

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate - Round 2

Double Check Sheet

01, Helix	6SV	Del Norte HS	Shruti Verma	30.0	10JZ	Mission Vista HS	Jared Christoph	29.0	AFF	Room 703	✓
01, Helix	14IS	The Bishop's Sc	Ivan Savchuk	29.0	15DG	Westview HS	David Galash	27.0	AFF	Room 703	✓
2, Bishops	1NM	Bonita Vista HS	Nachelle Matuti	27.0	3DR	Carlsbad HS	Diego Roberts	28.0	NEG	Room 704	✓
2, Bishops	6AS	Del Norte HS	Aruna Sudarsh	28.0	10BS	Mission Vista HS	Brian Sanchez	27.0	AFF	Room 704	✓
Vista, 3 Bonita	10CH	Mission Vista HS	Christina Han	28.0	6MM	Del Norte HS	Michele Murak	27.0	AFF	Room 705	✓
Vista, 3 Bonita	14HK	The Bishop's Sc	Harsha Kenche	28.0	6SG	Del Norte HS	Shubham Gupt	27.0	AFF	Room 705	✓
14, MVHS	2EL	Canyon Crest Ac	Edward Li	27.0	14SC	The Bishop's Sc	Sam Chun	28.0	NEG	Room 706	✓
14, MVHS	12TS	Rancho Bernard	Tejas Srinivasa	27.0	3PW	Carlsbad HS	Peter Whitehea	29.0	NEG	Room 706	✓
1, Rancho Bernardo	2CC	Canyon Crest Ac	Catherine Can	27.0	14AJ	The Bishop's Sc	Ashley Jay	28.0	NEG	Room 707	✓
1, Rancho Bernardo	10MM	Mission Vista HS	Madison Reese	27.0	6HZ	Del Norte HS	Hannah Zheng	26.0	AFF	Room 707	✓
1, SDA Judge	2VC	Canyon Crest Ac	Victoria Chen	28.0	14EW	The Bishop's Sc	Elaine Wei	27.0	AFF	Room 708	✓
1, SDA Judge	3AM	Carlsbad HS	Anna Mellissin	28.0	1GN	Bonita Vista HS	Gilbert Neuner	30.0	NEG	Room 708	✓
Cbad, 9	2NZ	Canyon Crest Ac	Natalia Cristine	28.0	13LL	San Dieguito Ac	Linnea Leidy	28.0	AFF	Room 709	✓
Cbad, 9	13AS	San Dieguito Ac	Austin Stead	29.0	15NW	Westview HS	Nathan Wang	27.0	AFF	Room 709	✓
1, Westview	6AV	Del Norte HS	Adhvaith Vijay	28.0	10CB	Mission Vista HS	Carlos Erick Va	27.0	AFF	Room 801	✓
1, Westview	2AZ	Canyon Crest Ac	Ashley Zhang	28.0	10MM	Mission Vista HS	Megan P McDc	29.0	NEG	Room 801	✓
1, DNHS	12AL	Rancho Bernard	Angela Liu	30.0	3PP	Carlsbad HS	Priyesh Prakas	30.0	NEG	Room 802	✓
1, DNHS	14SH	The Bishop's Sc	Sebastian Hayc	30.0	2NC	Canyon Crest Ac	Nikhil Chilakap	29.0	AFF	Room 802	✓
Academy, 8 Canyon C	10KM	Mission Vista HS	Katherine Laur	30.0	12ET	Rancho Bernard	Eric Timmerma	27.0	AFF	Room 803	✓
Academy, 8 Canyon C	15MW	Westview HS	Michelle Wang	28.0	1RR	Bonita Vista HS	Raquel Rivera	28.0	NEG	Room 803	✓
3, El Camino	3AL	Carlsbad HS	Anthony Li	29.0	1CA	Bonita Vista HS	Catherine Arme	28.0	AFF	Room 804	✓
3, El Camino	6CX	Del Norte HS	Claudia Xue	27.0	10TT	Mission Vista HS	Tryston Taylor	29.0	NEG	Room 804	✓
1, Cathedral	10JS	Mission Vista HS	Jenny Sierra	28.0	2RA	Canyon Crest Ac	Reva Agashe	28.0	NEG	Room 805	✓
1, Cathedral	10SK	Mission Vista HS	Sophia Lauren	28.0	2AL	Canyon Crest Ac	Annie Lu	28.0	NEG	Room 805	✓
Poway, 1	1AK	Bonita Vista HS	Anthony Kolshc	30.0	15ZL	Westview HS	Ziyang Liu	28.0	AFF	Room 806	✓
Poway, 1	1KN	Bonita Vista HS	Katherine Neur	30.0	3JR	Carlsbad HS	Julia Read	30.0	NEG	Room 806	✓
2, DNHS	3AZ	Carlsbad HS	Arin Zwonitzer	30.0	1TM	Bonita Vista HS	Tasneem Muka	28.0	AFF	Room 807	✓
2, DNHS	10KS	Mission Vista HS	Kimia Nouraini	28.0	2AC	Canyon Crest Ac	Amy Cheng	28.0	AFF	Room 807	✓
Cbad, 2	9CO	Helix Charter HS	Chase Osborn	29.0	10DV	Mission Vista HS	Daniel Davis Vi	29.0	AFF	Room 808	✓
Cbad, 2	4DS	Cathedral Catho	Dominic Sprigg	28.0	2WM	Canyon Crest Ac	William Mao	28.0	NEG	Room 808	✓
	4PN	Cathedral Catho	Paulina Nguyer	28.0	1MT	Bonita Vista HS	Mary Talamante	29.0	NEG	Room 809	✓

