


Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate - Round 2

Double Check Sheet

Vista, 2 Bonita	10SS	Mission Vista HS	Sophia Love Sc	29.0	2AR	Canyon Crest Ac	Aadil Rehan	27.0	AFF	Room 501	✓
Vista, 2 Bonita	10OD	Mission Vista HS	Olivia Shaye Di	29.0	9RG	Helix Charter HS	Rafael Bernal C	27.0	AFF	Room 501	✓
Academy, 6 Canyon C	10BG	Mission Vista HS	Benjamin Robe	29.0	9IS	Helix Charter HS	Izaiah Salazar	30.0	NEG	Room 502	✓
Academy, 6 Canyon C	6SC	Del Norte HS	Shivangi Chaut	30.0	10SL	Mission Vista HS	Starr DuVall La	28.0	AFF	Room 502	✓
2, Cathedral	10NG	Mission Vista HS	Nikki Guerra	29.0	7JL	El Camino HS	Joshua Lim	27.0	AFF	Room 503	✓
2, Cathedral	10CP	Mission Vista HS	Connor Paul Pe	28.0	3NT	Carlsbad HS	Nick Trotta	27.0	NEG	Room 503	✓
6, MVHS	2RS	Canyon Crest Ac	Raymond Song	26.0	6SW	Del Norte HS	Skyler Wu	29.0	NEG	Room 504	✓
6, MVHS	15GS	Westview HS	Gunnar Spence	27.0	2RA	Canyon Crest Ac	Ruchi Agashe	27.0	NEG	Room 504	✓
Cbad, 10	9MC	Helix Charter HS	Malcolm Collins	27.0	2SX	Canyon Crest Ac	Samuel Xiong	27.0	AFF	Room 505	✓
Cbad, 10	12HC	Rancho Bernardo	Harmon Crowe	28.0	10BS	Mission Vista HS	Brenna Michele	27.0	NEG	Room 505	✓
2, Rancho Bernardo	10LF	Mission Vista HS	Laura Ferrer	26.0	9AN	Helix Charter HS	Aaron Nabors	28.0	NEG	Room 601	✓
2, Rancho Bernardo	4KS	Cathedral Cathol	Katherine Steve	29.0	2RB	Canyon Crest Ac	Rashaun Berna	27.0	AFF	Room 601	✓
1, Bishops	10CR	Mission Vista HS	Cipriana Elen F	27.0	2JY	Canyon Crest Ac	Joyce Yang	27.0	AFF	Room 602	✓
1, Bishops	10KC	Mission Vista HS	Kai Saporno Ce	29.0	2NF	Canyon Crest Ac	Natalie Feldmai	27.0	AFF	Room 602	✓
3, DNHS	10JM	Mission Vista HS	Jazielyn Lupita	27.0	1SV	Bonita Vista HS	Simon Vaillanc	29.0	NEG	Room 605	✓
3, DNHS	2ST	Canyon Crest Ac	Sophia Teglia	27.0	10CP	Mission Vista HS	Chloe Prescott	26.0	AFF	Room 605	✓
02, Helix	2SC	Canyon Crest Ac	Sean Chen	29.0	10AY	Mission Vista HS	Alexander Doct	30.0	NEG	Room 606	✓
02, Helix	10MB	Mission Vista HS	Maksym Bonda	26.0	2JW	Canyon Crest Ac	Justin Wang	30.0	NEG	Room 606	✓
2, Westview	2CW	Canyon Crest Ac	Catherine Wan	27.0	10DG	Mission Vista HS	Daniel Garcia	28.0	AFF	Room 607	✓
2, Westview	2KW	Canyon Crest Ac	Kaitlyn Wang	28.0	10MT	Mission Vista HS	Matteus-Ryan I	27.0	AFF	Room 607	✓
Poway, 2	3HO	Carlsbad HS	Hannah O'Brier	30.0	10ns	Mission Vista HS	noah john silva	28.0	AFF	Room 608	✓
Poway, 2	2AC	Canyon Crest Ac	April Cheng	30.0	10MW	Mission Vista HS	Max Dane Wyg	27.0	AFF	Room 608	✓
4, El Camino	7LJ	El Camino HS	Leena Jannatip	27.0	10CP	Mission Vista HS	Camie Lashay I	26.0	AFF	Room 701	✓
4, El Camino	3EB	Carlsbad HS	Edward Burns	29.0	10BC	Mission Vista HS	Britney Corvin	26.0	AFF	Room 701	✓
Academy, 9 Canyon C	7KT	El Camino HS	Kim Tran	28.0	10DS	Mission Vista HS	Daisy Sanchez	28.0	AFF	Room 702	✓
Academy, 9 Canyon C	BYE	BYE			10EK	Mission Vista HS	Erin Makena Ki			Room 702	



NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		10 Cbad (*3)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 505	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Malcolm Collins 9 Helix Charter High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Negative Samuel Xiong 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner)		Paul Sheets Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>		Carlsbad School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- I gave the win to Aff because I felt he had more and better facts to support his side through the debate.
- I thought most of Neg's case rested on what new (future) types of reactors will (may) bring to the table; I thought Aff did good job of saying we should be looking at what is in use now!



* There was a question brought up by Neg at the very end - about Aff bringing up a new point in his last speech.

In my opinion - Aff did not bring up a new point - he countered a point (in a somewhat different way) that had come up a couple times earlier in the debate.

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		10 Cbad (*3)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 505	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Harmon Crowe 12 Rancho Bernardo High School		Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	Negative Brenna Michele Schnedar 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>Yes</u>		<u>Paul Sheets</u> Judge's Signature <u>Carlsbad</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:


- I gave the Win to Neg because I thought her facts in support of her contentions and counters to Aff held up better in the debate.
- Point about running out of uranium and whether thorium/other sources would suffice; I did not hold that against neg because she didn't have those figures; the ~~in~~ fact that there are alternative sources was enough for me.
- For Aff: You jumped to some far-reaching conclusions of going neg which I could not buy into! creating wars and extinction. No!

Presentation:

Neg - try to be more "confident"
and "assertive" in your delivery.

Aff - I liked your general style.

But try not to make the
broad conclusions you made
at the end!

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		MVHS 6 (*10)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 504	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Raymond Song 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>26</u>		Negative Skyler Wu 6 Del Norte High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature MVHS School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Mr. Wu was exceptionally prepared to advance his own arguments and refute the Aff. His eye contact, gestures and voice inflections created a sense of immediacy and believability. His cross-X was excellent. He seemed entirely in control of the material and not flustered at any point. Manners were excellent; refutations worked effectively.

Mr. Song's control of the material was less certain, which made him less able to maintain eye contact and rebut the contention against his case.

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		MVHS 6 (*10)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 504	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Gunnar Spencer 15 Westview High School		Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	Negative Ruchi Agashe 2 Canyon Crest Academy
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature MVHS School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Each participant was able to sway my thinking as they presented, making a strong case for their views. They also were both disorganized at points and lost track of the order of arguments. Ms. Agashe had a better command of the material and brought a lot of passion to the subject. She could earn more points by slowing down and providing a more thoughtful critique; at times, her speed of delivery made her case hard to follow.

Mr. Spencer made solid arguments and a solid effort to use eye contact to his advantage. His case would have benefitted from additional real-world examples to support his contentions.

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		2 Poway (*11)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 608	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Hannah O'Brien 3 Carlsbad High School Points (25-30) <u>30</u>		Negative noah john silva 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>TBS / Dentist</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	


Comments & Reason for Decision:

Hannah O'Brien gave a strong, prepared arguments.
 Your speech and eye contact were very good and
 you engaged your listeners. Your passage and content
 were detailed with excellent sources. Cross examination
 and rebuttal were excellent.

Noah Silva - I would make more eye contact,
 less swinging back and forth while giving your speech.
 More preparation next time will help.

POWAY, 2

Jessica Truong SDIVSL 1B
NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		2 Poway (*11)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 608	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative April Cheng 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>30</u>		Negative Max Dane Wygal 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>		Judge's Signature  TBS / Dentist School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

April Cheng gave a strong passage and arguments on the debate subject - She was very coherent and persuasive. Well prepared - I would speak a bit slower in your speech.

