

Room: 1373
Start: 430
CX

3

Crady, Leslie

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Midway BW		
	Stephen Bender	29	3
	Benjamin White	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	North Lamar HH		
	Garrett Holzwarth	30	2
	Zach Huffman	30	1

The better debating was done by the Neg. from North Lamar
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg did a great job of executing their CP which I feel neg did not do a sufficient job of attacking, and as the neg said could not provide warrants to counter the Diversity DA

Topicality was mostly a wash for me. both sides make arguments about abuse & fairness - so again wash.

Neg wins Diversity Visas DA,
Infrastructure DA wins the timeframe for me.

PFD: I felt that the neg did a better job upholding their counter plan and executing most of the DA.

Room: 1320
Start: 430
CX

3

Williams, Gabriele

La

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1A	Prosper RW Jordan Ramsey	28	2
2A	Addison Wellenberger	28.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2N	La Vernia NE Brandon Niday	27.5	3
1N	Josh Elsik	27	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Prosper
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Devin Williams

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A
- 1AC was fine, decent aff
- Focus less on case

1N
- Have your 1NC prepared, you shouldn't need that much prep
- Kitchen isn't a link, it's a root cause

2A
- Good answers on cap
- Maybe spend less time on case since it's conceded

2N
- Don't let the 1A control CX. Stop them and press them on their aff
- You need to explain the alt, not just re-read the card

RFD: I vote Aff, case is conceded, I and Cap debates are wash

Room: 1507
Start: 430
CX

3

Stolte, Preston

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Elkins RS		
	Kirthivel Ramesh	28.2	3
	Anik Shah	28.1	9

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Guyer IM		
	Misbah Imtiaz	28.5	1
	Hasan Mubarak	28.4	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Guyer
AFF of NEG TEAM OF CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

APP $\emptyset$ answer flocking PIK

Room: 1515
Start: 430
CX

3

Holland, Justin

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Louis E. Brandeis BO		
2	Henry Barajas	28	2
1	Akruti Obhrai	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Hightower TS		
1	Kevin Tran	28	3
2	Hemant Sai	29	1

The better debating was done by the AFF from Louis E. Brandeis
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1 AC slow down. ^{because of your speed} I cannot understand most of what you are saying. ~~slow~~ slow down on tag lines

2 start off slower and let me get used to your rate

1 NK Please specify standards in ①
slow down on the oncase
✓ Look into turning racism against natives
the moving target argument is weak
Make sure to say late for neg
2 NK Be sure to work on the flow of your arguments and summarizing at the end of the points
You dropped the case during the 1 NK

~~①~~ ~~②~~ ~~③~~ I wanted on speed and there were points I could not understand because of speed in

The Aff also ~~refuted~~ refuted the links to the DA's 9's

The Aff won ① on substantial ① on Legal immigration
The neg dropped their case arguments in the 2 NK and the aff turned these same arguments
The Aff also turned framework beating the (DA's) All stocks still stand

Room: 1347
Start: 430
CX

3

Lucas, Anna

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Elkins IJ		
2A	Samir Idrees	27	4
1A	Joshua John	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Melissa GS		
1N	Anna Gressett	30	1
2N	Alex Sullivan	29.5	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Melissa
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

INC - good

[2AC] too much time on FW
women 11 - education
ofw but
their fairness
is owed by true
equity. ~~ofw~~
their fairness is
privileged
Fem k is rooted in
"all lines matter"
maybe??
+ creates a livable
fantasy -->
is the alt anti-blackness
loyd cards make it
seem so...
if the case you needed
to be making those
cross-applications in
the 2AC & answers
also you should've had
blocks prepared for
gender @ minimum
the Plk/Plk also uses we
in second piece of ev
→ should've specified
where we doesn't/has
become acceptable
+ yield

[2NC]

good - except
be careful to
say us or we
in o/v or explain
when it is or isn't
discursively acceptable
[contextualize ev]

[1NR]

good
→ except
I want to
hear why
hegemony frames
racial violence

[1AR]

case-missing important
answers! you need to
justify why using a
broader lens accesses
education / solency
better than alt

[2NR]

good but your 2NC
was better → your
organization isn't
100% perfect
(you become kind
of repetitive)
w/ root cause
debate & V/L/T

