


PFD

FLIP: DT Lam - Raghieb v. CP Saraf - Allen

Public Forum Debate		James Chung (*DH) Scott Woods DH	
Octo-Finals		LL 2	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker
1st	Saraf	—	1st
2nd	Allen	—	2nd
The winner of this debate was			
☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative			
(Circle Winner)			
Is this a low point win? —		 Judge's Signature BASIS Scottsdale School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Raghieb - Case was a bit hard to follow -

Neg. lots of misrepresentation in case. "One percent" and "one standard deviation" are very different things.

Aff. "Peacekeepers are rapists" doesn't prove that mil. assistance is bad. Peacekeeping and military assistance are different.

Framework - What does prioritize mean?

I accepted Aff - what is the real meaning within the policy choices? What is most important? Neg. Time order doesn't work if it doesn't solve and isn't some guarantee.

where is the necessity of mil. assistance in Sahel outside of Mali, which is an outlier, has lots of mil. aid, lots of coups, and little development.
even

Aff. 1) pop. growth - pop growth neg. dev. aid. 2) only old can solve problems

Neg. didn't show rampant terrorism/
school destruction, etc. Throughout
Sahel. Only in Mali, where there is
lots of military assistance.

Aff - Must solve key problems to
provide humanitarian relief -
poverty comes from lack of
education, overpop. Also, human rights,
& issues, very important. MUST

Solve these. only Dev. Ass. solves long term.

Neg. says - there are 120
languages, so nothing is solvable -
I don't buy it. Also, contradicted in round
when you say development is ultimate goal.

Terrorism - solved by Aff ↑ development
→ ↓ poverty.

Young - misquote - not 10% - 1 standard
deviation. Also - showed higher
results for health & education interventions
than for conflict interventions on terr.
attacks -

World Bank & EU - emphasizing that with
dev. assistance better than mil. aid.

only development solves problems -
mil. assist. not seen as necessary.

Neg. - 1) Terrorism - no - you totally misquote source. 2) Drugs -
mil. doesn't solve. 3) Coups - so what - mil. assistance stops coups?
How?

PFD

FLIP: DT Lam - Raghiv v. CP Saraf - Allen

Public Forum Debate		Keith Ayen (*CA)	
Octo-Finals		LL 2	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker
1st	SARAF (2)	18	1st
2nd	ALLEN (3)	17	2nd
The winner of this debate was			
Affirmative ☐ Negative ☒			
(Circle Winner)			
Is this a low point win? NO		 Judge's Signature RED MTN. HIGH School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:


- BOTH TEAMS DID AN EXCELLENT JOB w/ OUTLINING + FRAMING THEIR ARGUMENTS
- GOOD ~~I~~ INFORMATIVE SUPPORT + EXPLANATION.
- NEG GETS THE WIN BECAUSE I THOUGHT THEY DID A BETTER JOB OF DEFENDING THEIR MAIN POINT OF 'SECURITY 1ST' - WHICH I DON'T THINK THEIR OPPONENTS WERE ABLE TO DEFEAT THAT POINT.

Vail, Rose
~~BERL, TK~~

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

PFD

FLIP: DT Lam - Raghiv v. CP Saraf - Allen

Public Forum Debate			TK Berl (*CX)		
Octo-Finals			LL 2		Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker	Negative	Points (0-20)
1st	Saraf	16	1st	Raghiv	19
2nd	Allen	18	2nd	Lam	17
The winner of this debate was Affirmative ☐ Negative ☒ (Circle Winner)			Judge's Signature  Hamilton School / Affiliation / Occupation		
Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>					

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Affirm:
Keep arguing def. of priority.
Mock questions of Neg team.
Uses examples of results outside of area.
Family Planning was good point -
but cannot be implemented without mil det.