Max Wygal . more preparations needed.

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		2 Bonita Vista (*1)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 501	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Sophia Love Schlesinger 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Negative Aadil Rehan 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner)		Lawrence Castillo Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		Bonita Vista High School School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF:

Sophia: smooth well poised; voice clear and confident with delivery of subject matter that countries ought to prohibit the production of nuclear power.

Presented research material/data to support her aff. Demonstrated very good eye contact and address the judge with key points supporting her aff.

Neg:

Rafael: was not very smooth in his voice due to the speed with which he choose to deliver his material. He did not show any eye contact when addressing the judge. He showed lack of knowledge in support for his Neg.

Decision: It is my decision for the AFF because they present a stronger argument for "Ought" that is countries ought to prohibit the production of nuclear power.

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		2 Bonita Vista (*1)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 501	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Olivia Shaye Dunne 10 Mission Vista High School		Negative Rafael Bernal Gonzales 9 Helix Charter High School	
Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner)		Lawrence Castillo Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		<u>Bonita Vista High School</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff
 Olivia: Well delivered definition as to why countries ought to prohibit the production of nuclear power. Voice was smooth, clear and addressed the judge with good eye contact throughout the debate.

Neg
 Rafael

Provided good data to support her "ought". He did not show much enthusiasm in his voice that affected his delivery and confidence in his research of subject matter that countries ought to prohibit the production of nuclear power.

Bring up new material during the rebuttal did not help but hurt his "Neg"

Decision

Aff : It is my decision that the 'Aff' presented a stronger argument, stayed on track and continually address the judge with good eye contact with key element in support of an "Aff"

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Bishops 1 (*14)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 602	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Cipriana Elen Rodriguez 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Negative Joyce Yang 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Sue Y Judge's Signature Bishops / Teacher School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Cipriana Elen Rodriguez
 - Dressed beautifully
 - voice projected nicely
 - Good rebuttal
 - too emotional ~~at times~~ at times (angry even)
 - logic good
 - very prepared
 - very animated (good up to a point)
 - Too many Ahs / sighs
- Joyce Yang
 - Knowledgeable
 - shy (at first)
 - stand up (ok)
 - more of a Thinker than debater (at first)
 - Good Questions
 - talks too fast
 - Stop Playing with PC / PC distracting / need to use her own words more than reading from notes all the time.
 - Good logic
 - Dry mouth
 - Not enough eye contact
 - Rebuttal not effective
 - Reads too much from writings
 - "like" should not be used too much
 - very smart but seems a bit detached to convey her point.


NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Bishops 1 (*14)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 602	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Kai Saporno Catapang 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Negative Natalie Feldman 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Sue Kharрати Judge's Signature Bishops / Teacher School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Kai Catapang
 - stop shaking (swaying from side to side)
 - wonderful voice / very clear
 - Good eye contact
 - very informative / well prepared
 - Good voice projection / vocal variety
 - Good pauses
 - very ready to rebuttal
 - Assertive
 - should pay closer attention
 - counter rebuttal not-effective at first but got better
- Natalie Feldman
 - stop playing with hair / distracting from argument / constantly touching hair
 - Good questions
 - Dressed beautifully / not distracting
 - Assertive
 - while reading / some eye contact ~~was~~ important
 - Reads too fast / at times tough to understand the argument
 - No eye contact to the judge or opponent while talking to opponent
 - voice projection (while talking / not reading) good.
 - Argument very similar to teammate (other sides to the policy?)
 - Knowledgeable on subject.
 - clear throat ~~from~~ because of nervousness
 - Good facts and statistics
- Attentive
 - get more organized on paper to see arguments better
 - wasted a bit of time when asking opponent too many known facts. It's good when opponent not prepared, but she was, so tactic not useful
- Good Rebuttal
- nice job overall

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Westview 2 (*15)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 607	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Catherine Wang 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Negative Daniel Garcia 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>JH</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>Bonita Vista high</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Res: Countries ought to prohibit the production of nuclear power.


aff: Catherine Wang
→ great speaker! You're a natural, you put up w/ a great debate. Great cross.

