

Room: 1510
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Lopez, Armando

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Athens LH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Gavin Lott <i>Gavvy</i> ^{CSP}	30	1
2	William Honea	24	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Pebble Hills LG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Bryan Liston	29	2
1	Audrey Gutierrez	28	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Athens LH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A F D. A pretty clear AFF ballot for the following reasons.

Wage + Brain Drain DA's -
(INC) (CNC)

Good use of Perry 115, though

No impact cards read, so neg gets no offense. Aff does a decent job de-linking from wage, but needs to use their Burke evidence to better explain how I² would improve on Siquero re: H-1B and wages. Brain Drain read in CNC - pretty abusive b/c Aff has to wait until after the block to answer

Terrorism

- I think Aff does a good enough job using the Manning and Burke evidence to show that, especially re Siquero, vetting is tough enough to prevent risk of terrorism

CP

- with no impacts coming off the DAs, there's no real net benefit to CP that makes it mutually exclusive. Plus, I buy Aff's argument that the U.S. can control the U.N. and/or ignore it, validly ~~the perm.~~

Room: 1377
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Abdelhady-Khalil

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Liberal Arts and Scie CU	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Katherine Chacon	29.1	2
	Roman Ugarte	29.2	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Reagan LV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Tai Le	28.3	4
	Anand Valavalkar	28.4	3

The better debating was done by the APP from Liberal Arts and Science
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: APP Per arrange a/w's. *RA*

Room: 1561
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Cupo, Hazel

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Chapin DH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Cody Drake	27.5	4
	Jonah Hormel	27.6	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Dulles LS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Adrienne Li	27.8	2
	Ashley Sheng	27.9	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Dulles LS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Hazel Cupo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

what part of "Don't take yourself too seriously" did y'all not get?
I'm hesitant to call this a "debate" given all the drops and lack of explanation.
The aff didn't answer things, and the neg just kept reading cards.
To be completely honest, I didn't really care what the ZNR was;
based on the ZAC it just needed to happen to win my ballot.

RFD: Y'all are extra topical. Y'all need to flow.

Room: 1369
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Gorman, Ryan

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Tuloso-Midway BR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jenna Barrera	27.5	4
2	Joann Robledo	27.6	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Round Rock BT	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Arvind Balaji	28.4	1
1	Akhsheiy Tangutur	28.1	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Round Rock
AFFIRMATIVE NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff has some significant troubles throughout the debate ... 2AC spends too much time on case and then reads Neg ev. on the disad ... 1AR needs a better answer to the K F/W, but (more importantly) needs to get to T with more than ~15 seconds ... Voted on conceded FX T.

N
7/10
3/35
A
5/23
1/10

Room: 1375
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Vaziri, Cameron

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	South Texas Acad BP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Briana Boughter	27.4	3
2	Kush Patel	27.1	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Liberal Arts and Scie PS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Ian Poe	28.2	1
1	Adam Scott	28	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from LIBERAL ARTS AND SCIENCE PS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NO OFFENSE AGAINST (K). (K) T/CASE AND ALT (S)

ROOT CAUSE OF ISGAMOPHOBIA.

Room: 1531
Start: 10:00
CX

4

McCullough, Hunt

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Greenhill AE	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Samar Ahmad 29.3	28.3	2
2	Christopher Eckert	29.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Westwood KY	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Ananth Kumar	28.6	3
1	Jeffrey You	28.3	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: HM MR

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Democracy bad turns o/w
ZNR is warrant light

Room: 1376
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Carmona, Juan

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	NAME	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Anna Gressett	30	1
2	Alex Sullivan	29	2

NEGATIVE

POS	NAME	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Sofia Leal	26	4
2	Mariano Salcedo	27	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Gressett/Sullivan
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG'S K arguments & T arguments were the bulk of their attack and are also gimmicky & nitpicky, & NO real connection to the Debate and how, policy debate in general, or the Resolution. Thanks they had no substance in the debate and further promote the erosion of education in Debate which requires research on the subject at hand.

The AFF effected remarks on topic and ~~effect~~ Re Puted all NEG arguments by superceding them with the topic at hand. They also used a better understanding of the origin Utilitarianism which was now being presented by NEG arguments.

AFF wins on all ~~points~~ ^{planks} and covers all other issues.

