Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate - Round 4

Double Check Sheet

Academy, 5 Canyon C	10EK	Mission Vista HS	Erin Makena Ke	26.0	6SW	Del Norte HS	Skyler Wu	29.0	NEG	Room 501	✓
Academy, 5 Canyon C	9IS	Helix Charter HS	Izaiah Salazar	27.0	10CR	Mission Vista HS	Cipriana Elen F	29.0	NEG	Room 501	✓
Vista, 2 Bonita	9MC	Helix Charter HS	Malcolm Collins	29.0	10SL	Mission Vista HS	Starr DuVall La	29.0	NEG	Room 502	✓
Vista, 2 Bonita	10MW	Mission Vista HS	Max Dane Wyg	25.0	9AN	Helix Charter HS	Aaron Nabors	29.0	NEG	Room 502	✓
2, Rancho Bernardo	10DS	Mission Vista HS	Daisy Sanchez	26.0	2JW	Canyon Crest Ac	Justin Wang	30.0	NEG	Room 503	✓
2, Rancho Bernardo	2AC	Canyon Crest Ac	April Cheng	28.0	3HO	Carlsbad HS	Hannah O'Brier	30.0	NEG	Room 503	✓
Cbad, 2	6SC	Del Norte HS	Shivangi Chaub	26.0	1SV	Bonita Vista HS	Simon Vaillanc	30.0	NEG	Room 504	✓
Cbad, 2	10KC	Mission Vista HS	Kai Saporno Ca	29.0	4KS	Cathedral Cathol	Katherine Steve	26.0	AFF	Room 504	✓
01, Helix	2CW	Canyon Crest Ac	Catherine Wan	30.0	10SS	Mission Vista HS	Sophia Love Sc	27.0	AFF	Room 505	✓
01, Helix	2KW	Canyon Crest Ac	Kaitlyn Wang	30.0	10AY	Mission Vista HS	Alexander Doc	25.0	AFF	Room 505	✓
2, Bishops	2JY	Canyon Crest Ac	Joyce Yang	26.0	3EB	Carlsbad HS	Edward Burns	30.0	NEG	Room 601	✓
2, Bishops	10CP	Mission Vista HS	Connor Paul Pe	27.0	9RG	Helix Charter HS	Rafael Bernal C	28.0	AFF	Room 601	✓
2, DNHS	2RS	Canyon Crest Ac	Raymond Song	26.0	10OD	Mission Vista HS	Olivia Shaye Du	27.0	NEG	Room 602	✓
2, DNHS	2NF	Canyon Crest Ac	Natalie Feldmar	26.0	10NG	Mission Vista HS	Nikki Guerra	27.0	AFF	Room 602	✓
1, Cathedral	7LJ	El Camino HS	Leena Jannatip	27.0	2AR	Canyon Crest Ac	Aadil Rehan	27.0	NEG	Room 605	✓
1, Cathedral	15GS	Westview HS	Gunnar Spence	26.0	10MB	Mission Vista HS	Maksym Bonda	26.0	AFF	Room 605	✓
3, El Camino	2ST	Canyon Crest Ac	Sophia Teglia	27.0	10BS	Mission Vista HS	Brenna Michele	28.0	NEG	Room 606	✓
3, El Camino	3NT	Carlsbad HS	Nick Trotta	28.0	10LF	Mission Vista HS	Laura Ferrer	27.0	AFF	Room 606	✓
1, Westview	10JM	Mission Vista HS	Jazielyn Lupita	27.0	2SX	Canyon Crest Ac	Samuel Xiong	28.0	NEG	Room 607	✓
1, Westview	10DG	Mission Vista HS	Daniel Garcia	27.0	2RB	Canyon Crest Ac	Rashaun Berna	26.0	AFF	Room 607	✓
Cbad, 10	2RA	Canyon Crest Ac	Ruchi Agashe	30.0	10CP	Mission Vista HS	Chloe Prescott	29.0	AFF	Room 608	✓
Cbad, 10	7KT	El Camino HS	Kim Tran	27.0	10MT	Mission Vista HS	Matteus-Ryan M	30.0	NEG	Room 608	✓
Vista, 6 Bonita	7JL	El Camino HS	Joshua Lim	25.0	10BG	Mission Vista HS	Benjamin Robe	27.0	NEG	Room 701	✓
Vista, 6 Bonita	10ns	Mission Vista HS	noah john silva	26.0	12HC	Rancho Bernard	Harmon Crowe	26.0	AFF	Room 701	✓
Vista, 3 Bonita	2SC	Canyon Crest Ac	Sean Chen	26.0	10BC	Mission Vista HS	Britney Corvin	28.0	NEG	Room 702	✓
Vista, 3 Bonita		BYE	BYE		10CP	Mission Vista HS	Camie Lashay I			Room 702	

