



Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate - Round 3

Double Check Sheet

Vista, 6 Bonita	2SX	Canyon Crest Ac	Samuel Xiong	28.0	10DS	Mission Vista Hs	Daisy Sanchez	27.0	AFF	Room 501	✓
Vista, 6 Bonita	10AY	Mission Vista Hs	Alexander Docu	27.0	2RS	Canyon Crest Ac	Raymond Song	28.0	NEG	Room 501	✓
3, Cathedral	2JW	Canyon Crest Ac	Justin Wang	28.0	6SC	Del Norte HS	Shivangi Chaut	27.0	AFF	Room 502	✓
3, Cathedral	10CP	Mission Vista Hs	Camie Lashay I	27.0	7KT	El Camino HS	Kim Tran	26.0	AFF	Room 502	✓
	10SS	Mission Vista Hs	Sophia Love Sc	30.0	2AC	Canyon Crest Ac	April Cheng	29.0	AFF	Room 503	✓
	3HO	Carlsbad HS	Hannah O'Brier	29.0	10DG	Mission Vista Hs	Daniel Garcia	29.0	AFF	Room 503	✓
Cbsd, 1	9AN	Helix Charter HS	Aaron Nabors	29.0	10EK	Mission Vista Hs	Erin Makena K	27.0	AFF	Room 504	✓
Cbsd, 1	10OD	Mission Vista Hs	Olivia Shaye D	28.0	2ST	Canyon Crest Ac	Sophia Teglia	28.0	NEG	Room 504	✓
	9RG	Helix Charter HS	Rafael Bernal C	27.0	2RA	Canyon Crest Ac	Ruchi Agashe	28.0	NEG	Room 505	✓
	10MT	Mission Vista Hs	Matteus-Ryan f	29.0	7JL	El Camino HS	Joshua Lim	26.0	AFF	Room 505	✓
	2RB	Canyon Crest Ac	Rashaun Berna	29.0	9IS	Helix Charter HS	Izaiah Salazar	28.0	AFF	Room 601	✓
	10JM	Mission Vista Hs	Jazielyn Lupita	26.0	12HC	Rancho Bernard	Harmon Crowe	25.0	AFF	Room 601	✓
Academy, 5 Canyon C	10NG	Mission Vista Hs	Nikki Guerra	25.0	7LJ	El Camino HS	Leena Jannatip	26.0	AFF	Room 602	✓
Academy, 5 Canyon C	3EB	Carlsbad HS	Edward Burns	30.0	10KC	Mission Vista Hs	Kai Saporno C	30.0	NEG	Room 602	✓
	4KS	Cathedral Cathol	Katherine Steve	27.0	2NF	Canyon Crest Ac	Natalie Feldmai	28.0	NEG	Room 605	✓
	10CP	Mission Vista Hs	Chloe Prescott	29.0	3NT	Carlsbad HS	Nick Trotta	27.0	AFF	Room 605	✓
	10LF	Mission Vista Hs	Laura Ferrer	26.0	2SC	Canyon Crest Ac	Sean Chen	28.0	NEG	Room 606	✓
	10BG	Mission Vista Hs	Benjamin Robe	28.0	9MC	Helix Charter HS	Malcolm Collins	29.0	NEG	Room 606	✓
	1SV	Bonita Vista HS	Simon Vaillanc	29.0	10MW	Mission Vista Hs	Max Dane Wyg	26.0	AFF	Room 607	✓
	10BC	Mission Vista Hs	Britney Corvin	28.0	2JY	Canyon Crest Ac	Joyce Yang	28.0	AFF	Room 607	✓
	10MB	Mission Vista Hs	Maksym Bonda	29.0	2CW	Canyon Crest Ac	Catherine Wan	28.0	NEG	Room 608	✓
	2AR	Canyon Crest Ac	Aadil Rehan	29.0	10ns	Mission Vista Hs	noah john silva	27.0	AFF	Room 608	✓
Academy, 7 Canyon C	6SW	Del Norte HS	Skyler Wu	28.0	10CP	Mission Vista Hs	Connor Paul Pe	26.0	AFF	Room 701	✓
Academy, 7 Canyon C	10SL	Mission Vista Hs	Starr DuVall La		BYE	BYE				Room 701	
	10CR	Mission Vista Hs	Cipriana Elen F	30.0	2KW	Canyon Crest Ac	Kaitlyn Wang	29.0	AFF	Room 702	✓
	10BS	Mission Vista Hs	Brenna Michele	30.0	15GS	Westview HS	Gunnar Spence	29.0	AFF	Room 702	✓



Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		1 Cbd (*3)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 504	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative Olivia Shaye Dunne 10 Mission Vista High School		Negative Sophia Teglia 2 Canyon Crest Academy	
Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>N</u>		 Judge's Signature Carlsbad HS School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF Value: Justice / consequentialism

- 1 Disproportionate harm to minorities
- 2 harms humans & environment
- 3 terrorism

Your best points:

- 1 Disproportionate harm to low income / minorities

Your weakest points:

- 1 terrorism -
- 2 you admitted early after questioning that helping majority of people important
- 3 disarmament Neg point was showing how nuclear waste can be recycled

Speaking

Very good speaking - well paced,
correct inflections, good eye contact

Overall I did not feel that
nuclear power was unjust
(against justice).

Neg Value: Morality / utilitarianism

- 1 Disarmament
- 2 increased use of natural gas
fossil fuels
- 3 efficient use of material
to produce energy

Your best points

- 1 Disarmament - shows how
material can be recycled
- 2 increased use of fossil fuels
renewables cannot fill gap

Your weakest points:

- 1 Global warming
not clear how this is related
no direct lines drawn
- 2 Renewables built in low cost land
areas as well

Speaking

When heading slow down
emphasize important points
with inflections, use eye contact
Good logical thinking.

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		1 Cbsd (*3)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 504	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative Aaron Nabors 9 Helix Charter High School		Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	Negative Erin Makena Kennedy 10 Mission Vista High School
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>N</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>Carlsbad HS</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

Value: Safety

1. meltdown
2. ~~gov~~ political instability
3. waste containment questionable

all great points.

your weakest points

1. what would replace nuclear?
solar/wind and what would we do when they are not available
2. store renewables how?

your best points:

1. current research not put in place in nuclear plants
2. even if we use nuclear plants people in remote areas eg parts of Africa etc still will not get electricity because reactors cost \$9 billion.

Speaking

Suggestion: when reading your prepared text slow down emphasize main pieces with volume

Neg

Value: Quality of life for more people

1. increases quality of life for the most number of people
2. nuclear has zero carbon thus is not harmful
3. waste can be recycled
new research available

your weakest points:

1. you imply that nuclear can provide electricity to more people globally but not supported
2. new research to recycle waste needs more support
how will that be put in to place now?

your best points:

1. arguments against Japan, Soviet & US nuclear accidents
learn from past & avoid

Speaking: very clear reading with good inflection.

First half of round was good but maybe you were tired in the second half?

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		5 Canyon Crest Academy (*2)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 602	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative Nikki Guerra 10 Mission Vista High School		Negative Leena Jannatipour 7 El Camino High School	
Points (25-30) <u>25</u>		Points (25-30) <u>25</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? ☒		Judge's Signature <u>Rancho Bernardo high school</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

At prep time: 2 mins
1 min prep

the production

Neg

2 prep time
2 prep time
countries

Res: countries ought to prohibit nuclear power

prohibit: formally forbid

Value: Justice

the first virtue of social institution generate electricity

con 1: harm environment

con 2: NP causing more prob
have working/living env

expensive → ↑ for people

storage containers are not reliable
radio active waves

con 3: Health

we shouldn't be risking our lives for energy alternative

CROSS EX: workers have / not have protective gear

RB: Doesn't know what causes mutation / cancer

how many fatalities in Sh? ppl in cities

CROSS EX: small amount of land ⇒ Can be easily permanently
ruined? Doesn't know what abt solar energy? instead
of NP? less than 90%

AF longer speeches

comprehensive responses/speech
good eye contact

points more relevant to value

Solar energy can produce as much, pp 1 can live without

Wind/solar power

CO₂ vs waste
destructive



It not just the US congress
of fatalities unclear
the works deal w/ it everyday



Neg

Shorter speeches

good eye contact

clear points


the small amt not Big
of a deal

electricity & everyday
usage

~~replace it~~

didn't mention Nuc Waste

The workers will be effected
⇒ small percentage



62% ⇒ No one can live without it less 40%

CO₂ ⇒ what about waste? CO₂ as greenhouse gas

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		6 Bonita Vista (*1)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 501	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative Alexander Docuyanan Yap 10 Mission Vista High School		Negative Raymond Song 2 Canyon Crest Academy	
Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Points (25-30) <u>27.5</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Della Bloom Judge's Signature BVHS School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? _____			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD: I vote for the Negative because he presented a stronger case that the Affirmative was not able to refute. Contention #2 on the negative side was especially strong. The Affirmation said that nuclear plants are targets for terrorism, but then couldn't really back it up with sufficient evidence.


NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		6 Bonita Vista (*1)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 501	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative Samuel Xiong 2 Canyon Crest Academy		Points (25-30) <u>27.5</u>	Negative Daisy Sanchez 10 Mission Vista High School
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Judge's Signature <u>Della Bloom</u> BV HS School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD: I vote for the Affirmative because you presented a strong, solid speech in the beginning and the negation was not able to convince me otherwise. I think a good clarification was "should" not "will". Contention #2 on the Aff. side was especially strong and compelling.

Good eye contact and overall speaking skills by both speakers. Neg. speaker said "um" a lot. Try to be mindful of that as it can be a bit distracting to the content of your speech.

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 503	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative Hannah O'Brien 3 Carlsbad High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Negative Daniel Garcia 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		 Judge's Signature RBHS / parent / engineer School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Speech. Too fast.

Definitions!

Justice = utilitarianism.

Inv. harms:

- hot water.
- contaminated water goes back to env.
- communities of color affected more - original points.

X-ex THORIUM idea
illegal &
contention

Nicely aggressive.

On minorities: nice

utilitarianism in question. Most is not affected. Good point. conceded. by Hannah. Too fast! Hard to follow.

Green house effect is more from other energy sources.

Good eye contact. Supply is unlimited.

Jobs. Minorities are affected by coal more than by nuclear.

Opportunity. American advantage is sustained.

THORIUM reactor

Aff.

3 contentions.

Counterplans are
illegal in LD.

N

I'll explain

Explain how I won

Overst

Neg.

On efficiency:
cheaper than coal!
utilitarianism!

CO₂ drills holes in


the atmosphere.

More people die
from solar power
than from nuclear.

NLD

Vladimir Zabezhinsky SDMSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 503	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative Sophia Love Schlesinger 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>30</u>		Negative April Cheng 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>yes</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>RBHS / Parent / Engineer</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Resolution: Countries ought to prohibit the production of Nuclear power.

Quote! speech

Explanation of terms!

What are we proving? (!)

Contentions well specified!

- safety
- Health issues.
- Environment / waste
- Cost: wind / Bio Great!

Questions:

- on criteria - answered.
- on impact, -
- studies on correlation?

Questions from April win.

x-examination:

How is contention com

Waste is not dangerous?

speech. Read from comp.
Too fast!

1. Less deaths.

2. Health Strong (!)
Link to climate change

The speech is about ^{more} climate change, than about nuclear.

Not really dangerous.
No health risks really.

Reading from computer

Good sources.

Nuc. power prevents climate change.

safe ways to store.

Blackouts - danger

~~Confederate~~

= Arg for wind!

Geothermal -

Uranian mining

"Something blew
up" in Navaho.

Alice Jimenez
NLD

SDIVSL 1B

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 605	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative Chloe Prescott 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Negative Nick Trotta 3 Carlsbad High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>Helix Chantor U.S.</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Chloe did good research and appeared to be more prepared. However, Chloe gave NO eye contact.

Nick gave good occasional eye contact.

