponent's defense on that shell), so "kicking out of C1 and C2 SD" doesn't make any sense.

② Your conceding contention-level defense from the INC on the AFF (Fisher 04)

③ This perm is mislabeled.

↑ Apparently it wasn't...
But I discussed my confusion below.

• 90% of CX is just clarification questions like how many subpoints do you have and what the taglines for sections were. Just flow dude and do something more interesting/strategic w/ CX.

• Probably should have modified some of these tags/cards in consideration of how the AFF doesn't spec USG and includes governments all around the world. The time allocation in the INC wasn't fantastic; you're essentially not committed to the X coming out of the INC, which is fine if that's the debate you want to have. Don't have much flexibility though.

I negate.

I came very close to affirming b/c of an unanswered perm (do the alt in the US and then the AFF everywhere etc). However, I'm inclined to grant the NEG leeway on this b/c I myself did not understand it as a separate perm in the IAR; I thought it was just a mischaracterization of the actual perm (do the AFF first and then do the alt after the HIV epidemic has been fixed) instead of 2 different perms.

Besides, the perm isn't implicated, the AFF is really behind on the link debate, and the "first is theory" answer takes out reference from the IAR so I'm not really sure what I would affirm.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Clear Brook PV

Max = 30

Prateesh Vijayakumar

27.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Cross Timbers Christi NS

Max = 30

Nathan Smith

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Cross Timbers Christi NS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

• Probably needed some no-links on this K, or offense on the alt.

Perm
• Do the alt then the AFF is probably intrinsic b/c it adds time.

• I don't understand how the Williams and Culhan 96 evidence functions as a link. Why is the overload of risk calculus a reason why the AFF furthers the anxiety feedback loop?

• Unclear how I'm implicating the K. Isn't it just floating offense?

• What is the Mega-Machine again?

• What's the justification for why presumption flows NEG?

1 negate.

There are a bunch of conceded links which are implicated as DAS to the perm. (AFF is a coping mechanism/cruel optimism) etc. This means that the perm fails and I negate on the K.

Additionally, the AFF makes an argument that fiat is illusory which seems to be defense on all of their post-fiat offense.

Room: 1309
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Henderson, Domin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College JG

Joseph Georges

POINTS

Max = 30

~~27~~ 27

NEGATIVE

St. Agnes Academy AJ

Aisha Jalal

POINTS

Max = 30

27.8

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: YES

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Joseph

Pretty incomprehensible @
time in free AC - change
your collection @ times

RFID

This was not a pleasant experience - this is not
a justified 100 theory and the way it is contextualized
is even worse but the 2AR does not breathe w/ it.

Despite this fact the Aff spent then 2:37 of substance
and then goes to theory in the 2AR with 23 sec.

Nothing about this round show the serious talent
that each of you clearly possess to get here
rather the Aff use tricks - not a strategic

choice. The aff approach/presentation seemed to make clash more
difficult.

Room: 1309
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Henderson, Domin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Grapevine RS

Max = 30

Ruth Schlenker

29.2

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit Coll AnM


Max = 30

Aneel Mehra

29.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The aff ballot is contingent on the CP functioning via the state and the access to the epist/onto question (

- The later argument is not an argument I see as extend or at least in the manner that you (aff) attempts to access in the ZAR

- The state argument

① The Warren ev. does not seem to be an indict to the state rather hope - while this is not fleshed out this is true as constructed and given the ex? answer about better w/ cum approach to struggle with given access to the aff but regardless

② ZAR is clear on ROB limit and the CP clearly access the Rodriguez ev. better and the does not attempt to extend it to perm is the ZAR

Room: 2556
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Andrews, Blake

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
BASIS San Antonio Sha ZC	Max = 30	Elkins KP	Max = 30
Zoey Chacon	<u>28.8</u>	Kedar Pandya	<u>29.1</u>

The better debating was done by the Aff from Basis
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ✓ m c

Signed: m a

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL + 48
seconds
I JotR

2:15
left

Aff
on the
beginning

this round was
no sad C answer

even
begin specifically)

Room: 2556
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Andrews, Blake

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Dulles SZ

Sophia Zhao

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College VL

Vincent Liu

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the neg from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: no

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1:14
left

ORAL ?

I vote

Neg on

Permissibility

Args + util

doesn't work

(Boston)
etc

+5

to

Both

Debaters.

Thanks

for being

nice in

Round

2:38
left

Room: 1713
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Powell, DeMarcus

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AL

Max = 30

Alex Lee

28.5

NEGATIVE

Harlingen South KN

POINTS

Max = 30

Kaidyn Nelson

27.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Westwood AL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 1

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote Aff. the aff is a good idea. the only ~~but~~ neg responses are Framers intent and drug courts / recidivism. there is no warrant for drug courts and the aff argument about stigma is dropped. next, on the neg flaw, the neg loses that framing debate. the Drug courts offense is solved on case and the only other offense is Lopez about doctors over prescribing drugs but I'm not sure how the neg solves ~~that~~ or why the aff has to solve for Doctors or Pills.

Room: 1713
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Powell, DeMarcus

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College WH

Max = 30

Wyatt Hatfield

28

NEGATIVE

Garland KP

Khoa Pham

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Garland KP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote neg on the K. I don't think the Aff wins a perm to the alt there are 2 ~~dropped~~ DA's to the perm. Next, ~~the~~ the Aff tries to take out the alt with this Zenati ev but is non-responsive to neg args about being outside of the state being better than inside. lastly, this bliss arg the 2AR tries to go for to say queer movement of the alt is racist is not clear enough or impacted out well enough to vote on.

Room: 2365
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Wright, Kris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Veterans Memorial SM

Sebastian Martinez

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

NEGATIVE

Seven Lakes RR

Rohan Rao

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Seven Lakes RR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Kris Wright

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

Neg wins framework + NC offense. Aff never contests the NC contentions. Though neg goes for specious offense, it is uncontested. The Neg framework makes the ~~aff~~ arguments the Aff is going for not relevant/offense for evaluating drug use as a matter of public health.

Even if I use the Aff framework, the neg is winning a disadvantage that is relevant under that framework. ZNR makes weighing arguments that Aff does not answer.

Room: 2365

Flight A: Start 430

LD

3

Wright, Kris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Plano West AG

Anirudh Garg

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

NEGATIVE

Dulles TJ

Tony Jha

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Plano West AG
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

The AC is 5 minutes long... spend the remaining time better warranting key parts of the Aff. for example, you have not warranted the criterion/standard/framework. "Policy matching good" does not justify the use of util to evaluate the rightness/wrongness of a proposed policy

RFD

Aff wins two impacts: (1) turn on NEP DA & (2) decrease in overdose deaths

Neg wins there might be an increase in drug use, but there isn't a relevant impact to that arg.

Neg wins risk of gov corruption

Aff wins some risk on the cartel DA; however, the 2NA responses to the 1NA were underwhelming

Neg's Stephens evidence contradicts the internal link on the both DAs

I end up resolving the offense in favor of the Aff b/c a) the Stephens card, b) the explanation of the DAs wasn't clear enough to unbundle the Aff's answers, and c) the Aff is winning that their impacts are more probable

Room: 2368
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Paramo, Rodrigo

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Grapevine MT

Micah Thode

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Lovejoy CM

Collins Morgan

POINTS

Max = 30

28.2

The better debating was done by the

neg
AFF or NEG

from

Lovejoy
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: evas basically dropped in 2AR-
Heaff is bad when read by
white people.

Room: 1483
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Ehresman, Katya

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood TL

Truman Le

POINTS

Max = 30

29.3

NEGATIVE

Garland WA

Will Ashcraft

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the Aff from Westwood
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote aff on
metatheory

Room: 1483
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Ehresman, Katya

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill AZ

Max = 30

Anais Zhang

28.8

NEGATIVE

Westlake EA

POINTS

Max = 30

Ethan Abraham

28.6

The better debating was done by the Aff from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote aff on
impact turn

Room: 1708
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Whitaker, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AZ

Max = 30

Alice Zhang

28.8

NEGATIVE

Greenhill BZ

POINTS

Max = 30

Brian Zhou

29.2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Brian Zhou
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Murkel

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Materialism bad arguments don't have enough work
done on them in the 2AR. 2AR args are predicated
on winning this argument.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Woods AZ

Max = 30

Angela Zhong

28.1

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill AM

Max = 30

Anna Myers

29.6

The better debating was done by the Neg from Greenhill AM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Munk who

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Conceded I needs combined with multiple reasons to prefer neg pedagogy allows me to negate. Even if this lar strat arg is an independent voter on the alt the neg has defined what my role is as a judge which means that I think fairness is something I care about. I flawed this independent voter as something not separate from the violation. The analysis I get in the ZAR is "you don't explain the alt" if this was a separate argument it was so underexplained that I wouldn't have voted for it anyway.

Aff:

- 1) ~~the~~ I can vote neg regardless if this is an independent voter on the alt or an analysis from the shell due to your lack of work on the fairness debate.
- 2) There at least needs to be some abuse story

Neg:

- 1) Maybe don't just say ~~that~~ the T-shell is racist. Analysis from Tuck & Yang on why that is true would take this a lot further.