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Sudan MS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Arissa Moore	29	1
1	Makayla Serrano	29	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Midway BW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Stephen Bender	28	4
1	Benjamin White	28.5	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Sudan MS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

T- Legal def. is met by the Aff as Neg goes with "To" X-top. Because of no inround abuse happening (proved by Neg) I must accept it as a means to continue the round. What I was expecting the Neg to read is the Framers Intent. Do you have it? - This was key to accepting it. If you didn't know, the National Federation of High School puts out a ~~state~~ Framers Intent booklet to help clarify what you are claiming.

DAs - Impact of extinction was a wash - you basically drop it. w/ respect with Iran Treaty - Did we not pull out of it? -

- thus I can't weigh it.

Ultimately we look to Sign/Solve arguments on case.

You mention CP gives a better solution, but Aff counters that both can be done -- you failed to realize that they can't because their plan → to Citizenship. Yours does not!

Also, they pull across the idea of Dem. needing these students to participate and voting is key. Your CP does not address this and thus not beneficial.

Plus, finally, your 5 yr test only gives more anxiety that they may not be approved as Aff states.

PS at least Aff is a minor repair in system as no real harm is done.

Room: 1552
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Watson, Steven

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Clear Springs PH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jordan Pavelko	30 28	2
2	Kevin Huang	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	PSJA Southwest CG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Samantha Ceccopieri	26	4
2	Ethan Greeno	29	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from PSJA Southwest CG
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Steven Watson

1A COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Very Clear speaking voice, H1B visas for highly skilled workers, Good line of questioning in C-X. They read violations in INC; they just didn't answer your question in the C-X. Why does racism come into play at the end of debate, pollution is not inherently racist.

1N - A Brief roadmap to let me know where you plan to attack is preferred

~~Immigration reduced~~
1A Brain Drain (You need to explain how this applies to your opponents case)
Don't fall for the trap questions, you need to speak louder & more clearly, good job maintaining partners arguments,

1111

2A Good roadmap, Good explanation & application of arguments, ~~7~~ ~~7~~ ~~7~~, added Solvency extensions, Very Strong with trap questioning, admitted that it would effect very small amount of Immigrants, replaced one restriction for another.

2N - Good job stepping in and helping your partner in C-X, Offered clear roadmap, Very good point with eventual LPR, Good job standing your ground, Good break down of issues in the round, good job pointing out the ~~uncertainty~~ uncertainty of LPR and the fact that it's not a decrease but an increase of restrictions!

Reason for Decision: Neg wins in my opinion for pointing out the uncertainty of LPR and the decrease T stands

Prep Aff	NEG
4:07	4:29
5:08	2:02
2:17	25

Room: 1320
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Schwerdtfeger, E

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Alief Kerr LG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Mike Ly	28.5	4
1	Hannah Ghebrelul	28.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Prosper RW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Jordan Ramsey	30	1
1	Addison Wellenberger	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Prosper RW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: E. Schwerdtfeger

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Perm isn't developed well enough to
resolve the ~~whole~~ mpx of the
K, which out weigh the
AFF.

Room: 1447
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Iyer, Subbu

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Guyer IM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1A	Misbah Imtiaz	28.2	4
2A	Hasan Mubarak	28.4	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Kinkaid PP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1N	Spencer Powers	28.5	2
2N	Sahar Punjwani	28.6	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from KINKAID
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

aff is not T

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Heights AG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Alfonso Arreola	27	3
	Tavia Gabrysch	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Leander CT	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Sofia Childress	26	4
	Benjamin Townsend	28	1

The better debating was done by the AFF from Heights
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Answer to the status Quo: AFF awarded ^{narrow} win on Solvency
Bold Questions: Who saves more lives?

Significance: Aff's plan is very specific dealing w/translators Neg: Question the Elective Options. Negs: CP should be a great option and

Harms: Aff's plan is opting to prevent loss of lives. It is far fetched ^{his incoherency} to believe translators will lead to Nuclear War.

Incoherency: Neg did challenge the Aff (hollow grounds). Judge wants neg to bring up withdrawal of troops by the US

"Commander in Cheese" One mention of Military Officers does not show Aff knows SIV visas. Negs: Argue that the program is elective. Ask for numbers. Ask anything.

Topicality: Negs: Entered a CP which opened them up for a topicality argument, but Aff's opted to argue interpretation of evidence. Argue on Refugee vs. Immigrant status. SIV visas is elective. Refugees Don't have a choice.

