

^{Kris}
~~CALLAN, KRIS~~

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

PFD

FLIP: DH Lee - Lee v. DT Huang - Chona

Public Forum Debate		Kris Callan (*AD)	
Quarter-Finals		LL 10	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker Negative Points (0-20)
1st	<u>Lee</u>	_____	1st <u>Huang</u> _____
2nd	<u>Lee</u>	_____	2nd <u>Chona</u> _____
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____		 Judge's Signature <u>Mentor won.</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1:30 Literally none of these stats are comparable. 1:36.
2:30 → doll methodology → honestly bad.

do you know how studies are conducted?

→ correlation — causation.

⊗ extension of M INP.

1'11 great study last.

MA & senior.

→ splashing.

little refutation.

PFD

FLIP: AX Akella - Garg v. AG Artigue - Ramaswamy

Public Forum Debate		Daryn McClure (*DC)	
Quarter-Finals		LL 14	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative NEG	Points (0-20)	Speaker
1st	Akella Garg	_____	1st
2nd	Akella	_____	2nd
The winner of this debate was		Negative AFF Points (0-20) _____	
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature ASU School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? _____			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~NEG~~ **NEG**Garg - Chill out during 1st CXRamaswamy makes you
look bad by staying so
professional...**AFF**

Good on you for staying calm!

Grand CX got a little muddy

+ speechy

← For both sides →

Read up on Female
genital mutilation... its
not a woman's "choice"

~~KARJALA, DENNIS~~
CHAUDHURY, TRISHA

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

PFD

FLIP: AX Akella - Garg v. AG Artigue - Ramaswamy

Public Forum Debate			Dennis Karjala (*CS)		
Quarter-Finals			LL 14		Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker	Negative	Points (0-20)
1st	Ramaswamy	19	1st	Garg	17
2nd	Artigue	20	2nd	Akella	18
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>			Trisha Chaudhury Judge's Signature Brophy / Student School / Affiliation / Occupation		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

PFD

FLIP: AX Akella - Garg v. AG Artigue - Ramaswamy

Public Forum Debate			Tracy Palmer (*FO,DT)		
Quarter-Finals			LL 14		Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker	Negative	Points (0-20)
1st	Rama Swamy	18	1st	Garg	16
2nd	Artigue	20	2nd	Akella	18
The winner of this debate was			Judge's Signature		
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)			Angela Brian Perry School / Affiliation / Occupation		
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>					

Comments & Reason for Decision:

there is no choice involved

Garg

Neg

D.A - transactions for welfare
Prioritize - importance

Rama Swamy

Aff

- Inefficiency / Corruption
- justice / water / edu / etc
- complex approach infeasible
- sustainability first
- AIDS shocks
12.5 ↑ 5%
- Incentives for gov't to work
- Gradual developments
- Conflict inducing
- Interdependence
Limits in Sahel
territorial and req.

not supported

vs culture = good

you never supported this until your PR

- Overpopulation
- 5x by 2050 - political instability
- Climate change by 2024, then 2100
- Food insecurity - how to create crops * good point
- marriage by 14, birth esp. malnutrition point
- education - reduce pop by 84%
* specific to Sahel
99-00 edu ↑ 23% education enrollment
educated women are more likely to use
- Women's rights
65% beaten
↓ 2/3 child marriages
↓ female genital mutilation
- Cost efficiency
\$205 M
\$3.50/person/20 years

not circumcision!
Did that just happen?!

Human sacrifice by Aztecs. Brilliant

Akella
Re: over population
too much corruption to accept aid
surveillance instead
Re: Climate change
giving food doesn't solve - short term
Re: marriage @ 14 is cultural → okay, yes. But not genital mutilation
Re: Contraceptives
cultural → okay - you have to develop your response here

Are you willing to admit use of child soldiers is just cultural too?

They didn't accept your def of prioritization but your def came from nowhere.

Aff failed to support contention 2 & 3

Don't accept observations - Good!
Military aid costs 60X more (and? source?)
Attempt doubled crop yield - source
Re 2: Aid shocks
Re 2: Gradual
Re 3: Military aid increases terrorism 60%

Infrastructure development vs handing \$
* prioritization on impact - good
Military aid is short term! Yes + 60% ↑ \$

no country any where
practices castration!
Circumcision done for
health reasons.

