

Room: 1307
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Neeley, Alyson

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands LW

Max = 30

Lulu Whitson

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Trinity Valley KK

Max = 30


Kishan Kalaria

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Trinity Valley KK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff does not prove Fiat based on neg block cards & DA2. Aff also has a lack of strong attacks on neg that were turned.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Episcopal School ZH	Max = 30	Clear Brook AS	Max = 30
Zan Haq	<u>29</u>	Adarsh Suresh	<u>28</u>

The better debating was done by the Aff from Zan Haq
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: Matthew Riggins

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I would have preferred more debate on the actual resolution but if we are going to argue mostly about the research burden and the semantics within then that is what I must vote on.
- a good point made by the Neg btw

To me it all comes down to the Edwards 18 card. Neg claims that AIDS is declining but the Edwards evidence specifically says differently - thus the Aff still links to Nuke war.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill MR

Max = 30

Mihir Rai

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College BB

Max = 30

Brendan Barrett

30

The better debating was done by the AFF from Greenhill MR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Ar Valdez

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Great round, very close. However,
I feel like CP didn't fully address
recidivism long term and how
it'll end overcrowding

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Plano West AG

Anirudh Garg

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Westwood AA

Aarya Agarwal

POINTS

Max = 30

33

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Westwood
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

- War on drugs - Philippines } good points
- Portugal
25k - 100k (Chomsky 2017)
95%
not

CPI = Baldwin 2017: drug wars ↑ HEV
"Logical assumption"

Prohibition deaths/homicides decreased mention this research

CPIZ "Where does it say we wear down?"
"what year is this from?" (~2015)
ad. Harrison, ad. Jeff Sessions - facts not
Instead of attack session, attack recivity rate.

Disrupts life in PT

drone strikes?
Human rights

Numerous trials:
"Pol terrified about being killed"

NEG

CPI: "How does it work in PI? - diff eco, diff govt
very assumptive question
"Where does it say it is (card)"

Portugal fact = it was worst in Europe
"If Portugal was so bad why is it so bad now?"

selective research = "us does same as PI"
extrajudicial killings
1 card vs 4 cards ✓

nice speed.
were vs choice (but what about recivity?)

Prop time
"What are extrajudicial killings?"
US Cop:

"Where does it say death squad?" - Baldwin 2017

Quarta is successful - 1.3M drug surrendered.
det. killed vs. how many not drugul.

sater, 98% ↓, B. 82%, 6k drug sin up.

thus Stover = selective evidence: 50% ↑ (but still lower than EU)

"coarse drugs treatment"

NEG Because he made better use of his evidence. It was tough to give him this win b/c of the Human Rights Violations AFF brought up, but in this theory debate if the 3K lives ~~was~~ murdered save 1.3M from dying then it's greater good.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019


Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
BASIS San Antonio Sha MS	Max = 30	Strake Jesuit Coll ArM	Max = 30
Mridula Shan	<u>28.2</u>	Arun Mehra	<u>29</u>

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Arun
the condition of the CP
should be stated in NC
- default in my world
is ~~unconditional~~ unconditional
- IEE paradigm

RFD

For too much work to determine the decision -

The 2NR extension of the case debate and the 1AR/2AR extension of the AC creates a real issue

The 2NR extension on face seemed like an extension of why Bower
16 this on face does not o/w the cont. 2 total impact
given there is not no impact to drug use extend but if I give
the AC weight of C2 then there is impact and CP solve
better

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Grapevine MT

Micah Thode

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Westwood DL

Davina Le

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Grapevine MT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote Aff on Nihilism argument. I think the links are grounded in Nihilism any being false but the evidence on case for Nihilism force is concealed. "Warren" card is compelling as for strategy that precludes the B

Room: 1900
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Panjiwani, Nabil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Central MG

Max = 30

Micah Golden

27

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands SC

Max = 30

Shristi Chitlangia

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Woodlands SC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The case is solid, but the 1AR approach was lacking. You hardly put any ink on your own case and it really hurts the amount of offense you're able to generate on the flow. I don't get much line-by-line until the 2AR and even then it wasn't all that effective. The lack of engagement really hurt.

Did a decent job on the line-by-line but you're making a lot of weak claims on attacks for the sake of putting ink on the flow. You can do way better as far as coverage but you focus too much on tactics and not enough on overall strategy.

RFD:

Neg Contention 2 extends clearly

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Harlingen South KN

Kaidyn Nelson

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Carroll TR

Tarun Ratnasabapathy

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Carroll TR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Extensions need to be warranted and made into offense in the 1AR... your opponent had 2 case responses at the bottom of the NC which went unaddressed. You fell for the trap of only delinking the furthest impact on the cartels DA instead of the whole thing.

Not bad coverage on politics just do more on CP.

You honestly did everything you needed to do in the NR, my only complaints came from the argument selection in the NC. There was no point in running the CP and the Politics DA was... very moot. You can focus far more on persuasion if you knew you were winning the by-line from post-1AR. Good coverage regardless.

RFD:

Cartels DA extends clearly, NEG was framework hand'ly.

Room: 2556
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Trinh, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill AZ

Max = 30

PSJA Southwest AK

Max = 30

Anais Zhang

Aren Kintigh

The better debating was done by the

Aff

from

A.T. Greenhill

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

No Show

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College AA

Max = 30

Aidan Atkins

27

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hebron AS

Max = 30

Aashir Sanjrani

28

The better debating was done by the

~~AFF~~ ^{A-T Neg}

from

Hebron

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: The AC links in the text, whereas the AC links into the legislation DA. The AC doesn't provide any defense and questions the AC to make extensions.

Room: 2367
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Shah, Rishabh

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Lubbock ID

Max = 30

Isaac Doty

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

George Ranch MS

Max = 30

Mohammad Sheikh

29.2

The better debating was done by the NEG from George Ranch
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Rishabh Shah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1

RFO: Policing DA

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Garland IR

Max = 30

Westwood TL

Max = 30

Ishan Rerreddy

28.5

Truman Le

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Westwood TL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① 1AK Under covers the
pic.