Solvency: Negs: lives will be lost but protects Americans. Brain Drain doesn't affect American lives.

DON'T ARGUE Theory: Use of Evidence with a STOCK Judge Think like Elsa... "Let it Go"

Room: 1352
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Gilbert, Evan

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

Aff: 5:57
Neg: 4:49

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Hebron HP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Sameer Haq	28.8	4
1	Krish Patel	28.9	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep GN	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jack Griffiths	29.5	2
2	Garret Nagorzanski	29.6	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Jesuit
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Evan Gilbert

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

K turns the case, alt has better potential to solve it.

Room: 1368
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Courville, Jason

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Westwood DL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Shea Dombrowski	28.5	4
2	Hannah Liu	28.7	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep FJ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Giovannie Ferrer-Falto	28.9	2
2	Ethan Jackson	29.3	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from JESUIT FJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: COURVILLE - KINKAID

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG WINS ON GENDER IR KRITIK -

① FRAMEWORK - THE AFF CHOOSES TO RUN AN AFF BASED ON REALIST ASSUMPTIONS (W/O LOADING IAC WITH REALISM GOOD) = NEG FRAMEWORK DOESN'T NECESSARILY MOOT IAC - IF POLICY EDUCATION SPILLS OUTSIDE OF ROUNDS AND DEALING WITH COGNITIVE BIAS SHOULD TAKE PRIORITY THEN FEM IR LENS > REALIST LENS

② LINKS - STATE COMPETITION INEVITABLE, MILITARISM, PROGRESSIVE INTERVENTION LINKS ARE SOLID

③ SEQUENCING DISAD AND NEG FLOATING PIC BEATS PERM

Room: 1354
Start: 10:00
CX

4

DiPiazza, Philip

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Winston Churchill AV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Gloria Alcala	28.1	4
	Gaby Valdez	28.4	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Westwood BS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Vikas Burugu	28.5	1
	Daniel Shi	28.2	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westwood
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

All time to break down this space in order to build it back up. This turns the arguments about Neoliberalism that enacts border violence b/c affect and sentimentality HAVE productive value.

Room: 1351
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Hutchinson, Will

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Coppel RD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Shreyas Rajagopal	29	1
1	Het Desai	29	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Andrews SB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Journey Sais	28.6	4
2	Jordan Barton	28.7	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Coppel
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: W Hutchinson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Unfairness is ~~not~~ good - only FW impact

Case arguments are too defensive + not about ~~the~~ hybrid strategies

Room: 1322
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Wilczynski, Soph

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Liberal Arts and Scie GR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Danielle Gu	28.7	2
2	Dhruv Ruttala	28.8	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Ridge Point SV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Nyima Sanneh	28.6	3
2	Apoorva Verghese	28.4	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from LASA GR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A | N
8:49 / 8:35
2:51
Concededumper on base DA; no (!) to
USCIS DA - case probably go down both.

Room: 1508
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Hodgkiss, Colin

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Central KG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Hannah Kim	29	3
1	Davian Garcia	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Alief Kerr EN	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Queen Eche	30	1
1	Hillary Nguyen	29	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from EN
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: CR RL

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

There is a lack of warrant ^{of your argumentation} debate on the K that reduces the efficacy. For example the IAR claims the state historically is the best method of living violence, but then you don't give an example, nor do you further contextualize to the K.

I don't think the 2AC did enough on the K. It seems like you spent too much time reading obscenely long cards.

All speeches need to be extending and talking about the case. Otherwise the debate ~~is~~ ^{comes} down to whatever the neg wants to talk about.

You could just say they don't get across to new org in the IAR on the perm.

RFD: I don't think the aff does near enough to combat the argumentation made by the neg: 1st - there is no takeout for the aff. ~~2nd - there isn't a definite reason to reject the links.~~ 3rd - There is no answer to aff scholarship is bad. 4th - the impact comparison by the aff doesn't extend an impact nor a comprehensive reason to prefer aff impacts.

This is the most important reason.

Room: 1472
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Boswell, Israel

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Clear Lake NV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Avineet Nanjappa	28	3
2	Dhyuti Venkataraman	29	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Borger BN	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Pearson Bailey	27	4
1	Lars Nelson	30	1

The better debating was done by the

AFF

from

Clear Lake NV

Please check on this line if a low point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Off - Good Plan - This plan seems to help our economy and help small ~~our~~ ~~business~~ firm businesses. Love how you stressed how this will have an impact on our economy, which means more expensive products and dairy. Helping immigrants through special visas is a great idea because it helps keep wages down. (Cost of visas? Who is paying for visas? (Federal govt. Standard way) First - Nicolas used and explained. ~~not a good point~~ ~~not a good point~~ Healthcare?

My Vote: This was a great debate. Good points on both sides. I felt topicality was ~~not~~ ~~an~~ ~~issue~~ ~~question~~ ~~came~~ with DA but plan from AFF stood out ~~more~~. Nuclear War. Economic growth? Benefits to America? Good question. I ask what benefits this debate? This debate I will give the win to the Affirmation. (Strong impacts)

Reg - Good idea w/ Theory with funding of AFF's plan. Topicality however didn't really hold ground for me since it became a definition war. I felt your DA was good. Strong! Too many immigrants will cause issues and hurt our economy. Impacts - escalation of nuclear with another country. Connection not clear (Spending DA). Theory is a great argument. Funding can cause issues. Attack their cost of products increasing. Wages ↑ is not an issue is a great point. Make more points about increased immigrants w/ this program. Attack cost of healthcare.

Room: 1512
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Winn, Bryan

J. /

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	J. W. Nixon AB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Daniella Aguirre	27	4
2	Angie Bravo	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Midway JK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Gawon Jo	29	1
1	Ivy Koh	28	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Midway JK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AC - Give me some #s. How many people or denied visas because of disabilities.

Watch your Prep Time

2AC - Follow the flow. You have to answer the LPR & Effects Topically. Your 2AC is extremely hard to follow.

1AR - You just gave the Neg the IPR T argument & Solvency in CX.

2AR - If you are not giving them LPR or visas how is it immigration???

1AR - Very confusing 1AR. Don't just coast apply evidence - Give me the why. You have to answer the LPR T & Effects T argument. Your Advantage comes from the medical, not the immigration change. Don't argue that Realworld issues.

2AR - You don't have to be topical. Stick to the flow. Don't Boomer around.

RFD: 1AR has no answer to the LPR or the Effects T argument.

DA also goes Neg because Aff has no real answer to the Backlog.

Problem. I also buy the case argument on Solvency (rest fix mindset)

1NC - Good use of Alternate causation on the Aff. Be careful with the CP. It is also Topical, could help the Aff.

Neg Block
Good split to cover all neg issues. Drop the CP. It could backfire on you. Good effects T argument. Hammer on the IPR. / No Visa Issue.

2NR - Good use of LPR T to kill Aff.

Good Extra Top. Argument

Don't waste time on debate philosophy. Get to the meat of the Argument.

Room: 1509
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Gerst, Crayton

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Greenhill RH	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Nikhil Ratnam	29	3
2	Sophia Hurst	29	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep HT	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Adam Hall	29.5	1
2	Chris Tran	29.5	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Jesuit College Prep
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Crayton Gerst

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Negative wins interpretation that the affirmative must operate using declared laws and that "showed showwork" must present previous enough ground to base on in depth debate of the model or method.

Room: 1580
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Sanchez, Gabriel

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep BM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Justin Burns	28.3	4
2	Jack Martin	28.4	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Westside LR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Aymen Labidi	29.3	1
1	Rohith Raman	29.0	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westside LR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think the neg is winning the ontology debate. The aff doesn't really contest any of the neg's examples or provide an adequate defense of the contingency of violence. The aff conceding FW means they don't get to weigh your aff or offense since ~~all~~ all the affs offense relies on state reformism.

Room: 1462
Start: 10:00
CX

4

McFarland, Tracy

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Team Name	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Garrett Holzwarth	28.4	4
2	Zach Huffman	28.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Team Name	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Trey Gutierrez	29	1
1	Dylan Scott	29	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Hendrickson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Gray

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: under ZAR framing of vote for best idea,

neg wins b/c cap = good -

sustainability debate - desire collapse cap ≠ enough
investment time + warrants
means merit.

ZAR - ~~was~~ "we ① why ②" - & answer how resolve
climate chg happen" the impacts to cap &

ZNR b/c reasons T/ suffering but more important

LAR/ZAR needs more on the death debate - i.e.

