

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha PK Max = 30

Pranavkrishna Kandikayal 29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College AA Max = 30

Aidan Atkins 27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Pranavkrishna Kandikayal
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jazmine Alejos

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: Value: Morality Criterion: Util (Minimizing Oppression)
Material Condition → structure violence
Public Health Matter Adv: Failing to do w/ the drug crisis
→ Federal Treatment ; criminalization is NOT the answer
→ Community Base → more effective → reduce drug use
#1 Discrimination → war on drugs is happening now.
#2 Prisoner Abuse
#3 The CJS is awful
- Careful not to agree w/ your opponent.

NEG: Framework: DARE
Prevention Abuse Program → security presence on the school
→ school → kids are getting criminal records.
- Advantage → decriminalize → higher sentence
- Explain to me what DARE is?
- try working on your case don't go on how you don't have enough speaking time.

I vote for the Aff because it upheld the value of morality & the criterion of oppression.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements JX

Jarvis Xie

POINTS

Max = 30

28.1

NEGATIVE

Westwood CW

Carson Wang

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the aff from Clements
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Probably read a more specific policy
if you read a plan. Repeating the
res with just one small phrase changed
to specify opioids rather than all
drugs does not give meaning to what
"treated as matter..." means. What
does the plan do? Why not say that?

Don't read a CP without a plan text.
It shouldn't be an afterthought, you should
have a clear advocacy. The disc should
probably specify the link to the aff. Failing
this, you should be able to explain in
CX how the plan affects pill mills.

RFD:

1. Theory

2. Pill mills has no link,
no argument made that aff
exacerbates this until the
NR, not very well proven

3. Lobbying has no impact,
empirics prove case solves or
at least mitigates the "turn"
which is never weighed vs. aff

4. Solvency deficits are
a. answered with empirics
b. not offense

Room: 2406
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Andrews, Blake

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Franklin JC

Max = 30

Jessie Coleman

27.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Grapevine RS

Max = 30

Ruth Schlenker

28.4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Grapevine
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: M C

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2.25

130
left

RFD ORAL

I vote negative on the P.C (waller 97 +
w/c 14)

Thanks for both being nice in round
+5 for each of you

Room: 2406
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Andrews, Blake

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westwood SM

Max = 30

Saavan Myneni

29.4

NEGATIVE

~~Montgomery AK~~ ^{Plano East}

POINTS

Max = 30

Ameera Khan

29.2

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Westwood
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

No

Signed: M L

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

45
AFF: CRAL +

2:20
NCC ✓

I got
Aff checks
they think turn on K
and being

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Woodlands SC

Shristi Chitlangia

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Cooper NK

Nia King

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the

AFF
AFF or NEG

from

Woodlands SC
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

1:17 am

NEG

45 sec. run

V: Qd - Goal of Care (Altruism)
C: Upholding capability approach
L Prioritizes individuals obtaining the best needed
I: CS is ineffective
L 1-10 are under supervision
L 80-95% who could benefit from services do not receive
L Continuing care after release has seen benefits

II: War on Drugs
L 100% increase in prison populations
L Minority, Discrimination
L Incarceration = Dehumanizing Repression
L Sexual Assaults
L Treatment = sign of weakness
L 74B spent on incarceration costs
1% spent on rehab
L Reallocation → 90% benefit

III: Decriminalization - Reduction of stigma
NOT L Many countries (Europe)
L Uses models advocated by AFF
Legalization L Less drug use
L Less drug violence

The War on Drugs contention is key here.

V: Locke Social Contract Theory
C: Calculation - Does not harm minority rights. What is this and how does it link to the contention?
I: Drug Cartels (Drug Smuggling)
L Drug Mules → Serious Injuries
L Mexican Marijuana Cartels (Mexico) 40%
II: Violent for the innocent
L 1 lb Chop + 2 lbs Drug Cartels lead to violence on the scale of war?

More die from violence than drugs. Push Criminalization to eliminate cartels.
No support
CS rehab has plans in place w/ higher success rate (outside is more widespread though)
Neg doesn't buy the stigma arg.

RFD: Social Contract doesn't take into account the minority roles in government and society. AFF was on Framework + Link terms. Qd upheld through Social Contract Theory doesn't impact as many people through the Neg. case. Neg. Contention do not clearly access the value.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway TM

Max = 30

Teah Mbang

27.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands LW

Max = 30

Lulu Whitson

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Woodlands LW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg wins b/c:

- 1) Aff never contends that security is a prerequisite to solving for structural violence
- 2) Neg proves that security is harmed via the NC conts regarding cartels & organized crime being in league w/ terrorists.
- 3) Neg proves structural violence is increased in the Aff world because those that cannot afford treatment or are not motivated to do so won't get help, which drug courts solve.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College CR

Max = 30

Cameron Raschke

27.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway IL

Max = 30

Ivin Lam

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Strake CR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote Aff because:

- 1) Aff proves treatment solves for addiction, thus preventing racism and further crime
- 2) Aff proves that the use of a Portugal-style decriminalization/legalization system solves for ~~addiction~~ addiction and shifts debate to assisting addicts.
- 3) Aff wins framework by extending the Perry : Skovitz evidence re: Racism/undesirables being the motive for the War on Drugs.

Room: 1307
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Berdugo, Erick

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Stony Point AH

Arnav Hiray

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Monsignor Kelly Catho PS

Parsa Safa

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the

1/6
AFF / NEG

from

Stony Point AH
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

CF 12/1

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff → solves to a decrease in demand of the drugs. This is the solving evidence the Negs conceded.

→ Aff & decriminalize drug traffickers (suppliers)

Negs. arguments - are all based on the drug suppliers being the ones being sought after. No links to impacts off the Negs.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Tuloso-Midway ZN

Max = 30

Zachary Nepote

27

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clear Creek RB

Max = 30

Rachel Boyd

28

The better debating was done by the Neg. from Clear Creek RB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: ABF

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AR - Not in depth, scattered, disjointed
- Mainly defensive in nature

- "3 prison" may not rep. but they do serve
as a basis to extend the current solution
∴ = stop of marginalization.

2AR - Does not get
into direct response
depth.

NR - Direct Response cards should be ~~built~~ built into
your case. This way you can cross-App. & save time
for responding

RFD: ~~Concession~~ ~~CP~~ CSS being nec. to solve
the problem ~~of~~ of substance abuse. Ho H.C.
is answered by the Aff. Aff case feels Neg.
position.