[2AR]

the alt isn't
contextualized to women

the answer FW DAs in
2NC sufficiently
↓ answers

Spectab - + Carecrad activity
Decision: Plk or k
→ state-endog

Neg
→ Kritik ③ ① → answered
sequences round when
imperial
+ after acknowledging
root cause

① about subjectivity
takeout AF ② & are used
offensively turn 2NR
→ AF & answer them

[Patriarchy] must
be a starting
point in method

↓
just talking about
women

answer to grammar prereg
could be that yell try
purposefully to rearticulate
the grammar of the ~~the~~
res → ① turn, don't
just concede

Room: 1375
Start: 430
CX

3

Hutchinson, Will

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Liberal Arts and Scie PS		
	Ian Poe	29	3
	Adam Scott	29	2

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Winston Churchill AV		
	Gloria Alcala	28.9	4
	Gaby Valdez	29.2	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Winston Churchill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: W Hutchinson

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff fetishizes sexual violence + doesn't have much offense

Room: 1472
Start: 430
CX

3

Coltzer, William

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Borger BN		
	Pearson Bailey	28.3	4
2A	Lars Nelson	28.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Wylie QS		
	Christine Quinto	28.8	2
	Megan Snopik	28.9	1

The better debating was done by the neg from Wylie QS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The neg has a parallel impact for which is unresponsive to
external effects that the aff doesn't have any defense. Aff has
been but doesn't think is needed as its just an impact for
debate.

Room: 1377
Start: 430
CX

3

Lingel, Dan

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Reagan LV		
2	Tai Le	28.3	3
1	Anand Valavalkar	28.4	2

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Liberal Arts and Scie GR		
2	Danielle Gu	28.5	1
1	Dhruv Ruttala	28.3	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Reagan
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: Yes DL

Signed: Dan Lingel

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF wins no Q to DA so perm solves and
case framework answers the rest.
Wow ZNR let this one get away.

Room: 1560
Start: 430
CX

3

Abdelhady-Khalil

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Greenhill CW		
	Sam Charnes	28.7	3
	Alex Witheiler	28.8	2

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Liberal Arts and Scie IJ		
	Sruthi Ilangoan	28.9	1
	Monica Jones	28.6	4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Liberal Arts and Science
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Neg's limits DA o/w's and turns the APP'S
precision impact.

Room: 1442
Start: 430
CX

3

McCullough, Hunt

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Lovejoy SM		
1	Tajvir Singh	28.4	1
2	Andrew Mao	28.1	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jesuit College Prep BM		
2	Justin Burns	28	4
1	Jack Martin	28.2	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Lovejoy
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: H. Hunt

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff wins that reps-focused debates are bad, link defense
to the deterrence bad IC

Room: 1326
Start: 430
CX

3

Kilpatrick David

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jesuit College Prep AK		
	Ashik Amar	28.5	4
	Logan Kim	28.6	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Woodlands MR		
	Keagan Mirazee	29	2
	Aakash Reddy	29.1	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Woodlands
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg wins Linw to (X) o/h &!

turn n case

Flwks not particularly relevant
bc Neg wins ! turn to the aff
method

~~_____~~

Room: 1556
Start: 430
CX

3

Ribera, Claudia

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE			NEGATIVE			
POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS	POS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Hendrickson KV				Coppell AK	
	Bekla Kouakou	28.4	3		Vanuli Arya	29.0 2
	Victoria Vidaurri	28.3	34		Arnav Kashyap	29.2 1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Coppell AK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Claudia Ribera

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: Ask status in CX!

Neg: I think you can flesh out more offense in 2NR.

Decision: I vote negative on the CP. The NB was conceded and was pretty much extended throughout the debate. This also means it's a turn to the aff + has conceded defense against both volokh + Cox evidence. Ultimately, the aff is too defensive in the 2AR. I have no warrant as to why Aff wins the perm when conceding the net benefit, Sperhain evidence, turns, and NCAA W.

Room: 1324
Start: 430
CX

3

~~Courville, Jason~~

Mueller, E.

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE


POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Greenhill RT		
	Ethan Rothstein	28.5	1
	Shaurya Tathgir	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Winston Churchill MB		
	Alexandra Myers	28	3
	Benjamin Brody	28	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from CHILLI
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ZAC well argued.
2NL: ~~ANSWER~~ ANSWER LBL more clearly. Reorganized is systematic.
It seems like ZAC ANSWERS are not all covered. Don't speak
to groups of answers unless you identify them for answers.
1NR Good organization. Choose one & better off case. Develop ⊕
more effectively.

Room: 1509
Start: 430
CX

3

Ramsey, Alec

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE				NEGATIVE			
POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS	POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jesuit College Prep HT				Liberal Arts and Scie EW		
	Adam Hall	28.3	4		Jackson Eckel	29	1
	Chris Tran	28.7	3		Kate Wang	28.9	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: ☒

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

term 5 neg offense

Room: 1577
Start: 430
CX

3

Marriott-Voss, M

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS

Heights PW

Alex Poll

Thomas Williams

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

28.5

3

28.4

4

NEGATIVE

POS

Coppell RD

Shreyas Rajagopal

Het Desai

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

29.3

2

29.4

1

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Coppell
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg wins that first as
a model is bad. 1AR, 2AR
conceded they were losing

Room: 1354
Start: 430
CX

3

Iyer, Subbu

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Westwood BS		
	Vikas Burugu	28.9	1
	Daniel Shi	28.8	2

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Hebron KL		
	Sunil Krish	28.7	3
	Gavin Loyd	28.6	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Westwood
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

~~Westwood~~
(!) turns Fw

Room: 1401
Start: 430
CX

3

Stearns, Christo
LC /

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	LC Anderson CM		
1A	Brett Cryan	28.2	2
2A	Purav Maheshwari	27.8	4

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Coppell BR		
1N	Vishvak Bandi	28.4	1
2N	Rahul Rajamani	27.9	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Coppell BR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

CD

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

- whisper during opponent's speeches
- pick what to read as the answers

Neg

- If you're not fast enough, pick policy OR K before the debate.

Chill Out!!!

- Don't spend 8 min on K
- DAs on FW don't link
- 2NC was so block heavy it hurt

RFD: I vote neg on FW. I don't think the aff has an form of productive subject formation.

Room: 1372
Start: 430
CX

3

McFarland, Tracy

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Hendrickson YD		
	Joseph Yeh	28.4	1
	David Do	28.3	2

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Clear Springs PH		
	Jordan Pavelko	27.5 28.0	4
	Kevin Huang	27.5	3

The better debating was done by the Aff. from Hendrickson.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Tracy McFarland

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: While the neg's instructs to contest the (F) of securitizing immigrants ~~mean~~ are in the right direction, you need to more systematically engage threats are real — + have w. to prove that. And block needs to answer de-dev. as well the permutation.

Room: 1447
Start: 430
CX

3

Gorman, Ryan

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE				NEGATIVE			
POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS	POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Kinkaid PP				Reagan DL		
2A	Spencer Powers	29	1	1N	Idalina Du	28.5	4
1A	Sahar Punjwani	28.6	3	2N	Andy Liu	28.7	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Kinkaid
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff wins that they meet their c/I. Neg also fails to articulate clearly why I should prefer their limits (which seem broad) and is characterizing Aff limits too broadly (fx vs). I think the bottom-line is that Aff meets Tassoff (sufficiently) and that is the most contextual definition. If Neg had developed clearer reasons to prefer their limits, could've pushed back on predictability framing.

A
226

N
241
300

Room: 1512
Start: 430
CX

3

Johnson, Clark

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Midway JK		
1	Gawon Jo	28.1	4
2	Ivy Koh	28.3	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jesuit College Prep CR		
2	Kyle Cribbins	28.6	1
1	Joshua Ramos	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Dal. Jesuit.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

KFD: Neg winning ROB & mult perms bad
- conceded fiat bad
- strong answer to regulation
of movement link in ZAR

Room: 1368
Start: 430
CX

3

Wilczynski, Soph

J.

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jesuit College Prep FJ		
	Giovannie Ferrer-Falto	29.4	1
	Ethan Jackson	29.2	2

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	J. W. Nixon AB		
	Daniella Aguirre	28.5	4
	Angie Bravo	28.6	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Jesuit FJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Conceded ~~OT~~ OT's → say probably
solves K/eff impo, & also transition
wars are probably worse than 8yo

Room: 1366
Start: 430
CX

3

DiPiazza, Philip

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE				NEGATIVE			
POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS	POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Dripping Springs VM				Westwood DL		
	Varun Verma	27.5	4		Shea Dombrowski	27.5	1
	Mason Mohon	27.5	3		Hannah Liu	27.5	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Westwood
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff doesn't contest the link to the Neoliberalism (IC) - workers are exploited as surplus labor. This creates a new mechanism for dehumanization, which turns the Aff. Gibson-Graham gets no explanation in the debate beyond the tagline extension: "conceiving Cap as a monolith makes it impossible to defeat." Penn is incoherent, Aff is a pre-reg.