AT ZNR Patterson can't truly come into being if
dead, etc. - otherwise no LAR/

ZAR judge instruction on how to compare ①

Room: 1355
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Willis, Walter

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Banquete WG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
(5)	Tia Whitman	27	4
(1)	Isabel Gonzalez	28.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Borden County ISD CG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Madi Cole (2)	27.5	2
	Katie Gray (1)	28.3	3

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Banquete WG
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Walter Willis

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I do think aff is opening the door to a system by not showing until after the AC. Btw - this is not decisive, this is about access to evidence. I do think that the AC does not win the VA advantage, by the aff's own evidence, and the type of recruits necessary for war advantage could change interstate rule & probably work for aff because INC dropped case. Aff should have answered some of aff's arguments on Neg arguments.

Cl outwrote everything, even if Neg was counting things. More of Neg's things in to Cl. Neg should have made arguments here, it was not a good advantage to them.

Room: 1348
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Marriott-Voss, M

LC /

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE


POS	LC Anderson KL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Ron Kiehn	28.4	3
	Maxton Lynch	28.7	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Reagan EM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jocelyn Epstein	28.5	2
	Tashya Makani	28.3	4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Reagan
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: Yes

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CP solves the aff
all 2AR offense is new
1/1 risk of the net ben
is enough

Room: 1577
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Chen, Yao Yao

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Coppell AK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Vanuli Arya	28.5	2
1	Arnav Kashyap	28.6	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Heights PW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Alex Poll	28.0	4
1	Thomas Williams	28.0	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Coppell AK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: case + ZAC edu. disads
du procedural fairness

ZNR needs more impact
explanation and more LBL/refutation

Room: 1374
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Shen, Jack

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Greenhill KW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Joshua Kwon	28.6	4
2	Timothy Wegener	28.9	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Hebron BL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Xain Bhagwandin	28.7	3
2	Aly Lalani	29.3	1

The better debating was done by the neg from Hebron
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

2106 - go straight down instead of hepping around

~~Greenhill~~ 1

neg wins ontology/link/FW. PIC irrelevant. ~~Rein~~
- ~~AIK~~

RFP owl,

Room: 1371
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Lipton, Adam

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep CR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Kyle Cribbins	28.4	2
	Joshua Ramos	28.1	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Westwood BG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Vineeth Bandi	28.2	3
	Aaron Greisdorf	28.4	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from WESTWOOD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

PIC @ THE AFF.

Room: 1442
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Chen, Sean

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Hebron KL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Sunil Krish	29.4	1
1	Gavin Loyd	29.2	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Lovejoy SM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Tajvir Singh	28.2	4
1	Andrew Mao	28.4	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Hebron
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff is a good idea, best for subject
formation, better model for debate.

Room: 1554
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Alaniz, Jose

La /

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

GRADY, L.

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	La Vernia NE	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Brandon Niday	30	1
1	Josh Elsik	30	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Lampasas KM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Sena Kucukkarca	30	3
1	McKenzie McLendon	28	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from La Vernia
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Kendin Cruz

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

INC - didn't seem to really practice!
know what the K was saying
as you were reading it

AC - nicely structured & set up - super clear
and easy for me to follow

This plan was not abusive or multi-step. It's simply removing
cooperation w/ prosecution in the T-Visa process

The horse trading DA was a bit of a wash for
me.

This was a good K to run - linked well, but the Aff.
was able to solve for these immigrants just as weak passive?
helpless victims

RFD: AFF wins on T - they are reducing restrictions by reducing the
steps in the T-visa process

Aff also reduces the interaction w/ the state/soc. that
Neg is saying is a negative oppressive white male system.