Neg:
More info, better statistics.
Great examples.
terrorism & drugs - best reason

Female Circumcision

PFD

FLIP: CP Waters - Elzarka v. AG Artigue - Ramaswamy

Public Forum Debate		Gabi Davenport (*CC)	
Octo-Finals		LL 104	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker
1st	<u>Ramaswamy</u>	_____	1st
2nd	<u>Artigue</u>	_____	2nd
The winner of this debate was			
☒ Affirmative ☐ Negative (Circle Winner)		_____ Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? _____		_____ School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Voted AFF based on measurable improvement in the Sahel Region

Oral Critique

Given

A. Buys
GUY, JOEL

Push

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

PFD

FLIP: CP Waters - Elzarka v. AG Artigue - Ramaswamy

Public Forum Debate		Joel Guy (AG) Adam Buys			
Octo-Finals		LL 104	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM		
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker	Negative	Points (0-20)
1st	Ramaswamy Elzarka	20/20	1st	Elzarka Ramaswamy	20/20
2nd	Artigue Waters	20/20	2nd	Waters Artigue	20/20
The winner of this debate was AffirmativeNegative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>			Adam Buys Judge's Signature Independent School / Affiliation / Occupation		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

This was a close round but ultimately I decided on Aff. Although I accepted the neg framework I felt Affs impacts on terrorism and development work independent of military aid and thus I don't view military aid as a prereq. Thus, I vote Pro. (Aff)

PFD

FLIP: CP Waters - Elzarka v. AG Artigue - Ramaswamy

Public Forum Debate			Becky Andrade (*DC)		
Octo-Finals			LL 104		Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker	Negative	Points (0-20)
1st	Ramaswamy	---	1st	Elzarka	---
2nd	ARTIGUE	---	2nd	WATERS	---
The winner of this debate was <u>Affirmative</u> Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? _____			Judge's Signature Boris Scottsdale, Program School / Affiliation / Occupation		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Their argument was strong & logical
- They provided the reference with their cases.
- Their case shows the long term good for region
- The stability of the region is more important.

PFD

FLIP: CN Guo - Sun v. DT Bopardikar - Misra

Public Forum Debate		Simone Saldana (*AH)	
Octo-Finals		LL 60	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative DT	Points (0-20)	Speaker
1st	Bopardikar 4	16	1st
2nd	Misra Misra 1	19	2nd
The winner of this debate was		Judge's Signature	
Affirmative Negative		School / Affiliation / Occupation	
(Circle Winner)			
Is this a low point win? NO			
Comments & Reason for Decision:			

First Speaker delivered speech very well. Evidence was good. Crossfire you need to let the other side answer your question try not to cut them off. Grand crossfire was excellent!!

Second Speaker good job on the delivery of your speech. Evidence was good, rebuttal was good. Cross examination was good, you need to let the other side ask questions also - Grand Crossfire did a really excellent job!!

First Speaker delivery on speech was very good. Evidence was very good, spoke very clear. Crossfire was good very polite & calm when asking & answering questions. Grand crossfire was very good.

Second Speaker delivery was very good. Evidence was also very good, rebuttal was excellent. Cross examination was very good, try to leave your answers short so you have more time to ask questions. Grand Crossfire was very good.

prep.
200
35
165
29

PFD

FLIP: CN Guo - Sun v. DT Bopardikar - Misra

Public Forum Debate		Nicholas Petsas (*AS)	
Octo-Finals		LL 60	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker
1st	<u>Bopardikar</u>	_____	1st
2nd	<u>Misra</u>	_____	2nd
The winner of this debate was			
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		 Judge's Signature	
Is this a low point win? _____		<u>Salpente / U of A</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation	

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD: The Con side won the debate today because they proved military aid permits development and but when done first it magnify the effects, while the Pro argue successfully that terror was reduced with dev. aid. the Con washed this with Ev that w/o military assistance the terrorism increased.

PFD

FLIP: CN Guo - Sun v. DT Bopardikar - Misra

Public Forum Debate			Angela Buzan (*DC)		
Octo-Finals			LL 60		Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker	Negative	Points (0-20)
1st	<u>Bopardikar</u>	<u>18</u>	1st	<u>Sun</u>	<u>19</u>
2nd	<u>Misra</u>	<u>17</u>	2nd	<u>Guo</u>	<u>20</u>
The winner of this debate was Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>			 Judge's Signature <u>Brophy / Student</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation		

Comments and Reason for Decision:

RFD: Both team had strong cases. ~~I particularly~~
~~that~~ However the Neg & won the debate
 because they pointed out how the region was
 in conflict with warrents and how any benefit
 that the Aff brought would only occur if
 if the area was secure. They also had
 evidence to prove that terrorist attacks dropped
 in recent years which took away any
 benefits the Pro provided.

PFD

FLIP: DT Jagadeesh - Thirumalai v. AO Sheets - DeSantis

Public Forum Debate			Arthur Chandra (*DX)		
Octo-Finals			LL 10	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM	
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker	Negative	Points (0-20)
1st	Thirumalai 4	17	1st	Sheets 2	19
2nd	Jagadeesh 3	18	2nd	DeSantis 1	20
The winner of this debate was Affirmative ☐ Negative ☒ (Circle Winner) Is this a low point win? <u>no</u>			 Judge's Signature <u>Redlands HS</u> School / Affiliation / Occupation		

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I vote Neg on Frame work. The Aff does not refute the weighing mechanism at Utilitarianism. The Aff does not solve the immediate problems in the school system, and does not provide any short term benefits the neg is not able to provide.

PRINT OUT YOUR EVIDENCE

PFD

FLIP: DT Jagadeesh - Thirumalai v. AO Sheets - DeSantis

Public Forum Debate		Kylie Conway	
Octo-Finals		LL 10	Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM
Speaker	Affirmative	Points (0-20)	Speaker
1st	Thirumalai	_____	1st
2nd	Jagadeesh	_____	2nd
The winner of this debate was			
Affirmative Negative (Circle Winner)		Judge's Signature ASU School / Affiliation / Occupation	
Is this a low point win? _____			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF -

Thirumalai - ~~Q~~ Don't follow a
Q in CX with a statement.