The defensive argument
isn't
implied.
+ the team isn't
@ full
argument

② Reasonability
You +
Vidette
T/O theory

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lovejoy KC

Kaya Czyz

POINTS

Max = 30

28.4

NEGATIVE

Westwood MS

Mihir Shah

POINTS

Max = 30

28.4

The better debating was done by the NK6 from Westwood MS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

plan flaw arguments
are too
under developed.

CP solves better

→ funding solves
for racism

adversity,

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands AH

Andrew Harding

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Keller JB

Jada Bourne

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the Aff from Andrew Harding
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

J. McKinley

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Let me start by saying what an incredible debate this was. Both incredible debaters & I could have gone either way for strong reasons. Ultimately, I am deciding to go Aff. This largely based on the "net benefit" argument as Aff considers criminalization concerns & its targeting of various ethnic/social groups. I ~~can~~ can see Negs K but I am having trouble recognizing what that looks like or how its attained in any multicultural society. Short of a specific actionable charge I find it hard to visualize ^{logistically} which ultimately make it difficult to weigh in round even though the ~~no~~ warrant for the premise of the K is warranted.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Carroll SM

Max = 30

Samir Mohsin

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

PSJA Southwest AC

Max = 30


Alyssa Castillo

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Samir Mohsin
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Neg uses Colorado examples but legalization are not necessarily the same.
- Aff does a better job identifying impacts of contentions and wins impacts debate. Aff, you should not have dropped your cartel impact as it was weighing in on my decision. Strategize pre-round on how to defend against that type of attack on your contention.

- Basically Aff wins round on Impacts, ineffectiveness of current mindset & longstanding & perpetuating cyclical evidence of racism of criminal justice approach

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Houston Memorial JS

Max = 30

Joshua Sucec

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

El Paso Coronado GP

Max = 30

Grace Park

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Houston Memorial JS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote Aff because:

- 1) Aff C₂ vs: Big Pharma acts as a disend to the NC. So long as illegal drugs are kept illegal, marijuana won't be studied. This perpetrates opioid use and addiction, fueling structural violence.
- 2) Aff proves rehab solves outside of the criminal justice system with the extension of the C₃ McKel guidance

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

PSJA early College IR

Ixoye Ramirez

POINTS

Max = 30

27

NEGATIVE

Houston Memorial DX

Daniel Xu

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Memorial DX
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote Neg b/c:

- 1) Neg's Economy & Q1 is under covered by Aff
- 2) Neg turns Aff's solvency by proving treatment w/ less criminal penalties lead to increased loans

Room: 2347
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Andrews, Blake

LC

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Carroll GI

Gautam Iyer

POINTS

Max = 30

29.7

NEGATIVE

LC Anderson AR

Aseel Rawashdeh

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Carroll
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

I vote

Aff

on Aff

+ case

as dead to

2:05
left

+5

foreplay

be nice
in front

Room: 2347
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Andrews, Blake

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westwood CW

Max = 30

Carson Wang

28.4

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Colleyville Heritage CW

Max = 30

Celine Wei

29.1

The better debating was done by the neg from Colleyville
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: [Signature] [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1.06
ORAL + I vote neg
on cap or this on
case/terminal D
(No net benefit to person)

2.34
+ .5
to Bon
CWS
for being
nice in round.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College WH

Max = 30

Wyatt Hatfield

29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Lake Travis BO

Max = 30

Blake Ochoa

29.4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Wyatt - Is this strategic? Couldn't the neg go?
minutes of determinism is false?

Blake - Go all in on inclusivity and explain
its significance

RFD: Vote Aff off of ~~burden~~ must concede
burden structure

Room: 2513
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Sood, Jalaj

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Granbury MW

Max = 30

Mary Withey

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clements PK

Max = 30

Parin Kashyap

28.4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Clements
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Mary- Great debater, keep that energy up

Parin- Cmon, not prepping for the tournament shouldn't be an excuse to not make line by line responses

RFD: Neg wins link and alt, vote on (K)

Room: 1723
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Smith, Julie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements PS

Pia Shah

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Stony Point AH

Arnav Hiray

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the AFF from Pia Shah
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Julie Smith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF WINS ON FRAMEWORK.

Room: 1723
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Smith, Julie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Gregory-Portland JH

Max = 30

Jacob Henderson

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Centennial NS

Max = 30

Nidhi Sonwalkar

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from NIDHI SONWALKAR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Julie Smith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF LEFT SOME TURNS & EVIDENCE
UNANSWERED.

Room: 2366
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Gravley, Paul

LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson MR

Max = 30

Malik Rawashdeh

27.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Houston Memorial AQ

Max = 30

Andrew Qin

28.6

The better debating was done by the Neg from Houston Memorial AQ
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff conceded second Tshell. Aff is also behind
on RVI debate on the first shell

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lake Travis LS

Lee Scheffe

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

NEGATIVE

Cypress Woods AZ

Angela Zhong

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Cy Woods AZ
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1) K = floating p.c. solves the IAC w/ Net benefit of better Discourse.
- 2) DisAO (this are functionally uncontested. Even if there is some decrim. of drugs, it's not a federal b) not reflective of Trumps Base.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Melissa HC

Hallie Crain

POINTS

Max = 30

29
~~26.5~~ HS

NEGATIVE

Northland Christian JQ

Jack Quisenberry

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from Northland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg RFD - vote on mass incarceration turn
- vote on rape turn
- Vote on shell big turn
- vote on Trans DA
- Vote on CP

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Village AK

Max = 30

Aman Kumar

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AL

Max = 30

Alex Lee

28

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF OR NEG

from

Village AK
TEAM OR CONTSTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

AB

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AR - CJS → is a key structure of the capitalist society. This should be pointed out the contradiction of the Neg. stat. Unless, Neg. is conceding a two tier debate where by they kick out based upon which one Aff wants. ~~Rob 2 2 2~~

- Not a fan of Neg. two tier approach. Especially if you run ROB

2FD: ① Neg. drops ROB → convey.

