

Novice Policy - Round 1

Double Check Sheet

1, grossmont	12HL Rancho Bernard	Brady Housley Maxwell Lashke	27.0 27.0	7SK El Camino HS	Emma Stoerme Juni Kim	29.0 28.0	NEG	Room 201	✓
Vista, 1 Bonita	5DL Classical Acader	Cameron Done Charlie Larkin	26.0 27.0	12HH Rancho Bernard	Andrew Han Samuel Hwang	27.0 25.0	AFF	Room 202	✓
2, Classical	10KH Mission Vista HS	Matthew Gabrie Logan Harteloo	26.0 27.0	12CZ Rancho Bernard	Serena Chi Leah Zabezhi	28.0 28.0	NEG	Room 204	✓
4, Rancho Bernardo	13HB San Dieguito Ac	Charlotte Hou Sean Belin	29.0 25.0	7LA El Camino HS	Kurtis LaMore Ulysses Aquilai	26.0 27.0	AFF	Room 301	✓
Cbad, 3	1EK Bonita Vista HS	Rachel Elliott Nawal Keddo	27.0 28.0	8IF Grossmont HS	Joseph W. Bod John Flaherty	27.0 26.0	AFF	Room 303	✓

NCX

Novice Policy		3 Cbad (*3)					
Round 1		Room 303		Tue 10/04/16 08:00AM			
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Rachel Elliott	---	27	1st 2nd	Joseph W. Bodden III	---	27
1st 2nd	Nawal Keddo 1 Bonita Vista High School	---	28	1st 2nd	John Flaherty 8 Grossmont High School	---	26
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature			
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)				Carlsbad H.S. School / Affiliation / Occupation			
Is this a low point win? _____							

Please rank Speaker Points from 25 - 30.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Keep Debating It gets Easier!

Overall both teams need to write notes on format for next round.

Neg- Had very short speeches and didn't seem to prepare very much. with no evidence given they did not refute any of aff case.

Aff- Had a nice well researched case with evidence good debating.

Nawal

Near speaking
logical argumentsNice case
Easy to follow
good eye contact
and command of
room

Nice transitions

Good evidence

Good questions

Good catches
topicality etc.

Good Teamwork.

Good flow
sharing

Good catches

Rachel

Could speak more
especially answering
questionsGood regain composure
after got lost in
our thoughts.Minimize abrupt
terminations of
points. UmsHad trouble reading
evidence needs
more practise
readingNot sharing question
time would be
better for you to
show your ability.

John

Needs to answer
and ask
more questions.Needs more
evidence.Needs more
research.Obvious nervous
but good job
taking deep
breaths.- Best in last speech
good quote a source
good reference
patience skill
Very short less than
2 min.

Joseph

Good to pick up
previous thoughtsGood clear speaking
without notes.Need to do more
preparation on
topic.Need to take notes
during all of
Opponents
speeches so
you can debate
their arguments.

NCX

Novice Policy				grossmont 1 (*8)			
Round 1				Room 201		Tue 10/04/16 08:00AM	
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)
1st 2nd	Brady Housley	<u>4</u>	<u>28</u> <u>27</u>	1st 2nd	Emma Stoermer	<u>1</u>	<u>29</u>
1st 2nd	Maxwell Lashkari 12 Rancho Bernardo High School	<u>3</u>	<u>27</u>	1st 2nd	Juni Kim 7 El Camino High School	<u>2</u>	<u>28</u>
The winner of this debate was							
Affirmative Negative				Sue Kharati			
(Circle Winner)				Judge's Signature			
Is this a low point win? _____				Bishops / Teacher			
				School / Affiliation / Occupation			

Please rank Speaker Points from 25 - 30.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Brady - Don't shake body (back & forth)

- Way too fast (talking \$b slower)
- eye contact (more)
- great logic

• NO chewing pen

- No correcting your teammate
- Good tone of voice
- No chewing gum
- Do not chew fingers

• Conclusion Not clear
• Do not admit defeat

- The passion should be transferred from voice to logic.
- Intensity very good
- Do not argue with your own teammate or correct them
- You have a lot of info / good job
- Do not chew on pen
- very knowledgeable

Juni - Questions were obvious / Not really challenging the other side (at first)

- smacking mouth (very distracting)

- Dress more conservative / Distracting shoes / sit better with skirt
- Good note taking
- talk more

Emma - Beautiful speech / slow & well thought about.

- logic was good
- good eye contact
- dressed nicely
- Ease of expressing thoughts
- Abrupt end to speech


Maxwell - Liked your logic of your plans

- Dry mouth
- speech pace good
- ~~more~~ prepare more
- work on reading
- better speaker than reader

- Good come backs
- say ok too much
- Good listener and
- very animated but interesting to listen to
- a little too relaxed on preparing to rebuttals

• Good argument but not enough persuasion
• Do not admit defeat

NCX


Novice Policy		Classical 2 (*5)			
Round 1		Room 204		Tue 10/04/16 08:00AM	
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative
1st 2nd	Matthew Gabriel Kilpatrick	<u>4</u>	<u>26</u>	1st 2nd	Serena Chi
		<u>3</u>	<u>27</u>		
1st 2nd	Logan Harteloo 10 Mission Vista High School			1st 2nd	Leah Zabezhinsky 12 Rancho Bernardo High School
The winner of this debate was				 Judge's Signature	
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)				 School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? _____					

Please rank Speaker Points from 25 - 30.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides did a good job. The negative side was more clear in their presentation and a bit more logical.

NCX

Novice Policy		1 Bonita Vista (*1)			
Round 1		Room 202		Tue 10/04/16 08:00AM	
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative
1st 2nd	Cameron Donez	2	26	1st 2nd	Andrew Han
		3	27		
1st 2nd	Charlie Larkin 5 Classical Academy High School			1st 2nd	Samuel Hwang 12 Rancho Bernardo High School
The winner of this debate was				Judge's Signature	
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)				 School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? _____					

Please rank Speaker Points from 25 - 30.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

TO: Both team next time try to debate
win the topic and not who's wrong
and who's right, there was no debate
at all, it was just a battle of
at the end of just game up to Neg's max benefit
plan.

But speech wise:

Aff: Both speaker did great
try to explore your contention to
expand your case.

(S2) - great comeback - You save the team
Neg: Speak (1) you did great your a
strong speaker.

(S2) try to relax and enjoy what
you're doing. Study your case & practice
speaking, you cannot rely on your partner
at all time.

Keep debating...

Novice Policy		Rancho Bernardo 4 (*12)			
Round 1		Room 301		Tue 10/04/16 08:00AM	
Speaker (circle)	Affirmative	Rank (1-4)	Points (25-30)	Speaker (circle)	Negative
1st 2nd Charlotte Hou		1	29	1st 2nd Kurtis LaMore	
1st 2nd Sean Belin 13 San Dieguito Academy		3	25	1st 2nd Ulysses Aquilar 7 El Camino High School	
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____				Judge's Signature S. Srinivasan RBHS / Engineer School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Please rank Speaker Points from 25 - 30.

29

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Affirmative did a good job. Showed more effort was put in.
 did research on the topic, well delivered in all.