Nick's responses were not formulated well. Ex: Asked if he had research to support something he said, Nick responded, "It's what we were taught in elementary school." Nick spent time doing research on his tablet during prep time. Something hard to understand Nick but maybe due to his braces.
Chloe was more persuasive →

Chloe was the only ~~be~~ debater that mentioned San onofre & the bodily effects w/ nuclear exposure,

Alice Jimenez
NLD

SDIVSL 1B


Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 605	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative Katherine Stevenson 4 Cathedral Catholic Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Negative Natalie Feldman 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		Judge's Signature <u>Helix H Charter H.S.</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both did well. Natalie was more persuasive as Natalie had more research on the issues.

Katherine's examples ~~of~~ ~~by~~ were not that good.

Fyi- Katherine had more eye contact
 Natalie had no eye contact &
 spoke so fast.

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		7 Canyon Crest Academy (*2)	
Round 3	Flight	Room 701	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative Skyler Wu 6 Del Norte High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Negative Connor Paul Peppard 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>26</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature CCA School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmative did a great job to present the risks of using nuclear plant to generate power. The negative provided information to assure the safety of the plant and the necessary argument to weaken the affirmative position. But the affirmative had very strong point of using other alternative source of energy, solar, wind, hydraulic, and biofuel to help the environment than nuclear option.

The affirmative won the debate.

Both debaters had a good preparation and were able to provide the evidence in their argument to support their positions. Both have done a good job.

NLD

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		5 Canyon Crest Academy (*2)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 602	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative		Points (25-30)	Negative
Edward Burns 3 Carlsbad High School		30	Kai Saporno Catapang 10 Mission Vista High School
The winner of this debate was			
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)			
Is this a low point win? _____			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Reg: C Prem: 1:30 8:06 First S
 Nuclear power: form of energy
 value: Justice fairness
 (U) Bc overall goods
 ② reduce the most
 cor ① environment
 data radiation
 ② B carbon E
 93% salt
 how much water it takes
 20% electricity (Not 100% rely)
 40% states
 eco system $\Rightarrow$ PP across the US
 the global economy $\Rightarrow$ against justice
 & fairness use renewable energy sources

Neg 1min prep time
 30 secs
 1:22 spee
 44 speech
 value: Justice
 1c4 benefits of NE
 ① NP holds power N
 ① us military depends on NP
 China too UK
 France
 the national security
 ① mass amt of power
 plan energy
 ② Benefit E
 help change
 rapid global de
 carbonization
 affordable Clean
 Energy
 No CO2
 ③ benefit economy

The World Economy

① Environment
NW
Carbon E

invalid

① Nuclear helps power N
2 years and energy

① Water $\Rightarrow$ would not ruin the ecosystem or the citizens
① water intensive
② damage water ecosystem brings

③ Benefit
Cost of Economy

③ N Reactors lead to NW

refuted

NC: Justice

Utilization

developing countries

US military rely:
protect National security

with 1-20 no net clean energy
NP $\Rightarrow$ do use

Energy crisis:

not being used

It does have Carbon
Emission


Net Benefits
at 1/10 NE
NS / EC
NO CO2

RB: other forms of energy
Theoretical $\Rightarrow$ No practical

NP are unaffordable
hydro $\Rightarrow$ cheaper
 $\Rightarrow$ ruining ecosystem
safety $\Rightarrow$ overall
economy $\Rightarrow$ 2 million $\Rightarrow$ rules
PP pay more

Congress Phil $\Rightarrow$
Power plant $\Rightarrow$ helps the shortage

Heather Magee-Hill SDIVSL 1B NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 607	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative Simon Vaillancourt 1 Bonita Vista High School		Negative Max Dane Wygal 10 Mission Vista High School	
Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Points (25-30) <u>26</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature 	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		Carlsbad HS. School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Negation failed to refute the Aff's arguments. Overall the Aff had a more organized and logical case and refuted the Neg effectively.

Simon

Good eye contact, clear speaking.
Logical case and questions.

Global perspective convincing.

Good refute Safety from Terrorism

Good refute Clean with cards about mining.

Good paying attention to opponents case.

Good overall knowledge of issue.

Nice corrections in final speech.

Max

Good use of hand gestures for emphasis.

Work on clarity of speech like decreasing like + Umms.

Could elaborate on answers more during cross. Give more than 1 word answers.

Neg needs to refute Aff's arguments in 7 min speech - not refute proliferation, renewables at all in 7 min. only barely address nuclear with class discussion.

Only used 5 min of 7 min speech.

Take notes during opponents speech to help follow arguments better.

Heather Magee-hill

NLD

SDIVSL 1B

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 607	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative Britney Corvin 10 Mission Vista High School		Negative Joyce Yang 2 Canyon Crest Academy	
Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No Tie</u>		<u>Heather A Magee Hill</u> Judge's Signature <u>Carlsbad HS.</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Close Debate: Both did not refute major arguments of each others opponents case. Both had trouble with format of last speech.

Overall Aff analysis more logical and addresses neg better. refuted.

Britney

Good Pace 1st speech clear and understandable.

Need to answer questions in cross louder and clearer. kind of mumbled short answers.

Nice Summary and ^{organization} order in 2nd speech.

Good use of logic

Nice turning Nogs arguments against self with terrorist security.

Nice restating stressing Debtors. Death.

Joyce - Ask questions louder in cross.

Good refuting Aff 1st + 2nd Contentions not touch on 3rd contention in opening speech.

Nice use of sources, especially during cross using France as an example.

Good use of evidence

Dropped Aff 3rd contention completely even though had time only used 5 min of 7 min speech.


So work on analyzing opponents arguments more.

Need to time speeches last one went over 5 min 30 sec.

Brought up new information in last speech.

Victoria Gonzalez SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 601	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative		Negative	
Jazielyn Lupita Morales 10 Mission Vista High School		Harmon Crowe 12 Rancho Bernardo High School	
Points (25-30) <u>26</u>		Points (25-30) <u>25</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? _____		<u>Bonita Vista H.S.</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

this round was hard to judge because both participants were in a similar point range. In the end, the lack of sources to back up Harmon's claims gave Jazielyn the win. (Harmon didn't state his sources even after Jazielyn asked for them)

Victoria Gonzalez

NLD

SDIVSL 1B

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 601	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative Rashaun Bernard 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Negative Izaiah Salazar 9 Helix Charter High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Judge's Signature Bonita Vista H.S. School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

This round was very difficult to judge.
 Both participants were good.
 In the end, Rashaun's argument
 were ^{slightly} more convincing.

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge		
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 608	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM	
Affirmative		Points (25-30)	Negative	Points (25-30)
Aadil Rehan 2 Canyon Crest Academy		29	noah john silva 10 Mission Vista High School	27
The winner of this debate was		 Judge's Signature CARLSBAD HIGH - speech pathologist School / Affiliation / Occupation		
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)				
Is this a low point win?		no		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD: AFF: much better array of cards and expert use of evidence to refute NEG case. Also pointing out that his (NEG) over-reliance on 1 source contributes to bias. AFF adequately refuted NEG's case, and defended his own.

Aff/Aadil: Strong, persuasive speaking style with clear voice and great engagement of judge. Your vocabulary was precise and specific. In my opinion, time spent expanding on characteristics of utilitarianism would be better spent sharing contentions + evidence. Strong criticism of his contentions... evidence of renewables, pointing out that thorium-hypothetical. Excellent job - well beyond typical novice level.

Neg/Noah:

You said, "Prefer my standard..." but Neg and Aff had the same Value and Value criterion. Well-designed case.

Interesting point RE: thorium. While you provided a card RE: asthma deaths caused by coal, you didn't discuss causality in your CD structure.

Remember - No new arguments in rebuttal. Enhance vocab. by replacing: "bad, huge harm, so much" with descriptive, quantitative words.

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 608	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative Maksym Bondarenko 10 Mission Vista High School		Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	Negative Catherine Wang 2 Canyon Crest Academy
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>yes</u>		<u>CARLSBAD HIGH / SPEECH PATH.</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD: While Aff's speaking skills were superior, more complexity in case design and evidence was needed. Neg's strength was XC and she successfully upheld her 1st contention with a rich array of cards. If Aff could have expanded on renewable sources with more examples he might have prevailed.

Aff maks Professional attire and demeanor. Use of observations was helpful RE: framing the discussion. She had better evidence - more, more recent, and specific. You state wind will provide fuel for Germany by 2010, but we are in 2016... Plentiful alternative energy options you were impressively calm throughout the debate. Your command of your case showed practice but it might help to practice the difficult words: consequentialism, unpredictability.

Neg Catherine Clever use of XC questions RE: his evidence on CO₂ emissions to set up your 1st contention. Your delivery of the constructive (#1) was strong but it wasn't clear why you faltered so much when addressing his case. Also good strategy RE: XC to minimize nuclear impacts to "nausea/vomiting" - he agreed even though initially he showed more serious impacts.

Paola Burns

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 606	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative		Negative	
Benjamin Robert Grinnell 10 Mission Vista High School		Malcolm Collins 9 Helix Charter High School	
Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	
The winner of this debate was			
Affirmative Negative		Paola Burns	
(Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? Yes		Carlsbad High School	
		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both students delivered effectively.
Neg. responded to each contention methodically,
offering more data from reputable sources.
Affirmative had higher speaker points, but not as
effective as for as content.

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 606	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative Laura Ferrer 10 Mission Vista High School		Negative Sean Chen 2 Canyon Crest Academy	
Points (25-30) <u>26</u>		Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Paola Burns Judge's Signature Carlsbad High School School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg. won the debate because responded effectively to each contention of the affirmative side.

Negative had a better delivery.

As advice to both : add more reputable sources.

C. Rodacker

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 702	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative		Points (25-30)	Negative
Brenna Michele Schnedar 10 Mission Vista High School		30	Gunnar Spencer 15 Westview High School 29
The winner of this debate was		C-L	
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature RB HS	
Is this a low point win? _____		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

6 1 dangerous
2 health risks
3 environmental risks
Justice
Justice
Morality
Defining

3 OK
1 ✓
2 ✓
3 N/A

4 great points
o banned
o dangerous
o future generations

storing nuclear waste
safety ...
health risks of NPP

3 OK
1 ✓
2 ✓
3 ✓
Great confidence
1 prohibit environment
2 New technology
3 safe release
R accidents
- all energy sources
- health effects
U - moral
amount people
↑ UP
waste disposal
1 venturing in
2 cementification
new info economy



C. Rodocker

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 702	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative Cipriana Elen Rodriguez 10 Mission Vista High School		Points (25-30) <u>29.5</u>	Negative Kaitlyn Wang 2 Canyon Crest Academy
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature 	
Is this a low point win? _____		School / Affiliation / Occupation	


Comments & Reason for Decision:

6
Talk too fast

1 human health
2 terrorists
3 weapons

safety
definitions
Ultatash

1 SR90 ✓
2 ✓
3 ✓



3
1 ✓
2 ✓
3

7
alt. energy
china
3) _____?
Talk too fast

alt. morality
Ultatash

4
great points


R
1+
2+
3+



3 affirm - counterplanes?
FOA.org
uranium mining
Not sure

6 Rebuttal
• alt energy is def
• US economy
• thermum reactors
Great info

Conclusion:
weighing sides

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Cathedral 3 (*4)	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 502	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative Camie Lashay Parker 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Negative Kim Tran 7 El Camino High School Points (25-30) <u>26</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>CATHEDRAL CATHOLIC</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

TOPIC:

PROHIBIT NUCLEAR POWER.

AFF. CONSTRUCTIVE:

- JUSTICE ARGUMENT OVER SOCIAL GOALS.
- UPHOLDING SAFETY: NOT SAFE WAY TO DISPOSE RADIO ACTIVE MATERIAL.
- DISPOSAL CONTAINERS WILL DEGRADATE FASTER THAN NUCLEAR MATERIAL.
- COST OF STORAGE & DISPOSAL = BILLIONS OF DOLLARS.
- HEALTH RISKS: CANCER, RADIATION SICKNESS.
- TERRORISM THREAT.

NEG. CROSS:

- HEALTH PROBLEMS: WORLD NUCLEAR ASSOC. SOURCE.
- LAST CONVENTION: THREAT OF TERRORISM.

NEG. CONSTRUCTIVE:

- ENVIRONMENTAL WELFARE
- ULTIMATE UTILIZATION: BENEFICIAL FOR SOCIETY.
- REPLACEMENT FOR FOSSIL FUELS.
- REDUCE CO2 GREENHOUSE GASES.
- DEVELOP COMPREHENSIVE METHOD TO STORE SPENT FUEL.
- FRENCH EXAMPLE OF USE OF NUCLEAR FUEL.
- INCREASE REGULATION ON NUCLEAR POWER INSTEAD OF BANNING IT.
- OTHER ENERGY NOT OP TO FUEL DUE TO LACK OF SUFFICIENT ENERGY GENERATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT.
- TERRORIST THREATS: INCREASE SECURITY.

AFF. CROSS:

- RE-PROCESSING PLAN: THERE'S WHITE BUT THERE'S A PLAN TO REDUCE SPENT FUEL USAGE: RE-PROCESS FOR MORE ENERGY.
- FRANCE: USING RE-PROCESSING PLAN.
- FOSSIL FUEL ARE LIMITED NUCLEAR UN NONG POTENTIAL

REFUTE:

- "NECESSARY ENERGY SOURCE" / DANGEROUS ENERGY SOURCE.

- "RE-PROCESS FUEL": STILL WASTE DANGER
- TERRORISM CONCERN "MONO SECURITY": HOW DO WE ASSURE SAFETY

IN ALL CASES POSSIBLE. ACCIDENTS POSSIBLE

MUST FACE NUCLEAR TERRORISM: TERRORIST ALREADY ATTENDING TO BUILD NUCLEAR POWER.

NEG REBUTTAL: PREP 3:20 MINUTES

- WASTE FROM NUCLEAR LESS THAN OTHER SOURCES OF CO₂
- POLLUTION
- RE-PROCESSING PRODUCES MORE ENERGY
- LESS DAMAGING EFFECT THAN OTHER SOURCES.
- TERRORISM: PROGRAMS TO REDUCE NUCLEAR FUEL BY CONVERTING IT TO ENERGY. (BRINGING NEW INFO?) LESS TERRORISM.

AFF. REBUTTAL: PREP 1:30 (OUSA 1 MINUTE)

OTHER ENERGY SOURCES MORE HARMFUL: ONLY FUTURE PLANS. CURRENTLY STILL DANGER TODAY

RE-PROCESSING TO REDUCE TERRORIST THREAT: TRANSPORTATION STILL SUBJECT TO TERRORISM.


WASTE & TERRORISM STILL A REAL THREAT.

CONCLUSION:

AFF. ~~INTERVIEWED~~ PRESENTED DANGERS AND RISK OF TERRORISM.

NEG. ~~ARGUED~~ FOR BENEFITS OF RE-PROCESSED FUELS AND REDUCTION OF CO₂ GASES.

NEG. PRESENTATION WAS A LITTLE DISTORTED AND NOT AS ORGANIZED.

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Cathedral 3 (*4)	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 502	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative		Points (25-30)	Negative Points (25-30)
Justin Wang 2 Canyon Crest Academy		28	Shivangi Chaubey 6 Del Norte High School 27
The winner of this debate was		 Judge's Signature	
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		CATHEDRAL CATHOLIC School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

TOPIC:

PROHIBIT PRODUCTION OF NUCLEAR POWER.

AFF:

- GOV. USE NEG BENEFITS OF POLICY, ADVANTAGES TO SOCIETY AND NOT MORAL.
- CONNECTION BETWEEN NUCLEAR FUEL AND WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT.
- PROLIFERATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS.
- THREAT OF ATTACKS ON FACILITIES BY TERRORISTS.
- SERIOUS THREAT TO HEALTH AND SAFETY. UNMONITORED RELEASE OF RADIATION
- NUCLEAR POWER MORE EXTENSIVE THAN ALTERNATIVES. COST MORE \$/HOUR THAN ALTERNATIVE POWER (WIND)
- NUCLEAR POWER IS HIGH COST, RECEIVE GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES SO NOT PROFITABLE.

NEG/CROSS EXAMINATION:

- COST OF INCLUDING SOLAR POWER OR WIND.
- MORALITY QUESTION APPLY TO HUMANS.
- WASTE DISPOSAL ISSUE LEGALLY (NOT REALLY THROUGH.)

NEG:

- MORALITY & SOLAR POWER - DANGEROUS FOR HUMANS
- COST AFFECT. RADIATION AFFECTS - NATIONAL RADIATION EXPOSURE & IS FROM NUCLEAR POWER.
- THREATS OF TERRORISTS ATTACK - HANDLING IMPOSSIBLE DUE TO RADIOACTIVELY
- WASTE DISPOSAL NOT A THREAT ENVIRONMENT.

NEG CONCLUSION:

- MORAL ARGUMENT.
- NUCLEAR ENERGY REDUCE GREENHOUSE GASES. SAVED LIVES.
- COST EFFECTIVE: \$1.7/KW, LESS THAN COAL, GAS, & OIL.
- CREATES NEW JOBS
- LOW & SOLAR SUBSIDIZED - COST MORE.

AFF CROSS:

DOES NUCLEAR PRODUCE GREENHOUSE GASES; TRANSPORTATION OF MATERIALS
AS SOLAR COST COMPETITIVE; NOT FOR UNTIL FUTURE.
SOLAR PLANTS LESS SUSCEPTIBLE TO THREATS THAN NUCLEAR.

AFF CONSTRUCTIVE: 1 MIN PREP

REFUTE:

SOLAR HARM ENVIRONMENT:

NUCLEAR CHEAPER: NUCLEAR DOES REQUIRE GOV. SUBSIDIES MORE
THAN OTHERS.

MELTDOWN THREAT: FUKUSIMA. CANCER & OTHER ILLNESS DEATH

NEG REBUTAL:

NUCLEAR SERIOUS THREAT: MOST EXPOSURE DUE TO NATURAL.

MORE COSTLY: SOLAR RECEIVE POSSIBLION SUBSIDIES.

FUKUSIMA: BLOOM TECHNOLOGY NOT LIKE NEWER TECH.

TERRORIST ATTACKS: LOW RISK STEALING NUCLEAR MATERIALS

NUCLEAR WEAPONS?

AFF REBUTAL:

FUKUSIMA: AVOIDABLE

NUCLEAR TERRORISM: DIRECT BOMB USE

EXPOSURE NEAR COOL REACTORS

CONCLUSION:

AFF. ADDRESSED NEG. POINTS MORE DIRECTLY. NEG. DIDN'T
REFUTE AS MUCH OF AFF ASSERTIONS. NEG HAD GOOD POINTS
ABOUT ENVIRONMENT AFFECT OF REDUCING GREENHOUSE GASES.
BUT COULDN'T REFUTE CLAIM OF NUCLEAR WASTE/ACCIDENTS
AS MORE DAMAGING.

Bob McCarthy

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 2	Room 505	Tue 10/04/16 01:45PM
Affirmative Points (25-30) Matteus-Ryan Manansala Thresher 10 Mission Vista High School <u>29</u>		Negative Points (25-30) Joshua Lim 7 El Camino High School <u>26</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>No.</u>		Judge's Signature <u>P. G. McCarthy</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation <u>Carlsbad</u>	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Matteus Thresher - Mission Vista.

- Good job with opening statement. You established your value and framework clearly.
- Be sure to stay with your thought
- Good Composure. Well thought out cross examinations.

Joshua Lim - El Camino

- Make sure you take time & prepare for the structure of the debate.
- You made excellent points, but you have to be confident & convincing with your delivery.
- Keep working on the ability to organize your thoughts to make a coherent statement.

Bob McCarthy

SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Judge	
Round 3	Flight 1	Room 505	Tue 10/04/16 01:00PM
Affirmative Rafael Bernal Gonzales 9 Helix Charter High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Negative Ruchi Agashe 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>NO.</u>		Judge's Signature School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RAFAEL GONZALES - Helix charter.

- GREAT job establish your framework of efficiency, affordability & safety, BUT you HAVE to drive these points home
- Work on your cross examination
- BE CONFIDENT w/ your questioning
- Work on your eye contact... Engage the judge.

Ruchi Agashe - Canyon Crest

- you HAVE to slow down and finish your thoughts. you make excellent points, which appear to be well thought, BUT it is very difficult to understand with such a rapid delivery
- Follow through with your questioning and make your opponent answer.
- keep up the good work. C.