VISTA, 2 BONITA

Samuel Elliott

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		2 Bonita Vista (*1)	
Round 4	Flight 1	Room 502	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Malcolm Collins 9 Helix Charter High School	29	Starr DuVall Lang 10 Mission Vista High School	28.5

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? Yes

Samuel M Elliott
Judge's Signature

BVHS / Parent / Marine Engineer
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Mr. Collins was a better speaker, However his argument failed to address the majority of the contentions brought up by the negation. He did not address the environmental issues and lower carbon emissions brought up by the negation. He only contered the economic costs of a complete ship to all nuclear power.

Mr. Collins is a very passionate speaker. He placed alot of energy into his speech and body language.

Ms. Lang was very polite to her opponet. She was a little disorganized, but and overall good speaker.

VISTA, 2 BONITA

Samuel Elliott

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		2 Bonita Vista (*1)	
Round 4	Flight 2	Room 502	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Max Dane Wygal 10 Mission Vista High School	25	Aaron Nabors 9 Helix Charter High School	29

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? NO

Judge's Signature

BVHS / Parent / Marine Engineer

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmation side of the argument did not put up much of a struggle. The negation side of the argument was vastly lope sided. The negative side was better prepared and site better Reference material

Mr. Wygal had a lot of lengthy pauses during his speech he used a lot of filler words such as like, uhm, and. He was not comfortable with his material.

Mr. Nabors was a great speaker and very prepared for this debate.

Side note: Mr. Wygal claims that a counterplan is illegal in LD debate. This was about a MSR reactor.

VISTA, 6 BONITA

Jo Hamilton

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		6 Bonita Vista (*1)	
Round 4	Flight 1	Room 701	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Joshua Lim 7 El Camino High School	25	Benjamin Robert Grinnell 10 Mission Vista High School	27

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? _____

J Hamilton
Judge's Signature

BVHS
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Need to be better prepared to cross and refute opponent.
- You seemed unclear as to the Negative Construction.
- Good opposing arguments.
- You need to be sure of and check your facts.

Good speaker. Work on consistent pacing.

VISTA, 6 BONITA Jo Hamilton **SDIVSL 1B**

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		6 Bonita Vista (*1)	
Round 4	Flight 2	Room 701	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
noah john silva 10 Mission Vista High School	26	Harmon Crowe 12 Rancho Bernardo High School	26

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative Negative

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? _____

J Hamilton
Judge's Signature

BVHS
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

More practice will make your speech more fluid. instead of reading directly from your paper.

Same

Good opposing arguments.

Should have notes on paper instead of phone.

Able to maintain own position and reply to some of the opposition

Would be better to say "minority" rather than "low-class citizens"

2, BISHOPS

Jennifer Jay

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate	Bishops 2 (*14)	
Round 4 Flight 1	Room 601	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Joyce Yang 2 Canyon Crest Academy	26	Edward Burns 3 Carlsbad High School	30

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative / Negative

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? _____

Judge's Signature

The Bishops School

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Speaking really fast, hard to understand
Reading from computer w/o eye contact
very monotone
stated contensions
stated sources

asked the same questions over & over again but rephrased

4 min constructive speech was not very organized, choppy, then reading from computer again super fast w/o eye contact
lost audience

still no eye contact in rebuttal, reading off paper, jumping around, not convincing

Asked good questions
good eye contact
stated contensions, good examples
stated sources
very organized, after backing up and explaining neg, went over all aff's contensions, great speaker, very convincing

good rebuttal, explained why one should vote neg

Did very well for a novice, could have been on varsity

2, BISHOPS

Jennifer Jay

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Bishops 2 (*14)	
Round 4	Flight 2	Room 601	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Connor Paul Peppard 10 Mission Vista High School	27	Rafael Bernal Gonzales 9 Helix Charter High School	28

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative Negative

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? yes

Judge's Signature

The Bishops School

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Stated contensions, backed up with facts, used sources

good talking speed, with some eye contact

had a hard time answering some of the questions asked, not quite prepared w/ research

Asked good questions,

Negated all neg's contensions in 4 min constructive speech

used some "ums" when talking, but not too bad

stumbled a bit on rebuttal, but good eye contact, speaking to audience

good recap, convincing

Asked good questions

good definitions

stated contensions

good talking speed w/ some eye contact, a little nervous

explained contensions, backed up w/ facts using sources

had a hard time answering one of the questions asked in relationship to issues mentioned in constructive speech, perhaps needed a bit more research to back up facts that were stated

restated facts to back contensions

a little monotone when speaking, not very convincing rebuttal

1, CATHEDRAL

Antonio Viana

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Cathedral 1 (*4)	
Round 4	Flight 1	Room 605	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Leena Jannatipour 7 El Camino High School	27	Aadil Rehan 2 Canyon Crest Academy	27

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? _____

Judge's Signature

CCHS

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

General Comments:

To Both: This is LD Debate!!! It saddens me to see debaters employ policy-tactics. Using "hard" evidence as an only rebuttal or using C-X time to "ask for a card" is weak. Use evidence for support and put reason & logic in front of it!!!

Leena:
- When debating, talk to the room, not directly to your opponent. Avoid "You said this, You said that."
- Remain standing for cross-ex, don't sit.
- Your case was good and flowed ... it was well written.
- Signpost in rebuttals -> you were all over the place & hard to follow

Aadil:
- Don't waste C/X time -> use it!!!
- Good voice .... but SLOW DOWN!!!
- Would like to see better support in arguments but you lost me sometimes with your speed & jumping around. Practice your signposting.
- Do not simply rely on "cards" to refute. If a "card" is opinion, and your opponent has an opinion ... an opinion backed by reason wins.

Decision: Went with NEG because of too many arguments left open by AFF. NEG's support of arguments was weak, but left open by AFF.

1, CATHEDRAL

Antonio Viana

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Cathedral 1 (*4)	
Round 4	Flight 2	Room 605	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Gunnar Spencer 15 Westview High School	26	Maksym Bondarenko 10 Mission Vista High School	26

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative Negative

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? _____

Judge's Signature

CCHS

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

General Comments:

To Both: This is LD Debate!!! A popular complaint of mine today has been to comment on how LD is value debate. It saddens me how "policy-like" some debaters are .... especially with evidence. Simply stating evidence is NOT an effective rebuttal.

Gunnar:
- Know your case better! Work on flow.
- You have a good sound → Practice!!
- Speak more, read less!!
- Add Polish... and professionalism.
- Don't mumble.

Maksym:
- Use your c/x time, don't waste it!!
- Good signposts at start, but later in debate you were all over the place and hard to follow.
- Speak more, read less.
- Don't sit during cross-ex
- Speak to the ~~room~~ room. Avoid "You said, you claim"
- Use your time ... only 4 mins on NEG rebuttal??

Decision:

Open arguments are everywhere!! Lots of drops. I vote for AFF because of his decision to elevate the Greatest Good for Greatest Number value tied to the unknown long term effects Nuclear ~~Energy~~ Energy Causes.

1, ~~WESTVIEW~~ Carlsbad Pru Sweeney

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Westview 1 (*15)	
Round 4	Flight 1	Room 607	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Jazielyn Lupita Morales 10 Mission Vista High School	27	Samuel Xiong 2 Canyon Crest Academy	28

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? _____

Prudence V. Sweeney
Judge's Signature

Carlsbad - educator
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Great novice debate. Both sides were articulate, poised and prepared. I do think Samuel was more prepared on his side of the debate. He brought up new ways of using nuclear power substantiated by statistics. Jazielyn I felt you needed more statistics in the realm of alternatives. Your last rebuttal seem less focused, and you appeared to run out of wind!

As I stated both sides were convincing – Samuel seemed to have researched a bit more!

1, WESTVIEW

Pru Sweeney

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Westview 1 (*15)	
Round 4	Flight 2	Room 607	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Daniel Garcia 10 Mission Vista High School	27	Rashaun Bernard 2 Canyon Crest Academy	26

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative Negative

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? _____

Prudence V. Sweeney
Judge's Signature

Carlsbad Educator
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides had excellent volume, were courteous and prepared. However in the beginning of their constructive speeches they both read to fast (quickly) and their voices became a bit monotonous. Practice of the speeches would be good! I chose Daniel (affirmative) solely on his ability to wrap up the debate and that he pointed out Rashaun ~~did~~ said nothing to negate the use of wind, solar, or water. Rashaun needed to summarize his side at end. I would have liked to have heard more about #4 reactors as opposed to #1, 2, 3. Rashaun you started to talk about it but changed midstream.

3, EL CAMINO

Hua Lee

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		El Camino 3 (*7)	
Round 4	Flight 1	Room 606	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Sophia Teglia 2 Canyon Crest Academy	27	Brenna Michele Schnedar 10 Mission Vista High School	28

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? No

Judge's Signature

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

It's better to

1). provide "detailed" (dated!, e.g. when. what. who. etc.) evidences.

2). Speech performance.

3) Arguments should be strong.

3, EL CAMINO

Hua Lee

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		El Camino 3 (*7)	
Round 4	Flight 2	Room 606	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Nick Trotta 3 Carlsbad High School	28	Laura Ferrer 10 Mission Vista High School	27

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? No

Hua Lee
Judge's Signature

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1) It is better to give the "detailed" (when, who, what.. etc) evidences.

2) Timing is also important ...

3) Arguments should be strong

CBAD, 10

David Trotta

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		10 Cbad (*3)	
Round 4	Flight 1	Room 608	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Ruchi Agashe 2 Canyon Crest Academy	30	Chloe Prescott 10 Mission Vista High School	29

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative Negative

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? _____

Judge's Signature

Carlsbad HS / Pricing Mgr.

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff 6	✓
Neg 3	✓
Neg 7	✓
Aff 3	✓
Aff 4	✓
Neg 6	✓
Aff 3	✓

Aff 6 - Did not state resolution until well into construction.

Very rapid better under cross.

Lots of research, good prep.

Strong cross.

NP emits as much CO_2 as fossil fuel alternatives $\$$ subsidies.

Aff 3 Rebut.

Good sportmanship

Possible Improvements

More eye contact.

Slow down

Better eye contact at end.

Neg cross. seems to be tenitive however well thought out

Neg Construction More assertive during construct. Better based positive on facts. well layed out.

Neg 6 - Coal/Fossil Fuels more detrimental to minorities.

Thorium ?

Good Sportmanship

Hard to make the call.

Possible Improvements

More eye contact

speak louder / more forceful

CBAD, 10

David Trotta

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate	10 Cbad (*3)	
Round 4 Flight 2	Room 608	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Kim Tran 7 El Camino High School	27	Matteus-Ryan Manansala Thresher 10 Mission Vista High School	30

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? _____

Judge's Signature

Carlsbad HS / Pricing Mgr.

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff 6	✓
Neg 3	✓
Neg 7	✓
Aff 3	✓
AFF 4	✓
Neg 6	✓
Aff 3	

Aff.
NP → Weapons
Rogue Nations use NP → Weapons
Emission from mining
N. waste - pollution

Did much better in cross exam.

Aff 4 - Started slow but picked up towards the end. Much better eye contact

Cross Contrs Def No.
Short. did not take full time.

Roadmap.
Spoke well from notes
Oil tends to attract conflict.
Well outlined Defs

Neg New point about fracking?

Brought up civil rights analogy?

Possible Improvements

Make better eye contact.
Try to avoid back and forth weightshift.
Work on body position

Possible Improvements

Try to limit "ums"
~~Try to remove~~ Work on focus of points and readdress in followup discussion

2, DNHS

Lee Thain

NLD

Vanita Murthy

SDIVSL 1B

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		DNHS 2 (*6)	
Round 4	Flight 1	Room 602	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Raymond Song 2 Canyon Crest Academy	26	Olivia Shaye Dunne 10 Mission Vista High School	27

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? N

Vanita Murthy
Judge's Signature

Poway High
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

On Aff side:

- Aff didn't connect how nuclear weapons connected to nuclear power.
- Read ~~from comput~~ speech too much.