PFD

FLIP: AO Sheets - DeSantis v. DN Torpy - Apple

Public Forum Debate		AJ Wakefield (*DS)	
Quarter-Finals		LL 13	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker
1st	Torpy	_____	1st
2nd	Apple	_____	2nd
The winner of this debate was			
☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative			
(Circle Winner)			
Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>		 Judge's Signature BASIS Scottsdale. School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff - clean case - 1) Solver for poverty/hunger in short and long term. This solves for insecurity, instability, terror, in short and long. 2) micro-credit - avoids corruption, effective empowerment of 2 million people helped by microfinance. 3) Wall, Boko Haram - Bad, B/C of mil. assistance. → 67%↑ in duration of conflicts.

neg: contentions were disorganized -

neg: 1) no solvency shown for mil. assistance stopping corruption.

2) no evidence that mil. assist will solve for weak governments.

~~MAYHOOD, MATT~~
Garza, Jonathan

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

PFD

FLIP: AO Sheets - DeSantis v. DN Torpy - Apple

Public Forum Debate		Matt Mayhood (*CH) Garza		
Quarter-Finals		LL 13	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM	
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker Negative Points (0-20)	
1st	Torpy	_____	1st Sheets	_____
2nd	Apple	_____	2nd DeSantis	_____
The winner of this debate was				
Affirmative Negative				
(Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature		
Is this a low point win? _____		Independent / Student		
		School / Affiliation / Occupation		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Be careful with the Bapat evidence.
It's Pakistan specific & his
ultimate conclusion is that aid
does ~~not~~ work!

~~LINGOLN, LISA~~
Daniel, Alex

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

PFD

FLIP: AO Sheets - DeSantis v. DN Torpy - Apple

Public Forum Debate			Lisa Lincoln (*FC) Alex Daniel (DT)		
Quarter-Finals			LL 13		Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker	Negative	Points (0-20)
1st	Torpy	X	1st	Sheets	X
2nd	Apple	X	2nd	DeSantis	X
The winner of this debate was			 Judge's Signature Harker / Student School / Affiliation / Occupation		
☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative					
(Circle Winner)					
Is this a low point win? <u>X</u>					

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Torpy: Intriguing case, well-written and well delivered. Impressive. Watch the tone in C-X, nobody likes condescending and you flit w/ the line.

Sheets: I like that you're casual and confident but you also come off as robotic. Obviously well rehearsed/prepared, but try to have fun.
ENERGY

Apple: Awesome command of the flow, great argumentation, one of the best rebuttals I heard this turnney. Little bit more volume

DeSantis: Nice speaking style, decent analysis, good organization, but at times it seemed like you didn't understand their argument, or were intentionally muddling the round.

1/2 way through the round Aff simply seems must provide more specifics on how they plan. Ultimately the Aff is correct in saying

to have a better grasp of the topic. Neg solve instead of just outlining some invisible must have something tangible to vote on.

Torpy: Great job. Really nailed home the negative weaknesses on solvency, would have cut it down to 3 letters to give more in-depth analysis of your own offense but all-around great. * Debate is an inherently arrogant event, but you still flit w/ the line.

Sheets: As a negative first speaker you must do more to take their offense off the flow. You spent over half your speech on terrorism and provided no solvency. No solvency? No argument on military aid stopping terror. I have literally voted on a definition once in my entire life → feel out what is important. Your entire offense was squeezed into a 30 second blurb, 1/3 of which was over-time, w/ no analysis.

Apple: Great. No real critique.


DeSantis: Solid speech. Covered a lot and covered it well, but all of it was too little too late. You argued topic you'd already lost well, but still a good speech.

~~SHOELL, HANNAH~~
Limestone, Steven

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

PFD

FLIP: DH Lee - Lee v. DT Huang - Chona

Public Forum Debate		Hannah Shoell (*DA)			
Quarter-Finals		LL 10	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM		
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker	Negative	Points (0-20)
1st	Lee	_____	1st	Huang	_____
3rd 2nd	Lee	_____	2nd	Chona	_____
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____			 Judge's Signature  School / Affiliation / Occupation		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

CROWN, JULIAN

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

PFD

FLIP: DH Lee - Lee v. DT Huang - Chona

Public Forum Debate		Julian Crown (*FK)			
Quarter-Finals		LL 10	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM		
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker	Negative	Points (0-20)
1st	Huang Lee	_____	1st	Lee Huang	_____
2nd	Chona Lee	_____	2nd	Lee Chona	_____
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____			<i>Marshall Reed</i> Judge's Signature <i>Mesquite Blvd Band Briefs</i> School / Affiliation / Occupation		

Comments & Reason for Decision: