

Room: 1352
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Chen, Yao Yao

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Lindale JS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Zachary Jones	28.4	3
1	Joshua Smith	28.4	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Hebron HP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Sameer Haq	28.4	2
2	Krish Patel	28.5	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Hebron HP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: aff is Anti-black, ethics comes

first, alt ⑤, perm links

ugh close messy blippy debate :(

Room: 1531
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Sanchez, Gabriel

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Prosper RW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jordan Ramsey	28.4	4
2	Addison Wellenberger	28.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Kinkaid HK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Amy Ho	28.6	2
2	Dani Knobloch	28.7	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Kinkaid HK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The aff is not doing enough work on the FW for me to feel comfortable disregarding the util arguments by the neg. I think the neg is winning an internal link to your value claims that the aff doesn't answer.

I also think there is a substantial risk of the DA. The aff's root cause claims and impact defense stayed in the level of generalization while the neg is winning specifics to their 1/2. This means Disad turns case.

Room: 1371
Start: 700pm
CX

6

~~Rizvi, Ali~~
Sean Chen

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Liberal Arts and Scie EW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jackson Eckel	2	29
2	Kate Wang	1	29.2

NEGATIVE

POS	Westwood BG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Vineeth Bandi	4	28.9
2	Aaron Greisdorf	3	28.9

The better debating was done by the AFF from LASA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Sean Chen

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff wins standards debate
on T

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE


POS	PSJA Southwest CG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Samantha Ceccopieri	26	4
2	Ethan Greeno	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Houston Memorial AD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Isabel An	28	3
2	Olivia Du	30	1

The better debating was done by the neg from Houston Memorial AD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 2A- you spent way too much time on case without linking directly to neg attacks (5 minutes!) which left you literally no time to answer the five off. You can't just extend a no-link card without to all three DAs without explaining how it works for all three.
- 2N- you gave aff way too much flow -- why did you not prove their lack of a response to off case? Don't do so much work -- if you can prove drops and tell the story.
- 1N- you definitely started before I gave any indication I was ready. I lost first 10-15 seconds catching up.
- 1A- you worked hard to catch up but you guys had so many drops to start.
- 2N- nice to 2NR
- 2A- ~~that was your response~~ your response is good. Why did you wait until 2NR to answer that way?
- neg wins on drops and concessions. I largely unanswered multiple on-case attacks I'd conceded, and infrastructure DA goes untouched by aff.

Room: 1552
Start: 700pm
CX

6

~~Bankey, Brendon~~

Bankey ✓

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	South Texas Acad BP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Briana Boughter	28.1	1
2	Kush Patel	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Dripping Springs VM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Varun Verma	27.5	3
2	Mason Mohon	27	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from SOUTH TEXAS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I VOTED AFFIRMATIVE. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT DEBATE IS A PSYCHOANALYTIC SESSION AND THEREFORE THINK THAT THE AFF IS PREFERABLE. THE AFF CONSENTED TO FLEXIBLE PRED TIME BUT NOT TO BE PSYCHOANALYZED. MOREOVER, TO ALLOW UNTRAINED HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS TO PERFORM PSYCHOANALYSIS WITHOUT THE PROPER TRAINING IS HIGHLY PROBLEMATIC.

Room: 1561
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Markham, James

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE


POS	Ridge Point SV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Nyima Sanneh	30	1
2	Apoorva Verghese	29	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Lampasas KM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Sena Kucukkarca	27	4
1	McKenzie McLendon	29	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from RIDGE POINT SV
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A - Very Good speaker! I understand every word & your diction was incredible. Know how to pronounce "hegemony." Your IAC timing was impeccable. ↳ "Routers"

Thank you so much for being organized & numbering/signposting each on-case arg.

Your IAR was one of the best I've seen. Great job! Make sure you don't get preachy & caddy in CX.

2A - Try to keep to questions & avoid statements in CX

Great analysis & refutation in the 2AC. You did a fantastic job of figuring out "what stinks" & presenting it in detail in your refutation of args.

Very good job wrapping everything up. Great job.