I will note that Neg didn't do a spectacular job of explaining the IC, - ~~but~~ but lack of Aff answers is difficult to ~~ignore~~ ignore.

Room: 1371
Start: 430
CX

3

Morrow, Cody

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Westwood BG		
2A	Vineeth Bandi	28.5	2
1A	Aaron Greisdorf	28.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Greenhill RH		
2N	Nikhil Ratnam	28.5	1
1N	Sophia Hurst	28.5	3 CM

The better debating was done by the Neg from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: procedural fairness comes before structural fairness. Discussing restrictions while omitting hypothetical gov. action does not afford the negative core resolutional ground. The ~~neg~~ captures the Fairness debate

Room: 1374
Start: 430
CX

3

Lipton, Adam

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Hebron BL		
	Xain Bhagwandin	28.5	2
	Aly Lalani	28.0	1

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Hendrickson CF		
	Alejandro Castaneda_Zuni	28.3	3
	Mahnoor Faheem	28.0	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from HEBRON
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

No Terminal Impact to Framework

ZAR JMS POLITICS HAS NO ABILITY FOR
"PROGRESS" OUTSIDE AFF'S FRAMEWORK

Room: 1531
Start: 430
CX

3

Chen, Yao Yao

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Westwood KY		
1	Ananth Kumar	28.7	2
2	Jeffrey You	28.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Reagan CV		
1	Anna Chavez	28.8	1
2	Jamie Volz	28.6	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from WW KY
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: YES

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: extensive o/w the K :C

Room: 1315
Start: 430
CX

3

Vaziri, Cameron

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Leander CT		
1	Sofia Childress	28.3	3
2	Benjamin Townsend	27.8	4

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Kinkaid HK		
1	Amy Ho	28.9	2
2	Dani Knobloch	29	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from KINKAID
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

(K) QW Aff + (S) FOR NECESSITY OF AFF.
AFF SUFFERS MAJOR CASE TURN ON EXPLOITATION
OF SEX WORKERS TO MAINTAIN VISA STATUS.

Room: 1510
Start: 430
CX

3

O'Brien, Chris

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Pebble Hills LG		
2A	Bryan Liston	27.2	4
VA	Audrey Gutierrez	27.3	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Winston Churchill DA		
1A	Norman Davis	28.4	2
2A	Ralph Anderson	28.7	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Churchill DA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

REF

Although the neg block strategy was questionable, the arguments were decided to go far still outweigh the aff. Not near enough work done on case off-case position in the case, + case is too behind at that point. Case extends clear winners on case + case with ~~Base~~ Base.

Room: 1352
Start: 430
CX

3

Baez, Alejandro

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jesuit College Prep GN		
	Jack Griffiths	29	1
	Garret Nagorzanski	28.9	2

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Tuloso-Midway BR		
	Jenna Barrera	28.5	4
	Joann Robledo	28.6	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Jesuit
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affow DA - low to no P513

Room: 1351
Start: 430
CX

3

De La Rosa, Bobb

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Andrews SB		
2	Journey Sais	28.7	1
1	Jordan Barton	28.4	2

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Central KG		
2	Hannah Kim	27.8	3
1	Davian Garcia	27.6	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Andrews SB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Bobb De La Rosa

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Too many drops on the framework flow
AFF is A prior question to the resolution
NEG has ground
Fairness is not a voter
AFF increases education

Anzaldua indict ≠ go far enough
CAP Good isn't effectively extended

Room: 1322
Start: 430
CX

3

Achtsam, Bensen

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Ridge Point SV		
1A	Nyima Sanneh	26.5	4
2A	Apoorva Verghese	27.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Heights AG		
2N	Alfonso Arreola	27.5	2
1N	Tavia Gabrysch	28.0	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Heights
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Ben Achtsam

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CX was a little too aggressive from both sides forme.

① doesn't have any voters in 2NR so will not evaluate in this round.

Cap ② flows neg. Neg wins transition wars & collapse inevitable so
the choice is facism or death if I don't vote for Alf.