Room: 2401
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Brenner Ky
Tom, Neville

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Garland KP

Max = 30

Khoa Pham

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Seminole NL

Max = 30

Nathaniel Little

28

The better debating was done by the aff from Pham
AFF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: KP

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think you need to spend more time attacking the affirmative; if you are going to argue plan text bad then you should probably go all in on that;

I can respect and get behind the idea that plan texts shouldn't be in LD. However, you are going to have to do more work on the flow. Start by providing a framework and attack the affirmative framework instead of conceding it in the IVC. You'll have to do a lot more work on why the aff is unfair/harms education. I vote affirmative on concession of ROB and aff outweighs on impacts. I believe the aff meets its (T).

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill BZ

Max = 30

Brian Zhou

29.2

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clements CY

Max = 30

Christine Yuan

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Greenhill BZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

IN answers to case are uniqueness
for the aff. status quo approaches
to Pft are racist. Communist lead
~~Some~~ programs are a step away.

- reject Link of Omission

- Smith lead to the aff by itself.
- peru, shields the link

Room: 2366
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Castillo, Chris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Burges AQ

Max = 30

Alyssa Quesada

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AZ

Max = 30

Alice Zhang

28.5

The better debating was done by the NLB from Westwood AZ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① Link of the disad is
mitigated but
still exists.
DA o/ws the case.
— benefits of rehab
are
decreased since
many don't
seek
treatment.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westlake AC

Max = 30

Amanda Chen

29.2

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Dulles TJ

Max = 30

Tony Jha

28.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Westlake AC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

• If you don't defend implementation, how do you generate offense to your framework? This is unclear.

• Sticking to your guns on the Nazi question: I approve. Debaters are too squishy about this question.
• You're reading disads w/o implicating them to a fw. You do some of this work on-case in the NC but it's not great. Also, most of your on-case was just cross-applications - almost no unique arguments. Very inefficient. Should have explained those interactions when you read off-case.

1 affirm.

I think the AFF does enough work on "no R11s" so that even if the NEG is ahead on the theory shell, it's just defense. At that point, there's two issues: a. the NEG doesn't go for the TJFC or justifications for Kant and b. more importantly, doesn't extend offense off the NEG.

I think the AFF is doing enough work on framework anyway (subjectivity constrains practical reason) so I vote off the AFF.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Burleson Centennial HM

Max = 30

Hope Moffatt

27.8

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Kinkaid sm

Max = 30

shreya mehta

24.3

The better debating was done by the NEG from Kinkaid sm
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- You leave 0:25 on the table for the IAC - more if I cut out the paragraph at the bottom which doesn't do anything. It's a canned speech; you should be able to fill time.
- Framework is heavily underjustified; you're going to have a rough time against Kent + 2. DA states that a lot of people will read against you.

- Greatly enjoyed your CX, especially your first question on reverse causality. I hope you'll push on these places in the INE.
- Signposting in the IAR was all over the place. The first 3 arguments (the "overturn") should have been read as no-links on the K flow, and then you went out of order answering the INE solvency dump.
- You're probably not doing enough work on the K; many conceded links.

AFF.

I negate.

The NEG mishandles Truth 2K which is articulated as an "alt doesn't solve" argument in the IAR. And by mishandles, I mean it's dropped. This means that the ballot for the K is questionable, despite multiple conceded links.

But the net widening turn or-ruse is never dealt w/ so I negate there.

Room: 1709
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Chen
Fatima, Duaa

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Stony Point CS

Caitlin Smith

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Clear Lake JC

Jay Collura

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the AF from Caitlin Smith
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AF addressed ~~that~~ her plan would
mitigate all negative effects of
the neg case under the harms reduction
plan

Neg never addressed the
harms reduction efficacy
but did question whether any
plans would actually be put in
place.

Additionally, an argument that
harm reduction doesn't require
treating drug use differently
could have been very effective.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood AL

Alex Lee

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Princeton CM

Christopher Malhas

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Princeton
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jimmy Claeson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Standard-maximizing expected well being. Your evidence supports this well. Nice analysis of the issue. Current card on public health? Large to 1 is 18 years old. Find something more recent. Solvency is articulated well. Please stand for CX. Good CX questions you set your arguments up well. Repeatedly saying the card doesn't apply doesn't make it true. It's better to attack an argument with evidence than just to say it doesn't apply over and over. You should be hammering in the racism argument. Who are you dropping these? Did you mean to drop your framework?

Consequentialism. He hasn't heard your framework. How can he answer a question on it? Nice job with the analytical arguments. Good cross application. Annals of Internal medicine? Work on the link with your media card. Clean up your roadmap or presentation overview. It will make for a clearer presentation. Good explanation on the framework. Thanks for crossapplying your evidence. I wouldn't put heroin and marijuana in the same example. Those are pretty far apart. I would like more extension on the abstinence requirements. You could have done a lot more with this.

Actual health wins
over expected health.

Aff dropped framework. Neg solvency
was more solvent than aff.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements AS

Arnav Sethi

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Colleyville Heritage SM

Sarah Manjee

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Colleyville
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jimmy Claeson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

S Maximizing expected well-being - who?
Criminalization - Racist? address
Cartels need what about other
drugs? label your evidence. you
can't just throw your arguments
around without attacking them
to something. You built your
whole case on criminalizing
drugs. You never mentioned
public health. Watch how you
use "OK cool". Good line by line
refutation. The topic is public
health NOT of criminal justice.
The resolution states AND
not OR. You missed half of
the resolution. So if terrorists
donate their drug money it's OK?

S Maximizing expected well being.
Cross apply your arguments to
his. You have the same standard.
Work on explaining why yours
is better. Your gasoline point seems
to come from out of nowhere. What
does this have to do with public health?
Nice explanation for this in CX, but
I would've liked a clearer explanation
in your case. Keep hammering on
the public health part that he
didn't bring up. This argument
is really good. It's the most solid
explanation for why it's a good
argument. Work on the uniqueness
of your terrorism argument. What
happened to your gas DA? It doesn't
cross apply to the arg you
tried to attach it to.

Do not sit in CX.

RFD-

Aff never mentions public health.