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate - Round 2

Double Check Sheet


	2AZ	Canyon Crest Ac	Aaron Zhao	28.0	10AR	Mission Vista HS	Alexis Ramirez	28.0	AFF	Room 809	✓
Cbsd, 1	13DF	San Dieguito Ac	Darian Flores	28.0	10TA	Mission Vista HS	Talisa I Arboled	27.0	AFF	Room 901	✓
Cbsd, 1	BYE	BYE			10RP	Mission Vista HS	Raquel Renee I			Room 901	

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		DNHS 2 (*6)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 807	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Arin Zwonitzer 3 Carlsbad High School		Negative Tasneem Mukarrama 1 Bonita Vista High School	
Points (25-30) <u>30</u>		Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation Bishops	
Is this a low point win? _____			

Comments & Reason for Decision:


Arin - You were very well prepared & it came across right away. You had great pace, intonation, use of your hands, & you looked up a lot. Your idea to use printed material & the black folder to hold it up enabled you to look up & still see your papers - great idea. Really strong arguments regarding rule utilitarian vs regular utilitarian. Really strong argument about how fossil fuels ^{use} & CO2 emissions still happen from Uranium mining & transportation. Look at your opponent a little more when asking & responding to questions. Great statistics & data to support your points - esp. that uranium is mined in 3 places & has to be transported, & the data about the # of indirect deaths. You could tell you had thought about all the opposition points beforehand & were ready to address their pts.

Tasneem - Make sure you introduce yourself & write your name on the board for Neg or Affirmative so it's clear before you start. You had strong points that got lost when you were reading from your paper in the 1st section - try to look up more, & try to intonate words & sentences so they don't seem to be 1 long sentence going on & on. I will help emphasize your points (which were good points but seemed to get lost). You had a good example w/ France. Your **best** pt was regarding global warming affecting more people in the end than a few accidents. You got stuck in a few places. You had a good pt about Qatar - rights of minority, but when responding you just re-read what you already said. Try to take that info & make how it helps make your point. You seemed afraid/shy at some times - try to be confident in yourself. You did great!

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		DNHS 2 (*6)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 807	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Kimia Nouraini Shamsian 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Negative Amy Cheng 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? ☐		 Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Kimia You did better when you held up your paper on a folder rather than leaving it on the table. Once you picked it up you started looking up more & using your other hand & didn't read straight from the paper. You had strong arguments but at a few points the way you explained your point could have been smoother. Your point about the water being heated & making nuclear power less efficient was strong, but your point about poor people being affected more came out smoother (seemed like you had practiced saying it out loud more). Try to argue & confront less, you noticeably were upset in a few points, even though your argument was stronger, but ~~the~~ the way you interrupted her questions & responses was distracting. good point - agreeing with her pt. that global warming does affect minorities but it doesn't negate the issue.

Amy You had a lot of good points, but they seemed to get lost because you were reading directly from your paper in the 1st section - try to intonate words & sentences (both) so it won't seem like you have only one pattern. Each sentence was  rather than  started strong ending low rather than intonating the words & changing the rate so you emphasize the important facts & parts. Use your hands more. You did much better in the next sections when you were not reading straight from your paper. You had good points - especially that thermal energy pt was not proven with any facts. You clearly understood the science portion & explained those sections really clearly. LEANING on your heels was distracting. good point regarding banning nuclear will cause more coal plants to be built.

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Helix 01 (*9)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 703	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Shruti Verma 6 Del Norte High School Points (25-30) <u>30</u>		Negative Jared Christopher Zimmerman 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>High</u>		Judge's Signature <u>Alice Jimenez</u> Helix Charter H.S. / Social Worker School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Shruti Verma

Spoke too fast but was
 still able to understand
 what was being stated.

Good preparation ~~with~~
 good research

Good eye contact

Jared Zimmerman

- Spoke at a good pace.
 - Good eye contact

- a little stuttering

Tared did speak in a good, clear status.

However, ~~the~~ not enough research was done by Tared to support this

thing.

~~The~~ Creating jobs for homelessness in itself was not a strong statement.

Most homeless adults in society based on substance abuse usage and/or mental health disorder.

Shruti did well w/ indicating no statistics to prove that this will help homeless.

Shruti did well w/ proving that Jade did a counter plan.

Maybe Jade could have stats of ^{economic growth} ~~and~~ w/ nuclear energy.

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Helix 01 (*9)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 703	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Ivan Savchuk 14 The Bishop's School		Negative David Galash 15 Westview High School	
Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature 	
Is this a low point win? <u>High</u>		Helix Charter HS. / Soc. Ind. Wk. School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

one of
 ✓ Galash's argument was that it didn't matter if poor people were negatively affected w/ ~~much~~ residing near a nuclear waste, as long as the nuclear waste was for the greater good. This was not a strong argument.

Savchuk's argument was well w/ specifying that inadequate research done so far.

Savchuk did well w/ bring up that ~~the~~ ~~cost~~ human rights should not be held at cost for suffering.

Galash's definition of morality, "Doing what society views as good" was a poor statement.

Savchuk did well w/ refuting that. →

As an aside,

Galash never introduced himself to me.

Savchuk kept questioning me on the time, but I attribute that to being nervous.

Heather Magee
Hill

Aggie Sierra

SDIVSL 1B

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 809	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative	Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Paulina Nguyen 4 Cathedral Catholic	<u>28</u>	Mary Talamantez 1 Bonita Vista High School	<u>29</u>
The winner of this debate was			
Affirmative	Negative	Judge's Signature	
(Circle Winner)		<u>H. N. Hill</u>	
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		School / Affiliation / Occupation	
<u>Carlsbad HS.</u>			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Overall Good Debate.
The Global Warming will protect more people was the strongest argument. Both seemed to have no impact on Uranium.
Thorium seemed like a fine alternative.

Paulina

Clear good eye contact nice organized 1st speech. Should have dates & authors.
Good at keeping pressing questions.
Good at Questioning
Nice background info on France.
Needs to cite references if bringing them up more than article title.
Know times better
Good now emphasis and risk outway rewards summary.
Not clear rebutte global warming argument in 2nd speech.

A few ums and ahs.

Water vapor causing Global Warming
Weak argument.

Strengthen links.

Could use more general knowledge.
Good on time.

Mary
Good questioning. Good clear arguments.
Good at returning to own points.
Nice summary summary on Uranium mines
Global Warming argument strong.
with examples of Germany, U.S. &
Logically rebutted Opponents arguments.
Nice 5 point summary. Very clear. & convincing.
Thorium information convincing.
Global Warming bigger at protecting environment
Good citing on top of clear logic. very convincing.
Good on time.

Heather Magee
Hill

VLD

SDIVSL 1B


Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 809	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Aaron Zhao 2 Canyon Crest Academy		Negative Alexis Ramirez 10 Mission Vista High School	
Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation Carlsbad H.S.	
Is this a low point win? <u>NO tie</u>			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg. had a confusing framework that sort of fit with Aff's so used Aff's same work.
 Aff points not clearly refuted. barely talked about so Aff arguments stronger.
 Aff did a better job of refuting Neg's arguments.