Room: 1324
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Goyal, Ritik

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Woodlands MR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Keagan Mirazee	29	1
1	Aakash Reddy	28.9	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Greenhill RT	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Ethan Rothstein	28.8	3
1	Shaurya Tathgir	28.7	4

Aff Neg
5:33 3:42
1:26 3:35

The better debating was done by the Aff from Woodlands
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Rh. Beul

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff wins question of ontology/metaphysics/blackness o/w
a fair/limited topic. Lack of explanation of a TVA,
concession of case debate

In/round/oral

Scout

IAC: Onto-terror

INC: TUSFL, T Immigration

ZNR: TUSFL

Room: 1559
Start: 10:00
CX

4

De La Rosa, Bobb

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Reagan CV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Anna Chavez	30	1
1	Jamie Volz	29.8	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Harlingen South VG	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Christian Villarreal	28.6	3 rd
1	Ramiro Gonzalez	28.2	4 th

The better debating was done by the AFF from Reagan CV
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Bobb De La Rosa

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG Fails to extend interp on Framework
AFF wins full access of counter interp
① of Chicano Psy violence outweighs

Room: 1563
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Baez, Alejandro

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Kinkaid HK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Amy Ho	29	1
	Dani Knobloch	28.9	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Princeton BD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Eden Burke	28.5	4
	Taylor Dougherty	28.6	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Kinkaid
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff ok the DA - cp & solve the
aff - Low Risk of Infrastructure DA.

Room: 1511
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Ribera, Claudia

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Dulles YP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Robert Yang	28.2	3 4
2	Shaan Parekh	28.3	4 3

NEGATIVE

POS	Lindale JS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Zachary Jones	28.4	2
2	Joshua Smith	28.5	1

The better debating was done by the Aff from Dulles YP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: Claudia Ribera

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1 vote aff on conds.

Room: 1372
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Lingel, Dan

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Reagan DL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Idalina Du	28.3	4
2	Andy Liu	28.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Hendrickson YD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Joseph Yeh	28.4	2
1	David Do	28.3	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Reagan DL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Hky Everyone - More specific clash and more direct engagement of the other sides arguments. You all just talked down a page in ZNC then IAR. Also watch drops by other team - Neg misses an easy victory path on case arguments.

A / N
4:42 / 2:51
1:30

AFF wins case outweighs and turns the kritik and proves perim solvency.

Room: 1344
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Holmes, Davy

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Houston Memorial ZS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Bailey Zhang	28.1	3
2	Diana Salha	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Mt. Pleasant CT	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Connelly Cowan	28.6	1
1	Taryn Thurman	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from MT. PLEASANT CT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think the CP solves a substantial portion of the case, and it avoids any risk of the disad.

I don't think the aff makes a big enough distinction between what the plan ^{does} and ^{what the} counterplan does. The CP likely solves advantage 2 because announcement solves.

Room: 1353
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Johnson, Clark

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Liberal Arts and Scie EW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jackson Eckel	29.1	1
2	Kate Wang	28.9	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep MW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jack Madden	28.8	3
1	Pete Weigman	28.7	4

The better debating was done by the

ATG
AFF or NEG

from

LASA
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: ① Perm of, ③ enough alt
Kovel EV & specific enough 42 perm
p explain how alt ③ psychic violence

Room: 1556
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Yang, Elmer

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Morton Ranch VL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Melanie Viesca	28.2	4
2	Hector Luviano	28.3	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Hendrickson KV	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Bekla Kouakou	28.6	1
1	Victoria Vidaurri	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Hendricks on KV
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Elmer Yang

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A: Good clear speed
speak up & project - you know this Aff! Show it
You should speak more in Cross-x, this
shown in CX of INC but barely in CX of
IAC - as partners, you need to trust each other.
IAR needs to do case list, extend your offense
which you can then weight it

2A: I like your passion & knowledge but trust
your partners to answer & argue - it'll
pay off long term.
Don't forget to extend your case
Reas. arg. needs to be explained better

1N: Good selection of off case
Answer case in INC, don't sandbag, IAR
Speak up, be confident in answer

If you're reading Dis. Theory - need a briefline -
saying "the Aff" could mean anything

2N: Effic. Neg blocks -
I think all Impact on should be done @ top for
a smoother LBL.
Give a case list of what Aff's CLE allows

RFD: I vote Neg b/c Aff's model of debate destroys ground & stasis point for
clash which is a terminal pedagogical impact to debate. The TVA resolves
a sufficient amount of the Aff but Case isn't extended so I default Neg
on Presumption

Room: 1507
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Kilpatrick David

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Coppell BR		
2	Vishvak Bandi	28.3	3
1	Rahul Rajamani	28.2	4


NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Elkins RS		
1	Kirthivel Ramesh	28.4	2
2	Anik Shah	28.5	1

The better debating was done by the


NEG from Elkins
AFF/NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☐

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg @ John the Core - Aff
Needs more 2AR focus
on Wore-tes



Room: 1560
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Morrow, Cody

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE


POS	Hendrickson CF	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1A	Alejandro Castaneda_Zuni	28.5	3
2A	Mahnoor Faheem	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Greenhill CW	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2N	Sam Charnes	28.6	1
1N	Alex Witheiler	28.4	4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Greenhill CW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Good Debate

RFID: I think the op's all of the case and avoids the geographical inequality NB. The Siskind ev. does not mention why nurses would go to rural areas.