On Neg side:

- spoke well
- value of justice well supported.

2, DNHS

Aravind Vanita Murthy

NLD

SDI/SL 1B

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate	DNHS 2 (*6)	
Round 4 Flight 2	Room 602	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Natalie Feldman 2 Canyon Crest Academy	26	Nikki Guerra 10 Mission Vista High School	27

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative Negative

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? Yes

Vanita Murthy
Judge's Signature

Rocky
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Aff side had more information in support of their side.
- Aff was spreading through first speech even though we talked about not doing so before debate started.

- Neg side spoke well w/out verbal tics.
- Neg side needed more info to support.

CBAD, 2

Yalda alawi

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate	2 Cbad (*3)	
Round 4 Flight 1	Room 504	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Shivangi Chaubey 6 Del Norte High School	26	Simon Vaillancourt 1 Bonita Vista High School	30

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? no

Yalda Alawi
Judge's Signature

Carlsbad / Realtor
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Negative counter argued each contention put by affirmative side

Great Job both

CBAD, 2

Yalda Alawi

NLD

SDIVSL 1B

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		2 Cbad (*3)	
Round 4	Flight 2	Room 504	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Kai Saporno Catapang 10 Mission Vista High School	29	Katherine Stevenson 4 Cathedral Catholic	26

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative Negative

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? NO

Judge's Signature

Carlsbad / Private

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Minimal Evidence put forth by negative

- Bring more "stats"! numbers to support case.

Great job both!

Karla Velasquez

01, HELIX **SDIVSL 1B**

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Helix 01 (*9)	
Round 4	Flight 1	Room 505	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Catherine Wang 2 Canyon Crest Academy	30	Sophia Love Schlesinger 10 Mission Vista High School	27

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? _____

Karla Velasquez
Judge's Signature

Helix Charter High School
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

* Catherine:

- Spoke super loud and clear.
- Very detailed speech, plenty of facts
- Good eye contact and good stance.
- Answering questions with knowledge and being affirmative.
- Questioning according to topic.
- Very prepared and organized.
- Pointed out information that opponent didn't say, or that she left out.

* Sophia:

- Very good questions and asking politely.
- For speech, spoke very secure and clear.
- Some rocking while speaking
- Made main points/facts very clear, emphasized.
- Eyes mostly on paper not much eye contact.
- Kept an eye on her time.
- Answered questions in a polite manner, although she got some she wasn't able to answer due to time or lack of information
- Answered to her opponent in a polite way and explained thoroughly.

01, HELIX

Karla Velasquez

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Helix 01 (*9)	
Round 4	Flight 2	Room 505	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Kaitlyn Wang 2 Canyon Crest Academy	30	Alexander Docuyanan Yap 10 Mission Vista High School	25

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative Negative

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? _____

Karla Velasquez
Judge's Signature

Helix Charter High School
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

* Kaitlyn:

- Came in ready to start, very prepared.
- Speaking loud and clear.
- Maintaining eye contact with audience.
- Showing emotion and plenty of body language
- Answered question in a nice and efficient manner.
- Asking questions and for source of facts to oponent.
- Keeps showing knowledge of topic and speaking with security.

* Alexander:

- Ready to go with his Q's. And stayed on topic.
- Showed interest in source of facts.
- No eye contact, eyes on his material all the time
- Speaks loud and clear, showing knowledge of topic.
- Very detailed speeches, addressing his oponent.
- Wasn't prepared to answer all questions from oponent.
- Offter referring back to his notes and getting confused.

VISTA, 3 BONITA Glenna McShane Single Flight SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		3 Bonita Vista (*1)	
Round 4	Flight 1	Room 702	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Sean Chen 2 Canyon Crest Academy	26	Britney Corvin 10 Mission Vista High School	28

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? NO

Glen E. McS
Judge's Signature

Bonita Vista Hi School
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Sean has the passion to be a great debater and exhibits authenticity when he speaks, he just needs to work on eye contact and speak to judge instead of to his laptop. His value was morality and ~~utilitar~~ utilitaranism, and his standard was environmental preservation, but he appeared unprepared for rebuttal of his contention #1 proliferation of nuclear weapons. He did, however, handle well the contention #2 nuclear power brings health risks to future generations. Overall, for a novice, Sean did very well.