Aaron
 Could slow down a little in 1st speech but ok lots of info. especially when asking questions.
 Nice second speech - Example of "Human Extinction" justified under Climate Change criteria - good turn.
 Clear summaries Clear logic.
 Slow down in later speeches.
 Vary expression. Breathe more for impact. Make it resonate.
 1st Relax more.
 1st speeches additional information confusing and not needed.
 Good Case - clearly laid out.

Alexis
 Need clearer framework conversation. Unclear to begin 2nd speech clearer. but utilitarian plus.
 Good pace. Good passion. Good eye contact.
 Arguments not as clear. Occasionally tongue tied.
 Good 1st cross question "What would you tell people of France?"
 Not strongly refute arms connection or economic impacts.
 Needed to refute Aff more.
 Need to not rely on own case as much and engage aff's arguments more.

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Bishops 2 (*14)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 704	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Nachele Matute 1 Bonita Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Negative Diego Roberts 3 Carlsbad High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		 Judge's Signature The Bishop's School School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Diego:

Excellent counter arguments and references.

Great ^{eye} contacts with the audiences.

Well prepared for the event. Very organized and focused.

Try to stay calm and relaxed. Play with the pencils less.

Better to speak slowly and clearly than fast enough to fuse words.

Pauses can be effective if used judiciously.

Need better time management.

Nachele: clear and crisp voice.

Solid facts and examples. Detailed and extensive research.

Sharp questions. very thorough.


Convincing arguments.

Might want to speak a little ~~more~~ slowly.

Focus on the people you are talking to.

Use notes as references only, not something to read from line by line.

^{quick}

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Bishops 2 (*14)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 704	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Aruna Sudarshan 6 Del Norte High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Negative Brian Sanchez 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>The Bishop's School</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Brian: Very challenging and aggressive questions and counter arguments.
 Well prepared with great ~~many~~ research results.
 Vigorous and powerful, almost to ~~a~~ the point of intimidating. ^{in a good way}

Might want ^{to let} the opponent finish the sentences.

Better to slow down and speak clearly than rush through.

Pick a few points and focus, rather than ~~try~~ to cover everything.

Aruna: Solid examples and detailed case studies.

Excellent focus toward the ~~listeners~~ ~~audience~~.

Great time management skills.

Somewhat shaky voice, possibly due to nervousness. Need to stay calm ^{and relaxed.}
 No need to match the opponent's speech speed. Pick your speed and stick with it.
 Pause at the right moment can be very effective.

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		MVHS 14 (*10)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 706	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Edward Li 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Negative Sam Chun 14 The Bishop's School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		<u>G. Wright</u> Judge's Signature <u>MVHS</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Edward Li

preparation good
arguments good
counter arguments good

* could persuade more with less content,
slower delivery, pausing in the delivery to emphasize
your points
shook judges hand - very professional!

Sam Chun

prep good
arguments good
counter arguments good

could pause more to emphasize points
Tempo of delivery good. Good tone of voice. Seemed
confident.

* W.in came down to delivery of the message,
confidence, persuasiveness through delivery,
pace of delivery, tone of voice upon delivery.

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		MVHS 14 (*10)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 706	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Tejas Srinivasan 12 Rancho Bernardo High School		Negative Peter Whitehead 3 Carlsbad High School	
Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? _____		<u>MVHS</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision: BOTH EXCELLENT

Tejas Srinivasan -

- * Extinction = good argument + well supported statistics
- * Well prepared - emotional... evoke fear of nuclear catastrophe (good)
- could slow pace when reading from computer
- * good eye contact when not reading
- Appeared a bit more "frustrated" than opponent - tripped over some words toward the end, spoke very quickly

Peter Whitehead -

- * excellent eye contact - very persuasive argument about fossil fuels. Good ~~pace~~ pace + tone, strong when needed to be in arguments. Minimized fear of nuclear catastrophe.
- * Well prepared
- Initial questions to Aff. could have been stronger
- * Strong arguments about filling back to fossil fuels w/ detrimental environmental affects.
- * delivery style kept my attention - eye contact great!

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		8 Canyon Crest Academy (*2)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 803	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Katherine Lauren McGraw 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>30</u>		Negative Eric Timmerman 12 Rancho Bernardo High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Judge's Signature  The Bishops School School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

good definitions
 stated sources
 stated contentions well
 good eye contact, good speaking voice
 and good speed
 answered cross examination questions well
 good cross examination questions
 speech very logical, great points,
 addressed neg contentions as well
 as own
 Great rebuttal, very polished
 debater

good cross examination questions
 great eye contact
 addressed all contentions
 stated own contentions
 should stand up when speaking
 Rebuttal not very convincing

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		8 Canyon Crest Academy (*2)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 803	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Michelle Wang 15 Westview High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Negative Raquel Rivera 1 Bonita Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative <u>Negative</u> (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature <u>The Bishops School</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Good definitions
 stated sources
 stated contentions well
 good speaking speed & voice
 good eye contact
 good cross examination questions
 Good rebuttal but not convincing enough

Good cross examination questions
 stated contentions well
 stated sources
 good eye contact
 good speaking speed & voice
 addressed all contentions as well as own
 Good convincing rebuttal

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		9 Cbad (*3)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 709	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Natalia Cristine Zorrilla 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Negative Linnea Leidy 13 San Dieguito Academy Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		Lee Thai Judge's Signature Carlsbad High. School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Affirmative seem to have better and stronger comments. Great body language.

They both give very good presentation.

Negative: very professional. speaking clear.




Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		9 Cbad (*3)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 709	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Austin Stead 13 San Dieguito Academy Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Negative Nathan Wang 15 Westview High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		Lee Thai Judge's Signature Carlsbad High School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Negative were not seem to have well prepared documents. But he got some great points.

affirmative present a very strong speech.


VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		2 Cbad (*3)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 808	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Chase Osborn 9 Helix Charter High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Negative Daniel Davis Virgil 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature Carlsbad H.S. School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

These were two very strong debaters. Both demonstrated wonderful flexibility of mind, clear oratory & organization, and the ability to find weaknesses in each other's arguments (which were few). I was impressed that Neg chose to switch to Aff's value of public safety. There were two issues, though, that I believed Neg was ~~not~~ hurt by. First, I was unconvinced by the "unimportant" argument regarding the problems posed by nuclear waste. I follow the logic -- but wasn't persuaded. Second, I don't think Neg was able to sustain the argument that public safety would be maximized by keeping the nuke power status quo versus moving to non-fossil fuel alternatives. Anyway, this was a hard decision --- fantastic debate!!

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		2 Cbad (*3)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 808	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Dominic Sprigg 4 Cathedral Catholic		Negative William Mao 2 Canyon Crest Academy	
Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? _____		Carlsbad H.S. School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

This was a very closely contested debate. Both speakers brought passion to the presentations. On balance, I was most persuaded by Neg's solid marriage of logic and empirical support for his contentions. Aff's case was weakened by lack of empirical evidence to serve as a base for the logical arguments. One example was the claim that nuclear waste is as dangerous as global warming -- what is the evidence?

Though I liked the passion each debater brought to the contest I do have some suggestions for how to more convincingly apply it. I thought Aff made too dramatic a case for the dangers of nuke power -- almost sensationalist like a news cast. Neg's passion at times seemed a bit overly aggressive. Those are small things -- but something I noticed.


Overall -- a close call --> Very good work!!

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		1 Poway (*11)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 806	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Anthony Kolshorn 1 Bonita Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>30</u>		Negative Ziyang Liu 15 Westview High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Judge's Signature <u>Jere McInerney</u> Bishop School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Anthony-
 spoke so quickly - just at the beginning
 clear concise argument.
cross - good questions to clarify Neg. points
4 min const. - pt. by pt. - clear.
3 min - clear arguments
 passion - knew his material - presentation clear

Ziyang
cross - didn't wait for answer - spoke over opponent.
 7- cheat on test - no relevance to discussion -
 No points on Nuclear power.
6 min - argument didn't make sense - off topic
 I question preparation - However the presentation
 was delivered with passion

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		1 Poway (*11)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 806	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Katherine Neuner 1 Bonita Vista High School		Negative Julia Read 3 Carlsbad High School	
Points (25-30) <u>30</u>		Points (25-30) <u>30</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature Bishops School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

If this could have been a tie that's what I would have decided - both excellent.

Katherine

clear - concise - well delivered - good eye contact -
 knew material - only referred to notes as support -
 good response to questions.

Cross - clear questions for clarification for following speech.


off 4 - somewhat unorganized -
 Aff - 3 - good refer. to lack of factual material.