Ng: Daniel Garcia

You're a great speaker, very nice hand gesture, good eye contact. You went against a really good debater, conviction was great.

Keep debating

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Westview 2 (*15)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 607	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Kaitlyn Wang 2 Canyon Crest Academy		Points (25-30) 28	Negative Matteus-Ryan Manansala Thresher 10 Mission Vista High School
Points (25-30) 27		Points (25-30) 27	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Judge's Signature  Ponita Vista high School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

good debater very, pleasant
and respectfull. Eye contact
is good. You're a strong
debater. You won the
round, though opponent
did not oppose in any
of your contentions.
Great job.

Your case was very well written,
good hand gesture, when
talking. There's a lot of
passion, great use of time
in explaining your point.
Annunciation was good as
well. Next time be a little
more prepared. I understand
your saying analytical but
- well your debating I guess
it's best for you
to have atleast a little more
solid fact.

Keep debating

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Cathedral 2 (*4)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 503	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Nikki Guerra 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Negative Joshua Lim 7 El Camino High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature Cathedral School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Affirmative

Clear position
 Spoke clearly
 Excellent cross exam.
 Organized

Position upheld

Negative

Clear position
 Could speak clearer
 Poor or lack of
 answers to cross exam
 unorganized

Position failed

2, CATHEDRAL

Michael McKinley SDIVSL 1B

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Cathedral 2 (*4)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 503	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Connor Paul Peppard 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Negative Nick Trotta 3 Carlsbad High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>Yes</u>		<u>Michael McKinley</u> Judge's Signature <u>Cathedral</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Affirmative


Very clear position
 spoke clearly
 was able to clearly
 answer cross exam

Negative

slow down

- established clear position
 - was able to clearly answer
 cross exam
 - excellent rebuttal

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Rancho Bernardo 2 (*12)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 601	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Laura Ferrer 10 Mission Vista High School		Negative Aaron Nabors 9 Helix Charter High School	
Points (25-30) <u>26</u>		Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature 	
Is this a low point win? _____		RBHS School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Laura

- Need enunciation
- Was not able to support some of her own findings
- Very fidgety when listening to her opponent
- Great at cross examining her opponent
- Did not know her speech very well
- Kept using the word "stuff"
- Kept running over her time
- Speech was not fluid, very haltingly done
- Not prepared for Q's

Aaron

- Started off a little too fast
- Great hand gestures
- Great research
- Good eye contact
- Had lots of supporting data, numbers & stats
- Enunciated well
- Very prepared in responses & cross exams.
- Polished

- Needs to practice
- ^{will} - enunciation
- speech was ^{not} very thorough
- cannot define a word in her own presentation
- less bickering, more legs

- Ahead when doing the Qs

2 min review

= is/are

- While reading her empathetic - when facing me, more forceful

- "stuff?"

- Ran over -
- Not in control of speech
- halted so many times during speech
- not fluid

- Much slower gain 4 sec
- Slow down a bit
- much hand gesture
- Eye contact! nice!
- lots of supporting data numbers & statistics
- Enunciates!

- Very prepared in responses in cross examination

30 sec

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Rancho Bernardo 2 (*12)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 601	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Katherine Stevenson 4 Cathedral Catholic		Negative Rashaun Bernard 2 Canyon Crest Academy	
Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Points (25-30) <u>27</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature 	
Is this a low point win? _____		School / Affiliation / Occupation <u>RBHS</u>	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Great cadence in her voice
- Many great examples
- Good eye contact
- Knew her speech by heart - impressive!
- Great re-cap!
- Needed to support her findings
- Author? Source?
- Great cross exam Q's
- Natural orator
- Great use of examples

- Interrupted speaker during cross-examination
- Kept cutting her off
- Needs to be more familiar with her speech - eyes mainly on his computer screen
- ~~Strong~~
- Very mono-tone, needs more cadence



Section 1: Introduction	Section 2: Overview
This section provides a brief overview of the project's goals and objectives.	This section provides a detailed overview of the project's scope and deliverables.
The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.	The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.
The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.	The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.
The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.	The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.
The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.	The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.
The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.	The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.
The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.	The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.
The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.	The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.
The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.	The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.
The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.	The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.
The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.	The project is expected to be completed by the end of the year.

This section contains the main body of the document, which is currently blank.

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		El Camino 4	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 701	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Leena Jannatipour 7 El Camino High School		Negative Camie Lashay Parker 10 Mission Vista High School	
Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Points (25-30) <u>26</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature <u>RBHS</u>	
Is this a low point win? _____		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

6 Nuclear power
energy dangers
V-Utilitarianism
Cancer death
radiation expense
aircraft carriers all???

3 CE "Something like that"
US Debt
Nuclear energy?

4 Uranium mining
nuclear waste
solar / hydro to

3 • under about repeat
website mil

'Something like that'

Military info again

ARS- New info?

3 • nuclear power CO₂
rates → greenhouse
gases.

Clicking pen
① dangerous ① dumb ② waste
all-relevant?

7 So...

① 1- NR core - CO₂ → ++
Source no CO₂ emitting
→ lacking confidence (good info)
Unimpaired (Focus on speech way
arguments)

② social goals justice
Utilitarianism

cost of power plants +++

Ben trusty

Buy for your bond

6 So... um

CO₂ + / - side

Sources

US facts only

(New content)

France

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		El Camino 4	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 701	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Edward Burns 3 Carlsbad High School		Negative Britney Corvin 10 Mission Vista High School	
Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Points (25-30) <u>26</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u> </u>		Judge's Signature <u>CE</u> <u>PBHS</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

6 - speech

1) environment +

2) water

3) nuclear weapon

Renewable energy

3 CE

Uranium mining CO2 emissions.

2) safety needs

4 speech → value criteria
philosophy - Uranium
efficient - Safety of
planet

3 rebuttal

- countries ↓ in previous
- examples irrelevant

env ✓
econ ✓ Safety +

3 CE Dement example

Renewable → gas / fossil
fuel

7 Speech

1) clean source

2) safety / terrorism

3) efficient

Justice

6 Rebuttal

- ex of effects on environment
- NO ex of renewable
energy
- protect against
terrorism

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		DNHS 3 (*6)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 605	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Jazielyn Lupita Morales 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Negative Simon Vaillancourt 1 Bonita Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		Melissa Nabors Judge's Signature Helix School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

He had more points that went
for his case

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		DNHS 3 (*6)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 605	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Sophia Teglia 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>27</u>		Negative Chloe Prescott 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>26</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		<u>Melissa Nabors</u> Judge's Signature <u>Helix</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I went with her because she had better points to state her case.

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Helix 02 (*9)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 606	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Sean Chen 2 Canyon Crest Academy		Negative Alexander Docuyanap Yap 10 Mission Vista High School	
Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Points (25-30) <u>30</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature 	
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		<u>HELIX HIGH SCHOOL - BARTENDER</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

① WAS UNABLE TO BACK UP 1ST CROSS EXAMINATION

② VERBIAGE WAS A PROBLEM X
HAD A HARD TIME
DURING CROSS EXAMINATION
SEEMED FRUSTRATED.

③ VERY STRONG
CONSTRUCTIVE SPEECH! ✓

④ NEVER MADE EYE
CONTACT DURING
REBUTAL X

① STRONG CROSS EXAMINATION ✓
GOOD EYE CONTACT

② ANSWERING QUESTIONS X
WITH OTHER QUESTIONS

③ WELL PREPARED ✓
FOR REBUTAL

NLD

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		Helix 02 (*9)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 606	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Maksym Bondarenko 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>		Negative Justin Wang 2 Canyon Crest Academy Points (25-30) <u>30</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		 Judge's Signature <u>HELIX HIGH SCHOOL - BARTENDER</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- ① Constructive speech was good. NEED TO USE MORE RECENT ARTICLES OR SOURCES. GOOD EYE CONTACT.
- ② KEEP NOTES ORGANIZED ON DESK, WILL HELP DURING CROSS EXAMINATION X

- ① VERY STRONG NOTE TAKING AND CROSS EXAMINATION. ✓
- ② VERY VERY PREPARED. FOR CONSTRUCTIVE. ✓
- ③ VERY ORGANIZED. ✓
- ④ STOP CLICKING YOUR PEN.