1N - Try to limit "dancing" & playing w/ your jewelry while speaking. 5 standards on a T is a little much. I really loved your analysis & conversational tone in the 1NR

"Trump is running out of time in his term" ???

↳ He still has almost 2 years left in his term.

You had some good analysis, but be very careful not to contradict yourself between speeches/CX.

2N - Be very careful w/ your speed, as you were slurring a lot of words & only about 50% of what you said was understandable.

You made some good points, but there were a few times when your arguments became quite convoluted, so make sure you're working on giving greater clarification. You're a great speaker, the lower speaker are only bc of being the 2nd speaker up to speed & it'll increase rapidly.

Both: Incredible job! This was hands down the best round I've judged all tournament! Both teams did a wonderful job & provided a very fun round. I would suggest that both teams look into a bit more professional attire as it really helps teach professionalism in-round. At the end of the day, the following effected who won today's round:

T - Legal Immigration - I honestly don't buy the Neg's interp. for this T. Part comes from Aff proving/showing that legal immigration was not limited to only gaining citizenship. Additionally, the Neg interp was from "Earthforce.org" which is by no means a reputable source & is totally unacceptable.

DA - Horse Trading

↳ AFF

Aff proved not to link because Neg admitted in CX that there wasn't a specific link & showed that the DA's link card was dealing w/ "illegal immigration," not "legal immigration" or H1B visas. This was also shown to be already in the status quo & non-unique.

DA - Nativists/Extremists

↳ AFF

Neg kicking the arg makes it slow to the Aff. Please be careful kicking Args. It's incredibly abusive to the Aff, gives the Aff a free Adv, & wastes all our time.

On-Case - At the end of the day, the Aff adequately refuted every on-case arg, except the Solvency arg, which was a very convoluted mess on both sides. Aff's advantages still stand (+ the neg's 2 DAs), meaning the competitive advantage falls on the side of the Aff.

Fantastic job & Good Luck!

Room: 1502
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Johnson, Pamela

La /

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	La Vernia NE	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Brandon Niday	30	1
1	Josh Elsik	29.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Pebble Hills LG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Bryan Liston	28.5	3
1	Audrey Gutierrez	28	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from La Vernia NE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Pamela Johnson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD - I voted Aff because framing was conceded by the neg, the CP had no solvency, and risk of aff solvency outweighed any ^{potential} net benefit to the CP.

Room: 1351
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Carmona, Juan

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Tuloso-Midway JX	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Vada Janak	27	4
1	Jennifer Xac	29	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Andrews SB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Journey Sais	30	1
2	Jordan Barton	28	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Jennifer Xac
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF effectively answered arguments on espionage, failed military superiority and pleasan powered argument. Negs Strongest argument was that the US is behind in AI but because of problems inherent in the US like the educational system and lack of funding for development. However it was articulated well in 2NR in fact the Neg stated the advantage of our educational system.

Room: 1462
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Williams, Gabriele

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Hightower TS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2A	Kevin Tran	27	2
1A	Hemant Sai	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Borden County ISD CG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2N	Madi Cole	27	3
1N	Katie Gray	27	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Hightower
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Gabriel Williams

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

PFD: I vote aff, b flows are a wash due to no links

Room: 1354
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Johnson, Clark

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Sudan MS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Arisa Moore	27.8	4
1	Makayla Serrano	27.9	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep HM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Riler Holcombe	29.1	2
2	Jack Moore	29.5	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: PIK (S) case

Room: 1533
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Crady, Leslie

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Melissa GS		
1	Anna Gressett	29	2
2	Alex Sullivan ^{oo}	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Princeton JR		
2	Alexis Jones ^{oo}	28	4
1	Hannah Rodriguez	28	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Melissa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Leslie Crady

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg needs to provide more attacks on case.

Aff wins the Trump 20/20 argument going towards the end. Although neither side really won me over as far as proving Trump would win or lose.

Aff really did a nice job talking about it being a medicare issue and the no marriage connection the neg had.

Aff also wins on framing util vs Ethics care - I look to structural violence 1st since it is happening now in status quo, not nuclear war.

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Clear Springs PH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jordan Pavelko	36	1
2	Kevin Huang	30	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Houston Memorial ZS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Bailey Zhang	29	3
1	Diana Salha	29	4

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Clear Springs PH
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AC - Good Aff - Like the Framework
2AC - Be ready to explain the Trump restriction Better. Extend all of your case.
1AR - You Proposed 1st Advantages
2AR - Not sure you can fiat the Trump Disad.
You should post your Framework argument stronger throughout the debate.

1NC - Roadmap your DAs
Give them numbers or titles.
Your Buckley case does not Apply. HIB Visa Apps are outside US. No detention
2NC - Your CP admits use of the fed govt do oversight.
1NR - Income Inequality is a new argument
2NR - Smart to Prop Courts & CP - Good catch on Adv I
Not sure you understand the link of HIB - to Adv 2 -

If Aff had not dropped the 1st Advantage in 1AR, this would be an easier decision. So I have to look at impacts of AAP vs Neg, I think did a good job at attacking & most of the Aff But your failure to attack the Framework argument gave the Aff the Advantage

Good Round on both sides

Room: 1512
Start: 700pm
CX

6

O'Brien, Chris

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Reagan CV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2 nd	Anna Chavez	29.8	1
1 st	Jamie Volz	29.7	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Hightower GK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2 nd	Isabella Gandara	28.5	3
1 st	Snehal Kumar	27	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Reagan CV
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Chris O'Brien

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

Lots of dropped offense on both the top & bottom level of framework, + ZAR extends key critiques on the counter-impact & lack of substantiation. Answer on role of the ballot/judge is mitigated by aff effectively in the IAR & neg doesn't bring enough procedural offense to the eve to give them weight. Aff wins case method + ROB, plus offense on FW, Aff wins.

Room: 1347
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Erdman, Julian

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

7:32 left

5:22 left
3:02 left

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep BM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Justin Burns	27.3	4
2	Jack Martin	27.7	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Elkins IJ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Samir Idrees	27.4	3
2	Joshua John	27.6	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Jesuit College Prep BM
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AL - Need better knowledge of how case functions. When asked how you do plan need to reference the Duncan evidence, it helps to point this picture. Also, the Bauder evidence. ZAL - good answers to Capk. I think you can give a more sufficient answer to how the plan works in the ZAL other than normal means. IAR - Need to work on efficiency & identifying offense. Need to extend we meet on the first theory it goes conceded. on Cap flow → you drop a lot of turns that y'all had to the k that the Neg dropped in the block. You have to prioritize these arguments in the IAR to ensure that you win that the Aff solves Cap last. Also, you spend too much time on the perm debate. Need to answer the perm double bind.

INC - Need better articulation of the world of the alt. If going to read first theory put it on a separate sheet. ZNC - Need to work on efficiency. Need to answer all of the ZAC line by line responses in the ZNC so the IAR doesn't have to cover it or worse, you drop the arguments completely. I think Neg needed more options of offense in the INC. INR - Need to answer rest of the line by line on the Cap flow. Also, need to answer the we meet on the first theory shall. Don't think you really need the theory since you essentially drop circumvention in the Neg block.

RFD: Buy Perm
on Cap.

Room: 1377
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Watson, Steven

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Tuloso-Midway BR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jenna Barrera	30	1
2	Joann Robledo	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Clear Lake NV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Avineet Nanjappa	27	4
2	Dhyuti Venkataraman	29	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Tuloso-Midway
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Steven Watson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A Thankyou for the high level of professionalism, Very clear speaking voice, great questioning line, very good use of specifics. ~~CPA~~ ~~CPA~~
Very ~~CP~~ inclusive in rebuttal, seemed to cover all your bases!

1N ~~CPA~~ ~~Serencey~~ good explanation of arguments, your opponents are not developing AI, you need to be more clear on ~~CP~~ standards, Be careful of arguments from authority

2A a little vague on roadmap, good exposition on evidence, very clear speaking voice & great ~~point~~ articulation, good job exposing contradictions

2N Very good speaker, could use more exposition, ~~CP~~? New? Very good job handling questions, At no point did your opponents concede that AI was making the computers, Aff addressed structural violence

Decision: Neg never quite was able ~~to~~ to apply specifically to Aff, Aff maintained stock issues and better debated the evidence of the round.

330 v:17
3:27

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Central GK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Alesandra Gutierrez	29	2
	Bailey King	30	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep CR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Kyle Cribbins	29	3
	Joshua Ramos	28	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Central
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: John Landry

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- ALERT ALERT... I'm all for having a blast...but at what costs.
I have to lean Aff on Solvency, and Harms solely.
Status Quo, Loss of Lives, Nationalism, Buffoon in WH with
finger on Nukes, Conversing with Pope. Policy although fun must
be on Stocks...
- There were moments where I leaned Neg on the grounds
of Harms sole basis of National Terrorism vs. Foreign Assault
 - Uniqueness Link Violations
 - Moral vs. Ethical Violations MUST LINK
 - Although Defs are important...when you argue T V I A S... they
are MORE important... provided literature states Trafficking
victims are on "refugee status" however if they were
trafficked they are illegal immigrants
 - If you argue theory please be sure to answer Case
especially Solvency and Inherency (negency is not
strong enough)

Room: 1472
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Yang, Elmer

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Westwood DL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Shea Dombrowski	29.1	2
2	Hannah Liu	29.3	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Leander CT	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Sofia Childress	28.1	3
1	Benjamin Townsend	28	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Westwood DL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AC: Excel. speed & clarity

2AC: Effec. coverage

Good amount of offense on
K

Content is Aff more regarding offense on K
Good speed

2AC needs Realism good card

1NC: All needs to be better

↳ needs to solve more than this
1 space, if not, the need VZL
impact

Put offense on line

2NC: The 2NR was alright but you don't
extend any INC offense in 2NC,
only read new cards
Also dropped perm.

RFD: I vote Aff b/c Israel-Iran War outweighs the Kritik's impact of Intervention.
2NC didn't extend Alt so I default AM on FW. Perm solves any residual
risk of the K. No Pedagogy impact so Extinction outweighs.

Room: 1376
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Morrow, Cody

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Greenhill AE	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1A	Samar Ahmad	28.5	2
2A	Christopher Eckert	28.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Dulles YP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2N	Robert Yang	28	4
1N	Shaan Parekh	28	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Greenhill AE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: The affirmative is ahead on a number of arguments
Your alt of refusal doesn't spur movements or engage the state.
Plus, they have impact turned giving the land back ^{which} will
result in settlers moving to the global South.

Room: 1508
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Dimmig, Brenden

LC /

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	LC Anderson KL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Ron Kiehn	30	3
2	Maxton Lynch	30	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Alief Kerr EN	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Queen Eche	30	1
1	Hillary Nguyen	30	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Alief
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD
Affopess orw; I (V) on the alt
oral.

Room: 1353
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Martin, Kinsey

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep HT	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Adam Hall	29.7	3
1	Chris Tran	29.7	4

km

NEGATIVE

POS	Greenhill KW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Joshua Kwon	29.8	2
2	Timothy Wegener	29.9	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Econ - Neg is ahead on the defense debate but without going for the deden turn it's a wash for me

Migrant Death - Neg is winning the ~~set~~ Gallatin turn that makes the K framework of the way I ethically align myself an easy decision for me.

Labor (Manufacturing) - ~~set~~ Wash

Set Col K - The framework debate for me goes to the neg -

The line-by-line for me though on the K proper is a wash.

Room: 1373
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Abdelhady-Khalil

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Round Rock BT	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Arvind Balaji	28.2	4
	Akhsheiy Tangutur	28.3	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep GN	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jack Griffiths	28.4	2
	Garret Nagorzanski	29	1

The better debating was done by the

Neg

from

MAX

Jesuit College Prep

AFF OR NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: K o/w's and turn case.

Room: 1577
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Wilczynski, Soph

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Westwood KY	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Ananth Kumar	28.9	2
2	Jeffrey You	28.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep MW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Jack Madden	29	1
1	Pete Weigman	28.7	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from Jesuit & MW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A | N
7:55 | 7:46
4:39

28.9
28.5
57.4
29.0
28.7
57.7

epistemology / language bus - prior Q,
Subsines off framing / work done by
off not sufficient / off frame enough to
respond to the framing Q; floating
PIK is good & off

Room: 1447
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Schwerdtfeger, E

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Westwood BS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Vikas Burugu	30	2
2	Daniel Shi	30	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Coppell RD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Shreyas Rajagopal	30	1
2	Het Desai	30	4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Coppell RD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: E. Schwerdtfeger

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff presents a great kritik of the way Affro persuasion is used in debate, and raises interesting questions about the ethicality of proliferating such arguments, however, there's not enough of this + too much about the substance of the lit base itself. Ultimately, I buy that the Neg presents a model of debating that ↑ black participation.

I'm not 100% convinced that the Perm is incompatible, but I don't feel like enough work was done on it to grant access.

6000 Gordon
Ev.
P.S.
I think the
Aff is right.

Room: 1368
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Shen, Jack

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Reagan GP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	David Gutierrez	28.5	2
1	Kathryn Pham	28.8	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Harlingen South VG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Christian Villarreal	27.8	3
1	Ramiro Gonzalez	27.6	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Reagan GP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

INC - disad doesnt make sense and need 9. compans need nb, ik needs link.

I ended up deciding that the aff meets their interp if even if it
deest, reasonability means I decide that the aff interp was
good enough.

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Alief Kerr LG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2A	Mike Ly	27.7	1
1A	Hannah Ghebrelul	27.7	2

27.8

NEGATIVE

POS	PSJA Southwest LS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1N	Sofia Leal	27.6	4
2N	Mariano Salcedo	27.7	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Alief Kerr LG
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

CA

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

- 2AC solv. cards don't matter, they don't answer neg args
- GB took in more refugees in WWII
- You justified a perm but didn't make one
- Just say you can read a perm and solv. deficits.
- ~~Don't take prep before INR~~

Neg

- INCs should always be stand up if the aff is predictable
- Off case before on case
- Y'all don't have a counterplan text
- Highlight your ev.
- ~~Don't take one~~ Don't take prep before INR

RFD: ~~Only warrants~~ Terminal defense on DA and concession of case means I have to vote aff

Room: 1349
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Cupo, Hazel

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Coppell BR		
	Vishvak Bandi	28.2	3
	Rahul Rajamani	28.2	4

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Katy RN		
	Ethan Ross	28.5	1
	Mark Nicholson	28.4	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Katy RN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Hazel Cupo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted negative, I don't think there was a warrant to ontology in either rebuttal, and given that that's what you hinge the debate on this puts you in a bad place. I'm not often persuaded by fairness, but given that the ZNR is correct about y'all not explaining the aff and dropping the TVA double bind, I'm comfortable voting on Zanotti.

Room: 1515
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Bonnette, Bonnie

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Princeton BD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1A	Eden Burke	30	1
2A	Taylor Dougherty	30	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Louis E. Brandeis BO	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1N	Henry Barajas	29	3
2N	Akruti Obhrai	28	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Princeton BD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Bonnette

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

REF I vote Aff on chance of nuclear war being unrealistic, the
Aff solvency, and Perm of Neg CP.

All four debaters are strong speakers and did a fine job with
the debate overall.

2N spoke too quickly to make her ~~tags~~ clear, so I had trouble
following the speeches (2N in particular)

Room: 1563
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Stolte, Preston

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Reagan EM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jocelyn Epstein	28	1
	Tashya Makani	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Hendrickson YD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Joseph Yeh	28	3
	David Do	28	2

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg & extd DA

Room: 1369
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Alaniz, Jose

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Reagan LV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Tai Le	28.2	4
1	Anand Valavalkar	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	London SA	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Matthew Sun	28.6	2
2	Emily Abrego	28.3	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from REAGAN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: —

Signed: J. Alaniz

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Vote AFF, there was lack of case analysis by NEG. UTIL arguments didn't help. Lack of examples lead to risk of AFF is sufficient to win and voting for rejection of public charge leading to no borders. Both teams need to organize their arguments better, clear taglines and be louder.

Room: 1346
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Baez, Alejandro

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Hebron KL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Sunil Krish	29.4	1
1	Gavin Loyd	29.1	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Midway JK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Gawon Jo	28.5	3
	Ivy Koh	28.4	4

A.17.

The better debating was done by the Aff from Hebron
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AR - Hard to flow - I didn't set like 1st 75 seconds.

If your think at the end of the - why doesn't the aff think at the end?

2NR - need an 2 for flow in 2NR.

2AR - How do you lead to revelation?

2AR - How do you access DSAM?

2AR - why do you have selecting for the debate team you member of the PAL - 2m in A09?

Room: 1442
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Goyal, Ritik

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Greenhill RH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Nikhil Ratnam	28.9	2
2	Sophia Hurst	29.1	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Coppell AK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Vanuli Arya	28.6	4
2	Arnav Kashyap	28.8	3

The better debating was done by the aff from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff wins FW, plan is a good
strategy, Psycho K not falsifiable

Scout

1AC: Travel Ban
1NC: Immigration, SLOTVS DA, Queer K, Psycho K, #XOCP
2NC: Psycho K
1NR: Queer K

Room: 1401
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Magocha, Nyasha

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Donna VA	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Amanda Valle	28.5	2
1	Brianna Alvarez	28.3	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Borger BN	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Pearson Bailey	28.9	1
1	Lars Nelson	28.2	4

The better debating was done by the neg from Borger BN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: W

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff:

Oral critique

Neg:

RFD: risk of the link of BL + BD DA's o/w aff

↳ work is being done too late coming out of the 2AR,
dropped in 1AR

Room: 1366
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Marriott-Voss, M

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Hendrickson CF	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Alejandro Castaneda_Zuni	28.7	2
	Mahnoor Faheem	28.8	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Winston Churchill AV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Gloria Alcala	28.4	4
	Gaby Valdez	28.5	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Hendrickson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

Room: 1504
Start: 700pm
CX

6

DiPiazza, Philip

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Elkins RS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Kirthivel Ramesh	28.2	4
2	Anik Shah	28.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Wylie QS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Christine Quinto	28.4	2
1	Megan Snopik	28.3	3

The better debating was done by the

Aff
~~Wylie~~
AFF or NEG

from

Elkins
~~Wylie~~
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg drops the Framework at the top of the K. The ballot determines the desirability of the PLAN. All neg links are based off of ideological investments in concepts of rights and citizenship ~~concepts~~ in the status quo - neg never shows how the plan is antithetical or trans violence. Aff was that extinction comes first, and that there's no future for queer communities in a world of ~~climate~~ climate change and nuclear war.

Wrong framework would've completely flipped my decision calculus b/c the Aff has no strong defense of citizenship and labor value. ~~The~~ Unfortunately, framework was mentioned as the timer was going off in the 110R and barely in the 200R.

Room: 1320
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Holland, Justin

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Banquete WG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Tia Whitman	30	2
1	Isabel Gonzalez	30	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Morton Ranch VL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Melanie Viesca	27	4
1	Hector Luviano	29	3

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Banquete
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Be sure to have an answer for immigration. / ^{side} note * Clean up why you have DACA in your case
Environmental ~~impact~~ wasn't clear where it fit in
Good rate and speed
~~Be~~ If you don't have a specific number, how do we know how your plan can reach solvency
Be careful of saying "it forces them to enlist"
What card do you have that say Dad will focus on climate change and have answers for alt-coners

Even though I did say open CX
2N should still have move to say

Change your (1) to link the 2018 midterms to the fall of the Aff-Right consider changing the impact for the Aff-Right DA to an international impact including New Zealand

Be sure you give some analysis after reading each card and

I would suggest switching speeches

The Aff won solvency because the only (5) argument was dropped by the neg
The Aff won (1) with ~~more~~ more recent evidence and bias of prereqs
The neg only attacked one impact on the 1st advantage so it still stands and the 2nd was ^{untouched}
The Aff turned the ~~base~~ ^{Alt-right} (2) with proximity and the base d/lt with ^{a no brink} ~~no brink~~

Room: 1510
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Coltzer, William

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Liberal Arts and Scie PS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Ian Poe	28.7	2
	Adam Scott	28.8	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Lovejoy SM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Tajvir Singh	28.6	3
	Andrew Mao	28.5	4

The better debating was done by the aff from LASA PS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Don't think neg establishes clear brightline for what is
suffering reqs. If its a generic descriptor of violence then
a) neg claim and link to not my backyard
offense, as its about wild descriptors of pain which
I don't think the aff is.

Room: 1374
Start: 700pm
CX

6

De La Rosa, Bobb

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Westside LR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Aymen Labidi	29.2	3
2	Rohith Raman	29.	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Hebron BL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Xain Bhagwandin	29.4	2
2	Aly Lalani	29.6	1

29.64

The better debating was done by the NEG from Hebron BL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Bobb De La Rosa

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Counter interp on Framework is Arbitrary

Best Debates least arbitrary

Framework key to predictability

Avoids dogmatism, Echo chambers

TVA allows deconstruction of the state

NEG wins competitive interpretations,

AFF interp is late & indeterminate

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Robert Vela ZS		
2	Thalia Zuniga	3	27
1	Ethan Salinas	2	28

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Andrews FB		
2	Sarai Flores	1	30
1	Jakob Barton	1	26

The better debating was done by the Aff from Robert Vela ZS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: yes

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Speaker Points the Neg 2 was the best speaker she adjusted her style and was the context speaker thus the Round Aff became at a loss as well with the rebuttal thus gave the aff the win to win the aff with all stock issues aff slow rebuttal the low helping in margin the de the corner for low full transitions I have had the low would work and why the low was needed to for rebuttal

Room: 1509
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Hutchinson, Will

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Reagan DL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Idalina Du	28.6	2
1	Andy Liu	28.7	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Guyer IM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Misbah Imtiaz	28.5	3
1	Hasan Mubarak	28.5	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Reagan
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Will Hutchinson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think the counterplan does not send a public signal to immigrants + citizens
the world that ableism does not define our immigration strategy - also not
really answered in the 2NR - pretty clearly on my flows for DAR -
I compare that to the risk of the NB - ~~I also~~ first actually I
read the CP - the only point about public charge is about deferred
adjudication which is not defined in law - none of the
impact calc work I tried to go for DA impact just by it
we don't talk about ~~qualifications~~ - this have a bit more specific go
Why it's bad

Room: 1556
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Holmes, Davy

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Heights PW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Alex Poll	28	4
2	Thomas Williams	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Hendrickson KV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Bekla Kouakou	28	2
1	Victoria Vidaurri	28	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Heights PW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: D. H.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The 2NR doesn't go to the case page, which I think gives the aff a pretty good chance of solving their advantages. I don't understand most of the neg's links to the K, and I am not sure how the alt solves.

Room: 1511
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Lopez, Armando

LC

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

Excellent

Round!!!

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Mt. Pleasant CT	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Connelly Cowan	30	2
2	Taryn Thurman	29	3

NEGATIVE

POS	LC Anderson CM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Brett Cryan	30	1
1	Purav Maheshwari	28	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Mt Pleasant CT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- * The Travel Ban was an executive order, not proposed legislation.
- * Brett - let Purav have a chance to answer! He's dying to speak his piece!
- * (T) is a wash.
- * I buy AFF framing, but Neg has impact wins they get offense from too - so both teams get
- * Base DA falls - no impact because Trump doesn't need diversifying war to solidify base - current messaging gameplan proves
- * This comes down to whether or not the Perm - to the CP is viable, which would shield the AFF from a limping, but still valid, Infrastructure DA. There was little clash reed on whether or not agent CPs are topical, ~~so~~ so I'm compelled to make that decision myself. For me, there is no big leap from normal means to courts. thus AFF wins

Room: 1372
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Gilbert, Evan

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

APP:
Neg:

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep AK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Ashik Amar	29.2	2
2	Logan Kim	29.3	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Greenhill CW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Sam Charnes	29.1	3
1	Alex Witheiler	29	4

The better debating was done by the APP from Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Evan Gilbert