Britney (as Neg) was very clear, ~~and~~ organized, and well supported. Her contention #1 that nuclear energy is safer than fossil fuels and combats global warming was convincing. She seemed well prepared during cross exam and had evidence for contention #3 stating it is unfair to prohibit countries from using nuclear power like France who is 70% dependent for jobs, economy, and collecting tax. Her contention #2 that nuclear power does not increase nuclear war such as in South Korea was in direct opposition to Sean's contention #1 which said nuclear power leads to nuclear warfare leads to human extinction. Britney's well supported argument, ~~and~~ cross exam, and rebuttal won out. She is well on her way to become a polished debater.

ACADEMY, 5 CANYON CREST Holly Jing **SDIVSL 1B**

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		5 Canyon Crest Academy (*2)	
Round 4	Flight 2	Room 501	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Izaiah Salazar 9 Helix Charter High School	~~30~~ 27	Cipriana Elen Rodriguez 10 Mission Vista High School	29

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative** (Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? no

Judge's Signature

CEA / 3rd BioPharma + Loving Heart Education / Scientist & teacher

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Definitions:

Values:

1. Economy
   cost $2B - 9B; add to debts
   \ 19 year to pay off.
2. alternatives to NP.
   better & renewable: solar.
   NP can not be placed on roof.
   upgrade power efficiency
3. Environment impact
   - not a safe way to create energy
   - 2011 accident in Japan

Cross:

1. radiation
2. Card created w/ presented.
3. Causes of NP melt-down

Speech:

- NP is cleaner?
- It produces pollutions that cause cancer. using of old technologies.

Cross

value criterion:

- source of information of cost. $2 - $4B no date card.
- NP takes too much land. population increase. ~~How is~~
- How many people died in Fuko - NP melt-down.

Speech:

1. ~~yet~~ clean energy improve the quality of life.
2. If NP is taken away, countries will use other sources of energy. the resources may not be available, cost to develop those technologies. Support. to 8.
3. US aircraft carriers.
   - without accidents in 50 years
3. ~~200~~ accidents
   plants are operating 90% time. Scientists are finding ways to

Affirmative

- Scientists are finding methods to deal w/ wastes? Not true, no data to support it.
- NP is operated by human and many have human mistakes
- the problems are still there.

Rebuttal:

- NP is not clean: radiation & radioactive wastes
- Evidence not provided
- Cost of building a NP plant is $9.
- Renewables are very

Feedback:

- Excellent presentation skills
- Can be better prepared by thinking from the opponent's side and getting ready for possible rebuttal questions
- Good job overall!

Negatives:

- Control NP wastes
- Cross. , no card on cost data
- negative impact on environment.

Rebuttal:

- Road map. of ~~free~~ speech. w/ a summary of both sides
- NP waste managed.
- 40 year-old technology. (no source for it). — no ~~neglec~~
- 1986 accident was not brought up. It's Easy to combat human errors
- Dropped 4 contentions
- Renewable energy is ~~unreliable~~
- 1/3 of South Korea ~~energy~~ came from NP.

Feedback:

- Well organized statements
- Excellent presentation skills
- Good job overall!

ACADEMY, 5 CANYON CREST Holly Ing **SDIVSL 1B**

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		5 Canyon Crest Academy (*2)	
Round 4	Flight 1	Room 501	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Erin Makena Kennedy 10 Mission Vista High School	26	Skyler Wu 6 Del Norte High School	29

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? no

Judge's Signature

CCA/3d BioPharma + Loving Heart Education / scientist + teacher

School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Speech:
- Common Goals of the debate: Value
- Sustainable, clean energy.
- Proposition:
  definitions: NP.
  3 main conventions
1. need to find alternative energy to fossil fuels: pollution,
2. need to harnest new sources of energy. wind, biomass, solar, etc can be major sources. ~~Do not~~
3. NP Pitfalls: high cost, safety, $56B to decommission. Japan disaster

Cross Exam:
- Expensiveness of alternative energy sources.
- Do a majority of people like or dislike NP? (not relevant)
- Would be rather live ~~next to~~ a power plant or wind/solar energy source? (less radiation)
- How NP plant is decommissioned (NP plant)

Cross exam:
: Value: safe, comfortable lives for the sake of world
- achieve thru elimination of uranium
- provide the source of info of $50B, (date of publication) (2011)
- explain how biomass works.
- Provide the number for people affected by radiation leak in Japan.