Room: 1504
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Garcia, Andrew

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Wylie QS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Christine Quinto	28.6	2
2	Megan Snopik	28.7	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Lovejoy CL	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jack Czyz	28.5	4
2	Mitchell Laman	28.5	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from WYLLIE QS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: ALG

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

IZFD: NEG WINS RISK OF
QUEER HOMOPHOBICISM L/ ON
SET. CL BUT CONCEDES BOLD
OF BUILT! SEQUENCING DA
TO NEG METHOD. NO ! TRIZING IN
2ND = RISK OF AFF AFFECT GOOD
FOR DEBATE SPACE.

Room: 1347
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Conner, Aiden

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Reagan GP	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	David Gutierrez	29	2
1	Kathryn Pham	29.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Elkins IJ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Samir Idrees	28.4	4
2	Joshua John	28.9	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Reagan
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Aiden Conner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Oral

Room: 1401
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Shore, Sam
LC

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Hightower TS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Kevin Tran	27.4	43 SS
1	Hemant Sai	27.3	44 SS

NEGATIVE

POS	LC Anderson CM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Brett Cryan	29.8	1
1	Purav Maheshwari	29.7	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from LC ANDERSON
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: of solves aff + NB's of any risk of residual solving
defeat.

5:06
7:28

Room: 1502
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Brannen, Christo

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Robert Vela ZS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Thalia Zuniga	29	3
	Ethan Salinas	29	4

NEGATIVE

POS	London SA	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Matthew Sun	29	2
	Emily Abrego	30	1

The better debating was done by the WLB from London SA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Al B

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

LPD: Today you get one of my rare (D) voters.
I buy that NE is not involved in legal
immigration & so falls outside of a reasonable
reform for this case. Even if I didn't buy
(G) I'd buy the CP because I'm defaulting
reasonability with no Aff framework.
Neg does a good job marginalizing the
Aff's white supremacy impact. The cross/
human trafficking impact on Neg outweigh.

Room: 1350
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Bartlett, Austin

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep HM	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Riler Holcombe	28.5	2
1	Jack Moore	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Barbers Hill MD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Wesley Mosley	28	3
1	Gustavo De_Toni_Uchoa	28	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Jesuit College Prep
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Not enough work on the case debate coming out of the ZNR
for me to evaluate it means I grant the aff full weight.
First that means they have better access to climate solvency
due to Trump regulation rollbacks on the infrastructure flow
means no ! to the DA so there's no offense there.
Second, I think it's reasonably well explained we should
talk about material benefits from the aff while there's
not enough work on the framing debate from the neg.
Since there's virtually no work on explaining the aff
I can't really figure out a way to give the neg an
offensive reason as to how they spillup from the round.
The 1 card about ballots in the ZNC + the the ZNR
is far too little. Looking at the link debate the aff accepts
they're cap but has a bunch of reasons why cap is good!

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Hightower GK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Isabella Gandara	28	3
1	Snehal Kumar	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Louis E. Brandeis BO	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Henry Barajas	28	4
2	Akruti Obhrai	28	1

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Louis E Brandeis BO
~~Henry Barajas~~
TEAM OR CONTESANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Collapsing on T for the 2NR + 2AR ~~as~~ * lower not with:

Fairness first warrants in the 2NR show that I need to vote for the 12 topnotation that controls the internal link to fairness

2AR does not engage with the underlimiting warrants of the 2NR well, but 2NR doesn't really do much in legal precision either.

Although all goes for predictable limits - this is only good in so much as those limits are narrow enough to control the breadth of the topic. As such, I think that the neg controls the internal link to fairness and I vote there.