Julia

cross - questions - a bit off topic with reference to "actor" - not sure it was against the "rules"

7 min - job - cost - environment
 meltdowns - great presentation - eye contact -
 succinct - confident of argument.
 good response to questions from cross -

lemin - Very convincing -

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		DNHS 1 (*6)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 802	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative	Points (25-30) <u>30</u>	Negative	Points (25-30) <u>30</u>
Angela Liu 12 Rancho Bernardo High School		Priyesh Prakash 3 Carlsbad High School	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature DNHS School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both are the excellent speakers and put good points on the table to prove their points. The winner was great in putting his points and much more conversant in providing the proof.

1, DNHS

John Murakami
VLD
Rajesh Gupta

SDIVSL 1B

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		DNHS 1 (*6)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 802	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Sebastian Hayden 14 The Bishop's School		Points (25-30) <u>30</u>	Negative Nikhil Chilakapati 2 Canyon Crest Academy
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Points (25-30) 29 29	
Is this a low point win? _____		<i>Rajesh Gupta</i> Judge's Signature DNHS School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

great speaker and solid communication and providing his points with facts.

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		El Camino 3 (17) Bish	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 804	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Anthony Li 3 Carlsbad High School		Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	Negative Catherine Armenta 1 Bonita Vista High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Judge's Signature  The Bishop's School School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:


The Affirmative side made more complete arguments and supported them well. The Negative side dropped a few contentions, but she had a good debating style and presentation. Both did very well.

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		El Camino 3 (7) Bish	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 804	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Claudia Xue 6 Del Norte High School		Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	Negative Tryston Taylor Trejo 10 Mission Vista High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Judge's Signature <u>The Bishop's School</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision: Both sides did well. The negative side was presented more clearly and convincingly.

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Cathedral 1 (*4)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 805	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Jenny Sierra 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Negative Reva Agashe 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

General Comments:

Jenny: → Speak, don't read. This will help with persuading.

- Need to be a bit sharper... you tailed off arguments at times
- C/X → understand you don't want opponent to ramble, but do let them answer.

Reva: → Slow down! I lose you sometimes due to your speed!

- Remember, facts are good, but reason is more important in LD.
- During case, talk out more & less to the computer.
- Very good content, would like more reason.
- Facts/stats are good, but this is LD, not policy... facts/stats only work if personal reason & thought are added.
- AVOID

Decision: Tough call, but the re-use/re-processing of nuclear waste was an argument that the AFF didn't properly refute... this was the over-arching argument... and why the decision goes neg.

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Cathedral 1 (*4)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 805	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Sophia Lauren Kittell 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Negative Annie Lu 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature CCHS School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

General Comments:

Sophia: → Good poise, nice form. Good vocal stress points.

→ Good signposting.

→ Keep your flow!! Work on this. Sometimes you got rattled and lost momentum.

→ On your last rebuttal, I understand the need for your "back-track", but from a debate standpoint, I would have spent less time on it.


Annie: → SLOW DOWN!!! Sometimes you were hard to follow.

→ You are very professional and have good form. However, you also need to think about flow.

→ You come across very "policy" minded. You tend to "carpet bomb" with facts/stats... but where is the logic? Where is the reason? Where is the persuasion?

→ As you progress, you will need to choose.

Decision: The AFF's over-reaching argument on safety almost won the debate. This was kinda left open. The dangers of fallback to coal & other harmful sources proved to be the argument that tilted this to NEG. Sorry to say, both sides were not overly compelling.

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		SDA Judge 1 (*13)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 708	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Victoria Chen 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Negative Elaine Wei 14 The Bishop's School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>Yes</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>San Diego Academy / Paravir</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Affirmative was more persuasive and sustained and defended contentions strongly

Victoria Chen.-

Clear statement of contentions
 Creative questioning of opponents contentions
 Make sure ~~to~~ to enunciate vowels during speech
 Make sure to X-examine specific contentions by NEG
 Good statement of speech plan
 Good staying on point and re-stating contentions

Elaine Wei. Strong questioning of opponents contentions
 Creative statement of contentions
 Try to keep arguments and use entire allocated time.
 Work on stronger rebuttal of Aff contentions

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		SDA Judge 1 (*13)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 708	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Anna Mellissinos 3 Carlsbad High School		Negative Gilbert Neuner 1 Bonita Vista High School	
Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Points (25-30) <u>30</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>✓</u>		<u>San Diego Academy / Parent</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both excellent debaters. Neg was more convincing in arguments and delivered slightly better