Speech:
- Thank you
- Control of nuclear emission.
- Country achieve

Contention 1: w/ NP, global warming can be minimized

2. NP is safe to animals except for 3 accidents
- coal pollution effect more people
- solar farm safety issues

3. NP for environment and for economics data of various sources.

4. nuclear powered aircrafts. saves money and energy

Erin Kennedy:

Speech: NP is environmentally friendly

No: - health impact due to radiation
- no countries have found solutions.

2. safe for people and animals.

No: - scientific research, cancer deaths
- one nuclear power melt-down

3. good for economy
- radiation / structural safety & cost.
- decommissioning. $$.
- environment issues from remaining materials.

Rebuttal:
- the lasting effects of NP leak.
- 100 years until nuclear free
- prevention is necessary.
- NP plants decommissioning is needed. cost is huge
- Quality of human life is very important. Future is being affected.
- Equivalence to smoking
- stand firmly

NLD

Feedback:
- Good argument points w/ sufficient supporting data
- Good presentation skills
- ~~will clear~~ maybe be more ~~prepare~~ next time to ~~more info to~~ you can prepare more in answering cross exam questions.

Skylar Wu:

Cross ~~Exam~~ Rebuttal.

1. Four ways to decompose NP wastes. 1) cements 2) compact 3) put chemicals and bury down 4) silicon/glass not radiative anymore.

2. Japanese NPP accident:
- ~~not~~ few scientists / human error setting off.
- Safety structure is much better than before.
- more people died from E. coli contamination than NP leak.

3. Causing money to build/de-commission
- reactors should continue.
- NP safety vs wind power safety on humans on birds
- NP is safe.
- NP Navy: we need it.
- cheap & clean.

Feedback:
- well organized statements with strong supporting evidences and scientific data
- good presentation skills with clear speech and eye contacts
- Using a binder to organize the documents is a good idea.

2, RANCHO BERNARDO Julia Timmerman **SDIVSL 1B**

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Rancho Bernardo 2 (*12)	
Round 4	Flight 1	Room 503	Tue 10/04/16 04:00PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Daisy Sanchez 10 Mission Vista High School	26	Justin Wang 2 Canyon Crest Academy	30

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? no

Julia Timmerman
Judge's Signature

RBHS
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff – She made a lot of good points.
- Had a lot of passion.
- Read a lot from notes – perhaps didn't have all of the facts in her head – or as prepared as the Neg.
- overall maybe not as knowledgeable of info as the Neg!

Very professional – looked great!

Neg – - Really knew his case!
- he caught a few things that she dropped.
- Presented his information very clearly. Lots of good facts & named sources,
- Made so many good points.

– Liked how he asked questions – got the answer – then ended it.

Looked very professional – well groomed.

Great job!

2, RANCHO BERNARDO Julia Timmerman **SDIVSL 1B**

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Rancho Bernardo 2 (*12)	
Round 4	Flight 2	Room 503	Tue 10/04/16 04:45PM

Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
April Cheng 2 Canyon Crest Academy	28	Hannah O'Brien 3 Carlsbad High School	30

The winner of this debate was

Affirmative **Negative**

(Circle Winner)

Is this a low point win? no

Julia Timmerman
Judge's Signature

RBHS
School / Affiliation / Occupation

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

Very passionate also!
Had great facts & sources.
A little more casual in her speaking style — but was very knowledgeable.
Great job - will get better every time!

* There were a few questions from both girls about the rules of time + format which I didnt know but it didnt matter to me as far as who won - etc...

Neg

Very well prepared. Extremely knowledgeable of the facts! She knew her stuff + believed in what she said.

Very professional & very passionate.

Used a lot of great facts + sources to back them up.

Understood all aspects of this resolution!

Great job!