APP does good job of explaining story & ①s but comes too
late to be weighed.

Room: 1369
Start: 430
CX

3

Sanchez, Rafael

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS Round Rock BT

Arvind Balaji

Akhsheiy Tangutur

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

28.5

1

27.4

2

NEGATIVE

POS

Donna VA

Amanda Valle

Brianna Alvarez

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

28

4

28.3

3

The better debating was done by the off from Round Rock 13T
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Lack of an explanation of why T is a voter makes
it really hard for me to vote on T
absent T, turns only defense on case so its try or die for
off

Room: 1559
Start: 430
CX

3

Sanchez, Gabriel

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE			NEGATIVE		
POS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS	POS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
Harlingen South VG			Jesuit College Prep HM		
Christian Villarreal	4	27.9	Riler Holcombe	2	29.5
Ramiro Gonzalez	3	28.5	Jack Moore	1	29.6

The better debating was done by the Neg from Jesuit HM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The ~~aff~~ ^{aff} doesn't properly extend any Link defenses as to why they don't Link to their own demo promo advantage. Conceded demo promo offense means that all the neg has to do is win a slight risk until is good. The aff doesn't do a good job in defending FW in the 2ar and was nonexistent in the 1ar.

Room: 1350
Start: 430
CX

3

Shen, Jack

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Barbers Hill MD		
	Wesley Mosley	27.8	4
	Gustavo De_Toni_Uchoa	27.9	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Hebron HP		
	Sameer Haq	28.4	2
	Krish Patel	28.5	1

The better debating was done by the neg from Hebron HP
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1AC CX - don't spot links to neg arguments - such as defending material relief for black people.
1NC - AB & RW?
2AC - RW has no offense. have an interpretation.
1NR - deal at everything on overview.
1AR - don't drop TVH / other framework triptk;

Voted neg, decided that competitive early and testing. ~~outweigh~~
are reasons for why Framework is good, since that the aff didn't have
an interp.

Room: 1462
Start: 430
CX

3

Harrison, Bennet

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Hendrickson GS		
1	Trey Gutierrez	28.7	2
2	Dylan Scott	28.8 28.4	1

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Alief Kerr LG		
2	Mike Ly	28.2	3
1	Hannah Ghebreul	28.1	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Hendrickson
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Bennet Harrison

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A	N
7.23	2.30
4.52	2.17

Aff Aw and TI the cap @.

Room: 1558
Start: 430
CX

3

Mithani, Aly

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Princeton JR		
2	Alexis Jones	27.8	3
1	Hannah Rodriguez	27.7	4

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Liberal Arts and Scie CU		
2	Katherine Chacon	29.3	1
1	Roman Ugarte	29.2	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from LASA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Aly Mithani

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: ~~Topic killing~~ C/I is imprecise and explodes
topic killing fairness and topic specific education.

ZAC needs less on T and more
on case (remittances) and oversight
DA (impact defense). Need to make
perms/solv deficits to delegation
CP.

Neither ZAC or IAR properly contends
with specificity of remittances turns.
IAR was almost a repetition of the
ZAC on T. When ZAC expands this
debate so much, more depth is needed.

Block could use more robust case debate.
Alt cause args on racism adv aren't
very useful.

IAR - soft left topic-specific impact to
corruption? Allows Trump more oppressive imm
policies?

Room: 1511
Start: 430
CX

3

Flores, Jose

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE				NEGATIVE			
POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS	POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Lindale JS				Houston Memorial AD		
2	Zachary Jones	30	2	1	Isabel An	29	4
		30	1			29.5	
1	Joshua Smith			2	Olivia Du		3

The better debating was done by the AFF from LINDALE JS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I REALLY LIKED BOTH TEAMS IN THIS DEBATE. GOOD JOB GUYS. HOWEVER, IN THIS DEBATE I'M VOTING FOR THE AFFIRMATIVE, AGAINST ABLEISM. ALTHOUGH, THE NEG DID A GOOD JOB OF RUNNING ATTACKS, I COULDN'T FIND ONE THAT I FELT TRUMPED THE AFF CASE/IMXs. I Bought the 47%.. I ALSO FELT THE AFF DID A GOOD JOB REFUTING NEG ATTACKS. I FEEL THE AFF PLAN IS THE BEST POLICY OPTION IN THE ROUND.

