

PFD

FLIP: DT Lam - Raghiv v. CN Guo - Sun

Public Forum Debate		Sadie Lebow (*DK)	
Quarter-Finals		LL 2	Sat 01/11/14 04:15PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker
1st	Raghiv	X	1st
2nd	Lam	X	2nd
The winner of this debate was			
☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature Sadie Lebow	
Is this a low point win? _____		School / Affiliation / Occupation Bishop	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff - pro develop

- Ask questions in CX don't give rebuttles (Raghiv). Be polite.
- Raghiv - say "like" less.
- Feel like arguments in AC are blurry.
- Raghiv - don't whisper to Lam in CX.

Neg - military

- Feel like arguments in neg are blurry.
- provide arguments in rebuttal, your rebuttles are all the same w/o evidence.
- Don't avoid their questions.
- saying military is a prereq for developmental assistance relies on the assumption that said aid will be effective. ~~That's~~ This is a pretty big burden.

Use neg FW, aff concedes.

Wom teams need to ask questions in CX, not just argue.

RFD

- Neg ignores aff responses to C2 ~~and~~ to military aid increases correct. ~~that's~~ This limits ability of military aid to be effective.
- Neg's only argument against ac is that military is a prereq. However, if military doesn't ensure security, then neg argument falls and aff has offense.
- aff outweighs many saving ~~it~~ over 700,000 lives and feeding humans, which goes unresponded to


PFD

FLIP: DT Lam - Raghib v. CN Guo - Sun

Public Forum Debate		Brian Gavlick (*DS)	
Quarter-Finals		LL 2	Sat 01/11/14 04:15PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker
1st	Raghib	18	1st
2nd	Lam	17	2nd
The winner of this debate was			
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>		 School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Comments written on separate sheet given to debaters.



I like turtles.

Thanks for being polite in
crossfire. Careful about talking over
each other.

PFD

FLIP: AO Sheets - DeSantis v. DN Torpy - Apple

Public Forum Debate		Pierce Young	
Quarter-Finals		LL 13	Sat 01/11/14 04:15PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker
1st	RAGHIB	_____	1st
2nd	LAM	_____	2nd
The winner of this debate was			
Affirmative <u>Negative</u>		Judge's Signature	
(Circle Winner)		STUDENT - ASU - MAHS AFFILIATION	
Is this a low point win? _____		School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NEG (1st)

AFF

- I think you need to state more explicitly that you do not exclude developmental aid - it's more about the importance of military.
- 1st rebuttal needs to do a better job explaining the significance of your framework rather than just saying to extend it.
- Yes, you can have effective military aid when soldiers have HIV. It's a livable condition that affects a very small % of the military.

AFF (2nd)

AFF

- You need counter-definitions and framing that's responsive to the neg in your 1st speech. You're setting up to get framed out.
- I don't know if "there's not a lot of terrorism in Africa" is an argument that you are really making.
- Answering 1st grand crossfire with the strong same question doesn't really do much for you.

RFD