1:33

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		9 Canyon Crest Academy (*2)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 702	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Kim Tran 7 El Camino High School Points (25-30) <u>27.5</u>		Negative Daisy Sanchez 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>Yes</u>		Parag Judge's Signature Canyon Crest Academy School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg did not directly address the three aff contentions other than asking for evidence. So the aff contentions stand.

Neg contentions were debated - dispute on whether nuc power or fossil fuels cause more damage.

Neg used the cross-examination time more effectively.

Overall, I voted for Aff due to the reasons above, which left Aff contentions standing.

Aff - value - morality.

① - countries using peaceful nuc progrms to develop weapons

② → Break up of Soviet Union → nuc-proliferation

③ Nuc. pow plants located in poor & minority communities!

④ Nuc. waste remains hazardous for centuries

⑤ Nuc energy is inefficient

③ Cross: - evidence for casualties in Japan?

Neg: value - morality.

Criterion: Util.

① ~~proliferating~~ nuc pow → ↑ fossil fuels

environmental racism
death due to green house
gas emissions

② opportunity cost - non proliferating effort →

Cross:

Aff

nuc. pow plants cause health issues

secrecy & suppression of public participation.

opponent dropped nuc energy efficiency contention. (?)

Neg: Resistance

Aff:

Bourgeois ~~press~~ will promote peace.
provided evidence - web site ... gov

opponent did not refute 'nuc. waste' contention

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		6 Canyon Crest Academy (*2)	
Round 2	Flight 1	Room 502	Tue 10/04/16 10:00AM
Affirmative Benjamin Robert Grinnell 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>29</u>		Negative Izaiah Salazar 9 Helix Charter High School Points (25-30) <u>30</u>	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature <u>CCA</u> <u>Insurance Executive</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Very close - Both well prepared

Benjamin - Good presentation. Did not create tie between safe nuclear power & weapons that could be used by terrorists. Good argument re: natural disasters & accidents. Misunderstood question re terrorism/nuclear weapons

Izaiah - Good questions. Admits lack of experience storing waste. Good examples of safe nuclear plants. Excellent presentation. Acknowledges negative connotations re nuclear. Good answer re difference between power and weapons

Ben - Saving lives more important than money. Assumes pro nuclear energy has to put lives at risk. No support for argument. Good stats re Chernobyl. Admits global warming from coal but good point re nuclear being much more dangerous.

Izaiah - Very confident and composed. Good point re nuclear waste less significant than fossil fuel issues. No rebuttal to Ben's argument re: nuclear waste leaking as we speak!

(over)

Ben - Good argument re natural disasters do happen.

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate		6 Canyon Crest Academy (*2)	
Round 2	Flight 2	Room 502	Tue 10/04/16 10:45AM
Affirmative Shivangi Chaubey 6 Del Norte High School Points (25-30) <u>30</u>		Negative Starr DuVall Lang 10 Mission Vista High School Points (25-30) <u>28</u>	
The winner of this debate was ☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature CCA Insurance Executive School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Shivangi - Great presentation - Well spoken. Good effort to tie nuclear weapons to nuclear waste. Also good position re health more important than wages. Starr - Good job of agreeing with opponent on some issues. Ties argument to jobs lost and lower wages. Presentation a bit shaky. Good argument re climate change caused by fossil fuel. Argues no correlation between nuclear waste and cancer. No documentation

Shivangi - Had card re nuclear/cancer. Nuclear war inevitable. Was not contradicted.

Starr - Good answer re statistic on wages, but poor answer re supporting life with wages and can't document why workers on other forms of energy aren't paid well.

Good answer re nuclear workers out of work and not qualified for work in other energy producing industries

Page 1 of 1

NUT