Neg wins on framework

Room: 1441
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Colling, Richard

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood MS

Mihir Shah

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Melissa HC

Hallie Crain

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Westwood MS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think I can affirm most clearly on
the conceded bio power is inevitable arg
on the neg flow. I think the AFF
is winning the first step perm
of the K as well. There is
nothing else to vote neg on.

Room: 1441
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Colling, Richard

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Jack C. Hays PF

Max = 30

Patrick Fox

25.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands AH

Max = 30


Andrew Harding

28

The better debating was done by the AFF. from Hays PF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

In the end I think the link turns
on the Kritik are conceded. This
gives AFF access to the Kritik.
I also agree w/ the AFF that
Neg extensions of warrants was blippy.

Room: 2583
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Daniel, Julia

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
BASIS San Antonio Sha RN Max = 30		Kinkaid hs Max = 30	
Rija Naqvi	<u>27.5</u>	hannah shi	<u>27.7</u>

The better debating was done by the NEG from Kinkaid HS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg wins the CP (5) 100% of the case
and o/w

- more analysis & warranted arguments made

- should have done T. D its true 2)(5) for their magnitude arguments on call

aff should practice making warranted arguments

Room: 2583
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Daniel, Julia

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Clements ZA	Max = 30	James Bowie TW	Max = 30
Zoya Ahmed	<u>27.5</u>	Tate Weston	<u>29.5</u>

The better debating was done by the NEG from James Bowie
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG wins (S) deficits and DA because of good explanation & aff just reading the best answers on the DA. I don't buy SV weighing any bc of insufficient analysis.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Abilene MF

Max = 30

Makayne Favor

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Village AI

Max = 30

Aditya Iyer

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Village AI
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ✓

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

aff

- smart contention level arguments - I would work on the framework level more.
- 1AR arguments on substance are very smart. I would work on responding to theory.
- you did contest the framework

neg

- rephrase your ck questions if you aren't getting the answers you want
- don't think the 5 off strategy is the best
- you double turned yourself
- your CPs need net benefits - and the only offense against the aff turns the CPs
- Not sure if CPs solve aff
- 2NR doesn't secure substance

RFD: Vote neg on Theory.
No C.I.

Room: 1900
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

De La O, Dino

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

PSJA Southwest AK

Max = 30

Aren Kintigh

7

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Central AF

Max = 30

Aaron Flores

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Central AF Aaron Flores
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

No show
concession

Room: 2577
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Gandra, Akhil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Marcus KL

Kyle Lleras

POINTS

Max = 30

29.4

NEGATIVE

Montgomery GC

Grayson Constantine

POINTS


Max = 30

29.3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Marcus KL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Not sure how aff solves & no explanation beyond stating the tag)
- Aff probably wins RTB / offense back to it with >P
- Burdens debate was silly... but aff probably right (not relevant to decision)

Room: 2577
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Gandra, Akhil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Park TD

Max = 30

Tiffany Damon

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Victoria West RH

Max = 30

Rama Hamoudah

27.7

The better debating was done by the Aff from Cypress Park TD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- The framework is util... you both agree on this but neg switched to security?
- Aff wins 2 key args: (1) long term, affirming saved money (2) treatment is effective
- Neg gets no links from de criminalization because aff defends "illegal" use of drugs

Room: 2401
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Ghadour
~~Tom, Neville~~

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Hebron AS

Aashir Sanjrani

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

St. Agnes Academy LH

Lydia Huang

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from St. Agnes Academy
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Ord. Evidence given

Room: 2345
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Gravley, Paul

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College BE

Max = 30

Ben Erdmann

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Jack C. Hays EC

Max = 30

Emma Cook

29.2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Jack Hays EC
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote on the K. ~~6/10~~

- 1) Link - Henry's evidence is functionally conceded. This Acts as a drop to any perm. This is the
- 2) Link Turn of compare.

Perm of solve 6/10

- 1) Root cause
- 2) Toxicity

Room: 2345
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Gravley, Paul

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Carroll TR

Tarun Ratnasabapathy

POINTS

Max = 30

29.2

NEGATIVE

Cross Timbers Christi NS

Nathan Smith

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Carroll TR
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1) Util

a) prescribes action

b) leaves collector to it

~~1~~

2) only util option is warming

3) Aff wins K, too.

a) Transition VARS

b) Perm Conceal

4) Theory. Aff was counter-intending

Room: 1363
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Ha, Steven

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westwood AA

Max = 30

Aarya Agarwal

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Dulles JG

Max = 30

Joshua George

30

The better debating was done by the

^{S.H.}
~~Pos~~ ^{neg}
AFF or NEG

from

Dulles JG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Steven Ha

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~POD~~ RFD: conceded

~~POD~~ D&G + Ballyntyre
which served as a
solvency deficit to the
aff.

POTB > Util args also goes conceded.

Room: 1363
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Ha, Steven

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Cy-Fair KA

Katy Aing

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Borden County ISD TC

Trent Collins


POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Cy-Fair KA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD:

- ① 1 vote aff on a risk of solvency on the second contention.
- ② Government legitimacy comes first.
- ③ 2 turns on the CP goes conceded.
- ④ Perm - NB → Health & ~~decriminalization~~ legalization.

Room: 2348
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Harvey, Miguel

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

PSJA Southwest AC

Alyssa Castillo

POINTS

Max = 30

27

NEGATIVE

Carnegie Vanguard AB

Arnav Burudgunte

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Carnegie AB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Arnav excellent time by time work in the INR

INR you need to answer the case turns - if you concede that the aff is a bad idea, you've cut off your path to the ballot (because you don't put offense on the NC)

ZNR there are a couple more turns you should've gone in on, specifically the Eisenach/prior duty arg. works well w/ KANT because of prior duty

ZAR- don't give up! Go down the neg args. Speech was 20 seconds long.

RFD: conceded NC and case turns/
Solvency defense

Room: 2348
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Harvey, Miguel

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

George Ranch AA

Asad Ahmed

POINTS

Max = 30

27.9

NEGATIVE

McNeil KK

Kartik Kotamraju

POINTS

Max = 30

28.9

The better debating was done by the NEG from MCNEIL KK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

VC I'm very concerned that the CP links to the K. Sentencing outdoors later?
AFF he's talking about literal gardening it's not a metaphor
AFF it's super problematic to label a generic structural racist
argument "Anti-blackness"
The only IOR argument on the CP is that there's no fiat? Want work
There's no warrant whatsoever for the AFF's claimed modest
shift against ABLEISM
ZAR don't jump around so much

AFF: 1) K

2) CP

} - conceded
solves aff

Room: 1335
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Henderson, Domin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Seven Lakes RR

Rohan Rao

POINTS

Max = 30

23.1

NEGATIVE

Grapevine MT

Micah Thode

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Grapevine MT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Rohan

Your questioning the
(b) in ex seen sophomore
- something to dig in more
for you in the future
for sure

Paragraph construction as
a mechanism for T answer
in the 1st will always
make your judge flow problematic
for you.

Why are you not using a warrant
on the UV C/A but the (b)
extending a tag block Envyment
and understanding for opponent/judge

MICAH

2 cards on case functional
tag as same on
terror - add warrant
to tag or read one
In 2nd it would be more
effect to expand the
prior question to a
side constraint on (b)

RFD

The 1st and 2nd in the K debate includes conclusions and warrant
that would help me see a road to an aff ballot.

The perm is obviously severance give you are severing the discourse this
is tagged as a inter w/ warrant about neg strat - ~~without~~ without
a layering by either debate I default to this being the 1st layer.
I would have into on the 1st proper as well.

Room: 1335
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Henderson, Domin

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Leander LB

Max = 30

Luke Bartelt

28.8

NEGATIVE

Houston Memorial JS

POINTS

Max = 30

Joshua Sucec

29.0

The better debating was done by the NEG from Houston Memorial
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Luke

AC structure is non-norm.
this is fine but it does
make the cohesion of the
AC claim a little difficult
to find NUANCE/depth in
the arguments.

Joshua

The way you frame
the CP in the NC
not ex seems to
short the story -
you should be more
forceful @ the
institutional reform
to achieve the AC

RFD

This round is far more difficult to evaluate than it really needs
to. I end up voting neg despite the fact that the
neg does not effectively engage the AC framing but the
AC does not construct an either rebuttal to justify the
framing it is only reiterated

This I vote on the NC for case

Room: 1713
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Huynh, Dan

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements PK

Parin Kashyap

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Timber Creek GJ

Gus Jones

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Parin Kashyap
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Dan Huynh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- IAC UV contradicts itself- you say that you should get an RVI but deny the neg an RVI. This would be fine if you have aff-specific justifications for an RVI.
- there's no need to extend new 2ar responses
 - 1) you didn't extend it in the 1ar
 - 2) your spike was new 2ar weighing
 - 3) waste of time.

- you should take prep for the 2ar because you had 2 min left
- respond to the 1ar warrants
- try to do weighing between theory standards
- your shell doesn't need to be that long. You can cut your fairness voter down significantly.

Room: 1713
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Huynh, Dan

EI /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

El Paso Coronado SR

Sophia Rojo

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Concordia Lutheran CS

Camilla Scott

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Sophia Rojo
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Dan Huynh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

You ~~guy~~ guys have
the same framework,
impacts, and solvency.
The separation is
whether or not the
aff is decriminalizing
drugs which they
are.

- find a more
competitive CP.

Room: 1309
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Joyner, Alex

LC

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College JG

Max = 30

Joseph Georges

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

LC Anderson MR

Max = 30

Malik Rawashdeh

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Alex Joyner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: work on clarity. good job on extensions. work on explaining big picture prior to line-by-line... helps know what's important for judge & debater.

Neg: good clarity. good extensions. work on applying FW accurately and answering Aff directly.

RFD/ Aff wins FW. Neg drops the justifications and ignores how Aff collapses Kart to util.

Aff. clearly extends case.

Room: 1309
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Joyner, Alex

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Westlake EA

Max = 30

Ethan Abraham

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College VL

Max = 30

Vincent Liu

29.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Strake Jesuit
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Alex Joyner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: good case, good clarity. IAR was repetitive → undercover Neg.

Neg: good case: slow down on analytics, overall good job.

- RFD
1. Neg's interp functions as constraint on AC Framework. Neg correct in way. Aff uses FU it links.
 2. Neg's Brennan cards are never addressed and ~~misquote~~ Aff.
 3. Aff attempt to add other programs & delineated Brennan as valid interp disproven by

Room: 1477
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Koshak, Jacob

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands CMa

Max = 30

Camryn Manley

28

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Prosper AA

Max = 30

Alexander Antonakakis

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Prosper AA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote on 12

Room: 1477
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Koshak, Jacob

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood SK

Sneha Kamal

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

NEGATIVE

Woodlands CMu

Camila Munoz

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the

NO
NEG
AFF or NEG

from

Westwood SK
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

☒

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

vote was on cards

Room: 2403
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Koshak, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College JP

Max = 30

Josh Porter

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Central BF

Max = 30

Bryce Fant

27.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake Jesuit JP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Matthew Koshak

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I affirm. There isn't any real reason to think the neg is winning the question of the 'debate model'. There ~~wasn't~~ is a really clear claim for why non-topicality is arguably good in the case of this AC that is never contested by the Neg. After that, the debate is easy to resolve, insofar as the ~~affirm~~ AC is extended pretty clearly across the flow.

Room: 2403
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Koshak, Matthew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood DL

Davina Le

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Cypress Falls RK

Rajveer Kindra

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Cypress Falls RK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: Matthew Koshak

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1 neg. The Schag evidence is conceded in the IAR and excluded in the 2N. This means the Method of policy-making is bad and self-defeating. The only arguments on the flow for presumption at that point are negative.

Room: 2511
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

LaBarre, Davis

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Max = 30

~~Kingwood BO~~

~~Blake Travis~~

Blake Ochoa

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Max = 30

Granbury MW

Mary Withey

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Blake Ochoa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Dain John

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Concedes Advantage 3.

Room: 2511
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

LaBarre, Davis

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

~~Kingwood MM~~

Max = 30

Central MG

Max = 30

~~LAKE TRAVIS~~

Mackenzie Matheson

30

Micah Golden

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Mackenzie Matheson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Concedes terms / NC no link to AFF's
Strat.