NEG -

~~~~~

I voted Neg. in the end because I feel they did a sufficient job proving that a foundation has to be made. AFF consistently ignored the NEG card from the National Bureau of Economic Research denying the correlation between "Solving poverty = solving terrorism", also ignored evidence of aid having to be scaled back due to security threats. In the end AFF dropped too much.

## PFD

FLIP: DT Jagadeesh - Thirumalai v. AO Sheets - DeSantis

|                                                       |                    |                                                                                                         |                                       |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Public Forum Debate                                   |                    | Mitchell Dyer (*DF)                                                                                     |                                       |
| Octo-Finals                                           |                    | LL 10                                                                                                   | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM                  |
| Speaker                                               | <b>Affirmative</b> | Points (0-20)                                                                                           | Speaker <b>Negative</b> Points (0-20) |
| 1st                                                   | Thirumalai         | _____                                                                                                   | 1st Sheets                            |
| 2nd                                                   | Jagadeesh          | _____                                                                                                   | 2nd DeSantis                          |
| The winner of this debate was                         |                    | <br>Judge's Signature |                                       |
| <b>Affirmative</b> <b>Negative</b><br>(Circle Winner) |                    | Mesquite / Bid Band Briefs<br>School / Affiliation / Occupation                                         |                                       |
| Is this a low point win? <u>nc</u>                    |                    |                                                                                                         |                                       |

Comments &amp; Reason for Decision:

I vote aff off of stability/terr. I think the "root" of terr. is very ambiguous. There are a lot of factors stemming from instability like poverty, famine, education, etc. The aff turns on military aid are extended and the neg really doesn't respond. So that's a clear aff ballot. Aside from that, aff wins education and climate change. Dope round.

QURESHI, MAHIR  
Lawrence, Martin

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

PFD

FLIP: DH Lee - Lee v. DD Karbo - Dupree

|                                                                             |                    |                     |                                                                                    |                  |               |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Public Forum Debate                                                         |                    | Mahir Qureshi (*FD) |                                                                                    |                  |               |
| Octo-Finals                                                                 |                    | LL 13               | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM                                                               |                  |               |
| Speaker                                                                     | Affirmative        | Points (0-20)       | Speaker                                                                            | Negative         | Points (0-20) |
| 1st                                                                         | <u>Haley Lee</u>   | _____               | 1st                                                                                | <u>S. Dupree</u> | _____         |
| 2nd                                                                         | <u>Stanley Lee</u> | _____               | 2nd                                                                                | <u>A. Karbo</u>  | _____         |
| The winner of this debate was                                               |                    |                     |                                                                                    |                  |               |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative |                    |                     |  |                  |               |
| (Circle Winner)                                                             |                    |                     | Judge's Signature                                                                  |                  |               |
| Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>                                          |                    |                     | <u>Harker</u>                                                                      |                  |               |
|                                                                             |                    |                     | School / Affiliation / Occupation                                                  |                  |               |

Comments & Reason for Decision:

cx1  
You are such a strong cr  
Though you did go over time a LOT

you let her walk over you.

cx2  
Vert Strong questions

Not sure what you mean w/ the analogy  
In my mind, you put yourself in a double-bind

Over

K



LEBEDA, ROBERT

LEBOW, SADIE <sup>DK</sup>

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

## PFD

FLIP: DH Lee - Lee v. DD Karbo - Dupree

| Public Forum Debate                                                                            |             |               | Robert lebeda (*DZ)               |              |                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Octo-Finals                                                                                    |             |               | LL 13                             |              | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM |
| Speaker                                                                                        | Affirmative | Points (0-20) | Speaker                           | Negative     | Points (0-20)        |
| 1st                                                                                            | Haylee Lee  | ☺             | 1st                               | Savan Dupree | ☺                    |
| 2nd                                                                                            | Stanley Lee | ☺             | 2nd                               | Alex Karbo   | ☺                    |
| The winner of this debate was                                                                  |             |               | Sadie Lebow                       |              |                      |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative<br>(Circle Winner) |             |               | Judge's Signature                 |              |                      |
| Is this a low point win? _____                                                                 |             |               | Brophy                            |              |                      |
| Comments & Reason for Decision:                                                                |             |               | School / Affiliation / Occupation |              |                      |

Development + assistance should be prioritized over military aid in the Sahel region of Africa

aff: I think C3 sub b is weak

- neg: ~~pro~~ I feel like the contentions overlap. Provide an example in C1 that is specific to the region.

Good job everyone! ♥

aff

- explain how education solves ideological conflicts. In case. you just claim it does w/o a warrant.

- Speaker 2: Provide warrants in rebuttals ~~for~~

- Mil aid was provided to Mali after coup...

- Speaker 2: Don't talk over opp in CX. Also, don't give a speech in CX. ask questions.

- Speaker 1: you should be 2nd speaker.

- Don't just say "expand!"

neg

- You needed to respond to framework. Insofar as military aid/development aren't mutually exclusive, many arg & unique.

- Speaker 2: Don't let your opponents dominate CX.

- Have specific examples on region.

- Speaker 1: you're a great speaker. Don't get so frustrated in CX.

- Have more specific responses to arguments. Don't just say "development is corrupt" in responses.

- Sam Sudan microfinance point when it didn't completely address their argument.

RFD: I vote aff because neg concedes development and military aren't mutually exclusive. Neg doesn't touch this. Furthermore, neg fails to supply specific attacks to aff arguments in AC C1, C2, C3. Thus, the microfinance impx in the infrastructure impx go for the aff. Neg never provides examples of military successes. Aff gets offense from turn on neg.

## PFD

FLIP: DH Lee - Lee v. DD Karbo - Dupree

| Public Forum Debate                                                         |               |             |  | Katherine Rolfe (*CN) |                                                                                                                                                              |                      |          |                  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|------------------|
| Octo-Finals                                                                 |               |             |  | LL 13                 |                                                                                                                                                              | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM |          |                  |
| Speaker                                                                     |               | Affirmative |  | Points<br>(0-20)      | Speaker                                                                                                                                                      |                      | Negative | Points<br>(0-20) |
| 1st                                                                         | <u>H. Lee</u> | <u>2</u>    |  | <u>16</u>             | 1st                                                                                                                                                          | <u>Dupree</u>        | <u>4</u> | <u>13</u>        |
| 2nd                                                                         | <u>S. Lee</u> | <u>3</u>    |  | <u>15</u>             | 2nd                                                                                                                                                          | <u>Karbo</u>         | <u>1</u> | <u>17</u>        |
|                                                                             |               |             |  | <u>31</u>             | <u>30</u>                                                                                                                                                    |                      |          |                  |
| The winner of this debate was                                               |               |             |  |                       | <br>Judge's Signature<br><br>Hamilton<br>School / Affiliation / Occupation |                      |          |                  |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative |               |             |  |                       |                                                                                                                                                              |                      |          |                  |
| (Circle Winner)                                                             |               |             |  |                       |                                                                                                                                                              |                      |          |                  |
| Is this a low point win? <u>NO</u>                                          |               |             |  |                       |                                                                                                                                                              |                      |          |                  |

Comments &amp; Reason for Decision:

- used specific examples of developmental integrations
- addresses long-term effect
- utilizing long-term effects
- H. Lee seemed to "win" the first cross fire
- utilizing both mil & devel, but putting devel first - starting in the roots
- Tangible results of when mil aid failed, and when devel helped
- claim opponents themselves don't have tangible research
- makes claims for them to co-exist, but not as much for why devel. should be weighed above
- Are able to break down opponent argument better, but should work on building your argument as well.
- continues to address analogy that is proven to be irrelevant (unrelated)
- Briefly discussed their side of argument at end (S. Lee)

- using military aid to develop existence of both mil & developmental
- "more benefit to have than to be without"
- Recent research presented - given in speech & first - effective
- good presentation & eye contact (Dupree)
- Dupree - very respectful & informational in crossfire - only issue was that opponent seemed to dominate the crossfire
- Reveals research to show develop. aid needs help to prosper
- Karbo is also great with presentation & eye contact
- Argues Afghanistan has similar conditions to Sahal to apply theory.
- Proves w/ opponent research that their research proves against them (Mali)
- seems to falter in C.F., and does not present enough info to defy opponent claims

30 sec

48 sec

30 sec

23 sec

1 min

37 sec

## PFD

FLIP: AX Akella - Garg v. AD Mazza - Russell

|                                                                                                |                    |                                                                                                         |                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Public Forum Debate                                                                            |                    | Brian Gavlick (*DS)                                                                                     |                      |
| Octo-Finals                                                                                    |                    | LL 103                                                                                                  | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM |
| Speaker                                                                                        | <b>Affirmative</b> | Points<br>(0-20)                                                                                        | Speaker              |
| 1st                                                                                            | Russell            | 18                                                                                                      | 1st                  |
| 2nd                                                                                            | Mazza              | 17                                                                                                      | 2nd                  |
| The winner of this debate was                                                                  |                    |                                                                                                         |                      |
| Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative <input checked="" type="radio"/><br>(Circle Winner) |                    | <br>Judge's Signature |                      |
| Is this a low point win? <u>No</u>                                                             |                    | DVHS<br>School / Affiliation / Occupation                                                               |                      |

Comments &amp; Reason for Decision:

Russell - ~~excellent~~ <sup>excellent</sup> delivery;  
 good analysis of prioritize in CF,  
 though ~~may~~ it may be better in a  
 speech.

Mazza - excellent delivery - good  
 pace - be sure to let your opponents

fully answer questions. At the end Aff seemed to opt for Neg framework, which  
 threw me for a loop - I was ready to vote on Aff frame. B/c now I use Neg framework, I want to  
 I encourage you to have paper copies of all cards/evidence - handing laptops  
 back and forth wastes time.

Avoid passive-aggression in crossfire & when handing evidence back & forth.  
 For the most part both teams were polite - thank you for being polite

There was clash on Negs framework, but no direct attacks on Aff framework.  
 Both sides agreed lives must be saved, and Neg seems to buy into Affs framework  
 by examining NC3 & advocating marginal action (surveillance) to stabilize.  
 The question becomes: which side provides the most benefits for the least  
 cost in terms of human life. Alas, I don't know how to quantify NC3. Meanwhile  
 Aff doesn't have a clear metric for how efficient developmental aid is, but did  
 flow through the conflict reduction in AC1a & war economy suffers in wartime economy  
 AC2b. I questioned AC2b econ analysis - correlation vs. causality.

Garg - good pace, clear enunciation -  
 I prefer a calmer tone but I liked your  
 passion.

Akella - excellent delivery, I liked how  
 you changed tone & sign posted

So it's down to AC1a vs NC3

So it's down to AC1a vs NC3

Survival means I need

Kader  
GREEN, CAROL

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

# PFD

FLIP: AX Akella - Garg v. AD Mazza - Russell

|                                                                                                                          |                    |                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                 |               |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Public Forum Debate                                                                                                      |                    | Carol Green (*DT) |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                 |               |
| Octo-Finals                                                                                                              |                    | LL 103            | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                 |               |
| Speaker                                                                                                                  | <b>Affirmative</b> | Points (0-20)     | Speaker                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | <b>Negative</b> | Points (0-20) |
| 1st                                                                                                                      | Russell            | _____             | 1st                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Garg            | _____         |
| 2nd                                                                                                                      | Mazza              | _____             | 2nd                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Akella          | _____         |
| The winner of this debate was<br><b>Affirmative</b> <b>Negative</b><br>(Circle Winner)<br>Is this a low point win? _____ |                    |                   | <br>Judge's Signature<br><br>School / Affiliation / Occupation |                 |               |

Comments & Reason for Decision:


Akella: Dude you said  
you'd give me voters  
I was 1st on your FF  
on the floor

RTD

ORAL

## PFD

FLIP: AX Akella - Garg v. AD Mazza - Russell

|                                                       |                    |                                                                                                          |                      |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Public Forum Debate                                   |                    | David Barclay (*DK)                                                                                      |                      |
| Octo-Finals                                           |                    | LL 103                                                                                                   | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM |
| Speaker                                               | <b>Affirmative</b> | Points<br>(0-20)                                                                                         | Speaker              |
| 1st                                                   | Russell            | _____                                                                                                    | 1st                  |
| 2nd                                                   | Mazza              | _____                                                                                                    | 2nd                  |
| The winner of this debate was                         |                    |                                                                                                          |                      |
| <b>Affirmative</b> <b>Negative</b><br>(Circle Winner) |                    | <br>Judge's Signature |                      |
| Is this a low point win? _____                        |                    | Brophy<br>School / Affiliation / Occupation                                                              |                      |

Comments &amp; Reason for Decision:

RFD:

1. C<sub>2</sub> shows some risk that military Aid ↓ stability. When we accept benefits off of Aff C<sub>1</sub> it makes it easy for ME to see better benefits
2. Magnification means PA comes first / makes alot of sense. I don't think I can solidly go for it because not really developed that way until Final Focus

ANDERSON, MATT  
Garza, Jonathan

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

PFD

FLIP: DH Yang - Haider v. DT Huang - Chona

|                                                                             |              |                                    |                                |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Public Forum Debate                                                         |              | Matt Anderson (*CA) Jonathan Garza |                                |
| Octo-Finals                                                                 |              | LL 14                              | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM           |
| Speaker                                                                     | Affirmative  | Points (0-20)                      | Speaker Negative Points (0-20) |
| 1st                                                                         | George Yang  | _____                              | 1st Joyce Huang _____          |
| 2nd                                                                         | Abbas Haider | _____                              | 2nd Eesha Chona _____          |
| The winner of this debate was                                               |              | Judge's Signature                  |                                |
| Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative <input checked="" type="radio"/> |              | Independent / Student              |                                |
| (Circle Winner)                                                             |              | School / Affiliation / Occupation  |                                |
| Is this a low point win? _____                                              |              |                                    |                                |


Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Chona, your rebuttal was top heavy. You left the third contention under covered.
- Both, "Extend all my partners responses" is not a real extension, ~~say~~ tell me what to extend, why, and weigh it!
- Haider, never say that the judges don't understand something
- Yang, if they ask for a question, don't say no.
- Haider & Yang, No off time roadmaps

RFD: ~~First~~ I weigh based on the ~~first~~ need for stability first. That said, neg has clean offense on their third contention and peacekeeping. This solves the root of poverty & also provides a long-term solution. The only offense the Aff had was micro loans, but as pointed out by the neg, we should focus on entities that can provide both. Micro-financers don't.

# PFD

FLIP: DH Yang - Haider v. DT Huang - Chona

|                                                                                                |             |                                                                                                         |                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Public Forum Debate                                                                            |             | Bill Brotherton (*CN)                                                                                   |                      |
| Octo-Finals                                                                                    |             | LL 14                                                                                                   | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM |
| Speaker                                                                                        | Affirmative | Points (0-20)                                                                                           | Speaker              |
| 1st                                                                                            | Yang        | _____                                                                                                   | 1st                  |
| 2nd                                                                                            | Haider      | _____                                                                                                   | 2nd                  |
| The winner of this debate was                                                                  |             |                                                                                                         |                      |
| Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative <input checked="" type="radio"/><br>(Circle Winner) |             | <br>Judge's Signature |                      |
| Is this a low point win? _____                                                                 |             | Hired<br>School / Affiliation / Occupation                                                              |                      |

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff's framework doesn't really generate ~~any thing~~ any offense or defense. ~~neg~~ Aff doesn't answer any of the corruption args while neg wins the prioritization and the ↑ poverty args. No real answer on "microfinance doesn't end poverty."

# PFD

FLIP: DH Yang - Haider v. DT Huang - Chona

|                                                                                                                    |                     |                                                                                                         |                      |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Public Forum Debate                                                                                                |                     | Rajan Sheth                                                                                             |                      |
| Octo-Finals                                                                                                        |                     | LL 14                                                                                                   | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM |
| Speaker                                                                                                            | <b>Affirmative</b>  | Points<br>(0-20)                                                                                        | Speaker              |
| 1st                                                                                                                | <u>George Yang</u>  | _____                                                                                                   | 1st                  |
| 2nd                                                                                                                | <u>Abbas Haider</u> | _____                                                                                                   | 2nd                  |
| The winner of this debate was                                                                                      |                     |                                                                                                         |                      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Affirmative</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Negative</b><br>(Circle Winner) |                     | <br>Judge's Signature |                      |
| Is this a low point win? _____                                                                                     |                     | <u>Dobson HS / Coach / Turrist</u><br>School / Affiliation / Occupation                                 |                      |

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- There should have been some links better established that lead to your democratization impact, specifically how George can only cite Molaison example.

RFD: There is a binary between whether or not whether or not we would look at the short term vs. the long term, I only look at the immediate impacts, so regardless of whether or not either side can perm in order to gain access to the other side's impact. The Aff wins the terrorism impact, putting a presumption on developmental aid than as NC claimed it was a huge impact in the round. I do also buy the Aff's interpretation of Microcredit, NC was misrepresenting. So because of immediate impacts I affirm.

~~ANSA~~  
**KARJALA, DENNIS**  
 Kuo

2014 ASU Southwest Championship

**PFD**

FLIP: DT Panja - Nakos v. DN Torpy - Apple

|                                                       |                    |                                                                                                         |                      |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Public Forum Debate                                   |                    | Dennis Karjala (*CS)                                                                                    |                      |
| Octo-Finals                                           |                    | LL 102                                                                                                  | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM |
| Speaker                                               | <b>Affirmative</b> | Points (0-20)                                                                                           | Speaker              |
| 1st                                                   | Apple Torpy        | _____                                                                                                   | <b>Negative</b>      |
| 2nd                                                   | Torpy Apple.       | _____                                                                                                   | Points (0-20)        |
| The winner of this debate was                         |                    |                                                                                                         |                      |
| <b>Affirmative</b> <b>Negative</b><br>(Circle Winner) |                    | <br>Judge's Signature |                      |
| Is this a low point win? _____                        |                    | Mountain View,<br>School / Affiliation / Occupation                                                     |                      |

Comments & Reason for Decision:

why is ~~Sahel~~ an outlier?  
 why is it unique.

"is - ought"

"Asset creation"  
 ↳ stable properly  
 laws.

gex  
 ↳ today  
 ↳ stability & created  
 in a day.  
 best FW analysis.  
 new extensions.

both teams  
 need consistent  
 extensions.

good ex.  
 how do contentions interact?