Room: 1366
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Stolte, Preston

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Reagan BL		
	Rema Bhat	28.4	2
	Emma Li	28.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Dripping Springs VM		
	Varun Verma	27.9	4
	Mason Mohon	28.1	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Reagan
AFF for NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

vok aff on perm

Room: 1349
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Coltzer, William

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Liberal Arts and Scie IJ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Sruthi Ilangovan	28.1	2
	Monica Jones	28.1	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Katy RN	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Ethan Ross	28.7	3
	Mark Nicholson	28.5	4

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from LASA IJ
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Diseases are real and presenting them as existential is a 12 million
people could solve them. Therefore, the affs security res are good which also the
low risk of the link being the aff. Indisputably, the neg better 2NR extended
others and the good defense that was on the 1NR.

Room: 1533
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Bonnette, Bonnie

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Donna VA	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2A	Amanda Valle	29	3
1A	Brianna Alvarez	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Tuloso-Midway JX	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1N	Vada Janak	30	1
2N	Jennifer Xac	30	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Tuloso-Midway JX
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Open CX does not mean the speaker does not answer any questions & partner answers all - let your partner speak!

2A - many of your arguments as response to 1N are nonresponsive or have tenuous links to their arguments
- Be sure you know the names of war you base case on
- Pay attention to current events & don't contradict yourself in CX
Green cards are LPR - therefore I can't vote on topicality despite the Aff drops in standard & grand

I appreciate the clarification and reasonable speed of all 4 debaters
1A - you can't address arguments that were previously dropped
I am not going to vote on most of the drops pointed out by both sides - it became a muddled mess in the 2A specifically. Give clear votes, please!

RFD

I vote Neg on Solvency and Texas Budget DA. Aff is unable to give any reason why their plan will actually solve for hate crimes. Turn in Texas Budget DA on economic harms to Texas & Mexico was dropped by Aff & was significant in round!

Room: 1346
Start: 10:00
CX

4 O'Bannon, Camero

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Winston Churchill DA	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2A	Norman Davis	26.5	4
1A	Ralph Anderson	28.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Central GK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1N	Alesandra Gutierrez	28	2
2N	Bailey King	27	3

6:04

The better debating was done by the AFF from CHURCHILL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG SHOULD READ THEIR ZNC PROCEDURALS IN THE INC.

RFD: I THINK THAT THE 4(?) PROCEDURALS
NEG EXTENDS ARE NOT WELL ENOUGH
EXPLAINED TO WARRANT A NEG BALLOT -
ALSO AFF DOES AN ADEQUATE^{JOB} ANSWERING.
ALSO, PERM (S), (K) ISN'T COMPETITIVE.

Room: 1326
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Ramsey, Alec

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Winston Churchill MB	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Alexandra Myers	28.8	4
	Benjamin Brody	29.1	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Jesuit College Prep AK	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Ashik Amar	28.9	3
	Logan Kim	29	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: 1558
Start: 10:00
CX

4

Erdman, Julian

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

6:15

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Houston Memorial AD	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Isabel An	28.4	2
1	Olivia Du	28.6	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Princeton JR	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Alexis Jones	26.8	4
1	Hannah Rodriguez	27.1	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Houston Memorial AD
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ZAC - would have liked case outweighs on the top of the DA's to get some early impact comparisons. I don't recall you signposting to answer the solvency takeout in the ZAC. Make sure you address those arguments. I would read shock on the solv takeout & then cross-apply it to the DA flow. IAR - Y'all live & die on EBS renewed thumper. You should have that evidence cut for politics scenarios, good evidence comparison & comparative analysis.

INL - Need to update UQ on Int Tix, 2020, 2020 & Badlish contradict I wouldn't run them together. May want to consider T or more case. ZNL - Need to work on organization. You read the infrastructure overview for the 2020 DA. Makes the flow confusing. Need more substance in ZNL. Need to answer & extend impact on Infrastructure DA's. Need better knowledge of your arguments & how they function. INR - good analysis but you fail to compare to your opponents evidence & explain how your card answers their card. Biggest instance of this is the Elehan v Davis argument on econ decline & interdependence. ZNR - You have to extend a no impact with your nan-ug to help mitigate the offense when you win the DA. If not you are now conceding essentially 3 more Adv's for the Aff in this round.

Ladies - y'all seem super apathetic at times. Especially during CX. Perceptually you don't look like you want to be here. Need to work on this. This game should be fun. Be confident with "ohay-sure" during CX comes off as dismissive.

RFD: Aff case dictates all offense.

Aff link turns the Neg DA's.