Room: 2349
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Mambapoor, Dhruv

LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

LC Anderson SJ

Sesh Joe

POINTS

Max = 30

28.8

NEGATIVE

Village AF

Adnan Fatakawala

POINTS

Max = 30

29.3

The better debating was done by the AFF from LC ANDERSON SJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: Dhruv

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote on aff substance

Reasonability takes out T

- there's no + suff just for Theory vs. T distinction
- ϕ enough substantive offense

PIC is not sufficient

- barely extended

Room: 2349
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Mambapoor, Dhruv

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Round Rock SG

Max = 30

Sreya Gandra

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Borger AH

Max = 30

Addison Hook

28.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from RoundRock SG
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Dhruv

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Aff wins ~~off~~ on prisons are
net harmful (i.e. rehab w/o prisons are better)
The W/2N didn't have ^{much} offense besides
that prisons == more alone time.

Room: 2573
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Mandavilli, Rohi

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

George Ranch MS

Max = 30

Mohammad Sheikh

29.2

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Harlingen South KN


Max = 30

Kaidyn Nelson

27.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from George Ranch
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

- conceded adv. 2 + weighing

- don't think neg won any offensive reasons to negate

Room: 2573
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Mandavilli, Rohi

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Tuloso-Midway JS

John Stearns

POINTS

Max = 30

29.3

NEGATIVE

Greenhill MR

Mihir Rai

POINTS

Max = 30

29.4 29.4

The better debating was done by the NEG from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ROB → only one introduced is negative, but both allow for a better definition
is ontological since that is the justification.

think the aff is a good idea & has sovereignty

- presumption from aff
- spectrum arg. I think at least proves 2nd
- But still not entirely sure the aff was ontological

Think there is a link that performance is a good thing - commodified,
w-upped, shifts understanding. Not sure how non-prose can shape and
destroy structures / how it's conducive to supply due to commodification

I think why 2AR is the problem is 100% → re explanation was
I think ultimately resolves the issue, or @ least large amount seen
to entrench misdirection.

Room: 2513
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Marquess, Macken

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Lubbock GY

Max = 30

Griffin Young

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Elkins KP

Max = 30

Kedar Pandya

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Elkins
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: MN

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

oral

Room: 2373
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1 McConway, Camero

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Houston Memorial AQ

Andrew Qin

POINTS

Max = 30

29.2

NEGATIVE

Westwood AK

Akhila Keeranoor

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Houston Memorial
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Camero McConway

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted aff on the IAR shell - there is no neg offense on the shell & the theoretical framing in the aff (IAR theory highest layer) is uncontested.

Room: 2373
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1 McConway, Camero

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westwood TL

Truman Le

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College XX

Aneel Mehra

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted neg on the NC - there's a consistent extension of the argument that the aff advocacy cannot be binding because the aff is an imperfect duty. The argument that permissibility is morally abhorrent doesn't apply since the NC does not justify moral permissibility.

Room: 2351
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

McKinley, Jonath

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Dulles NJ

Neer Jain

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Plano West AG

Anirudh Garg

POINTS

Max = 30

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Anirudh Garg
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Great debate by 2 very good debaters.
- Policy vs. Social/mindset change - they both impact each other based on argumentation, one you can mandate, the other you cannot as it is "personal" perspective. I agree policy change not required for all social change but by the basis of ^{AFF} arguments policy is huge. If current policy is maintaining ills of AFF status Quo then what changes can come about without policy change. I agree of the impacts of institutional & societal labeling of non-normative behavior but I do not see the agent of change in the AFF world. I also think that by expanding the question to "ALL" non-normative behavior it left me wondering about what course would lead to change. Who determines what is normal? How do you enforce internal changes?
- Great round though. This was much closer of a round than this ballot might seem & AFF I do not see you

Room: 1578
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Neeley, Alyson

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Houston Memorial DX

Max = 30

Daniel Xu

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Westlake AL

Max = 30

Andrew Lee

29.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from WESTLAKE AL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Alyson Neeley

316

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD- Neg wins theory & topicality as well as time.
Also only clash on case goes to Neg.

Room: 1578
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Neeley, Alyson

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Keller JB

Max = 30

Jada Bourne

29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Village AK

Max = 30

Aman Kumar

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Keller JB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Alyson Neeley

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg did not correctly attack AC & AFF wins on
Neg case blocks.

Room: 1549
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Panjiwani, Nabil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements TR

Thomas Rivera

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Louis E. Brandeis SR

Saba Rajput

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Clements TR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Nabil Panjiwani

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This AC could be...better. I feel as if this was known together because you're used to running Wolderson. I think your skill moreso than your preparation carried this round.

The 1AR was very good but I think you felt off in the 2AR because you had very little work to do.

You're too focused in the K and skip out of a lot of necessary clash to engage the 1AR properly and appropriately. AFF goes on the level of discourse to engage on both sides of the K debate while the NR doesn't do this all that well. Cards at the top of 1AR are key.

REF:

AFF alt makes more sense on link level, AFF rob extends

Room: 1549
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Panjiwani, Nabil

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College BB

Brendan Barrett

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

W.B. Ray AF

Anahita Farishta

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Strake Jesuit BB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

It's very easy to nonunique the K or even PERM it, you just don't do this. If you fundamentally on an offensive level turn the K yourself, you guarantee yourself access to every impact and turn on flow. Would've liked to see this.

The NC is fun, but you don't debate it effectively. You're not impact weighing and clashing enough for substance on the AC, which is an inherent burden. There's a lot more that can be done, but not sure if you were ready to do it.

RFD:

AFF's framing clearly extends impacts through.

Room: 2556
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Paramo, Rodrigo

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College JH

Joshua Herrera

POINTS

Max = 30

28.7

NEGATIVE

McMillen NG

Nevin Gera

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the off from strake jesuit JH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- meth. pluralism explanation
 - this express about post-Pint/performance is good Josh - think you end it too early
 - T+Y is badly under highlights
 - truth testing wrong
- MU202

RFD-

the impact turn on +

Room: 2556
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Paramo, Rodrigo

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Carroll PD

Paul Doherty

POINTS

Max = 30

28.1

NEGATIVE

Dulles AS

Abhinav Sinha

POINTS

Max = 30

28.0

The better debating was done by the aff from Carroll
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

need better AR; overprescription

RFD:

CDC + roof time story

very underdeveloped - ZNR should have
picked just one

Room: 1314
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Perry, Robert

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Hebron ZR	Max = 30	Strake Jesuit College DH	Max = 30
Zoha Rizvi	<u>27</u>	David Herrera	<u>28</u>

The better debating was done by the Neg from Strake Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Aff solvency is mitigated
(and) facts bundle for solvency Unlens

Room: 2347
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Powell, DeMarcus

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian JQ

Max = 30

Jack Quisenberry

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Colleyville Heritage IN


Max = 30

Itbaan Nafi

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Northland JQ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: I thought you could have been better in CX and the IAR pointing out problems with the internal link story of the neg. You started to notice this in CX but in the speech on each off case you essentially made 2 arguments, and each time it took too long to make.