1 NR → extend 1 or 2 good  
 args on all flow  
 when there are a worse answer.  
 do line by line + group.

need more cooperation between.  
 1st + 2nd on stat.

why can't solve  
 ↑ compares

Bapat & say + tensor, 7 say + duration, not enough to turn/defeat  
 the role of security borders.

1 by Mark as backdrop, security borders key to future, will  
 + efficiency of and, when ~~was~~ was an uncontested ~~one~~ Neg burden.

## PFD

FLIP: DT Panja - Nakos v. DN Torpy - Apple

| Public Forum Debate                                                    |             |               | Jonathan MacMillan (*AA)                                                                                                                                                          |          |                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| Octo-Finals                                                            |             |               | LL 102                                                                                                                                                                            |          | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM |
| Speaker                                                                | Affirmative | Points (0-20) | Speaker                                                                                                                                                                           | Negative | Points (0-20)        |
| 1st                                                                    | Apple 3     | 19            | 1st                                                                                                                                                                               | Nakos 2  | 19                   |
| 2nd                                                                    | Torpy 1     | 20            | 2nd                                                                                                                                                                               | Panja 4  | 19                   |
| The winner of this debate was                                          |             |               | <br>Judge's Signature<br>Dougherty Valley HS Coach/Teacher<br>School / Affiliation / Occupation |          |                      |
| Affirmative Negative<br>(Circle Winner)<br>Is this a low point win? No |             |               |                                                                                                                                                                                   |          |                      |

Comments &amp; Reason for Decision:

Aff's case was more credible with easy to see impacts. By the end it was Drip & Microf Benefits vs. threat of Mali violence spreading & I thought Drip/Micro was going to save more lives, based upon VC of saving lives. Panja

| Torpy                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |  | Apple                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  | Panja                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Goals to improve lives<br>I. Agricultural Stability<br>- Microirrigation ↑ yields ↓ water, labor costs<br>- 18.7 → 11.3 Food insecure people<br>II. Microloans<br>- Empowers women<br>1. Female owners make better<br>2. Women judicious<br>III. Military Ineffective |  | → 98% repayment<br>- Self-sufficiency<br>→ Resolution does not include an actor<br>Majority of region is not like Mali<br>→ Microloan Solvency<br>- 2 million people<br>→ Not feasible to have people in region<br>- No explanation of what type of MA will be used<br>- DA creates stability |  | → Terror Violence destroys food assets<br>Terrorists target infrastructure<br>Evidence: 700,000 Developmental Aid x2<br>II. → Loans paid back, but businesses not helped<br>→ Need option of doing one or other, which explains why only dual actors apply |  |
| Only consider actors who can do both<br>I. MA is a prerequisite<br>II. Mali's Conflict<br>- Military aid needed for safety, secure borders                                                                                                                            |  | If more lives are saved by doing MA first, then Neg wins<br>- Most violence is from Mali, so stopping via in Mali resolves Sahel via<br>- Dropped 16 mil                                                                                                                                      |  | Voters<br>① Food: DA solves (700K)<br>- Drip is 95% more effective<br>- 16M saved is non-unique (Phi)<br>② Economy<br>- 2M assisted by microf<br>③ M.A. does not solve<br>- Increases terror by 6%                                                         |  |
| Food Security<br>- 10 million people<br>Microfinancing<br>- Long Term Solvency<br>Terror<br>- Not the largest issue in countries other than Mali                                                                                                                      |  | Voters<br>① Mali Conflict<br>- Lynchpin to solving other via<br>- If terror spreads violence gets worse<br>② Developmental Aid<br>- Farmers don't control prices<br>- Microfinancing not pulling people out of poverty                                                                        |  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |  |

# PFD

FLIP: DT Panja - Nakos v. DN Torpy - Apple

|                                                                                                                                                                   |                      |               |                                                                                               |                |                      |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Public Forum Debate                                                                                                                                               |                      |               | Phoebe Kuo                                                                                    |                |                      |
| Octo-Finals                                                                                                                                                       |                      |               | LL 102                                                                                        |                | Sat 01/11/14 02:00PM |
| Speaker                                                                                                                                                           | Affirmative          | Points (0-20) | Speaker                                                                                       | Negative       | Points (0-20)        |
| 1st                                                                                                                                                               | <u>Apple Torpy</u> 3 | 14            | 1st                                                                                           | <u>Nakos</u> 2 | 19                   |
| 2nd                                                                                                                                                               | <u>Torpy Apple</u> 1 | 26            | 2nd                                                                                           | <u>Panja</u> 4 | 18                   |
| The winner of this debate was<br><input checked="" type="radio"/> Affirmative <input type="radio"/> Negative<br>(Circle Winner)<br>Is this a low point win? _____ |                      |               | <u>Li Ku-Hui</u><br>Judge's Signature<br><u>Chaparra</u><br>School / Affiliation / Occupation |                |                      |

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

- C1: Agri/Food/microeff  
 C2: micro financing  
 women  
 C3: military ineffective  
 Mali/Nigeria

NEG

- C1: mil/prereq  
 C2: Mali

Ultimately weighed on lives and stability (violence, politics). AFF won all 3C, neg made solid and often unique/awesome arguments but evidence was usually non unique to region and/or not empirical.

Super impressed with Apple's organization/speaking style—