Anna: Extremely clear presentation (congratulations!) of contentions
 Good defense on initial X-examination
 Strong questioning on potential weak points on negative.
 Great-evidence (background references) based contentions

Gilbert: Very good initial X-examination/strong points

- good use of opponents material to prep.
 Terrific exposition of contentions / good pace and
 Creative use of new information to make points / very good 6 min rebuttal to attack
 lack of attention to some negative points

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Rancho Bernardo 1 (*12)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 707	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Catherine Cang 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Negative Ashley Jay 14 The Bishop's School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>		Judge's Signature <u>Joann Gaytan</u> ABHS School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Good eye contact

Scored up points!
Good eye contact.
Brought up a good point to refute opponents
Both students were very well prepared
very persuasive

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Rancho Bernardo 1 (*12)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 707	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Madison Reese Martin 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>25/27</u>		Negative Hannah Zheng 6 Del Norte High School Points (25-30) <u>26</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		Judge's Signature <u>Joann Gaytan</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation <u>RBHS</u>	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Brought up good points
 very well prepared
 Cheat for refuting point
 apparent made

Good counters
 bad point - ~~not~~ ^{bad} running

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		1 Cbsd (*3)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 901	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Darian Flores 13 San Dieguito Academy		Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	Negative Talisa I Arboleda 10 Mission Vista High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature <u>Carlbad high school</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Danger of nuclear
lack of need
Terrorism
No Return on Cost
-allowing bombs to exist opens door
-treaties are unravelling

Cross-terrorism-
-benefits vs. support
-evidence for nuclear power terrorism
-knowledge of nuclear power
allowing power
global warming / natural climate change
-extinction

use source names to make point
-be more definitive and specific with sources : notations
-speak a bit slower and direct speech to judge
-site a treaty that has unravelled
-open mouth more when speaking
-holding jaw tight : can be difficult to understand
-well researched : prepared

morality vs. ethics
stay away from "blah, blah" : "like"
try to address specific points from Affirmative
-take notes to pull from what opponent says
-good job on focus w/ speaking towards others
-push for more specifics other than just global warming
-stay on point and refute with specifics - have examples

Arbalede

- cross - not well executed
- be more specific in questions
- move away from "like"
- "use of could" - lack of example
 - hypothetical situation
- 400 nuclear power plants
- lack of new innovation to move forward
- Rebuttal nice in end
 - focussed on lack of specifics

Frame work

Flores

well given

- be

Cross-definition - good w/ time frame

- nuclear defence

- poor rebuttal loss in notes

VLD

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		3 Bonita Vista (*1)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 705	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Christina Han 10 Mission Vista High School		Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	Negative Michele Murakami 6 Del Norte High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u> </u>		<u>Alan J. McS</u> Judge's Signature <u>Bonita Vista High School</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	Points (25-30) <u>27</u>

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Christina's contentions ~~and~~ subpoints were presented well and clear, however, evidence ~~was~~ presented in her arguments were dated. Risks of radioactivity, leaks & breakdown, and negative impact on society and terrorists plan to do so in future - very passionate. Work on: less confident in beginning. Just because technology has advanced, good and passionate argument that it ~~may~~ risks may happen in future. Wind and solar power as alternatives were good rebuttals - very passionate and authentic, majority and minority - very inclusive.

Michelle had stronger contentions: most good for most people, nuclear power plant not ~~as~~ hazardous as carbon fuels, and highly unlikely terrorists can access nuclear plants, and dependency of developing countries on nuclear power plants. Work on: Eye contact with audience and ^{low} energy; less passion demonstrated than opponent. Call to action at end - well done. ^{less} Dependency on oil & reduce fossil fuels good arguments, ~~but~~ for slowing global warming. Rebuttals were on the weak side = work on: power of persuasion instead of reading in a monotone voice. Your strong contentions were overshadowed by lack of believability.

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		3 Bonita Vista (*1)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 705	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Harsha Kenchareddy 14 The Bishop's School		Negative Shubham Gupta 6 Del Norte High School	
Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature _____ School / Affiliation / Occupation _____	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Gupta, Shubham

Note: Ask Questions of AFF

In 7 min. constructive. OK per Matt.