- Pointing those things out would have made the round much more clearly an aff ballot. Some of those things could be that b/c the framework args you are both debating under 2 different paradigms, the neg positions link to decrim or legalization the aff doesn't do that.

Neg: Don't think the INC strat interacted well with this aff. For reasons highlighted above. The 2n needs to go for less there is too much going on. and on the DAs you go for there is not a single internal link explained

~~RFD~~ RFD: Vote Aff. think the Plan is a good idea. neg doesn't win CP or DA to prove its not.

Room: 2347
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Powell, DeMarcus

EI /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

El Paso Coronado GP

Max = 30

Grace Park

27

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clements AU

Max = 30

Ahad Ullah

28.5

The better debating was done by the Neg from Clements AU
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: the IAR needs more organization/ ~~structure~~ structure. you need to address the negative arguments as 2 separate neg positions. 1 is a disad to the affirmative, 1 is a counterplan. I don't think the IAR answers either very well and doesn't really talk about the CP in any substantive way. without doing either of these things the neg proves with the DA that doing the aff is not a good idea and leads to 100k+ deaths and the CP would solve by sending users to drug courts and keeping drugs illegal.

Neg: ZNR was fine but given the IAR you should have and could have slowed down and done more comparison to the aff.

RFD: vote ~~neg~~ neg on the CP. Solves the aff since people don't go to jail and get medical treatment for mental illness and addiction. DA is net benefit to CP and proves downside to doing the aff.

Room: 1703
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Pozza, Amy

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Westlake SM

Shampurna Mitra

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Clear Brook PV

Prateesh Vijayakumar

POINTS

Max = 30

27.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Westlake SM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Amy Pozza

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NR - Neg is correct that positions are better impacted and weigh better under a util framework but plan does not link because Aff does not specify decline. IF Neg wants to run a must spec T argument, don't just make it a brief analytic on the line-by-line but flesh it out. Therefore, Aff declines from impacts (costs). So, I'm just left with the case here on eco., but not conceded or quantified.

↓
(long-term eco. growth also not impacted on the ~~very~~ Aff.)
↓
∴ Neg. does not have evidence of Aff. At least solves stigma & risky drug use through needle exchange

↓
Portugal Solwary affects are just "outdated from '90s" and only brought up in the NR, so Solwary stays Aff

Room: 1703
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Pozza, Amy

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Strake Jesuit College AM

Max = 30

Arun Mehra

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Greenhill AZ

Max = 30

Anais Zhang

29

The better debating was done by the Aff from Strake Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Amy Pozza

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~Both Aff & Neg. have exposed solvency to the DA against the Oppression Adv. I by~~

RFD

Both Aff & Neg. have exposed solvency to the DA against the Oppression Adv. I by
do not see so weighing risk of DA against the Oppression Adv. I by
Take the fiat argument so Adv. from Aff & no unique link to plan on
Weg. DA. Adv. Oppression Adv. o/w DA reflects on scale.

Room: 2550
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Sasso, John

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Garland WA

Will Ashcraft

POINTS

Max = 30

28.9

NEGATIVE

Episcopal School of Dallas

~~Jefferson Silva Magne ZH~~

Zan Haq

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

The better debating was done by the


Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Zan Haq
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Counter interp to IAR shell co-opts all the offense, and
T shell games independent offense & controls internal link to
education /fermas, plus independent voting issue coming
from the jurisdictional arguments

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Dulles SZ

Max = 30

Sophia Zhao

28.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Lovejoy AR

Max = 30

Ashley Rihani

28.7

The better debating was done by the Neg from Ashley Rihani
AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

(conceded offense on the K, theory still not extended. Neg wins K.

Sophia - 2AR should have been:

Theory → framing + method → K

Ashley → 2NR should have focused:

Theory → K → CP

Room: 1517
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Shah, Rishabh

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Plano East
Montgomery HG

Hamsika Gorty

POINTS

Max = 30

27.9

NEGATIVE

A&M Consolidated CC

Celine Choi

POINTS

Max = 30

27.7

The better debating was done by the Aff from Plano East
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Rishabh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Comments:
- IAC - stable advoc.
 - INC - NIDA + CJS dangerous / T case ev.
 - IAR - case 1st (apply it to DA/CP)
 - go for Adv. 2
 - XTN strong arg
 - case solves drug trafficking
 - 2NR - b8r XTN (gang!)
 - 2AR - b8r XTN of args on DA. 3 why rehab works
 - T time on perm

RFD: Perm solves ~~many~~ ~~problems~~ ~~on~~ ~~DA~~ ~~CP~~

Room: 1517
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Shah, Rishabh

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Northland Christian ABa

Aaron Barcio

POINTS

Max = 30

28.2

NEGATIVE

Prosper IP

Isabelle Petros

POINTS

Max = 30

27.8

The better debating was done by the AFF from Northland Christian
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Aff wins fairness I/L to education
+ festivity standard

Room: 2410
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Simpson, Johnny

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Veterans Memorial SM
Sebastian Martinez

POINTS

Max = 30

29
35

NEGATIVE

Cypress Falls KA

Kathryn Alejandre

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from Veterans Memorial
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Johnny Simpson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

NEG

• Good use of sources; cards
• Speaking broken and not smooth flowing
cx1:
max social welfare.
"yes prisons social welfare" - bad reply should have expanded
Stumbled with last question she asked

cx2:
good questions, show you listened to her
"example of making it illegal"
"needle exchange" - "what's export?" "do you have a card?"
* needle exchange questions *

"implying listening" ≠ doing it better or improving it
not treated as a disease/behavior flaw
HIV spread not as compelling; expounding
but good use exploiting her assumption
could have brought up prisons for profit
as reply to her human capital point
Portugal - 3 cards to prove

cx:
Needle Exchange
"show me where more effective?"
"Count prisons as social welfare?"
"why should vote for you?" - good question.
Good eye contact, never looked at opp in ex
Intent not working for laptop user phone, opponent okay

"Public health treats life as human capital"
Good use of sources
figures to support very valid (Blough to)
Nice speed and ~~flow~~ tone
"More ppl in 10yr vs. HIV all time"
Very compelling, good quote
cx2:
I would assume... not best reply, more answer to
needle exchange didn't seem confident.
"Consequences, social welfare happens with rule of law"
health care human capital don't come to cure.
Not best to convince me that needle exchange is jail.
Portugal???

NEG had tough time explaining it needle exchange
was part of the process, and her point hinged on it for the pros

Room: 1701
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Smith, Julie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

St. Agnes Academy AJ

Max = 30

Aisha Jalal

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Lovejoy KC

Max = 30

Kaya Czyz

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from AISHA JALAL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Julie M. Smith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF WINS ON EMPIRICS, PROBABILITY
OF DISCRIMINATION DECREASING.

Room: 1701
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Smith, Julie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Pflugerville CD

Coltrane Drexler

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Cypress Falls DR

DeAndra Robinson

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFF from COLTRANE DREXLER
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Julie M. Smith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① AFF DOESN'T LINK TO K +
② GROUND SKEW WERE
PERSUASIVE.

Room: 1707
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Sood, Jalaj

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Strake Jesuit College ZD

Zion Dixon

POINTS

Max = 30

29.1

NEGATIVE

Gregory-Portland JH

Jacob Henderson

POINTS

Max = 30

27.9

The better debating was done by the AFF from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Zion - Great line by line and extensions

Jacob - Don't think the neg is strategic, you should switch to a CP

RFD: Aff wins util fw and first advantage

Room: 1707
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Sood, Jalaj

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Lovejoy CM

Collins Morgan

POINTS

Max = 30

29.5

NEGATIVE

~~Kingwood VM~~ ^{Plano} ^{East}

Vivian McDonald

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the AFF from Lovejoy
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Collins - Amazing line by line, your coverage is impressive

Vivian - Great debater, put more emphasis on explaining me the link story

RFD: AFF ~~is~~ wins perm on k and the AC outweighs

Room: 2365
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Stuckert, JP

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Northland Christian ABr

Max = 30

Austin Broussard

28.7

NEGATIVE

POINTS

McNeil AR

Max = 30

Abhishek Rao

28.9

The better debating was done by the Neg from McNeil
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote neg on 1

- ZAR mishandles perm & link
abbe

Room: 2365
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Stuckert, JP

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Trinity Valley KK

Max = 30

Kishan Kalaria

29

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Clear Brook KP

Max = 30

Kent Pham

28.2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Trinity Valley
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote aff on case

Aff wins framework

+ contention

Room: 1411
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Swinney, Hunter

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Smithson Valley MA

Maddie Aguilar

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Strake Jesuit College WH

Wyatt Hatfield

POINTS

Max = 30

29

The better debating was done by the NEG from Strake
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg won both Thumpies
& Karst win
won for + value debate
for neg extending
advocacy is extending
all args

Room: 1411
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Swinney, Hunter

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Leander AG

Andy Gutierrez

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Oak Ridge KC

Kirby Cabler

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Leander
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff won - VC for debate

- biopower was a waste because no definitions provided
- mindset change is first step = solvency (barely)
- didn't weigh much on impact but Aff won on SV, TS, mag

Room: 2368
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Valerio, Elijah

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Garland BM

Max = 30

Brandon Molina

28.5

NEGATIVE

PSJA early College IR

POINTS

Max = 30

Ixoye Ramirez

27.9

The better debating was done by the Aff from Garland BM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The INC conceded the aff. Afs made in the 2NR are too new. Aff wins off of conceded aff that o/w, NC impacts

Room: 2368
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Valerio, Elijah

LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

Tou

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Max = 30

LC Anderson AR

Aseel Rawashdeh

28.9

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Max = 30

Hereford JC

Junior Contreras

30

The better debating was done by the Aff from LC Anderson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff
RFD: The ROJ & ROB are conceded. The K doesn't
fall under the purview of these & case solves better

Room: 2367
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Trinh, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Stony Point FB

Max = 30

Frances Bolger

29.5

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Grapevine NN

Max = 30

Nidhish Nerur

30

The better debating was done by the AFF from Stony Point
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ✓

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

REF: The Aff shows how the NL framework links into the
Ac. Also the Confusion level debate from the
NL sometimes were not responsive to the Ac arguments.

Room: 2367
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Trinh, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Cypress Woods AZ

Max = 30

Angela Zhong

27

NEGATIVE

POINTS

W.B. Ray DG

Max = 30

Daniel Gardiner

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from Cy woods
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ✓

Signed: Andrew Trinh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

• Be nice!!

RFD: The NC mostly provides defense but hardly any offense. Also the NC allows the AC to extend most to 411 of their offense.

Room: 1408
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Ullah, Mohammad

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

BASIS San Antonio Sha ZC

Max = 30

Zoey Chacon

28

NEGATIVE

Houston Lamar MT

POINTS

Max = 30

Maximilian Tran

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from BASIS San Antonio
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M. Ullah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1) Framing

- Theory / Topicality: Topicality (webel) country on face is okay
but then seems disingenuous when Neg reads a DA
Spec to Philippine. Govt stat would have been not to read it.
(No good sufficient defense why they can have a performative contradiction)

- SV v. extinction debate not very clear

webel
AC
DA
CP
T
OV
T?
AC-Nixon
CP

2) CP: not entirely flushed out in the end, but debate is a wash

3) Aff read a counter interp, hard to see any tangible abuse by
Aff.
Risk of offense on Aff...

But still a ~~hard~~ hard round to adjudicate.

Room: 1408
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Ullah, Mohammad

LC /

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

LC Anderson KS

Kyle Stephens

POINTS

Max = 30

29

NEGATIVE

Prosper KS

Kaleb Simes

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the Aff from LC Anderson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: M. Ullah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

L2 ~~EC~~ PK
CRAB | CRAB
AC↓ | K
K | AC↓

- 1) K Debate: not clear what all of the K does or really means. It seems like K just wants us to do just reject state always. Mindset Shift is not sufficient here. Counter Role of the Philbot is more in tune w the context of Aff (Philippines). Aff does address the alt. K reads one sentence saying black people are being brutalized in Asia... that's it. Nothing about blacks being disproportionately affected by Duterte's regime.

Room: 2351
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Valdez, Antonio

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Hebron PN

Philip Ng

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

Clements PS

Pia Shah

POINTS

Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the AFR from Hebron PN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Antonio Valdez

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFR provides more specifics, weak to
non-existent link in K to AFR or resolution,
Alternative had no impact, no link to AFR
or resolution

Room: ~~8839~~
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Whitaker, Andrew

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Cypress Ranch MZ

Michael Zhou

POINTS

Max = 30

29.0

NEGATIVE

Central PE

Paysen Epley

POINTS

Max = 30

28.3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Michael Zhou
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Mill [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: I vote aff. The radicalization extinction scenario outweighs under both framing mechanisms. No implication on T argument

Aff:

- 1) Make sure you are flushing out warrants. You are pretty vague on some really quality answers. Pick and choose the best arguments to spend more time on in the rebuttals

Neg:

- 1) Don't let them thrash you around in 1X. If they assert something you don't agree with, don't concede it
- 2) If they spec a single country that isn't the US, read either evidence regarding that specific country or read theory and tell me why that is abusive.
- 3) removing one law probably doesn't lead to complete anarchy.
- 4) Be careful about claiming that they decriminalize everything, they just provide another method of treatment
- 5) Don't spend 7 minutes on 2NR, it's only 6 min

Room: 1723
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Whittenberg, Gra

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Centennial NS

Nidhi Sonwalkar

POINTS

Max = 30

30

NEGATIVE

Lubbock ID

Isaac Doty

POINTS

Max = 30

29.75

The better debating was done by the Aff from Frisco Centennial
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: J. Scott Whittenberg

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Excellent round. I think you both do a fine job of clashing directly with your opponent's case and extending your own.

RFD: when the ground of the debate is mutually defined as "who reduces drug use more?", data or outcomes becomes very salient. Aff picks up the ball because of effective, relevant data that was not countered by Neg. The original presence of drug courts in the US means that such data very likely exists. It would have been powerful today.

Again, excellent work.

Room: 1723
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Whittenberg, Gra

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

Woodlands JB

Max = 30

Joshua Bih

30

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Carroll SM

Max = 30

Samir Mohsin

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Carroll
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: J. Andrew Whittenberg

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I enjoyed this round very much. Excellent articulation of ideas, fine direct clash, outstanding extension and working of the flow.

RFD: My award of this ballot is not about flaws - I thought you both did excellent work. It is rather about the outstanding job done by Carroll in the NR. The thoroughness and clarity with which Neg accomplished a very complex task was truly outstanding.

This choice was very difficult. Congratulations on a great round, and thank you for talking about philosophy.

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clements UU

Uneeb Ullah

POINTS

Max = 30

27

NEGATIVE

Carroll GI

Gautam Iyer

POINTS

Max = 30

28.9

The better debating was done by the Neg from Carroll GI
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: The ballot story needs to be cleaned up. I don't think you're doing enough work to justify why the speech act of the Aff is sufficient to generate offense under your FW.

Also, it isn't clear from the Aff what args you're making for viewing drug use as a pub health matter in relation to your framework or Aff, yet, the Aff advocacy is the rev.

need to work on signposting and complete extensions. Your sign posts did not include telling us when you shifted between flows

Neg: Be very careful with the police brutality DA. The internal links are begging to be turned, especially in relation to an Aff like this

You need to flesh out warrants for more diverse offense. Almost all of the 2NR was defense, given your articulation

RFD

1. Neg wins that Aff does ~~nothing~~ not win ontology claims, which is an assumed premise in the ballot story & in the defense against the neg's arguments

2. Neg wins that Aff does not do anything to resist the structures of anti-blackness

3. Neg wins police brutality DA

Room: 1708
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Wright, Kris

TFA LD DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Colleyville Heritage CW

Celine Wei

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

NEGATIVE

BASIS San Antonio Sha MS

Mridula Shan

POINTS

Max = 30

28 KW

The better debating was done by the AFF from Colleyville CW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: K. Wright

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

AFF wins Framework (VH)

Neg wins competition for CP.

AFF wins turns to CP (neg's responses are not responses to the specific indicators)

AFF is slightly ahead on debate about
- not linking to neg indicators of P.H.
- NEPs being effective

AFF offense outweighs risks caused by NEP

Room: 2346
Flight A: Start 1000am
LD

1

Zollo, Maggie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Greenhill AM

Anna Myers

POINTS

Max = 30

29.7

NEGATIVE

~~LAKE TRAVIS~~
~~Kingwood LS~~

Lee Scheffe

POINTS

Max = 30

18.5

The better debating was done by the aff from greenhill
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Margaret Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- would've spent more time
elaborating on communities
of care
(explained) ~~too~~

- cp & brief on - case argument
- re: state is bad contradict
(C. mm, ended up getting discussed in ex)
- "doesn't demonstrate the use of illegal
drugs" is not the most compelling link
into the AC tbb

~~the neg response seems~~

- neg extension of pharma argo /
more access / increased use weren't
response to aff's analysis of
pristine decriminalization
- undercovered person
- can't talk on legalization not decim
- ~~you~~ don't spend time talking about
education impact if you're not
going to go for an actual
violation (or failure)
- ~~the~~ ~~hospital~~ clog argument
- never ~~was~~ impacted out even when
it was "extended"
- ~~not~~
of this is just not the best
- ~~time~~ issue

Room: 2346
Flight B: Start 1100am
LD

1

Zollo, Maggie

TFA LD DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

Clear Brook AS

Adarsh Suresh

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Garland IR

Ishan Rerreddy

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the neg from garland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Margaret Zollo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- find better evidence for the discourse K, & consider giving it a little more structure
↳ explain why only the ~~judge~~ judge can intervene in the K itself

- don't say "blacks" or "the blacks", say Black people or communities

- ~~W+L~~ W+L needed to be extended better from the ac framing

- need to weigh more

- give reasons to prefer post frat

- progressiver response on the cap argo bites in

- generally undercovered the K

~~W+L needed to be extended better from the ac framing~~

~~make more links w/ framing on both cases since the ac did try & extend W+L in lat & you can ~~not~~ include~~

- make more links w/ framing on both cases since the ac did try & extend W+L in lat & you can ~~not~~ include
- come up w/ more recent blocks