Room: 2401
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Sasso, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Plano East AK

Max = 30

Leander AG

Max = 30

Ameera Khan

28.7


Andy Gutierrez

28.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Ameera Khan
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Offence coming out of the AC into AC framing.
NC needed more explanation — if you are going to read
new or novel ideas, make sure the explanation is very
thorough so that the judge is fully onboard.

NC should have focused more on Freire + Ellerman

Room: 2401
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Sasso, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Dulles NJ

Neer Jain

POINTS

Max = 30

29.3

NEGATIVE

James Bowie TW

Tate Weston

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Tate Weston
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg wins on theory weighing, conceded RVI justification,
better offense. Neg has harder to RW education, conceded
it is the most important

Room: 2406
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Harvey, Miguel

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Marcus KL

Max = 30

Kyle Lleras

29.2

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood MS

Max = 30

Mihir Shah

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from MARCUS KL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Neg

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

internal link ~~but~~ solvency/framing (topical/solvency → empathy to other)
is almost nonexistent
IN - All eggs in one basket - do something on framing may be outside
Answering the util indicators - you don't read util!

RFD

I am buying the TAR/CAR argument that the
norms of education as currently constructed are
problematic and that the AFF controls the internal
link to changing those norms. If education
is the top layer then I prefer the AFF interp,
and if I prefer the AFF interp (which is inclusive
of the AFF), then that functionally makes the
AFF OK to read and makes the jurisdiction arg!!
Good round!!

Room: 2406
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Harvey, Miguel

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Episcopal School ZH	Max = 30	Houston Memorial JS	Max = 30
Zan Haq	<u>28.9</u>	Joshua Sucec	<u>28</u>

The better debating was done by the AFF from Dallas Episc
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

wow, the internal links in the AFF are tenuous

INC: From the discourse argument: "calling someone a drug abuser" implies person is a problem."

From the CP: "rehabilitate drug abusers rather than contain them."
There are probably many more significant performative contradictions in the INC.

VAR - if you go for perfcom it's game over

NEG - emotional appeal ≠ persuasive arguments

"It's not theory, it's discussion of what debate should be"
LOL that's what theory is

RFD: RV on spread
theory - irrelevant
justifications/reasonability
conceded

Room: 2347
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Shah, Rishabh

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Carroll TR

Max = 30

Tarun Ratnasabapathy 28.9

NEGATIVE

Clements TR

POINTS

Max = 30

Thomas Rivera 27.8

The better debating was done by the Aff from Carroll
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Rishabh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Aff wins Weakness & ontological (Gordon 17)
3 case ofns = NB to perm

Room: 2347
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Shah, Rishabh

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Carroll GI

Gautam Iyer

POINTS

Max = 30

28.6

NEGATIVE

Garland BM

Brandon Molina

POINTS

Max = 30

28.4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Carroll
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

R. Shah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Aff wins Rob, neg wins ① which means Al offense -
but alt bigger shift from s-quo, so I presume Aff.

Room: 1555
Flight A: Start 430
LD

3

Anderson, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements AU

Ahad Ullah

POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

NEGATIVE

Westlake AL

Andrew Lee

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the aff from Clements
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Go more in depth on ROTB + why this answers implementation

Don't open yourself up to time skew arguments by reading arguments that have no strategic value + only a risk of empowering LAA fairness claims.

RFD: Implementation - offense from neg is predicated on limits but is not unique - aff's can still get read w/o implementation. The semantics link to fairness is weak. No weighing from Truber

Spec: Counter-interp solves the limits. Neg says aff can just pick a smaller interp, but 1. aff shows why this C/I is not regressive in that way; 2. aff shows this is a predictable C/I. Aff argues this turns ground; neg relies on predictable limits here

RVI - aff using 7-13 time skew; RVI provides

Room: 1555
Flight B: Start 530
LD

3

Anderson, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College DH

David Herrera

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Northland Christian JQ

Jack Quisenberry

POINTS

Max = 30

28.1

The better debating was done by the neg from Northland Christian
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Neg proves that even if there is truth to AC claims + solving, there's no way to test this because neg can't engage with the advocacy. Warrants for this are mostly biased in predictability. I'm privy to neg claims that the aff. is arbitrary + self-serving with few to no ways to check -- wrong until immediately before round, generics are only ground accessible, counter methods are insufficient. Neg proves multiple unchecked losses of fairness. C/I is supposed to solve case + outweigh T but evaluation rule proves no way to assess that