Harsha's contentions, hurting more people than it helps and risks of waste disposable were presented well and well-supported, although his delivery was somewhat reticent. Harsha gained momentum with his confidence rising during cross exam and rebuttal. Soft-spoken, compared to his Neg opponent, Harsha's contentions and rebuttals were presented clearly with definitions, the value of safety, and providing alternatives (wind power, solar) which are less risky, less expensive, and improve energy efficiencies. Each time Harsha spoke, he had thought-provoking argument: such as only 12% of the world uses nuclear energy, so banning it by some countries is possible.

Shubham was very passionate, stood directly in front of the judge (in front of Aff opponent) was clearly confident and full of eye contact. Shubham also had good contentions such as scientific progress has made improvements over the past generations of nuclear energy. Arguments were too dependant on definition of morality and nuclear energy, and too much emphasis on scientific progress. Spent too much time telling the judge that Negation ~~on~~ has better arguments but when Neg's value is "morality" and that scientific progress (over)

brings quality of life and protect life, and that "improvements over generations of nuclear power plants uphold morality", and that the resolution "Countries ought to prohibit the production of nuclear power" did not have "no real world application", his arguments appeared weak. Advances in technology does not always reduce harm. Neg also downplayed threat of Al Qaeda or ISIS accessing nuclear power, but did not address further or provide meaningful support. Also did not provide support when he said ^{banning} nuclear power plant does not prevent climate change[^] or global warming. Work on: this is better than that statements and then reversing it. Otherwise, very persuasive and powerful presenter & debater.

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Westview 1 (*15)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 801	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Adhvaith Vijay 6 Del Norte High School		Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	Negative Carlos Erick Valencia Barron 10 Mission Vista High School
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Judge's Signature <i>Shameem Hashmi</i> School / Affiliation / Occupation <i>Carlsbad High School</i>	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF PRESENTED SEVERAL CONTENTIONS THAT WERE NOT ADDRESSED BY NEG. THE HEALTH RISKS ("ALL REACTORS LEAK"), OR DIRTY BOMB CONCERNS - ON BOTH ACCOUNTS NEG SAID REGULATE ... BUT AFF GAVE EXAMPLES OF HOW REGULATIONS THUS FAR HAVE FAILED 144 CASES OF ATTEMPTED USE OF DIRTY BOMBS ETC

AFF: STRONG SPEAKER AND GOOD DEBATE. PROVIDING "TAGLINES" TO YOUR ^{CONTENTIONS} ~~CASES~~ WILL MAKE YOUR ~~CASES~~ EASIER TO KEEP TRACK OF.

NEG: A LITTLE MORE PREPARATION AND ANTICIPATING WHAT AFF WILL COME UP WITH WOULD HELP A LOT. GOOD EFFORT!

VLD

Varsity Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Westview 1 (*15)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 801	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative		Points (25-30)	Negative
Ashley Zhang 2 Canyon Crest Academy		28	Megan P McDonald 10 Mission Vista High School
Points (25-30)		29	
The winner of this debate was			
Affirmative Negative		Shameem Hashmi	
(Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? _____		Culver High School	
		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

BY PROHIBITING NUCLEAR ENERGY, AFF DIDN'T CONNECT TO ALTERNATE "RENEWABLE" SOURCES. NEG EXPLOITED THAT AND AT ONE POINT CLAIMED
 IF AFF WOULD END UP INCREASED USE OF FOSSIL FUELS.
 ADDITIONALLY, THE THORIUM EXAMPLE WAS NOT HANDLED BY AFF.

AFF. OVERALL A VALIANT EFFORT. YOU NEED TO PREP SOME MORE
 IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO ~~WELL~~ STAND UP MORE CONFIDENTLY IN
 CROSS EXAM. YOU KIND OF PLAYED INTO NEGS PLANS. ALSO MORE
 PREPARATION IN HANDLING SUCH RESPONSES.

NEG: GREAT OFFENSE IN CROSS EX. SET THE STAGE WELL.
 JUST BE CAREFUL... YOU DON'T WANT TO COME ACROSS AS
 A BULLY. BUT DON'T WANT TO TAKE ANYTHING AWAY
 FROM YOUR PASSIONATE, FURIENT, WELL PREPARED. NEG CASE.
 WELL DONE

✓ ~~ALD~~