Room: 1554
Start: 430
CX

3

Stringer, Heathe

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Lampasas KM		
②	Sena Kucukkarca	29	1
①	McKenzie McLendon	26	4

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Morton Ranch VL		
②	Melanie Viesca	27	3
①	Hector Luviano	28	2

The better debating was done by the neg from Morton Ranch VL
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1A- You stumbled through the 1A quite a bit and refused to say "hacienda" the seven times it is in your case. I think this leaves ground for a K. You have a full 1:30 left in 1A. Maybe explain the benefit to the US??
- 1N- you are giving them a ton of ground in your flex prep. You are granting them LPR status, right? Why did you give them so much room for movement? I don't know that you chose the best strat. Your framework is on the resolution needs to enact a policy. They are -- technically -- ~~the~~ create a + - resident = human (animals freely cross borders all the time). CP- Create "safe environment" in Columbia - run US food shortage, space shortage - natural habitat.
- 2A- What about your case is satire? I feel like if you are actually being satirical, you don't need a plan text, or, your taglines are super overtagged - they speak to the legitimacy of a moral obligation to save the hippos (not all "nature").
- R&D: neg pulled in - round abuse as a result of lack of T. ab didn't do a good enough job proving T as unimportant.

1A- your we-meet is contradictory to your partner's response that satire is better.

Room: 1533
Start: 430
CX

3

Jennings, Nichol

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS Tuloso-Midway JX

2 Vada Janak

1 Jennifer Xac

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

28.6 3
28.5 4

NEGATIVE

POS

Reagan GP

1 David Gutierrez

2 Kathryn Pham

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

28.7 2
28.8 1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Reagan GP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: NO

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

© AFF - 4:37 1:21

1AR is Blippy and is trying to extend Args without answering the Blocks responses.

The aff shouldn't defend instrumental border removal it is too late after you agree to that in CX.

ZAR - Nice try to get this Nonsense of not defending loss of Remuneration your CX is Binding. Way too

RFD

ZAR is too little too late.
You don't get to Spike instrumental defense in the ZAR.

ROD: Test methodology is met by aff by ~~staying~~ saying State Bad but why does DA not meet?

I vote for the Terror DA.

NEG - 5:01 4:59

In the 1NC I thought the Best Strategy was Decol > FW > Case then the block kicked Decol. And I was okay because the ZAR was fantastic. Then the ZAR Drops FW.. and goes for the terror turns.

Room: 1346
Start: 430
CX

3

Jimenez, Valenti

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Central GK		
1	Alesandra Gutierrez	27	4
2	Bailey King	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	South Texas Acad BP		
2	Briana Boughter	29.5	1
1	Kush Patel	29.4	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from South TX Acad.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

T - this is the 1st time in along time that a neg T has some validity in the round. Aff. concedes this by placing a double bird on it T or DA link. Well, Neg chose T and w/ good reason. They presented a key def. that Aff. is Humanitarian aid and I agree. T Visa's became topical when the ZAR said that they are now making it a legal imm. issue. —

Well that just makes T flow ~~well~~ Neg.

I don't ever like to vote on T alone so

DA — gone w/ T

Solvency — The police enforcement was key to this. People are still going to be attracted (trama) and the Aff's response that its no reason to not try. Well, you just lost Solvency. Even a small impact is enough to say no to Aff. — However, Aff completely drops the modeling arg. About how our current time ds are to pull away from 4 Rts. — Aff totally depends on modeling and is now

Alesandra — slow down. Speak more to the judge. You are good at this, but is lost on you need to spread.

Room: 1348
Start: 430
CX

3

Schwerdtfeger, E

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Reagan EM		
1A	Jocelyn Epstein	28	2
2A	Tashya Makani	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Andrews FB		
2N	Sarai Flores	27.5	3
1N	Jakob Barton	26	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Reagan EM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg says some good stuff on the K flow, but their failure to engage w/ the "Epistemic Friction" framing means that I look to the Aff's method when assessing the K. Instead of arguing that the Aff is the better method of generating epistemic friction, the neg makes a bunch of generic "state but" args. As I don't see how the K and plan are mutually exclusive, as it's a negative state action.

Also, Neg drops the "cede the political" args until the 2NR.

Room: 1561
Start: 430
CX

3

Rizvi, Ali

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE			NEGATIVE		
POS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS	POS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
Dulles LS			Banquete WG		
Adrienne Li	28.5	1	Tia Whitman	28	4
Ashley Sheng	28.4	2	Isabel Gonzalez	28.1	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Dulles ~~LS~~ LS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF wins the Case.

Meg had a good understanding of theory structure.

Room: 1504
Start: 430
CX

3

Remaley, Mason

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS Lovejoy CL
2 Jack Czyz
1 Mitchell Laman

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

27
27

3
4

NEGATIVE

POS

Reagan BL

2 Rema Bhat

1 Emma Li

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

30
30

1
2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Reagan
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Reagan is a game first and foremost, which is flawed by his dominating drop on the 9 about the CP debate. Specifically, why did you think someone trying to take the ballot from you with a better reason for why the neg should win. Because of this I am forced to prioritize the game first before the plays in it. Moreover, the 9 was great answered adequately enough when he neg drops minutes of analysis on them. The only argument that the aff may have is this tactic is a fair game but that lacks a real argument for so to evaluate it/or at least one wasn't defended but I still feel even if I grant it the neg does enough work to pull through.

Room: 1355
Start: 430
CX

3

Cosio, Jake

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
Borden County ISD CG		
Madi Cole	27.5	4
Katie Gray	27.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
Houston Memorial ZS		
Bailey Zhang	28	1
Diana Salha	28	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Houston Memorial ZS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: John E

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think that both teams spend a lot of time dancing around important issues. However, here is the breakdown of my vote:

Theory: aff concedes in the 2AC. I don't think this is a particularly good strategy, but on a tech level it forces a neg ballot.

Base: Aff responses are defensive only. With no turns, this still leaves a risk to the DA.

ME CP: No perms. Only slight stalling claims, but not very persuasive.

Case: Neg really only puts defense here, so aff is ahead on this flow.

Overall, I think the CP sufficiently solves the aff with the net benefit of the risk of the ~~base~~ Base DA. So, I vote neg.

Room: 1552
Start: 430
CX

3

Cupo, Hazel

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
PSJA Southwest CG		
Samantha Ceccopieri	27.9	4
Ethan Greeno	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
Dulles YP		
Robert Yang	28.5	1
Shaan Parekh	28.3	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Dulles YP
AFF of NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Hazel Cupo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The aff just dropped so many pieces of offense. Entire sheets of paper went unanswered.

Infrastructure DA + Courts CP.
Tech solves food shortages.

Room: 1376
Start: 430
CX

3

Nix-Buckner, Kri

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	PSJA Southwest LS		
1	Sofia Leal	29	1
2	Mariano Salcedo	26	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Chapin DH		
1	Cody Drake	25	4
2	Jonah Hormel	26	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from PSJA Southwest LS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: K Nix-Buckner

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg, if you aren't prepared to discuss Net/Benefits, ~~how~~ why run a CP?
Note: Try not to split the Neg block w/ prep time.

Voting Aff on the Perm, No Net Benefit to the CP

Room: 1580
Start: 430
CX

3

Donald, Kandace

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS Westside LR
1AC Aymen Labidi
2AC Rohith Raman

POINTS
Max = 30
RANKS
29 2
27 3

NEGATIVE

POS Sudan MS
2NC Arissa Moore
1NC Makayla Serrano

POINTS
Max = 30
RANKS
30 1
26 4

The better debating was done by the NEG from Sudan MS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Kandace Donald

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Topicality: Immigrant-Someone with LPR Status. According to Neg, the violation is that the Aff deals w/ temporary entries as well. Aff does not fall to violation because 1AC is not advocating for temporary residency. Aff theory cards explaining why non-topical cases stand was not defeated by Neg offense.

Framework: Neg argues that norms are necessary + vote should be for presumption. Aff argues that K debate is well-developed + has become a norm. Aff maintains that K affs do not have to have real policy options.

Turn: Using leprosy as a metaphor for outsiders continues to reinforce the stigma attached to actual lepers. This increases violence + dehumanization to this marginalized group. This was a weak connection + "advances" ~~and~~ placed in danger by the metaphor could not be explained.

Solvency: Aff claims solvency for violence by rejecting norm + claims to solve mindsets by not implementing policy. However, Aff lacks an explanation as to how mindsets can be changed. Even if policy is responsible for violence, ignoring the issue will not change mindsets. The ontology argument stated that reformism can never work w/ the existence of "the other" mindset. I did not buy into Neg voter of education on this, but solvency is the entire drive behind ~~the~~ K aff. Ontology + Solvency go hand in hand.