② CJS. - better made but dispositive to the Aff. while have specific evidence to their plan. (So even if partially true there is specific Aff. ideas)

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

W.B. Ray AF

Anahita Farishta

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Greenhill AM

Anna Myers

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the Neg. from Greenhill AM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: ABA

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AR - Mistake on F.W. is not responding to looking @ coneq

RFD: CP + T ✓ For the Neg.

Aff goes For Conds in 2AR.

- & answer the argmt of how CP is & a PIC
- Next the ~~argmt~~ 2AR is just a extension of the CONDO of the 1AR & Not respecti to the analysis of the NR.
- & Respn of Perms.
- & answer all argmts are conditional.

Room: 1411
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Aamar, Taha

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Victoria West RH

Max = 30

Rama Hamoudah

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Hebron PN

Max = 30

Philip Ng

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Philip Ng
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff V. morality
vc: Reduction Arguish.

Neg V. morality
vc: maximizing self being

RFD: Neg shows link into Affs Framework while showing
Solven cy Through the Neg.

Room: 1411
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Aamar, Taha

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Clear Brook PV

Max = 30

Prateesh Vijayakumar

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Burges AQ

Max = 30

Alyssa Quesada

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Prateesh Vijayakumar
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff V. social welfare
V. minimizing ^{human} misery.

Neg V. human reduction
V. categorical imperative.

RFD: Aff links into Negs case uses Value and brings it under
own framework.

Room: 1701
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Hightower, Canda

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Borden County ISD TC

Trent Collins

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Woodlands CMA

Camryn Manley

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Woodlands CMA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: CAH

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both very polite debaters

Aff - Work on sign posts in rebuttals. I got a little lost on what you were attacking/defending in IAR and you jumped flaws without signposting. Focused a lot on "transformative" but didn't discuss how you were achieving the "justice"

Neg - good use of extending cards/evidence

RFD - Neg wins FW debate; Aff dropped; Neg evidence outweighed based on warrants

Oral Critiques

Room: 1701
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Hightower, Canda

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Concordia Lutheran CS

Max = 30

Camilla Scott

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway ZN

Max = 30

Zachary Nepote

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Camilla Scott
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~Affirmative~~ Neg

- Slow down, you stumbled through a lot of your info which made it hard to understand
- Work on extending cards, not just arguments

~~Affirmative~~ Aff

Remember to extend into 2AR or say across the 8th
stumbled some, try to be more familiar with
what you are reading

RFD - ~~Rob~~

ROB - not sufficiently addressed by Neg

Aff evidence outweighed based on extensions
and drops

Room: 1713
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Powell, DeMarcus

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit Coll AnM

Max = 30

Aneel Mehra

27.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Stony Point FB

Max = 30

Frances Bolger

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake AnM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Did not like this round for many reasons. 1) No reason for the IAC to be 3:00 min of underview arguments that mostly don't end up mattering in the round. 2) the neg positions read don't really link to the aff or interact with it. So there wasn't a great reason to complicate the debate more and read PLCS bad theory. 3) it was overall a sloppy round and both debaters kept making it worse.

Vote Aff. Don't think there is a coherent negative strat that is proven to be best option when compared to the aff.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Timber Creek GJ

Gus Jones

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

NEGATIVE

Westwood SM

Saavan Myneni

POINTS

Max = 30

28.7

The better debating was done by the NEG from Westwood SM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: vote neg on theory. the aff drops the 2nd shell in the IAR. Aff is abusive, aff can't defend an alt and an advocacy. means neg cant predict and generate engagement with the aff. This means that there is loss of education which means the aff should lose since they caused this.

I can also vote on all the dropped ~~neg~~ responses in the IAR on case.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Central BF

Max = 30

Bryce Fant

38

NEGATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha ZC

Max = 30

Zoey Chacon

29

The better debating was done by the

(2A)
~~NEG~~
AFF or NEG

from

Zoey Chacon
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- AFF: value: social progress criterion: Immanuel Kant's (universal law)
- Public Health → cont#1 criminal stigma negatively impacts society Q: what stigma are we talking about? And on whom?
→ Elaborate more on that please.
 - Cont#2: Treating the illegal use of drugs as public health reduces the drug we see in prison.
 - Cont#3: The CJS creates a targeting system for minorities based on biases. → ex: Blacks & Latinos.
- NEG: Framework → standard value
- Drug courts solve → decrease recidivism & significantly lower costs.
 - The CJS is necessary, because it forces people into drug treatment.
 - It's about lowering the use of drugs, not the stigma.
- Dis Adv: #1. Drug Trafficking
#2: Minor Economic & Arrests.

- My vote goes to Neg for decreasing the use of drug in her case

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cooper NK

Nia King

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha RN

Max = 30

Rija Naqvi

30

The better debating was done by the NEG from Rija Naqvi
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF: Value: utilitarian Criterion: Maximize quality of life (util)
- Public Health NOT CJS
CON#1: Drug use is a conventional health issue → addiction is not seen as an illness, therefore no treatment exist.
CON#2: Therapeutic use of drugs is used when the stigma is gone
Q: what stigma are we talking about elaborate please?

NEG: Value: Morality Criterion: util
- An issue of both Public Health & the criminal justice
→ Treatment approach → The ~~criminal~~ CJS keeps them in treatment. → 7x drug Free
- Stigma is for for women; those that work & use drugs hardly get any treatment.
Q: so to get treatment people need to go to jail?


- My vote goes to NEG for Upholding the Framework of util

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
W.B. Ray DG	Max = 30	Lake Travis MM	Max = 30
Daniel Gardiner	<u>28.2</u>	Mackenzie Matheson	<u>28.9</u>

The better debating was done by the Neg from Lake Travis MM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- The framework on both sides is undeveloped and unclear
- Neg strategy was fine but just for future reference, the D/As are non unique
- 1AR needs to respond to Neg args
- 2NR "17." arg was not great but the disads were conceded so doesn't really matter

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Borger AH

Max = 30

Addison Hook

28.4

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian ABa

Max = 30


Aaron Barcio

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Northland Christian
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- The definition debate is not super clear but I cr neg on "illegal use of drugs" including the distribution because the IAR response was insufficient
- Neg position = PIC so doesn't matter if aff wins offense; risk of net benefit or presume neg if positions are the same

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Jack C. Hays EC

^{THEY}
Emma Cook
Hepatic Healthcare

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Marcus KL

^{HE/HIM}
Kyle Lleras

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the neg from Kyle
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: N

Signed: *[Signature]*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This round got a little tense during ex. Please let cooler heads prevail. I feel that no matter which way I vote in this round one of you is going to be very upset with me. It feels like how the round was structured that if I vote against either of you I am reinforcing heterosexism and risk being labelled as unfriendly to the gay community. I think this is a coin flip round and a different judge would and could vote the other way.

I vote negative on T/framework. I think the resolved statement means aff has to defend government action because of fairness.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway IL

Max = 30

Ivin Lam

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Abilene MF

Max = 30

Makayne Favor

29

The better debating was done by the neg from Favor
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: N

Signed: KP

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Thank you for ~~an~~ an old school traditional
LD round. It was very enjoyable!

I buy the argument that the negative argument that
we need both criminal justice and public health
to work together to solve the issues. I think the
affirmative could have done more work to show
how PH is the best/only option. Aff needed us
engage in the global argument provided by
the negative

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lovejoy AR

Ashley Rihani

POINTS

Max = 30

27.1

NEGATIVE

Tuloso-Midway TM

Teah Mbang

POINTS

Max = 30

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Lovejoy
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jan Dier

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

· Neg args aren't applicable should have made
T arguments because aff is international & is
limited to only one drug

The theory debate was unnecessary.

Should ask the question "is the law just?"

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Plano East AK

Ameera Khan

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Clements AS

Arnav Sethi

POINTS

Max = 30

28.2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Plano East
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

won Plcs bad - good explanation & answers

Aff should point out that they do not deermiminate;
people are still going to prison

May have a topicality arg. though.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Falls KA

Max = 30

Kathryn Alejandre

28.7

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College CR

Max = 30

Cameron Raschke

29.2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Dhruv

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote on the K

two routes:

I don't evaluate consequence of plan
bc Plat is illusory
and I vote on the K

I do and I vote on the
Mambapoor turns

Room: 1703
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Mambapoor, Dhruv

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clear Brook KP

Kent Pham

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Veterans Memorial SM

Sebastian Martinez

POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

The better debating was done by the AFF from CLEAR BROOK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Dhruv

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both plan. and CP solves for oppression,
but ~~the plan is better at reducing~~
~~CP is better at solving for~~
~~availability~~ AE is better at solving
addiction

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

McNeil AR

Abhishek Rao

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

NEGATIVE

Cypress Park TD

Tiffany Damon

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the aff from mcneil
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFP:

affirms it is a good method to handle the crim. of black & brown men

misc thoughts:

the ZNR leans hard into a lot of rhetoric
the IAC criticizes: "ghetto," "drug war poison,"
etc - I think you should question some of it
and the ZNR should more explicitly
indicate

Room: 2348
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

LaBarre, Davis

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lake Travis VM

Vivian McDonald

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Clements JX

Jarvis Xie

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the neg from Clements
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral.

Was on risk of Alt solving, no
def offense at end of round for the
aff.

Room: 2348
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

LaBarre, Davis

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cross Timbers Christi NS

Max = 30

Nathan Smith

29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clements UU

Max = 30

Uneeb Ullah

28.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from NATHAN SMITH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral.

NC concedes fairness comes before
the K and loses on the interp.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College VL

Vincent Liu

POINTS

Max = 30

ap 28
~~28.5~~

NEGATIVE

Garland BM

Brandon Molina

POINTS

Max = 30

ap
~~28.5~~

The better debating was done by the Neg from Garland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Amy Pozza

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Link in the K is that the idea of building treatment centers is "helping them cure their own addiction" which is a neo-liberal ideology & also the other link is the weighing of material violence. In both links & the alt is a rejection of neo-lib ideology. However, Aff is right that post-fix impacts are non-unique & ~~that~~ ~~method with weighing material violence~~ ~~is not a rejection of neo-lib ideology~~. So, it comes down to whether ~~the~~ Neg has pre-fix ROB - is neo-lib ideology ~~inherent~~ its rejection a prior question. I think the NR did a good job explaining the warrants in the evidence about how neo-lib structures of power restrict subject formation. ~~It should be rejected as inherently bad.~~

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Falls DR

Max = 30

DeAndra Robinson

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Burleson Centennial HM

Max = 30

Hope Moffatt

30

The better debating was done by the AFF from Cyp. Falls
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: UN

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- need more clarity in the AC
- gotta go hard on consequences over intent - could use more analysis

- should be a clear Role of the ballot and alt in the K
- practice explaining + who's better

Room: 2349
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Marquess, Macken

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Central AF

Max = 30

Aaron Flores

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College BE

Max = 30

Ben Erdmann

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Strake Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M U

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- make sure you don't drop major arguments (the counterplan)
- respond to the disad like you would a normal contention - say Dems won't win or that Trump popularity is too far gone & it is a win for republicans, not Trump.

- why are you kicking the CP? nothing for you to extend on it
- super clean extension of fix & case turn 5
- great weighing

I vote neg on the disad - it is clear that this policy would be seen as a Trump win and disrupt current democratic efforts. Bc it is extended that Trump is detrimental to democracy & that the existential impacts of a loss of democracy outweigh on magnitude and reversability

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Monsignor Kelly Catho PS

Max = 30

Parsa Safa

28.6

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian ABr

Max = 30

Austin Broussard

28.7

The better debating was done by the Neg from Austin Broussard
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Dan Huynh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- do more weighing in the 1st
- Both should do specific f/w comparison.
- Respond to his util dump
- Describe your alt & ROB more clearly

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Seminole NL

Max = 30

Nathaniel Little

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College JH

Max = 30

Joshua Herrera

29.2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Joshua Herrera
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Dan Huynh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- There's no reason to read drop the debater and no RVI for your counter-interp.
- extend your offense
- Isolate the things he's gonna go for

- I DK why your interp specifies ~~RVI~~ USFG.
-

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clear Creek RB

Rachel Boyd

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Stony Point CS

Caitlin Smith

POINTS

Max = 30

26

The better debating was done by the Aff from Clear Creek RB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- This round flows Aff for the following reasons;
- 1 - Aff wins out on both frameworks as Neg even linked morality to keeping people safe in society and yet the rest of her case was all about animal abuse.
 - 2 - While Neg claims her card on the decriminalization of drugs → more addictions went unanswered in her 2NR, the Aff actually ~~had~~ ran several cards and arguments that disputed this claim such as a decrease in crime rates, a decrease in HIV (her), and that 95% of incarcerated addicts return to substance abuse.
 - 3 - Whether Aff advocated for decriminalization or not becomes secondary to what side is better for the public health of society. While animal abuse may be a serious issue, it still does not trump the impacts that drug abuse has on humans, thus this round flows Aff.

Prep
A N
3.00 3.42

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

James Bowie TW

Tate Weston

POINTS

Max = 30

27

NEGATIVE

Hebron ZR

Zoha Rizvi

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Hebron ZR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote Neg for the following reasons:

- 1 - Drug Court CP wins out as it feeds into the whole idea of forcing rehab as a prereq which in turn decreases the effects on minorities and better upholds the agreed upon framework of mitigating structural violence
- 2 - ~~Aff~~ arguing that drug courts fail and reading perm do both arguments doesn't make a whole lot of sense. Why advocate for something that fails in the 1st place?
- 3 - Neg's case including the drug informant DA & voluntary DA in the end outweighed Aff's public health's initiative plan. Not sure why Aff argued that Neg didn't meet his definition (3 criteria) of his PAI. It wasn't her burden to do so but her arguments did outweigh in regards to the flow of morality & mitigating structural violence.

Prof
A / N
2,32 / 4

Room: 1483
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Stuckert, JP

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Colleyville Heritage IN

Max = 30

Itbaan Nafi

28.8

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway JS

Max = 30

John Stearns

29.4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Tuloso-Midway
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote neg on K

- Neg win ROB, link & beats back perms
- "Pre-fiat" impact of aff begs question of winning framework

Room: 1483
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Stuckert, JP

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Kinkaid hs

Max = 30

hannah shi

28.8

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Pflugerville CD

Max = 30

Coltrane Drexler

28.5

The better debating was done by the AF from Kinkaid
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AC offense + the turned DA outweigh
the Kerkcheval arguments under minimizing
oppression

Room: 1363
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Ha, Steven

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Colleyville Heritage SM

Max = 30

Sarah Manjee

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands JB

Max = 30

Joshua Bih

29

The better debating was done by the aff from Colleyville Heritage SM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Steven Ha

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: I vote aff on risk of
Solvency w/ Lopez
and the perm

Room: 2345
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Wright, Kris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Houston Lamar MT

Maximilian Tran

POINTS

Max = 30

28.4

NEGATIVE

Lovejoy CM

Collins Morgan

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Lovejoy CM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

K. Wright

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

IAR is too light on the X of Kant. The 2AR does not do enough to respond to the 2AR. For example, Aff argues Kantianism allows for critique of exclusionary systems; however, the neg is indicting ability of the pos to do that.

Aff pressure on the link is too minimal in the 2AR & non-existent in 1AR

2AR needed to be more responsive to the 2AR "K over theory" arguments to get across to winning on the RVI. Aff's answer is really just an argument that theory matters too ... not a reason I should vote on that larger 1st

Room: 2345
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Wright, Kris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Montgomery GC

Max = 30

Grayson Constantine

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood SK

Max = 30

Sneha Kamal

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Montgomery GC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

Why is this a topical plan?
more specifically, what
about your plan (or the
offense) concerns not
treating it as a criminal
justice issue?

Neg

Don't be afraid to make more explicit
responses in the Aff.

Be sure you've really thought through
the links in advance.

Given the Aff plan, the DAs don't
link

The 2nd collapsed to the most visible
flow/ballot story. I just think a lot
more would need to have been done
to link the Aff to the K

RFD

1. Aff wins no link: Plan does not force/lead people into rehab centers
2. Aff wins link turn + Perm

Room: 1707
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Mandavilli, Rohi

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Carnegie Vanguard AB

Arnav Burudgunte

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Franklin JC

Jessie Coleman

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Carnegie Vanguard
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

was both debate + content

Room: 1707
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Mandavilli, Rohi

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Village AF

Max = 30

Adnan Fatakawala

28.7

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Seven Lakes RR

Max = 30

Rohan Rao

29.1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Seven Lakes
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

neg T

ix semantics

2AR newish + victory

ix pragma

1-19 01/20 + DA to CS

Winning 2AR was DTA instead of DTD

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AK

Max = 30

Akhila Keeranoor

25

NEGATIVE

POINTS

George Ranch AA

Max = 30

Asad Ahmed

28.2

The better debating was done by the neg from George Ranch
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Margaret Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

• if you're going to spend that much time on ~~theory~~ ^{theory}, read ~~the~~ ^{the} justification/extend the over in your case
• could've spent more time on case instead of on theory if you ~~were~~ ^{were} going to read the justification, for example
• don't spend / + don't extend from neg



• be sure to be ~~the~~ ^{the} extending impacts that matter under your framework & weigh

• too much time spent on +, not enough on ~~extending~~ ^{extending}, where you could have actually won

• be sure to adapt your neg strategy / case to be responsive to the aff
(legalization response doesn't fit with all cases)

• probably shouldn't agree to util if you're reading a holder framing & ~~your~~ ^{your} impacts don't link well.

• good job weighing on case



TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Prosper IP

Max = 30

Isabelle Petros

28.7

NEGATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson SJ

Max = 30

Sesh Joe

29.5

The better debating was done by the neg from LC Anderson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Maggie Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

• come up w/ a better answer to who is ~ woman

- It was undercovered - could have weighed & delinked at least for a perm

- also only one analytic was read against the alt.
there's definitely literature for what you're trying to say

• work on time management

• need better explanation of post fiat state impacts (why the state is okay)

~~• spent a lot of time on the ac on things that weren't extended in 2m, which was understandable~~

• spent a lot of time on the ac on things that weren't extended in 2m, which was understandable

Room: 2511
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Sasso, John

LC

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

St. Agnes Academy LH

Max = 30

Lydia Huang

29.2

NEGATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson KS

Max = 30

Kyle Stephens

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Lydia Huang
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote aff on theory

Lydia won stronger links to education/fairness, plus
tens to C/I. Treat theory like substance,
aff has more offense.

Theory before case because logical side constraints
are lexically prior.

Kyle — go for crit/thinking or strategy standards,
predictability was a bad choice this round.

Room: 2511
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Sasso, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Louis E. Brandeis SR

Max = 30

Saba Rajput

28

NEGATIVE

Lubbock GY

POINTS

Max = 30

Griffin Young

28.2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Lubbock
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

[Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AL is dropped - aff cannot garner offense. Only offense comes from NC framework + contentions

Saba - focus more on garnering offense from your case, don't just ignore it. You have framing arguments at the end of the 1st AC that need to be brought up every speech

Griffin - don't be intimidated by arguments like the aff, you were making good responses. Focus more on making realistic impact scenarios from the NC.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Grapevine RS

Ruth Schlenker

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Clements ZA

Zoya Ahmed

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Grapevine RS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M. Ullah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NC/AC | AC ext.
NC on f/w
Aff causes

- 1) Neg doesn't engage timing. Neg gives no full responses/explanation on epistemology. Aff is only one linking into their own Role of Buhar.
- 2) Even under util, Big pharma is not as much of a player in community based solutions (see Aff empires, Neg doesn't actually read empires).
- 3) Aff never claimed to want to solve root cause of racism. New in the area about nihilism. Stats prove war on drugs is racist.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

A&M Consolidated CC

Celine Choi

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Cy-Fair KA

Katy Aing

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Neg from Cy-Fair KA
AFF or NEG TEAM/OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M. Ullah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

FW	Framing	Framing
CP	AC	AC
AC	NL	CP

Fyi both sides used analysis which could not be supported by evidence. i.e. neither side has evid on Portugal.

1) Framing collapse to structural violence.

2) Evidence: main issue is whether mandatory drug courts are bad or better for minorities of color.

- My judgment has to come down to what was read & the analysis tied to what was read.
- Drug courts do not automatically mean incarceration; only if the guilty party fails to meet their program. While Aff reads evidence saying meeting the reqs of the program may be impossible, Neg reads statistics of some individuals actually showing success → Aff reads the tag on Grass 2 but not the warrant which hurts the Aff. Also, Danyelle doesn't speak to drug courts anywhere.
- Can't perm CP b/c mutual excl.

3) Also, on overall impact calc, Aff is not beyond a doubt necessarily better; Aff drops chance of voluntary dropout of their programs.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westlake AL

Max = 30

Andrew Lee

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

El Paso Coronado SR

Max = 30

Sophia Rojo

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Westlake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Alex Joyner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff - good case. careful of Bleiker in AC, explain ~~the~~ How round breaks down more clearly.

Neg - decent case but, 1. evidence & always on point. 2. consequentialism isn't a value... clash is both perspective based AND evidence based. If evidence is as specific and Aff evidence is specific Philippines → no clash

RFD

Aff wins Framing. Aff extends adv. 1: HDV. showing how treatment is what solves and that mass incarceration makes situation worse by self & blo stigma. Neg provides no comparative ev. vs. this area

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College DH

Max = 30

David Herrera

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Smithson Valley MA

Max = 30

Maddie Aguilar

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: clarity good. case good. CX bad - know what you're doing/advocated.

Neg: clarity good. case links were weak. similarly, it seems to be a very shallow reading of Foucault.

- RFD
1. Round was very much 2 ships in night.
 2. Aff wins framing via fairness & Zinotti - & Romero + Portugal provide net benefit

Room: 1477
Flight B: Start 100
LD


2 McConway, Camero

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Cypress Falls RK	Max = 30	Carroll PD	Max = 30
Rajveer Kindra	<u>28.5</u>	Paul Doherty	<u>28.7</u>

The better debating was done by the Aff from CY-FALLS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ✓

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted aff on disclosure theory - there was no counterinterp or offensive arguments on the shell, although I thought it would only have taken a few arguments to answer it back.

Room: 1477
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2 McConway, Camero

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements AU

Ahad Ullah

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Leander LB

Luke Bartelt

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Clements
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted aff on the conceded solvency evidence and role of the ballot. I think the NC is too long & not specific enough and there is a lot of the AC that goes unanswered.

Room: 1578
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

De La O, Dino

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clear Lake JC

Jay Collura

POINTS

Max = 30

27.8

NEGATIVE

Garland KP

Khoa Pham

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Garland KP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD - RVI debate is pretty messy but I edge Neg
on some weighing.

DA outweighs case on timeframe + probability
Also case defense is OK

Room: 1578
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

De La O, Dino

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Oak Ridge KC

Kirby Cabler

POINTS

Max = 30

27.8

NEGATIVE

Cypress Ranch MZ

Michael Zhou

POINTS

Max = 30

29.

The better debating was done by the NEG from Cypress Ranch MZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote neg on the K. Ontology is won.
Theres a link +
~~can~~ alt solves.

All needs to go harder
for perf con.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Prosper KS	Max = 30	Clements TR	Max = 30
Kaleb Simes	<u>28</u>	Thomas Rivera	<u>28.5</u>

The better debating was done by the Neg from Clements TR
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

In the end the debate comes down for me not to much on whether blackness is ontological but whether the AFF performance is the anti blackness challenge that the NC declares we need in the Kritik. I think the AFF failed to sufficiently explain this and explain why this is sufficient to delink from the Kritik. Thus I buy that the AC links, the links are disads to the perm so the neg solves best for anti-blackness.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Princeton CM

Christopher Malhas

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

St. Agnes Academy AJ

Aisha Jalal

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the AFF from Princeton
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: Yes ✓

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

It's not the AFF's burden to propose a plan to implement Public Health policies.

Border Patrol ≠ ICE

ICE doesn't handle drug seizures @ the border.

The 2011 card isn't talking about Trump true. However, that's not an issue. The card states that 1BP = ↑ Drug seizures which Trump just happened to do. It's not praising Trump, just a policy that hadn't occurred yet.

RFD: The Value of Health is necessary for any version of util to exist. I buy that the threat of punishment through neurological studies and illnesses/diseases that propagate in CTS's outweigh that of sexual violence.

- Aff wins.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Dulles AS

Max = 30

Abhinav Sinha

29.0

NEGATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha PK

Max = 30

Pranavkrishna Kandikayal 29.4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Basis San Antonio
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Murali W

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: I vote neg because there isn't enough offense on the 1ar shell to pull the trigger. This strat skew argument is weighed enough and the aff overallocates too much time of defense in the 2ar

Aff:

1) Allocate time better in the 1AR. NC is not touched at all. Only needs 30s-45s but don't drop. The work you do on the util indicts is minimal. Group arguments where necessary.

2) In 1AR, have an impact o/v of the aff

Neg:

1) indict the strat skew argument more heavily since its the only offense on the shell

Room: 2403
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Whitaker, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Hereford JC

Max = 30

Junior Contreras

29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Dulles NJ

Max = 30

Neer Jain

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Dulles NJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Andrew Whitaker

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Impossible for neg to win in aff world

Aff: I really get your narrative. I grew up in West Texas myself and was a hotshot until I moved to East Texas and realized that other schools competed at such high levels which humbled me in a sense. I understand just how behind West Texas is.

Neg: Find middle ground if possible

Room: 1309
Flight B: Start 100
LD

2

Anderson, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Grapevine NN

Nidhish Nerur

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College JP

Josh Porter

POINTS

Max = 30

28.6

The better debating was done by the neg from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

There needs to be more against the alt.
You should lean into reform good /
the alt is bad because it abandons the
state & this departure is harmful /
doesn't allow for solvency etc. I think
that even if Wilderson is "anti-ideal"
his alternative still falls under Heiser's
reasoning of why ignoring realistic conditions
implication
& solutions is bad

Spend more time on T in IAR

Be more responsive to ROTB in NR.
Good answers in NC that don't get
as flushed out *even though* they're
being discussed elsewhere on the flow.

RFD: F/W is unable to achieve
meaningful effects re: concubinage &
violence falls into trap of liberalism.
Also means aff is unable to solve
K impacts. K is able to solve root
cause of anti-blackness. No offense
vs. alt. Perm is still state action &
links to K

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements CY

Christine Yuan

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College ZD

Zion Dixon

POINTS

Max = 30

28.2

The better debating was done by the neg from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Don't connect the dots for him re: questions about the alt. One, you shouldn't be helping your opponent explain their own arguments. Two, you jump the gun on what measures the alt takes and form all of your attacks around an answer you gave him that he just agreed with. Obviously CX is binding, but this isn't the best strat.

Be sure to make time to adequately respond to the K. Put it first, probably

Explain the alt better. When asked in CX, you start explaining your ROTB. Line by line on case is really good. Find a new way to characterize the relation between drug use and freedom. "Taking over bodies" is poetic but could be more detailed.

RFD: F/W not touched in IAR.

Link also conceded. Aff self-ownership premised 1. isn't weighed vs. neg f/w; 2. doesn't get explained with regard to the slave relation. Attack against alt is 1. defending; 2. doesn't answer the ontological component of anti-blackness + sovereignty. Impacts of K outweigh (+ potentially turn, but argument is not made) aff impacts. Alt is able to resolve w/ disinvestment from our society that aff agrees happens w/ AC.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Kinkaid sm

shreya mehta

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Round Rock SG

Sreya Gandra


POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from ~~Shreya~~ Kinkaid sm
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I affirm. I grant the IAR claim that the 2N can't recontextualize a vision of fairness or a model of debate and, absent any real argumentation otherwise, the ROB comes first. Under the AC ROB, I affirm on the extended offense.

Room: 1335
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Koshak, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Elkins KP

Max = 30

Kedar Pandya

~~28~~ 29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westlake SM

Max = 30

Shampurna Mitra

~~28~~ 29

The better debating was done by the AFF from Elkins KP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1 Affirm. The ~~big~~ biggest problem is that the neg concedes an argument that the party is key to deconstruct patriarchy (on the PIC) meaning the aff solved for the cause of date rape rather than trying to solve the issue ex post facto.

The extension of Brooks in the 2N is good, but no weighing or interaction b/t that and the AC framing is done.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Prosper AA

Max = 30

Alexander Antonakakis 29.4

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Jack C. Hays PF

Max = 30

Patrick Fox 29.6

The better debating was done by the Aff from Prosper
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: The aff is winning framing. The 2NR
doesn't do enough work to establish why their offense
functions under the aff framing.

Room: 2410
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Tom, Neville

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Village AI

Aditya Iyer

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

NEGATIVE

Leander AG

Andy Gutierrez

POINTS


Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Village
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Vote aff on conceded theory shell. Aff is prob. ahead on FW debate as well & is prob. winning substance.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

McNeil KK

Kartik Kotamraju

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

NEGATIVE

Westlake AC

Amanda Chen

POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

The better debating was done by the Aff from McNeil
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Answer 3rd off w/ no other 4 seconds

- answer ≠ CX checks

CP Flaw goes

Point at person

make brand of potential abuse arg on Thag

Much better 2AR

AR goes for too much rebuttal & extra

Answer means fiat w/ CP

- answer ~~rebuttal~~ ^{rebuttal} ~~is~~ ^{is} ~~trying~~ ^{trying} to the shell

- you reason the CP be "marijuana" is intellectual - you use it very well should be using cannabis if discourse is important

Honestly thought it was a good answer ez neg ballot after 1AR (i) but T ≠ answer annotation looking or means answer on the CP

- I sure why you sent go for the 3rd off when its practically conceded

Do clear macro layering - met are first; T or Virent - a lot of competing 12th

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood AZ

Alice Zhang

POINTS

Max = 30

28.4

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College JG

Joseph Georges


POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Westwood AZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AR needs to extend a
few just facts to get better
access to your 1CR offense
on both flows - & just
put answers on the defensive
util takeouts.

- I would go for the 2nd
comparative worlds arguments
& try to prove the alt/K
≠ comparative world to the
same extent

Aff should need any/mixed &
util - you don't have any existential
impacts

RFD - vote on 2nd link turn

& perm → 2NR puts burden on methods
del etc & 2AR proves there's a part
of method that makes competitive

~~Materiality~~
debate
needs to be
fueled out
more by
both sides
!!!!

① CX: Neg strat is confusing -
you sound like you are
setting up a theory debate
then & spend time getting
like explanations & the impact
calc to give you a firmer
link to the K.

② The ~~weigh~~ weigh case args
under the K are a perfect w/
the link story

③ you use a lot of buzzwords
for lagging like "already
prior to the link debate"
but A. don't want and
B. don't do the work
connecting this w/
materiality arg

2NR really repetitive
I don't have a warrant for
existential

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Dulles TJ

Tony Jha

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Garland WA

Will Ashcraft

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from GARLAND
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This is literally the worst aff I've ever heard,

you explain the burdens - if drugs affect health then absolutely nothing else should be discussed in relation to drugs. Let's make an analogy.

If murder affects death rates then absolutely nothing else should be discussed in relation to murder.

How do you ~~not~~ weigh anything if the resolution is a ~~question~~ question of opposite answers to a question? Is there no consideration given to whether you say "yes" or "no"?

NR you're weighing on theory and extend the weighing spike. Like you literally tell me to weigh.

NR - sloppy on underview.

Dude just make it uncondo it doesn't preclude theory in the 2NR which you do that

RFD - NR concedes new AFFS bad

Room: 1517
Flight A: Start 1200
LD

2

Harvey, Miguel

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Central PE

Max = 30

Paysen Epley

27

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Dulles SZ

Max = 30

Sophia Zhao

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from DULLES
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I'm skeptical of how well thought out the K is as a personal manifesto - you read hate speech evidence from the JAN-feb top! < 2 years ago

Aff - stop yelling at your opponent in CX. Seriously I'm about to intervene. Neg - you're trying to explain the K from a policy perspective but the shell critiques the pedagogical space - either make a meaningful distinction or ~~pick one~~ pick one.

NR - you're misunderstanding the K

SAY'S "playing the sexism card" is so wrong, man, especially when you're being aggressive at your opponent and SAY'S men are at more risk.

you're saying howel on "we help women" - THAT'S A LINK

2NR - so far this

2NR Now you're calling your opponent "blatantly ignorant" ^{three times} (twice)
Now you call your opponent a liar. I'm kind of done.

RefD: K uniquely links in-racial And to wholeness

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands CMu

Camila Munoz

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

NEGATIVE

Greenhill BZ

Brian Zhou

POINTS

Max = 30

29.7

The better debating was done by the NEG from Greenhill BZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- The 1AC is slow but you still have 1:15 left on the table. Need to fill time.
- Be careful of letting your opponent talk too much in CX; he basically reexplained his whole 1NC strategy.
- Former PF debater? Paraphrasing cards is not a thing in LD. Either make the argument analytically (meaning just warrant it logically yourself) or read the card.
- Pinning disads isn't a thing. Even if it's a perm on the CP, you a. need to resolve competition and b. you probably need a text of the perm.

- What is the justification in the 1NC for why presumption flows NEG?
- Excellent weighting work in the 1NC, although I don't understand why the "innovate new treatments" warrant on the pharma DA is a justification for probability.
- Good collapsing in the 2NR.
- Could have been nicer in the 2NR. Costs you nothing to be kind.

/negate:

The counterplan is heavily underscored, and there's two net benefits isolated (also CP solvency is conceded and it solves case) so /negate there.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

McMillen NG

Nevin Gera

POINTS

Max = 30

28.6

NEGATIVE

Westlake EA

Ethan Abraham

POINTS

Max = 30

27.8

The better debating was done by the NEG from Westlake EA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Thumping this bad China DA with outdated uniqueness is the right play.
- Going for everything (or too much) is just unwise. For both of you.

• This is true of both of you, but especially egregious for the NEG. I asked you to slow down for texts/interp, then you read T where you slow down for the interior of the shell but read full-speed through the interp. How does that even make sense?

I don't understand how this 1-meet works. The shell seems to be very explicit - no implementation. Unless why was argument about act-omission distinction answers that.

The reasonability debate is difficult to resolve b/c I mixed most of the work done in the 1AR. However, even if reasonability is true, the only AFF impact here seems to be "ignore the shell b/c it's stupid" which depends on whether I agree. The AFF doesn't deal w/ a lot of the act on the (I though (including US is arbitr. and specifying us is bad) so I argue on T.