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Perm (S) offense from A

Room: 1350
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Ramsey, Alec

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Kinkaid PP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Spencer Powers	29.3	2
	Sahar Punjwani	29.2	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Liberal Arts and Scie IJ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Sruthi Ilangovan	29.4	1
	Monica Jones	29.1	4

The better debating was done by the NEG from LASA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

OA o/w's and t/case

Room: 1507
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Iyer, Subbu

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Woodlands MR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2A	Keagan Mirazee	29.7	1
1A	Aakash Reddy	29.6	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Liberal Arts and Scie CU	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2N	Katherine Chacon	29.3	3
1N	Roman Ugarte	29.2	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from WOODLANDS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF was impact terms

Room: 1558
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Batik, Mark

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Winston Churchill MB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Alexandra Myers	29	1
1	Benjamin Brody	28.8	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Dulles LS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Adrienne Li	28	4
2	Ashley Sheng	28.5	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Winston Churchill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: MRH

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2NR doesn't extend the Aff. I think the Aff might be a net betterment
vis-a-vis biopower but at best Neg wins A non-unique D.A. to
the Status Quo

Room: 1326
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Shore, Sam

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Winston Churchill DA	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Norman Davis	27.9	1
2	Ralph Anderson	27.6	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Barbers Hill MD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Wesley Mosley	27.8	2
1	Gustavo De_Toni_Uchoa	27.7	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from BARBERS Hill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Sam

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

DA o/w the case

3:38

1:42

Room: 1554
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Jennings, Nichol

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Liberal Arts and Scie GR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Danielle Gu	28.5	1
2	Dhruv Ruttala	27.9	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Lovejoy CL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jack Czyz	28.3	3
2	Mitchell Laman	28.4	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Lovejoy CL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF COMMENTS

Against this Portier 17
might want to read
Tuck and Ying "Devil not a
murderer"

I was ~~pressured~~
Pretty harsh to
Dhruv But that's
Because he has
immense potential.



The sheer number of procedural
mistakes forces me to vote
Negative after the ZAR.

Goes to FW (which is conceded)
Never extends and Interp
Says "Extend Rob" doesn't
Say what it is.

Doesn't extend offense Between
flows.

The ZAR has 4 New Args
and Extends General
ZAR Args not in the
JAR.

I Vote NEG
on Set Col =
Root Cause of
All - mpa

NEG COMMENTS

I would find other
stuff that isn't Willerson
for those cards.

Reasons Absencing
By

NOED mean
Retro reason
for Prefrat
Args. Stating its
Prefrat doesn't
make it B

Room: 1375
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Ribera, Claudia

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Reagan BL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Rema Bhat	28.7	4
2	Emma Li	28.8	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Greenhill RT	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Ethan Rothstein	29	1
1	Shaurya Tathgir	28.9	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Greenhill RT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Claudia Ribera

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1 vote negative on framework.

Room: 1315
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Sanchez, Rafael

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Central KG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Hannah Kim	28	3
1	Davian Garcia	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Midway BW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Stephen Bender	28.4	2
1	Benjamin White	28.5	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Midway BW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

conceded case flow means slight risk of DA 9/10 case

aff:

Don't steal prep LOL

Room: 1559
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Conner, Aiden

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep FJ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Giovannie Ferrer-Falto	29.2	2
1	Ethan Jackson	29.1	3

NEGATIVE

POS	North Lamar HH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Garrett Holzwarth	28.6	4
2	Zach Huffman	29.3	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from North Lamar
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: Mulvaney

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ord -

Room: 1348
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Gamble, Tyler

J. /

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	J. W. Nixon AB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Daniella Aguirre	28.8	3
2	Angie Bravo	28.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Athens LH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Gavin Lott	28.9	2
2	William Honea	29.5	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Athens
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Voted on the R
Outweigh the aff

Room: 1324
Start: 700pm
CX

6

Lingel, Dan

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Heights AG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Alfonso Arreola	28.3	4
2	Tavia Gabrysch	28.0	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Hendrickson GS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Trey Gutierrez	28.7	3
1	Dylan Scott	28.0	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Hendrickson GS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

X - Top dropped by 2AC and then
4 answered correctly after that.