CP was not a voting issue.

*Aff had the round until 2NR. The solvency flow got messy + solvency should be proven even without policy implementation. Explain how mindsets will change + violence

Room: 1508
Start: 430
CX

3

Chen, Sean

TFA CX DEBATE 2019
Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Alief Kerr EN		
1	Queen Eche	29.2	1
2	Hillary Nguyen	28.4	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Athens LH		
1	Gavin Lott	28.2	4
2	William Honea	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Alief Kerr
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The aff is a good idea, best meets the ROTIS

Room: 1563
Start: 430
CX

3

Melin, Eric

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS Princeton BD

Eden Burke

Taylor Dougherty

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

28

4

28.2

3

NEGATIVE

POS

Greenhill KW

Joshua Kwon

Timothy Wegener

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

29.2

1

29.1

2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Greenhill KW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote Neg on Extra-T

Room: 1349
Start: 430
CX

3

Sullivan, Sue J.

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

Thank you all for such a great round of CX debate. Very impressed with your knowledge and debate skills!

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Katy RN		
1A	Ethan Ross	29	2
2A	Mark Nicholson	29	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Clear Lake NV		
1N	Avineet Nanjappa	28	4
2N	Dhyuti Venkataraman	30	1

The better debating was done by the AFF from Katy
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Sue Jane Sullivan

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF. way to know your entire case and to beat off (nicely) the challenges brought against it, which by this point in the season, you should know it

OBSERVATIONS

Adv.
Spanish War

Neg-- well done work in ZNC... you truly clarified persuasively to bring negative's stance on base, CP into focus, clash with ~~aff~~ aff stance.
-collapse of econ. impacts
- Nuclear War

1. AFF. takes time to clarify, explain evidence more effectively
2. Fluency breaks in INR that lost crucial time.

3. AFF evidence/analysis more current (even a January '19 card from Economist)

4. Structured clash on flow pts. weighs to AFF... answer to HR covers that Neg. argument

5. Removing ban → AFF able to see/solvent collaborative research to solve issues

6. HT DA was interesting... but w/this administration and dealing w/a Middle Eastern country not known to be as stable... will HT be truly viable?

flash back to negative reaction to HT DA

Room: 1502
Start: 430
CX

3

Martin, Kinsey

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	London SA		
2	Matthew Sun	27.5	4
1	Emily Abrego	27.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Hightower GK		
2	Isabella Gandara	28.5	1
1	Snehal Kumar	28.0	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Hightower GK
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

So the aff drops a couple of key pieces that make the aff too far behind in the 2AR.

- (1) Solvency: Aff ~~undercovers~~ a turn about discretion leads to racial bias and a bunch of defense that takes an issue on the CP debate
- (2) CP: Aff has ~~no~~ no offense on the CP which gives risk of the CP solving. When I weigh the CP risk vs the IAC risk then the CP outweighs on solving under the Aff framework
- (3) DA's: IF I evaluate under the neg framework then the DA's have ~~massive~~ massive risk since the aff reads 0 offense against it.

I vote neg on solvency under the aff framework.

Room: 1353
Start: 430
CX

3

Gilbert, Evan

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School

March 14-16, 2019

Aff: 3:40
Neg: 4:23

AFFIRMATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Jesuit College Prep MW		
1	Jack Madden	28.9	4
2	Pete Weigman	28.9	3

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Greenhill AE		
2	Samar Ahmad	29.7	1
1	Christopher Eckert	29.6	2

The better debating was done by the ~~Aff~~ Neg E.C. from Greenhill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed

Evan Gilbert

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Cp solves the 2P
1/3 case DA's
avoids

Room: 1344
Start: 430
CX

3

Gamble, Tyler

TFA CX DEBATE 2019

Alief Taylor High School
March 14-16, 2019

AFFIRMATIVE

POS Mt. Pleasant CT
Connelly Cowan
Taryn Thurman

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

29.6 2
29.6 1
29.6 R

NEGATIVE

POS

Robert Vela ZS

Thalia Zuniga

Ethan Salinas

POINTS
Max = 30

RANKS

29

29.4

X 4
X 3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Mt. Pleasant CT
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote on aff
outweighs K and DA,

Ableism comes first
is the biggest framing
argument won